## Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report 2019-20

The academic council has gone through the analysis of teachers, Students, alumni and employer feedback and necessary steps have been taken to implement the suggestions
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## Methodology

Feed back of students on teaching process is evaluated and presented in this report. Data for the evaluation of teaching is collected from students using structured questionnaire. Google form is used for data collection and the link is shared among whatsapp groups of all classes. All students can participate in this survey since the link is circulated in whatsapp group. Data collected were analysed using arithmetic mean. Five variables are used to measure the teaching quality and overall teaching quality is calculated using mean percentage score (MPS)

$$
\text { MPS = (Mean Score / Maximum possible Score) x } 100
$$

Teaching Quality of College

|  | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Subject Knowledge of the Teacher | 4.6503 | .52636 |
| Sincerity and Commitment of the <br> Teacher | 4.5787 | .59578 |
| Ability to Integrate Course Material <br> with Environment | 4.4663 | .66483 |
| Accessibility \& Approachability of the <br> Teacher | 4.4622 | .64584 |
| Overall Performance of Teacher | 4.5562 | .59833 |
| Overall Teaching Quality | 90.8548 | 10.14310 |

## Department wise Teaching Quality

|  | Department |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Department of <br> Commerce |  | Department of <br> Management |  | Department of <br> Economics |  |
|  | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. <br> Mean | Deviation | Mean <br> Deviation |  |
|  | 4.6767 | .50956 | 4.4375 | .61302 | 4.7339 | .46432 |
| Sincerity and <br> Commitment of the <br> Teacher | 4.5967 | .59033 | 4.3625 | .66072 | 4.6881 | .52170 |
| Ability to Integrate <br> Course Material with <br> Environment | 4.4800 | .67164 | 4.2875 | .67868 | 4.5596 | .61511 |
|  <br> Approachability of the <br> Teacher | 4.4767 | .66654 | 4.2750 | .65555 | 4.5596 | .55162 |
| Overall Performance <br> of Teacher | 4.5633 | .59484 | 4.3625 | .67961 | 4.6789 | .50700 |
| Teaching Quality | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 7 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 9 0 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 9 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 0 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 8 8 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 0 8 9 5}$ |

Class wise Teaching Quality of Department of Commerce

|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | III Year <br> B．Com Co－ operatio n |  | III Year B．Com Finance |  | III Year <br> B．Com Compute r Applicati ons |  | II Year <br> B．Com Co－ operation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { II Year } \\ & \text { B.Com } \\ & \text { Finance } \end{aligned}$ |  | II Year <br> B．Com Compute r Applicati on |  | I Year <br> B．Com Co－ operation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I Year } \\ & \text { B.Com } \\ & \text { Finance } \end{aligned}$ |  | I YearB．ComComputerApplication |  |
|  | $\frac{\mathbb{\Xi}}{\stackrel{E}{E}}$ | $\approx$ |  | $\hat{\sim}$ |  | \％ |  | \％ |  | ๑） |  | $\stackrel{\imath}{\sim}$ | E | \％ | E | \％ | ミ | \％ |
| Subject Knowled ge of the Teacher | $\stackrel{\odot}{\odot}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 犬 }}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{\sim}{r}}$ | $\stackrel{\Im}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sqrt{n}}{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\dot{\gamma}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{+}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{?}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\gtrless}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\infty}$ | $\underset{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |
| Sincerity and Commitm ent of the Teacher | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{r}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & n \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®}}{\star}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ni}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\forall}$ | $\stackrel{\star}{\star}$ | $\stackrel{ }{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\underset{0}{\ddagger}$ | $\stackrel{\wedge}{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $8$ | $\stackrel{8}{\dot{f}}$ | $\grave{o}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\frac{\partial}{\forall}$ | $\infty_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{N}}{+}$ | $\stackrel{i n}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ominus}{\dot{+}} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & n \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{n}{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & n \\ & ? \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{+}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{+}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{+}{\underset{+}{6}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | O |
| Overall <br> Performa nce of Teacher | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{F}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddagger \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\odot}$ | $\overrightarrow{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | " | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & ? \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{+}}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\star}}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\stackrel{t}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ |
| Teaching Quality |  | $\underset{i}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i n \\ & i+ \\ & i+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{\vec{\infty}} \\ & \underset{\infty}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\infty}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ふ̀ | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \stackrel{7}{2} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & \hline \infty \\ & \hline \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { i } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\text { Nos }}$ |  |

Class wise Teaching Quality of Department of Management

|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | III Year BBA <br> Finance |  | II Year BBA <br> Finance |  | I Year BBA Finance |  |
|  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Subject Knowledge of <br> the Teacher | 4.9286 | .26726 | 4.4615 | .51887 | 4.3019 | .63805 |
| Sincerity and <br> Commitment of the <br> Teacher | 5.0000 | .00000 | 4.3846 | .50637 | 4.1887 | .68097 |
| Ability to Integrate <br> Course Material with <br> Environment | 4.5714 | .51355 | 4.4615 | .51887 | 4.1698 | .72684 |
|  <br> Approachability of the <br> Teacher | 4.5714 | .51355 | 4.3846 | .50637 | 4.1698 | .69989 |
| Overall Performance of <br> Teacher | 4.9286 | .26726 | 4.4615 | .51887 | 4.1887 | .70864 |
| Teaching Quality | $\mathbf{9 6 . 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 4 3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 6 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 6 3 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 0 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 1 6 1 1}$ |

## Class wise Teaching Quality of Department of Economics

|  | Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | III Year BA Economics |  | II Year BA Economics | I Year BA Economics |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject Knowledge of the <br> Teacher | 4.8491 | .36142 | 4.6071 | .56695 | 4.6429 | .48795 |
| Sincerity and Commitment <br> of the Teacher | 4.7547 | .47659 | 4.6071 | .56695 | 4.6429 | .55872 |
| Ability to Integrate Course <br> Material with Environment | 4.6792 | .51041 | 4.4286 | .74180 | 4.4643 | .63725 |
|  <br> Approachability of the <br> Teacher | 4.6604 | .47811 | 4.4643 | .63725 | 4.4643 | .57620 |
| Overall Performance of <br> Teacher | 4.7736 | .46581 | 4.6071 | .49735 | 4.5714 | .57275 |
| Teaching Quality | $\mathbf{9 4 . 8 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4 1 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 6 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2 5 0 6 2}$ |

## ACTION TAKEN REPORT

2019-20

After taking feedback from students' certain points were discussed and solutions were provided for them.

- Communication skills and soft skill trainings were given.
- The students were counselled for pursuing higher education and studies abroad.
- Teachers encouraged to visit library with students to improve reading habit.
- Bridge course is Introduced and incorporated to fill the gap between non-commerce plus two students and commerce based plus two students.
- Introduced Employability Skill based certificate courses.
- Regular monthly exams for improving writing skill.


## Analysis of teacher's feedback of 2019-20

## - Department of Management

| questions | satisfied | Neutral | dissatisfied | Total SCORE | AVERAGE <br> SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Learning <br> resources | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2.6 |
| Encouragement <br> for research <br> related <br> activities | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2.4 |
| Internal <br> assessment <br> practices | 3 | 2 | 13 | 2.6 |  |

## OVERALL AVERAGE SCORE = 2.53

## INTERPRETATION

The teachers are having a dissatisfiedn stand regarding all the criterias analyzed .The teachers need more encouragement in doing articles in research journals and need few more reference books in the department.

Analysis of teacher's feed back of 2019-20

- Department of commerce

| questions | Satisfied | Neutral | dissatisfied | Total SCORE | AVERAGE <br> SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Learning <br> resources | 10 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 2.66 |
| Encouragement <br> for research <br> related <br> activities | 8 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 2.53 |
| Internal <br> assessment <br> practices | 10 | 5 | 40 | 2.66 |  |

The workload is in comfortable zone.
Overall average score= 2.61

- Dept of Economics

| questions | Satisfied | Neutral | dissatisfied | Total SCORE | AVERAGE <br> SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Learning <br> resources | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2.6 |
| Encouragement <br> for research <br> related <br> activities | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2.6 |
| Internal <br> assessment <br> practices | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 2.2 |

The workload is normal
Overall average score $=\mathbf{2 . 4 6}$

Overall average score of the college=2.53

## Suggestions recommendations and action taken report 2018-19

1. In the light of the feedback given by faculties, the institute is in the process to increase number of books for variety of courses in the library. The faculties have already been asked to recommend books in the library.
2.Reguest for more digital devices in classroom for encouraging visual presentations of subject-academic council has recommended the same to college governing council.
2. To initiate more research works and paper presentations from the part of faculties.

## ANALYSIS OF ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2019-2020

Majority of the alumni students belongs to b.com cooperation. More than 50 percentage of alumni argue that the program offered by the college was highly demanded in the job market. Majority agree that the program had well structured. They also opine that the project was challenging and guide help them until final stage.

## 1.PROGRAMME COMPLETED FROM THIS COLLEGE

| COURSE | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| B.COM FINANCE | $18.3 \%$ |
| B.COM COMPUTER APPLICATION | $28.9 \%$ |
| B.COM COOPERATION | $36.8 \%$ |
| BBA FINANCE | $8.9 \%$ |
| BA ECONOMICS | $7.1 \%$ |

## 2. GENDER

| GENDER | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| MALE | $68.3 \%$ |
| FEMALE | $31.7 \%$ |

## 3. YEAR OF COMPLETION

| YEAR | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | $28 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | $24 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | $14.8 \%$ |

## 4.QUALIFICATION

| QUALIFICATION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| DEGREE | $68.3 \%$ |
| P.G. | $31.7 \%$ |
| MPHIL,PHD | $0 \%$ |
| OTHERS | $0 \%$ |

5. THE SYLLABUS WAS IN TUNE WITH NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL TRENDS. (CBCSS,CUCBCSS)

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $28.3 \%$ |
| AGREE | $51.8 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $10.2 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $3.8 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | $5.9 \%$ |

6. PROGRAMME OFFERED TO ME WAS DEMANDING IN JOB MARKET

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $43.9 \%$ |
| AGREE | $56.2 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | 0 |
| DISAGREE | 0 |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 0 |

## 7. THE PROGRAM HAD A WELL STRUCTURED AND ORGANISED SYLLABUS

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $16.2 \%$ |
| AGREE | $62.3 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $11.2 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $6.2 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | $3.1 \%$ |

## 8. THE INNER CONTENT IN EACH SUBJECT WAS RELEVENT AND UP TO DATE

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $28.9 \%$ |
| AGREE | $48.9 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $10.2 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $9.6 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 2.4 |

## 9. PROJECT WAS VERY CHALLENGING CONSTRUCTIVEs

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $33.8 \%$ |
| AGREE | $52.8 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $9.8 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $3.4 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | $0.2 \%$ |

## 10. MY INTERNAL GUIDE WAS GOOD SUPPORT TILL ITS FINAL STAGE

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $89.8 \%$ |
| AGREE | $9.2 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $1 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | 0 |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 0 |

## 11. OPEN COURSES OFFERED UNDER CBCSS WERE DIVERSE AND RESOURCEFUL

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $19.2 \%$ |
| AGREE | $49.9 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $15.3 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $9.8 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | $5.8 \%$ |

12. CODUCT OF EXAMINATIONS AND PUBLICATIONOF RESULTS WERE STRICTLY ACCORDING TO THE PREDETERMINED SCHEDULE

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $53.2 \%$ |
| AGREE | $33.2 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $11.2 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $2.4 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 0 |

13. CURRICULUM HAS ENHANCED MY COMPETENCY IN COMMUNICATION , CRITICAL THINKING, PROBLEM SOLVING AND CREATIVITY

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $49.3 \%$ |
| AGREE | $39.2 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $11.5 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | 0 |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 0 |

14. PROGRAMME WAS CAPABLE OF CATERING TO MY REQUIREMENT AT WORKPLACE.

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $43.2 \%$ |
| AGREE | $33.8 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $11.8 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | $10.2 \%$ |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | $1 \%$ |

15. OVERALL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT OFFERED IN THE CAMPUS WAS EXCELLENT

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY AGREE | $66.9 \%$ |
| AGREE | $38.8 \%$ |
| NEUTRAL | $8.3 \%$ |
| DISAGREE | 0 |
| HIGHLY DISAGREE | 0 |

## ACTION TAKEN REPORT

1. Alumni meeting should be conducted in every month.
2. Consider the opinion of alumni in different decision-making situation.
3. Start an initiative to improve the public speaking skill of students.
4. Alumni to be registered under Societies Act.

## DATA ANALYSIS REPORT OF EMPLOYER FEEDBACK 2019-20

MAJORITY OF THE EMPLOYERS ARE HIGHLY APPRECIABLE WITH THE WORKING SKILL OF EMPLOYEE. THEY MAINTAIN GOOD EMPLOYER EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP. THE EMPLOYYES HAVE GOOD TECHNICAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMMUNICATION SKILL. CURRICULAR AND NON-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY THE COLLEGE MAKE THEM FIT TO THE JOB.

1. HOW DO YOU RATE THE WORKING SKILL FOR YOUR JOB?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| HIGHLY APPRECIABLE | 45.6 |
| APPRECIABLE | 39.9 |
| AVERAGE | 10.7 |
| POOR | 3.8 |

2. DO YOU THINK THAT THE CURRICULAR AND NON CURRICULAR EXPERIENCE FROM THIS COLLEGE MAKES THE EMPLOYEE FIT INTO THE JOB?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| YES OF COURSE | 52.6 |
| AVERAGE | 33.4 |
| NOT AT ALL | 0 |
| VERY GOOD | 14 |

3. HOW DO YOU RATE HIS OR HER COMMUNICATION SKILL?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| EXCELLENT | 34.2 |
| GOOD | 5.9 |
| FAIR | 9.8 |
| POOR | 1.2 |
| VERY GOOD | 48.9 |

## 4. DO YOU APPOINT A SIMILAR PERSON IF A VACANCY?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| YES | 98.3 |
| NO | 1.7 |

5. ARE YOU HAPPY WITH THE EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| YES | 99 |
| NO | 1 |

6. DOES THE EMPLOYEE POSSES THE TECHNOLOGICAL SKILL REQUIRED FOR THE JOB?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| YES | 83.4 |
| NO | 16.6 |

7. HOW DO YOU RATE HIS OR HER EMOTIONAL STABILITY?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| VERY GOOD | 25.6 |
| GOOD | 66.2 |
| AVERAGE | 6.9 |
| POOR | 1.3 |

8. HOW DO YOU RATE HIS OR HER RELATIONSHIP WITH YOU?

| OPINION | PERCENTAGE OF RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| VERY GOOD | 73.2 |
| GOOD | 18.6 |
| AVERAGE | 7.4 |
| POOR | 0.8 |

## ACTION TAKEN REPORT

1. English language skill to be improvised.
2. Computer knowledge to be updated.
3. Students provide more practical activities and sense of punctuality to be instilled.
