

# WEST VIRGINIA CENTRAL NEWSPAPER

## YOU ARE READING HISTORY IN THE MAKING

### Careful Rep Tlaib You're Words Will Bite You in the Rear

By David Jolly - In the early colonial days in America, the British tyranny restricted what the colonists could and could not say verbally or in print. They were not allowed to say or write anything against the British crown or any of the British politicians and troops occupying colonial America. The suppression of free speech and press under British rule was the impetus behind James Madison penning the First Amendment, along with rest of the Bill of Rights.

The First Amendment reads:

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

The constitutional right of free speech was one of the principles in America for many years, until liberals, progressives and socialists began to assume the role of the British tyranny for the suppression of free speech.

Today, if one speaks out or writes against any minority, it's considered hate language and one could get arrested. If one speaks or writes against any religion, except Christianity and Judaism, it's considered hate language. If one speaks or writes anything against any of the LGBT issues, sodomy, adultery, fornication and similar perversions, it's considered hate language. If one teaches what the Bible says on many of these topics, it's considered hate language.

However, the same liberals, progressives and socialists (Democrats) stand behind the First American right of free speech to justify their condemning and bashing of heterosexuality, abstinence, traditional family standards and values. They also stand behind the First Amendment to blaspheme against God, Jesus, the Bible and Judaism.

This brings me to a piece of legislation and one of the newest members of Congress.

Sen. Joe Manchin (D-WV) has co-sponsored a pro-Israel bill with Sen. Marco Rubio, that would punish any company, business or corporation that joins in what is referred to as the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanction movement. This movement is spreading across the globe and is designed to keep as many companies, businesses and corporations from doing any business with Israel or investing in any Israeli-owned enterprise. It also calls for companies to divest any investments they currently have that are linked to Israel.

One of the newest members of Congress, Rep. Rashid Tlaib (D-MI) has blasted Manchin and Rubio for the bill. She tweeted: "They forgot what country they represent. This is the U.S. where boycotting is a right & part of our historical fight for freedom & equality. Maybe a refresher on our U.S. Constitution is in order, then get back to opening up our government instead of taking our rights away." First, I have to note that Tlaib is a Muslim with Palestinian ethnicity. One of the common sayings among Palestinians is 'from here to the sea' which is a direct reference to the total removal of Israel from the face of the earth.

Second and more importantly, if Tlaib wants to use the US Constitution as a defense for her opposition of the pro-Israel bill, then she is the one who needs a lesson on what the Constitution does say, especially the First Amendment. She needs to be asked if she and fellow Democrats support and push for hate language laws and restrictions that we are seeing invade America. If Tlaib is to be consistent with her argument, then anyone should be legally allowed to say what they want about LGBT, Islam and more. There shouldn't be any such thing as hate language, except that which promoted the overthrow of the government or a call to violence, such as that of Rep. Maxine Waters and other Democrats. We should be able to preach the Bible without fear of being sued or locked up.

Democrats like Tlaib have a bad habit of being completely contradictory between what they say and what they do and this is a classic example.

### The Absence of God Is the Big Issue

By Dr. Michael Brown - There's a reason that the first openly bisexual senator did not take her oath on the Bible. There's a reason that an atheist website rebuked me for "misgendering" someone. Simply stated, to embrace the God of the Bible means to embrace His standards. To reject the God of the Bible means to reject His standards. Conversely, to reject His standards is to reject Him. This is really not rocket science. Breitbart reported that, "Newly elected Arizona Sen. Kyrsten Sinema refused to be sworn in on a Bible, opting, instead, to place her right hand on a book of laws, including the U.S Constitution and the Arizona Constitution."

It is no coincidence that she is our first openly bisexual senator as well as the only member of the Senate who has no religious affiliation. This doesn't mean that she is a terrible person or that she has no moral values at all. And it doesn't mean that she cannot serve the government. Of course not. There is no religious test for leadership either way (in other words, you can't be disqualified for being a Christian or disqualified for not being a Christian).

But it does mean that her lifestyle and identity are at odds with the God of the Bible, hence her lack of religious affiliation and her refusal to swear in on the Bible. That is perfectly consistent.

Over at the "Friendly Atheist" site, a site which is certainly atheistic if not always friendly, Sarahbeth Caplin wrote an article titled, "Christian Writer Deliberately Misgenders Trans Woman to Defy 'Social Madness.'" She accuses me of "faith-based bigotry masquerading as Christian love." As for one of the websites which published my article, she said this: "The folks at Charisma are eager to start off the new year with yet more doses of the only things they have to offer: fear-mongering and anti-LGBTQ bigotry." (See here for my article.) The truth be told, it is not bigotry to affirm biological realities, and the average transgender activist does not have science on his or her side. (By this I mean that the average person identifying as transgender is clearly of one biological/chromosomal sex but identifies as the opposite.) Yet an atheist website that certainly claims to be rational and science-based embraces transgender activism with enthusiasm, vilifying those who reject it. Why? And why is it that, according to a Pew Research poll, 92 percent of American atheists support same-sex "marriage"? Or, according to this same poll, 87 percent of these atheists believe that abortion should be legal in most or all cases?

A recent article by Sally Hunt on the Friendly Atheist website critiques the video arguments of pro-life atheist Terrisa Bukovinac. Hunt writes, "I watched [the video]. I'm not convinced. And that's because it seems abundantly clear that the atheist 'pro-life' argument is identical to the religious one, except Bukovinac didn't invoke 'God' throughout the monologue. Both arguments are logically flawed, though. An atheist who opposes abortion essentially says Abortion should be illegal because of my feelings, while a religious person would say Abortion should be illegal because of my feelings, which also happens to be what God wants."

Obviously, Hunt misrepresents why Bible believing Christians so strongly oppose abortion. It's not that we have our feelings, which happen to be what God wants too. Instead, we are convinced by God and His Word that the baby in the womb is a real human being, and therefore we align our feelings with the Word. Hunt, however, rightly represents the atheistic worldview by stating, "Not every form of life is inherently precious, sacred, and valuable. That includes human forms of life." Indeed, she states, "To say otherwise is arguably a religious position. (It's religious people who believe we possess souls from conception thanks to God.)"

And with that observation, we come full circle to our premise: If we fail to recognize God and His Word, we will inevitably stray from biblical morality. We will reject the idea that He created us male and female. We will reject the idea that men and women are uniquely fashioned for each other. We will reject the idea that human life is sacred, beginning in the womb. And we will certainly reject the idea that human beings are created in the image of God, with all that implies.

That's why I have argued that the only type of conservatism that can bring lasting change to America is a biblically-based, God centered conservatism. All other efforts will fall short in the end. It is true that there "is far too much diversity among both atheists and theists to assume that they stand on opposite sides of any particular issue."

But it is also true that general patterns apply and that the worldview of an atheist will be very different than the worldview of a Bible-based theist. And that means that any true moral transformation in America will start with a "back to God" movement. It is the absence of God that is our greatest problem today.



## Logic

By Joe Ingino b.a.  
Editor/Publisher

*"I live a dream in a nightmare world"*

### The Big Shut Down

It is more like the big 'SHOW DOWN'. No matter where you stand on Trump. You have to give him credit for staying up for what he believes to be true.

He has done this time and time and time again.... Only to be

proven right and the country benefit two fold.

This recent government shut down in my opinion is nothing but a business decision on his end. Think about the billions being saved in payroll. Think of the money the government is saving every day that passes.

If Trump keeps holding out he may end up paying for the wall from the money he has saved by not paying the people. In turn those affected instead of being mad at Trump for shutting down the government will praise him as Trump will turn around and find a way to pin it on the uncaring deplorable Democrats.

The wall is needed as a reminder that freedom has a price. That America the land of the free is only free for those that live within it's borders....then again their freedom is primarily mandated by socio-economic status.

You have to give it to CNN 'the most unreliable news source', They are all bent out of shape, trying to pin the tail on a Russian donkey when they should really be worrying about the Chinese interfering in U.S. politics, economy and culture.

CNN obsession with Trump in a way kind of brings to question American intelligence. I do not mean IQ points.

If you think for a moment and the conspiracy theorist will love this one... but what if CNN is covertly working with the U.S. government in an attempt to wash the general public's mind in supporting Trump by dissecting every daily movement.

What if CNN by having on air every 30 seconds Trump name controls the minds of America to accept that of which they denounce... and by they I mean CNN. Psychologically the best way to control someone is by making the react to a stimuli.

What if by CNN denouncing every move Trump makes and enticing an opinion an emotion. They are planting psychological seeds in our brains in a way of mass mind control.

Crazy? Interesting!!!

Who knows... but is it impossible. In ancient times governments governed using force and fear. Fear through law fear through the church. In both cases the fear factor has been diminished due to science and education.

This leaving a population at large that is confused and lacks direction. This in part could be why we have so many opinion and very little resolve. It seems as we may cluster agree on things but know very little of the actual facts and event of any particular position.

If this stands to be true, then what guides us. Some still are guided by faith that gives them the moral fiber to maintain civility in our society. Others operate their existence on law. Law that holds them to abide by the world of law that was originally founded in religion of the land primarily Christianity.

In other words we are nothing but a sea of educated confused minds. Minds that can be easily manipulated to feel and believe anything within the guidelines of social norms.

You don't believe me.... take a look at yourself. Ask yourself. Are you a Democrat. A Republican. What are you.... Then ask yourself why you have those beliefs. Are they founded on real understanding of the particular political ideology. Is it a traditional belief inherited to you. Is it a feeling or emotion that you have towards causes and or events through history? Is it a stature choice? An institutional responsibility bestowed upon you?

What does it really mean to be a Democrat or Republican. Is it a type of genetic make up. Is it a level of education? A way of life? A social economic position?

I hope by now you are starting to get my point... The point being that most of us deem ourselves to be one or the other without understanding the root of the ideology... once we look at ourself we will begin to realize that we are a bit of both.

Now what makes us so passionate towards one and another... Well just that emotion. Emotions still by at times misconception and information that affects our current lives.

Then if this argument is to stand true.. One could say that media like the CNN of the world play a huge part in our belief and our outlook.

We must be careful as people not to be drawn into belief out of sheer emotion as history has taught us that is a dangerous path.

As an individual we must educate ourselves and not be drawn in by misconception as we are by the media. We must first understand ourselves and draw our own limits then educate ourselves on the realities around us.

That of which we do not understand can't be put off as truth based on hearsay and or others opinions.... We must make every effort to constantly change and adapt.

Trump in my opinion and this whole wall episode is nothing but a man that has a vision based on his understanding of the truth. He has proven to be what I described above a constant changing form. Even within his own party he has turned it upside down. The hard core democrat's mandate change out of emotion not rational. This is why it is so easy for Trump to roll them over. Some Democrats that have come to see this continually changing world have come to Trump. As the human mind is constantly evolving and change is eminent. In the case of Trump I believe that he has developed this technique through his years in business.

He is constantly adjusting as he can see that light at the end of the tunnel as a light of prosperity. This frightening many that fear the darkness or that do not share his conviction and or prior trial and tribulations.

Many oppose out of envy... and that is not healthy. Someone like Nancy Pelosi a politician with a huge chip on her shoulder. A person that has given up change and is polluted with hatred and committed to an ideology she herself does not fully understand.

## STONE HOUSE PLACE

In Bridgeport, Ohio

Affordable Housing for people 62 and older  
or those who are mobility impaired

\* One bedroom apartments-includes A/C, appliances, cable hook-up \* the building includes-elevator, laundry, outside covered picnic area, community room, service coordinator on site \* Rent subsidies available

\* Electric allowance included \* Small pets welcome

**WE ARE ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS**

at Stone House Place

54385 National Rd., Bridgeport

Please call or stop by for an application or more information

Office hours: 9 AM - 3 PM Mon - Fri

**(740)633-9929**

**Ohio Relay 800-325-2223**

sponsored by Lutheran Social Services of Central Ohio

