

PICKERING'S RACE FOR MAYOR HEATED UP AT A DEBATE
HOSTED THIS PAST WEEK - Durham 1st - AI Generated Analysis Based On Transcript

Disclaimer: The following analysis was performed without any input from this newspaper. The entire report was generated from the actual transcript of the event.

A Canada first right here. Have a read:

Seven candidates faced voters in a revealing forum, but three distinguish themselves through preparation, information and their understanding of Pickering's challenges.

By THE CENTRAL NEWSPAPER — Elections 2026 PICKERING — With the October 26 municipal election approaching, seven mayoral candidates faced questions about taxation, municipal spending, infrastructure, development, farmland preservation and the future of Pickering.

The all-candidates forum, organized by local community associations, provided an opportunity to examine something far more important than campaign slogans: whether those seeking the mayor's office understand the problems facing the city and can explain how they intend to solve them.

The participating candidates were incumbent Mayor Kevin Ashe, Doug Cornell, Janice Frampton, Zargham Rasool, Shaun Rickard, Lisa Robinson and Andrea Wood.

After reviewing the forum transcript, THE CENTRAL NEWSPAPER identifies Lisa Robinson, Doug Cornell and Kevin Ashe as the three strongest presenters, based on the substance of their answers, financial information, proposed solutions and demonstrated preparation.

These are editorial assessments of the forum presentations, not endorsements or predictions of the election outcome.

FIRST PLACE:
LISA ROBINSON — STRONGEST PRESENTATION ON ACCOUNTABILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Councillor Lisa Robinson delivered one of the evening's most detailed presentations, particularly when discussing infrastructure financing, municipal spending and public accountability.

Unlike candidates who concentrated primarily on general promises, Robinson identified specific expenditures and proposed measures to scrutinize them.

Discussing the city's infrastructure problems, Robinson stated: "The city's 2025 asset management plan identified approximately \$32.8 million annual shortfall."

She proposed prioritizing existing roads, bridges, stormwater systems and municipal facilities rather than automatically proceeding with new projects.

Robinson also committed to reviewing capital expenditures, coordinating construction projects, pursuing outside funding and requiring development to contribute toward infrastructure costs.

Her strongest point was the importance of maintaining existing municipal assets before taking on additional financial obligations.

On taxation, Robinson promised an independent financial review of city departments and major projects, together with closer examination of consultants, municipal credit-card expenses and discretionary spending.

Her message was unmistakable: "We need to live within our means and spend what we can afford because you taxpayers are not ATMs."

Robinson also challenged the direction of development in northeast Pickering and advocated protecting agricultural lands.

However, her presentation was not without weaknesses.

Her commitment to freezing the city's portion of property taxes raises questions about how infrastructure deficits and operating pressures would be financed.

Her allegations about waste, debt projections and municipal decision-making also require independent examination.

Nevertheless, Robinson distinguished herself through detailed proposals, familiarity with council decisions and the ability to identify particular financial concerns.

Editorial assessment: Best overall presentation for detailed policy proposals and accountability.

SECOND PLACE:
DOUG CORNELL — STRONG FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND PRACTICAL QUESTIONS

Doug Cornell emerged as another well-prepared candidate, particularly when examining municipal budgeting and the long-term consequences of spending decisions.

Cornell's strongest performance came when he challenged how the city has managed taxation and financial reserves.

Referring to a previous budget decision, he stated: "The money is gone and the bill arrives in 2027 after the election. Whoever is mayor will face it."

Cornell argued that using one-time reserves to restrain annual tax increases risks postponing financial problems rather than resolving them.

He also proposed an independent cost review of the planned Seaton recreation complex before tendering, including public disclosure of the findings.

His recommendations included a public financial dashboard showing debt, reserve balances and the infrastructure backlog.

These proposals offered residents something measurable: information they could use to examine municipal financial performance.

Cornell also emphasized farmland protection, infrastructure-first development and restoring agricultural opportunities in northern Pickering.

His presentation was strongest when discussing financial transparency and governance.

However, Cornell sometimes devoted more time to identifying problems than explaining how his administration would negotiate the changes required to solve them.

His suggested financial remedies also need supporting calculations.

Still, Cornell demonstrated an appreciation of the relationship between municipal spending, reserves, debt and future taxation.

Editorial assessment: Best presentation on financial scrutiny and transparent government.

THIRD PLACE:
KEVIN ASHE — STRONGEST DEFENCE OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Incumbent Mayor Kevin Ashe entered the forum with an advantage unavailable to his challengers: direct experience leading the municipality.

Ashe's most convincing answers concerned commercial investment, employment and expanding Pickering's tax base.

Rather than concentrating exclusively on reducing expenditures, Ashe argued that municipal financial stability depends on attracting more commercial and industrial assessment.

He offered figures comparing the city's residential and business tax contributions.

According to Ashe, approximately 81% of Pickering's property-tax revenue comes from residential properties, with 19% coming from commercial and industrial properties.

His proposed solution was straightforward: "The answer to this question is expanding the tax base."

He referenced commercial activity near Highway 407, including SmartCentres, Ace Bakery and FGF Foods, as examples of economic development.

Ashe also offered specific information about development charges, housing choices, public amenities and employment opportunities.

His argument that economic development could reduce pressure on residential taxpayers represented one of the more substantial policy discussions of the evening.

However, Ashe's presentation also invited scrutiny.

While defending the municipality's record, he frequently challenged other candidates' statements without always directly addressing their underlying concerns about spending and taxation.

His emphasis on economic growth would have been stronger with clearer explanations of how quickly new assessment could reduce financial pressure on existing homeowners.

Nevertheless, Ashe demonstrated knowledge of municipal revenue sources and the administrative challenges associated with growth.

Editorial assessment: Most informative presentation on economic development and municipal revenue.

OTHER CANDIDATES OFFER IMPORTANT PERSPECTIVES

Janice Frampton emphasized teamwork, responsible growth and farmland preservation.

Her argument that a mayor must function as the leader of a team was particularly relevant when candidates discussed strong mayor powers.

Frampton also advocated greater regional cooperation and raised concerns about provincial downloading of financial responsibilities.

Her strongest contribution involved protecting agricultural land and pursuing opportunities connected to food production.

Shaun Rickard presented a strong message concerning taxation, accountability and waterfront protection.

Rickard called for a forensic financial audit and promised to freeze the city's portion of

property taxes.

However, his presentation lost ground when he acknowledged that he had not given considerable thought to the question of complete, walkable communities.

That admission was candid but exposed an important gap in an otherwise forceful campaign presentation.

Andrea Wood offered a different perspective, emphasizing affordable housing, improved transportation, accessibility and cooperation.

Her background in corporate accounting strengthened her financial credibility, although her proposals generally lacked the specific figures provided by the leading presenters.

Zargham Rasool focused on affordability, agricultural preservation, responsible development and improving municipal services.

His concerns reflected issues raised throughout the evening, but his answers frequently lacked implementation details.

THE BIGGEST QUESTION: WHO CAN ACTUALLY DELIVER?

The forum demonstrated that nearly every candidate recognizes the same problems: increasing taxes, infrastructure pressures, congestion and public dissatisfaction with municipal decision-making.

The differences became apparent when candidates explained how they would address those problems.

Promises of zero tax increases sound appealing, but such commitments require credible financial plans.

Similarly, protecting farmland while accommodating population growth requires difficult planning decisions.

The strongest candidates were those who recognized these competing pressures and supplied specific information or proposed practical accountability measures.

The forum also revealed competing philosophies.

Robinson emphasized scrutinizing expenditures and changing municipal priorities.

Cornell concentrated on financial transparency and long-term fiscal responsibility.

Ashe defended investment, economic development and expanding the commercial tax base.

Each approach deserves examination beyond the campaign stage.

THE CENTRAL NEWSPAPER'S FINAL ASSESSMENT

The top three presenters were Lisa Robinson, Doug Cornell and Kevin Ashe, in that order.

Robinson stood out for detailed proposals and direct engagement with infrastructure and spending concerns.

Cornell demonstrated preparation and offered practical financial oversight measures.

Ashe brought experience and specific economic-development information to the discussion.

These rankings reflect the candidates' forum performances.

Financial figures and allegations quoted from candidates have not been independently verified for this review.

The ultimate question is not who delivered the most applause-generating statement, but who can turn promises into responsible municipal decisions.

On October 26, Pickering residents will determine who deserves that responsibility.

YOUR VOICE
YOUR COMMUNITY
YOUR PICKERING



ELECT
Nada RAJKUMAR
FOR CITY COUNCIL
PICKERING WARD 3
www.nadarajkumar.ca

ON MONDAY
OCTOBER 26
VOTE
FOR
REAL CHANGE.

I STAND FOR
RESPONSIBLE TAXATION - CAUTIOUS GROWTH - COMMUNITY SAFETY.

I AM NOT A CAREERED POLITICIAN.
I AM ONE OF YOU.
A HARD WORKING PROUD CANADIAN.

416-838-9616
AT YOUR SERVICE 24/7

HELP ME HELP YOU
MAKE PICKERING
A PLACE FOR ALL
TO CALL HOME.

Working for
Our Community...
Together for a
Better Ajax!



NABEEL CHOUDHRY
WARD 1  AJAX

PROMISE RESPONSIBLE TAXATION. NO INCREASES.

I AM ONE OF YOU. HARD WORKING FAMILY MAN
WITH YOUR BEST INTEREST AT HEART.
CALL ME. I AM HERE FOR YOU.

 **647-533-3921**



