

OSHAWA'S RACE FOR MAYOR HEATED UP AT A DEBATE

HOSTED THIS PAST WEEK - Durham 1st - AI Generated Analysis And Ranking - Based On Transcript



Disclaimer: The following analysis was performed without any input from this newspaper. The entire report was generated from the actual transcript of the event. A Canada first right here. Have a read: Editorial analysis — The Central Newspaper OSHAWA — Six mayoral candidates offered competing prescriptions for downtown decline, housing pressures and municipal spending at the Greater Oshawa Chamber of Commerce debate. Their answers exposed a central divide: whether change requires expanding housing and support services, tightening financial management, attracting investment or taking a harder approach to street disorder. Based on the supplied transcript, Derek Giberson delivered the strongest overall policy performance, followed by Jim Lee, Tito-Dante Marimpietri, Dave Westlake, Jeremy Large and Henry Onwuachu. This ranking weighs relevance, specificity, financial reasoning and explanations of implementation. It assesses the six participants' recorded answers, rather than predicting election results or judging visual delivery.

FIRST: DEREK GIBERSON Giberson most consistently connected problems with mechanisms for addressing them. On infrastructure, he cited the city's asset management plan and described a \$1.1-billion funding gap over a longer planning horizon. That was a more substantive answer than simply promising better management, although the figure remains a claim presented during the debate.

His homelessness approach emphasized supportive housing, existing funding programs and scaling up established community partners. "We need to move on the things that we know that work," he said. He also proposed converting downtown one-way streets to two-way operation, linking pedestrian activity with healthier storefronts. His argument gave voters a concrete change to evaluate. However, Giberson did not fully answer the opening request for one specific spending reduction. Greater transparency is valuable, but public consultation alone does not identify a budget saving. His strong performance also leaves questions about costs, timelines and his responsibility for decisions made while serving on council.

SECOND: JIM LEE Lee's strongest contribution was fiscal caution. He challenged promises of consecutive tax reductions and opposed using reserves to suppress immediate tax increases while infrastructure obligations remain. "We can't be taking money out of reserves to lower the taxes," he said. He also refused to commit to service cuts or expansions without a line-by-line audit. That answer lacked a headline promise, but demonstrated an appreciation of trade-offs. On homelessness, Lee advocated transitional housing and a committee involving downtown stakeholders, emergency services and elected representatives. His recognition that people need housing strengthened his answer. Nevertheless, another com-

mittee is only useful if it produces decisions, funding and measurable outcomes. His waterfront response identified access as a problem without explaining a clear solution.

THIRD: TITO-DANTE MARIMPIETRI Marimpietri made the strongest pitch around institutional experience, economic development and relationships with senior governments. He described partnerships intended to provide parking without leaving taxpayers to finance new garages and proposed improved waterfront access through a public boat launch. Those were useful specifics. However, several answers returned to experience, personal commitment and references to his website instead of explaining the requested policy. Asked which services he would expand, protect, redesign or cut, he described an audit and leadership process without naming the services. His infrastructure answer emphasized regional responsibilities but did not supply the requested backlog estimate. His performance conveyed ambition more

clearly than an executable programme.

FOURTH: DAVE WESTLAKE Westlake presented a forceful challenge to council's spending priorities, repeatedly distinguishing needs from wants. He proposed examining free downtown parking, moving council meetings into the evening and declaring a downtown emergency upon taking office. His proposed task force had a deadline, giving his approach more structure than an open-ended review. But his promise to reduce taxes across four years was not accompanied by a sufficient explanation of replacement revenue or spending reductions. His suggestion of tapping reserves also required clearer safeguards. Safety rankings and project-cost assertions in his remarks need independent verification before being treated as established facts.

FIFTH: JEREMY LARGE Large offered some of the evening's most specific ideas: residential solar installations, rent-to-own housing, pedestrianization, tram connections and municipal commercial space supporting smaller businesses. Specificity, however, did not establish feasibility. His solar proposal depended on securing a \$100-million interest-free federal loan. Housing and transportation proposals needed credible capital budgets, operating plans and approval pathways. His closing attack on Marimpietri also displaced time he could have used to explain those gaps.

SIXTH: HENRY ONWUACHU Onwuachu emphasized manufacturing, youth employment, safer streets and support for businesses. His proposal to finance facade improvements and recover

costs through taxes over approximately 25 years provided a concrete financing concept. However, repeated pledges to cut funding to services associated with drug use did not identify the programmes, establish municipal authority or explain replacement treatment and housing arrangements. His permit-processing answer also stopped short of clear public reporting targets. The debate's strongest answers explained how change might happen. None of the candidates fully resolved how competing priorities would be funded while protecting services and addressing the infrastructure pressures they described. Across the field, voters still need costed commitments, firm timelines and public measures of success.



READ

#1

DURHAM'S

NEWSPAPER

www.OCentral.com

Serving Durham For Over 30 Years



WAYNE ELLIS
RECOGNIZED BY
CANADIAN
OWNERS AND
PILOTS
ASSOCIATION
FLIGHT 70

The directors award was presented to Wayne Ellis at the October 1st meeting of the Canadian Owners and Pilots Association Flight 70. This trophy was given to Wayne for his outstanding 23 years of service as Treasurer of COPA Flight 70. Congratulations to a truly outstanding individual.

LET ME BE YOUR VOICE FOR

SCHESHAWNA

LEMAIRE

CITY COUNCILLOR

WARD 5 OSHAWA



OCN PROUDLY ENDORSES
THIS CANDIDATE

Being a resident of Ward 5 for the past 51 years, I have seen many economic and social changes within southern Oshawa.

As your City Councillor for Ward 5, I will work to strengthen community programs that support families, seniors, and youth.

I respect the efforts made by those serving. **BUT IT IS TIME FOR CHANGE.**

✓

A NEW VOICE THAT CARES ABOUT YOU.

✓

WITH YOUR HELP WE CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE FOR EVERYONE.

✓

NO MORE ECONOMIC SUFFERING. NO MORE EXCUSES FOR FAILURE.



Please get involved by volunteering, ordering a sign, or donating to our community through my campaign. Please call me any time.



905-441-7953



scheshawnaward5@gmail.com



VOTE

HENRY

FOR MAYOR OF OSHAWA





(289) 913-6331