



The Central Newspaper

Durham's Top Columnist

— Well Written, Well Read, Time Tested And Proven —

★ #1 Newspaper Across Durham Region ★

Champion of Freedom of Speech and Access To The Press.

LOGIC



According to AI -The phrase: **"I live a dream in a nightmare world"** was coined by Joe Ingino, a Canadian editor, publisher, and local media figure based in Oshawa, Ontario. He regularly uses this specific statement as a personal tagline and signature for his published newspaper columns and social media posts. **INGINO MAKES HISTORY**

"I live a dream in a nightmare world"

Always Remember That The Cosmic Blueprint Of Your Life Was Written In Code Across The Sky At The Moment You Were Born. Decode Your Life By Living It Without Regret or Sorrow. **- ONE DAY AT A TIME -**

TWO ZEROS EQUAL ONE... ONLY IN CANADA

By Joe Ingino BA. Psychology
Editor/Publisher Central Newspapers
ACCOMPLISHED WRITER/AUTHOR OF OVER 800 ,000

Are we not only becoming a third-world country, but also a nation with no real leadership qualities? You look at the Feds, and it does not matter who is doing the driving — the Canadian quality of life is not improving. Then there is the sunken NDP with their "we are the people" rhetoric, and the PCs, whose leader cannot even win his own riding.

Locally, so far for Mayor of Oshawa, we have Tito-Dante Marimpietri and Jim Lee, another fellow council person. Tito has been in politics forever and a day, but what has he accomplished?

How has he contributed to the quality of life in Oshawa? What is his biggest accomplishment? If zero comes to mind, you are not too far from the truth. I openly asked him to please disclose his accomplishments during his terms in office.

Then you have Jim Lee. He won an election and has done nothing for the people in his ward — and I am one of them. I have never seen him either at my residence or at my office. But I did see him at a hearing with the former mayors, manager, and their brown-nosers friends attempting to attack a local small business. It appears that this first-time councillor sold his soul to the "good old boys club" and thinks he has a chance at the big pay increase. Here is a guy who, in my opinion, does not need to be in politics. He is not a leader, but a good follower. Then again, we just finished two terms with a former drug addict and homeless mayor.

Look at our downtown. It is a reflection of the type of leaders we elect to office. Now, don't get me wrong. I have nothing against either man. I think their efforts as elected officials were wasted. I had great expectations for Lee, but he sold out and became just another municipal shadow at City Hall.

He accomplished nothing for his constituents in his ward. Taxes kept going up. Quality of life keeps going down. Where was Lee, at least making it look like he cared? Any town hall meetings? No. Any attempt at working with those in the community who have real hands-on experience with the real issues affecting his ward? No. Just another big fat "zero." Then, for those mathematicians among us, we taxpayers have to choose from two zeros to make one leader. Is there anyone out there from outside council who can take the helm? Have we not learned that when we keep voting from the same public toilet, we get shitty leadership? Is our downtown not a testament to this failure to vote someone in from outside the municipal toilet bowl?

Then we complain when our taxes go up every year. We complain when we cannot pay them. Don't blame them. Blame yourself for voting in by-products from the same public toilet, then wondering why you keep eating shit. It is your fault. We keep paying for their bad decisions, yet we expect different outcomes.

People, wake up. We have a very unique opportunity to make a difference this year. It is up to every single taxpayer to vote for candidates who are not incumbents. They had their chance and have proven that they failed to improve our quality of life.

Vote — and vote for real leadership. Soon coming.

JOE INGINO

Proud Member of :

- Royal Canadian Legion #43**
Member since 2014
- MASON LODGE No 649**
Oshawa Member since 2016
- Moose Lodge 2132**
Oshawa Lodge 2132/WOTM Chapter 1759 Member since 2015
- WING 420**
Member since 2017
- WE ARE OSHAWA**
Member since 2015
- ★ **Wheeling and Area Chamber of Commerce**
Member since 2000
- ★ **Weirton Chamber of Commerce**
member since 2000
- ★ **Wetzel County Chamber of Commerce**
member since 2000
- Former Kiwanis Westmount (Oshawa)**
- Former Kinsmen of Oshawa**
Member 2015 - of Courtice 2015, Secretary 2017
- Former Courtice Lions Club**
 - Member 2015 (Director)
 - Member of fundraising committee 2017
 - Chair of Membership 2017
 - Vice President - 2017-18
- Oshawa Naval Veterans Club**
Honorary 2025
- C.O.P.A. Canadian Owners and Pilots Association**
Member Since 2026
- City Of Oshawa Mayoral Candidate**
2014, 2018, 2022.
- City Of Oshawa Mayoral Candidate**
2025, Member of Provincial Parliament candidate for **The New Blue Party**

JOE INGINO IS A PROFESSIONAL JOURNALIST & ACCOMPLISHED WRITER/AUTHOR OF OVER 800,000 Published Columns in Canada and The United States



Alberta Separation and the Shadow of Foreign Influence

by Maj (ret'd) CORNELIU, CHISU, CD, PMSC
FEC, CET, P.Eng.
Former Member of Parliament
Pickering-Scarborough East

One of the more sensitive — and increasingly unavoidable — questions surrounding Alberta separatism is whether foreign actors could exploit, amplify, or influence the movement for their own geopolitical or economic interests.

There is, at present, no public evidence of a coordinated foreign conspiracy directing Alberta separatism. Most grievances expressed by Albertans are real, domestic, and rooted in longstanding political and economic frustrations within Canada itself.

To dismiss the movement as "foreign manipulation" would be both inaccurate and politically counterproductive.

However, modern geopolitics teaches an important lesson: foreign powers do not need to create divisions to exploit them. They merely need to magnify existing fractures.

Canada is not immune.

Around the world, democratic societies have experienced attempts by external actors to influence public opinion, deepen polarization, weaken institutional trust, and encourage fragmentation movements. Examples range from a complexity of Western and Russian disinformation operations in Europe and the United States to foreign online interference surrounding Brexit, Catalonia, and various populist movements across the West.

The strategic logic is straightforward. Large, resource-rich, politically stable democracies become weaker when internally divided.

In Canada's case, Alberta is not just another province. It is central to:

· continental energy security, · agricultural production, · petrochemical supply chains, · pipeline infrastructure, · and North American trade networks.

Any prolonged constitutional instability involving Alberta would inevitably attract international attention and potentially foreign opportunism. Several possible vectors of influence deserve serious consideration.

First is information warfare.

Social media ecosystems allow foreign actors to anonymously amplify anger, conspiracy theories, anti-federal narratives, or anti-democratic sentiment. Algorithms reward outrage. Polarization spreads rapidly. Small fringe narratives can suddenly appear mainstream through coordinated amplification campaigns.

Canada has already witnessed foreign interference concerns related to elections, diaspora communities, and online influence operations. It would be naïve to assume that separatist tensions would be ignored by hostile or opportunistic external actors.

Second is economic influence.

Global energy competitors may quietly benefit from Canadian paralysis. If Canada remains internally divided and unable to build pipelines, export infrastructure, refineries, or coherent energy policy, competitors gain market share.

The irony is profound: while Canada debates how to restrict or delay its own energy sector, geopolitical rivals aggressively expand theirs.

Third is political fragmentation itself.

Foreign governments often prefer dealing with weakened or internally divided democracies because fragmentation reduces strategic coherence. A Canada consumed by constitutional disputes becomes less influential internationally, less economically competitive, and less capable of projecting unified national policy.

This does not mean every separatist argument is illegitimate or manipulated. That would be irresponsible and unfair to many Albertans who sincerely believe Confederation is failing them. However, it does mean Canada must approach the issue with maturity and vigilance.

There is another danger as well: the temptation by political actors to weaponize accusations of foreign influence domestically.

If every Western grievance is casually dismissed as foreign-backed extremism, Ottawa risks deepening alienation even further. Citizens who already feel unheard will become even more distrustful if their concerns are portrayed as disloyal or externally driven.

Democracies weaken when governments stop listening to legitimate regional frustrations. At the same time, separatist movements themselves must exercise caution. Once movements become emotionally charged and digitally mobilized, they can attract extremist elements, conspiracy networks, and opportunistic outside actors who care little about Alberta but much about destabilization.

This pattern has appeared repeatedly in international politics.

The solution is therefore neither paranoia nor complacency.

Canada requires stronger democratic resilience:

· improved transparency regarding online influence campaigns, · better civic literacy, · stronger national institutions, · more balanced regional representation, · and a renewed sense of national purpose. Most importantly, Canada must reduce the conditions that make fragmentation narratives attractive in the first place.

Countries confident in their institutions and fairness are harder to destabilize.

The deeper issue remains domestic, not foreign.

Albertans are not imagining their frustrations. Western alienation has existed for generations. Economic grievances, regulatory tensions, and regional political imbalances are genuine policy issues requiring serious national dialogue.

Foreign actors can amplify a fire.

However, they cannot ignite one where no combustible material exists.

Ultimately, the Alberta debate is less a story about foreign influence than about Canadian cohesion. External interference becomes dangerous only when internal confidence has already weakened.

Canada's greatest protection against foreign manipulation is not censorship, fear, or political labeling. It is national unity built on fairness, mutual respect, and economic realism. It is creating a federation where every region believes it has a meaningful stake in the country's future.

Let's hope that the Canadian political establishment finds a way to safeguard the unity of Canada in a very dangerous geopolitical environment.