

# Canada's Chilling Next Step: MAID for Babies

by Anna Farrow

Most Canadians disagree strongly with the concept of euthanasia for babies. We know this because every time the topic comes up, the public's response is one of instant horror. So why does it keep coming up?

The issue first surfaced in 2022 when Louis Roy of the Quebec College of Physicians (CMQ) appeared before Parliament's Special Joint Committee on Medical Assistance In Dying (MAID). The committee was examining plans to expand MAID beyond terminal illness to cover cases of mental illness as well as to accommodate advanced requests and mature minors. But Roy's advocacy went even farther. He also suggested MAID could be considered for "babies from birth to one year of age" who are born with severe deformities or disabilities.

The public response was immediate shock. On CBC Radio, federal disabilities minister Carla Qualtrough snapped, "There is no world where I would accept that." The reaction was sufficiently negative that even pro-life activists assumed it was a dead-end issue.

This past September, however, several international media stories on Canada's MAID program have re-ignited the baby MAID debate. A long feature in the magazine The Atlantic headlined "Canada is Killing Itself" compared Roy's baby euthanasia proposal to the policies of Nazi Germany – an argument that caused instant outrage among pro-MAID lobby groups. Then the British newspaper Daily Mail asked the CMQ for an update on its stance and was told the organization now believes "medical assistance in dying may be an appropriate treatment for babies suffering from extreme pain" and that "parents should have the opportunity to obtain this care for their infant."

Clearly the issue has not gone away.

Since it was legalized in 2016, more than 76,000 Canadians have died via MAID. In 2024 alone, it was responsible for 16,499 deaths, accounting for over five percent of all deaths nationwide and up nearly seven percent over the previous year. The reason for this increase is that what was originally promised to Canadians as a strict, end-of-life option for the terminally ill now includes a much broader range of options, including "Track 2," for which imminent death is no longer required.

Against this backdrop, infant MAID cannot be considered an outlier. It is one more step down the slippery slope that many critics warned about back in 2016.

As The Atlantic article pointed out, the Netherlands is unique among western nations today in allowing doctors to euthanize newborns deemed to be in "hopeless and unbearable suffering." Yet some Dutch ethicists point out that since babies cannot describe pain, doctors have to infer their degree of suffering, which is clearly an imprecise and highly subjective determination.

As for the historical parallel that so enraged MAID supporters, it is entirely accurate. The first organized government program of euthanasia for disabled infants was created by Nazi Germany in 1939. In that year Adolf Hitler approved the killing of a single severely disabled infant at his father's request. This one "mercy killing" case eventually metastasized into the infamous Aktion T4 program which was responsible for the murder of approximately 250,000 disabled children and adults by 1945. The creator of Aktion T4, Hitler's personal physician Karl Brandt, was executed after the Second World War for crimes against humanity.

It is important to remember that MAID for infants is categorically different from all other forms of officially sanctioned euthanasia. In every other example of this policy, both current and proposed, it is possible to ask the patient if they willingly consent to the procedure. A baby cannot consent to ending its own life. Instead suffering must be guessed at. It is also possible that parental distress or the anticipation of future burdens might influence the final decision.

When pressed, the CMQ has stated that MAID is "not a matter of politics, morality or religion, but rather a medical matter". This is clearly wrong. Ending the life of a disabled child, regardless of their degree of suffering, is undoubtedly an issue of morality. And it must be treated as such.

As for why MAID has proven to be so persistent in Canada, it is necessary to understand the missionary-like zeal of its promoters. Consider the comments of Jonathan Reggler, a retired Vancouver Island family physician and active MAID provider, in the recent Atlantic essay. While he acknowledges moments of discomfort when performing Track 2 MAID cases, he explained how he is able to set aside any moral quandaries: "Once you accept that life is not sacred and [not] something that can only be taken by God, a being I don't believe in – then ... some of us have to go forward and say, 'We'll do it'."

It is this "do-it" attitude of Canada's MAID movement, which regards life as fundamentally unsacred and unworthy of protection, that explains the practice's growth to date. And threatens to put the lives of future innocent babies at risk. Unless, that is, Canadians wake from their slumber and finally say, "No. Don't do it."

# "MOVING SALE"

## ALL FRAMED ART 70% OFF

Take advantage of this unbelievable sale now



**Tuesday - Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm, Closed Sunday & Monday**

922 Simcoe Street N., Oshawa | (905) 433-0928  
[www.kaysplaceartandgifts.com](http://www.kaysplaceartandgifts.com) | [ilovecollectables@hotmail.com](mailto:ilovecollectables@hotmail.com)

**Kay's Place**  
 Collectables, Framing, Artwork & More!

## Region 5% hike in 2026 utility bill

Durham Regional Council has approved the 2026 Water Supply and Sanitary Sewer User Rates, with a combined increase of 5 per cent, or approximately \$5.24 per month for an average household. The 2025 water supply and sanitary sewer user rates were also raised by 5 per cent. The region also proposes to raise by 4.8 per cent the 2026 property tax.

"I totally disagree with the Durham Region increase that was by-lawed on Wednesday regarding water and sewer rate increases," said Regional Councillor John Neal from Oshawa Ward 1. U

"It is unacceptable to the homeowners of the Region of Durham to increase water and sewer rates, and by the way, the increase is well above the rate of inflation. With so many homeowners that are facing unreasonable property tax increases both at region and city, and now this increase which is on top of the property tax increases," said the councillor. "This will affect renters and business owners right across the Region of Durham, who are just trying to survive in this economy," Neal added. A Region of Durham statement said the increase supports accelerated infrastructure needs to meet provincial housing targets, ongoing maintenance and operation of the water supply and sanitary sewer systems, and several major projects including upgrades and expansion of the Whitby Water Supply Plant, and upgrades at the Corbett Creek and Courtice Water Pollution Control Plants. The approved user rates will take effect on January 1. Continued investment in the aging municipal water system is required to protect public health and the water environment to meet obligations under the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Ontario Water Resource Act. The region said the Durham water and sanitary sewer rates are below the average rate for large Ontario municipalities and are reviewed on an annual basis, with recommendations made to regional council in December. "Safe drinking water and the protection of our water environment are essential to daily life. Continued investment in these efficient and effective regional services ensures we deliver safe, reliable, and financially sustainable solutions for our residents and growing communities as mandated by the Province of Ontario in the Clean Water Act," said John Henry, Regional Chair and CEO.

Proposed 2026 Durham Property Tax Increases

Durham Region: 4.8% (including DRPS)

City of Pickering: 3.49% own portion

Town of Ajax: 1.28% own portion

Town of Whitby: 3.49%

City of Oshawa: 3.98% own portion

Municipality of Clarington: 3% (own portion 0.99%)

Township of Scugog: 4.12% own portion

Township of Brock 4.87% (own portion 3.79%) - Township of Uxbridge: 1.28% own portion. The above tax increases may have to be approved or may automatically come into force.