11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	-	342
1	MS. EISENKOWER:	(Providing.]
2	THE COURT:	Is this the one
3	that indicated sexual abuse?	
4	MS. ÉLSENHÓMER:	No. That's
5	just à referral.	
6	MA. GREEN:	Hold on here.
7	She said she sent a report to the pro-	secutor
6	MS. EISENHOWER:	Hm-hm.
9	HR. GREEN:	op here.
10	"No evidence."	
11	THE COURT:	So you talked
12	to her about this letter. Do you have	e any objection
13	to him talking to her about this lett	er?
14	HR. GREEN:	Excuse me, your
15	Konor. I was told the record was sen	t to the
16	prosecutor.	
17	MS. EISENHONER:	Your Honor?
18	THE COURT:	Go ahead,
19	MS. EISENHONER:	That isn't that
20	(indicating). This is the letter she	sent
21	(indicating).	
22	MR. GREEN:	This states it
23	was sent to you.	
24	HS. EISENHOWER:	To the
25	perpetrator.	
	<u>.</u>	
	,	

		34
	THE COURT:	Okay. She jus
got done in	dicating	
	MS. EISENHOWER:	No.
	THE COURT:	Stop.
	MS. EISENHOWER:	Your Ronor
	THE COURT:	She just got
done indica	ting that there is an al	leged sexual abus
and this is	the letter that's sent	to the
brosecutor,	s office.	
	MS. EISENHOWER:	Hp-hm.
	THE COURT:	Is that right?
	NS. BISENHOWER:	Hm-hm.
	THE COURT:	Well
	MS. GISENHOWER:	But when she
says "they	got a letter indicating	abuse, that's to
the Sadows	tys. This is this (indic	ating).
	THE COURT:	Okey, I'm
going	•	
	MS. BISENHOWER:	It's two
diffecent (hings.	
	THE COURT:	1 understand -
	MS. EISENHOWER:	Yesh.
	THE COURT:	I understand
she sont o	ne to the prosecutor, and	that's this one
and admit of	-	

	· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ì		344
ı	MS. EISENHOWER:	Har-han.
2	THE COURT:	sent one
3	Over	
•	MS. EISENNOWER:	Right.
5	THE COURT:	to the
6	MR. GREEN: .	Sadovskys.
7	THE COURT:	family
8	member. That's this one (indicati	ng).
9	MS. EISENHOWER:	Hm-hm.
10	THE COURT:	I don't have
11	any problem letting you talk to he	r about this, these
12	two letters, sure.	
13	MR. GREEN:	Okay. Just
14	these two? Because the I'm try	ring to get into the
2.5	whole thing.	
16	THE COURT:	That's fine.
17	(Whereupon, the further folio	owing proceedings
18	were then held in the presence of	the Court, the
19	Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant	t.)
20	BY MR. GREEN:	
21	Q Wiss Carchedi, if you know, is the	is the letter you
22	sent?	
23	A we send like I said before, th	is is what we send
24	to	
25	THE COURT:	A littie

louder, please.

THE WITNESS:

3 The enforcement -- there a -- there's a law

1

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

51

22

24

25

1

2

5

7

8

9

11

14

18

19

20

enforcement letter that goes on the top of this -- this •

5

page, actually Page 2. But what happens is, this is -this gets sent over to -- um, when we have an indicated or

substantiated abuse allegation, we do send over to the prosecutor and they get, us, a copy of it. Also, Montville

Police would also get a copy.

THE COURT:

The letter that

you talked about just before?

THE WITNESS:

Yes.

Okay.

THE COURT:

Okay.

14 MS. EISENHOWER:

That's what's

sent to Montville and --

Montville Township Police would have gotten a copy of this letter, along with -- as it says down there, along with the county prosecutor.

And you indicated that you did so on that.

20 Correct.

I think it's about February 25th. Would that be

right?

23 Correct.

Okay. And in there, did you not refer to -- in the

second sentence in the paragraph of the summary --

No. I did not.

her mother, correct?

Never did.

3 I watched a -- his videotage that was done by, um,

4 Montville Police.

And you used that in your report?

6 Yes.

> Okay. In that wideotape, or that information you received, Mr. Wood indicated problems between SEEPACRED, and

10 Um, I believe he might have mentioned something about

12 And did you substantiate that?

that during his interview.

13 No. I -- I -- I never saw Mrs. Sadowsky. She knew

nothing about what was occurring towards her daughter.

15

16 She seemed compliant. She did everything I asked her 17 to do immediately.

Om, I -- I did not see Hrs. Sadowsky not comfortable. She was great with her daughter the, um, entise time.

21 Okay. Now, you sent this letter to the Sadowskys, 22 correct?

23 Yes.

24 And in there you say, I guess, nothing

25 indicated -- 1

"Indicated" means something's been reported to you, right?

No. It -- it means -- we have three different things how we can do this. We can, you know, substantiate, we can indicate --

slow. You talk so fast.

THE WITHESS: I'm sorry. I'm 30

Įtalian.

THE COURT: I'm Italian,

too. It's my heritage as well. You still need to

We have, on, unsubstantiated, we have indicated, and

"Indicated" is used when we truly believe something happened. We, um, may not have a -- a perpetrator admit that he did anything wrong. This is, generally speaking, in physical neglect and sexual abuse but, um, we still believe that this happened.

did not occur at all; there is nothing indicated, um, definitely where our agency does feel that the allegation this child is making is truthful.

Hm-hm.

- 41d - 12H

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-- is that your writing or is that someone else's?

Um, what I say is, there was not any evidence to

support the allegations of sexual abuse. But I did

indicate -- I do believe what skepaciful told me, um.

indicate it in -- I -- when I stated the reason I didn't

wholeheartedly: I think that she was honest and truthful

wasn't like I had Mr. Wood saying he did it. I didn't have

Who did the report? You did a whole report, right,

Um, that's -- it Stays in the Medina County Job and

Family Services records. Um. I -- I don't know who would

come in and do -- I mean, I suppose there's people that

department. I don't distribute that report to any -- my

reports to anybody other than what I just showed you.

come in and do discovery on -- through our legal

Did you interview Mr. Wood?

about what happened and -- but there wasn't -- um, it

anything other than the child telling me what happened.

No, that would have been mine.

What did you say?

on your intake report, your -- "

-- assessment and everything?

Who's it distributed to?

Okay.

Right.

-- right?

THE COURT:

Really, really

348

slow down.

we have substantiated.

And "unsubstantiated" is when we believe that it

24

5

was it?

7 8

9 10

11 12

14 15

13

16 1T 1.5

19 20

21 5.5

23 24

25

5 7

8

1

Z

3

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21 22

23 24 25 Correct.

You worked with Officer #cCourt --

And there was a complaint. It wasn't for rape,

I -- I -- 1 don't know. .

-- in this case,

Do you recall what it was? Was the complaint gross sexual imposition?

Well, not really. We don't -- don't make, like, a police -- it's very different. What we get is -- um, it's not really saying what the complaint is. It's not a Complaint. What comes into us is the concern -- the concerns. I can't --

Obviously, I don't have SMEDACTED in front of me, but the concerns with the sexual abuse Issues, usually a child is disclosing information, and we come out and we assess the situation and interview children, and things like that. We don't actually have -- like, what we say is, "Oh, this is -- "

I don't make a determination if it's cape, or what it is. I -- all I will do is determine if the child needs to be interviewed and what services the child needs to be -- to remain in a safe environment.

MR. GREEN:

Judge, I'd like

to have these documents marked as Defendant's

351

THE COURT:

Louder.

He didn't give me any details. ٨

Do you recall what he reported to you? ٥

On, I recall him saying that he aubmitted some A sheets. Un, I'm not real sure about clothing. He might have said something about her clothing. And I know they walted a very long time to find out, and I believe that it dign't come back and that they were -- there was an -- I'm not sure. I never followed up on it.

But my last conversation with Officer McCourt was that there was no --

> Objection, your MS. EISENHOWER: Honor. It's hearsay on top of hearsay at this point. She's repeating information from Officer McCourt that he received from someone else.

You indicated THE COURT: there was nothing there, right? Is that right?

> THE WITHESS: THE COURT:

Okay. That's what he

Yeah, that's --

said to me.

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS:

Your next

question.

BY MR. GREEN:

Did you get any other reports? I'm trying to gather

exhibits.

1

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

L 5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT:

Sure.

(Whereupon, a letter to Scott Sadowsky from Andrea Chrysler, Supervisor, Medina County Job and Family Services, dated February 25, 2005, was then marked as Defendant's Exhibit A for purposes of identification.

Whereupon, a Medina County Job and Family Services document from Tricia Carchedi, dated 2/28/05, was then marked as Defendant's Exhibit B for purposes of identification.)

DY MR. GREEN:

When you put that summary together, you had information from -- I think I heard you say, from Akron Children's Hospital --

Yes.

-- right?

You had information from the Bureau of Criminal Investigation?

Um, no. I didn't actually have a report from them. I only had what Officer McCourt was reporting to me.

He reported that to you?

Yes. Not in detail, but he gave me a brief symppsis that he had submitted, stuff -- stuff like that. But he didn't --

up all that you had.

No. I don't believe so.

Just your interviews? 3

Correct.

Your observation of a statement by Mr. Wood?

Cotrect.

Akron Children's Rospital? Q

Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

17

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you had a report from Patrol Officer McCourt?

Well, I don't have a seport from him, but I've spoken ta him.

Well, he reported to you. That's what I mean.

Correct.

Correct? Q

Correct. 15

In that fair? 16 Q

Hm−hm.

There's nothing else you can think of?

Um, not that I can think of. 19

You didn't talk to 4 therapist?

No. I don't believe I ever asked to actually talk to

Dr. LeSure. I, um -- no. I don't believe I spoke to Dr.

leSure.

THE COURT:

You're almost

352

whispering.

353 THE WITNESS: l'm sorry. 1 2 I don't believe so. 3 THE COURT: You're 4 whispering. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll yell. No. 6 7 THE COURT: You're killing 8 me up here. 9 I don't believe that I talked to Dr. LeSure. 10 Q. How did you know about Dr. LeSure? 11 Because I had talked to Mrs. Sadowsky and, um, made the recommendation that \$800ACTED see Dr. LeSure and that he 12 13 -- she informed me that she had made an appointment for Doctor -- for SPEDACTED to go and see Dr. LeSure. 14 15 Okay. 16 MR. GREEN: That's all the 17 question I have, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Miss 19 Eisenhower? 20 MS. EISENHOWER: I have nothing 21 further, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Hey, you're 23 done. Z 4 THE WITNESS: l'm sorry. 25 THE COURT: Is this your

first time ever testifying? 1 THE WITNESS: Not -- only Common Pleas. But I get yelled at in Juvenile Court 3 for not talking up, too. I mean, I --5 THE COURT: Now you're getting yelled at by a big judge. 6 7 Yeah, I know. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Look, look, B look. I will talk 10 THE WITNESS: 11 louder. 12 THE COURT: You need to 13 speak more forcefully. You're doing okay; you're 14 doing okay. Your heart's in the right place, I know 15 that. 16 Go ahead, you can go home now. We're done. 17 Thank you. 18 Any further witnesses for today, Miss 19 Eisenhower? 20 MS. EISENHOWER: No, your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 Folks, let's see each other tomorrow morning at 24 9 o'clock. Setween now and then, do not discuss 25 this case among yourselves or with anyone else.

Do not begin to form or express an opinion about the matter until you get all of the evidence, you get your instructions of law; and you begin your deliberations. Rosemary, lead them.out, please. I'll see you all tomorrow. (Hearing adjourned.) _ _:_

1 (CONTINUATION OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2 ABOVE-CAPTIONED CASE ON THURSDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 2006, COMMENCING AT APPROXIMATELY 9:00 A.M.) 3 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. You can all come in and be seated, folks. 6 We are back on the record in Case Number 06 CR 7 Ð 365. There are six stages in every jury trial. The 9 10 third stage is the presentation of evidence. We've 11 been engaged in the presentation phase really from 12 the second day of trial. We have heard from 13 witnesses from the State of Ohio, and we've had 14 cross-examination from counsel for the Defendant 15 on every one of those witnesses. We're going 16 to continue with the process today as I ask 17 Miss Eisenhower who the next witness is going to 18 be. 19 Ma'am? **2**0 MS. BISENHOWER: 21 Robyn Spencer.

354

356

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20 21

19

22 23

24

25

22

23

24

25

355

THE COURT:

THE BAILIFF:

way, ma'am. My bailiff is going to sweat you in. Raise your

We would call

Come on up this

right hand,

25

2

3

•

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

14

15

18

21

23

24

25

Whereupon, the State of Ohio, to further maintain the issues to be maintained by them, called one ROBIN SPEELMAN, fka SPENCER, who, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT:

Come on up and

have a seat, malam.

MS. SPEELHAN:

(Complying.)

THE COURT:

State your

name; and spell your last name for my court reporter, please.

MS. SPEELMAN:

Robyn Speelman,

5-p-e-e-1-m-a-n.

THE COURT:

I'm sorry, I

called you by the wrong name.

MS. SPEELMAN:

That's my

maiden name.

THE COURT:

What's going to

happen is, Miss Eisenhower is going to have some questions for you, and then Mr. Green will have some questions for you.

MS. SPEELMAN:

All right.

THE COURT:

The most

important thing is that the woman drinking out of the cup in the back can hear you, so you've got to keep

359

Yes.

All right. Do you know Frank Wood? Q.

Yes, I do.

All right. And how do you know Frank Wood?

He is my ex-husband.

Q All right. So you were married to Frank Wood. When were you married to Frank Wood?

We were married May 12th of 2000.

And how long were you married to frank Wood?

We were married until we were divorced January -- the end of January of 2002.

All right. And tell me, where did you live when you

13 lived with frank Wood?

> We lived -- first we lived on North Jefferson in Medina, and later we moved out to Chippewa Lake.

16 And the time period that you lived in Chippewa Lake,

17 when was that?

It was sometime prior to October of 2000.

19 All right. Now, who lived with you when you lived in

Chippewa Lake? 20

It was frank, myself, and Kar, Jane, and Harry.

How old was Kinemat at the time? 22

At that time, she was four.

All right. Now, you got divorced from Frank Wood.

Was there any kind of a settlement?

your voice up.

Miss Eisenhower, you may proceed.

DIRECT-EXAMINATION

BY MS. EISENHOWER:

You just got married recently, didn't you?

Yes.

1

2

3.

4

9

A couple of weeks ago, in fact.

В A little over a month ago.

A month ago. So your new name is Robyn Speelman?

LO Yes.

And up until that point you were Robyn Spencer? 11

12 Yes.

13 Okay. Tell ma, do you have children?

14 Yes, I do.

15 And how many children do you have?

16 λ I have three.

17 And their names?

King. Jane Harris. 18

19 Q Okay,

24

25

Ktawim is the oldest child. 20

How old is she?

Ken. 22

23 When's her birthday?

REDACTED _ _ is when she was born.

So she just had a birthday?

1 No. We pretty much went our separate ways; my stoff

was mine, his was his, and paternity of Hemorne had been

3 done.

2

Okay. Now, was there a question as to paternity of

5

6 λ No, there wasn't.

All right. 7

We had a paternity test, and Frank Wood is not her

9 father.

10 Well, why don't you cell me a little bit about how

11 you met Mr. Wood.

He built my parents' deck for their house. 12

13 And how is it -- did you live with your parents?

14 No, I didn't.

15 Okay. Where were you living at the time?

16 At the time while he built the deck, I was living in

17 Canton.

18 With who?

With my ex-husband. 19

20 Okay. And did you come into Medina to see your

21 parents?

22 I came to visit for Thanksgiving.

All right. And how did you meet Frank Wood in that 23

way? 24

25 I met him through my mother.

A Ke had already been done working on their deck. My brother Ryan actually worked with Frank.

He was working on their deck?

Q And when you met him, you were still married at the time?

A Yes, I was.

And you began a relationship with Frank Wood?

A Yes, I did.

Q All right. And at the time you left your husband,

10 were you pregnant with --

11 A I don't think I was.

Q All right. And apparently it was established that

Frank Wood was not the father of that child?

14 A Yes.

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

19

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

Б

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

22

23

24

25

Q All right. Now, were there any financial settlements

as a result of the divorce?

17 A No, there was not.

18 O Okay. At some time during -- or after your divorce,

was Frank Wood helping you pay your bills?

20 A He helped me pay my car insurance.

Q How much was that a month?

A It was around -- between nimety-four and minety-six

23 dollars.

Q Whose idea was that?

A He had offered it. We know that I needed some

363

were then held at sidebar out of the hearing of the Juross.)

THE COURT:

Go ahead.

MS. EISENHOWER:

Your Honor, I

believe that this is going to fit the excited utterance exception.

THE COURT:

We haven't

gotten that yet.

MS. EISENHOWER: This was the

first initial disclosure that she ever made about

this incident to anybody.

THE COURT:

Okay. As it

stands right now, I don't know how I'm going to rule.

Why don't you --

strike that question.

MS. BISENHOWER;

I can just

16

THE COURT:

Okay.

|Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.)

BY MS. EISENHOWER:

Q I'm going to strike that question and rephrase it for you.

Did there come a time where Remark came to you and had a conversation with you about Frank Wood?

assistance at that time, and he had offered to do it.

Q All right. How long did he do it?

3 A Not more than six months.

O Diay. So he paid your car insurance for a period of time?

362

A Hm-hm, yes.

7 Q All right. Now, did there come a time after you had

separated and divorced Frank Wood and you were -- where

9 were you living?

10 A In Brunswick. I had my own apartment.

Q All right. Did there come a time where your daughter

12 Newson made a disclosure to you:concerning Frank Wood?

A Yes.

11

13

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

12

14

16

17

16

20

23

14 Q What was that?

15 A This was -- this was at the end of the summer of

THE COURT:

2004.

17 Q The end of the summer of 2004?

18 A Hm-hm.

Q fell me what she told you.

20 A She cold me --

MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT: Basis?

MR. GREEN: Heartsay.

Come on up.

364

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings

A Yes.

2 Q And can you describe for me her state at the time she

3 | was having that conversation?

A She was upset.

5 Q Was she crying?

6 A She did start to cry as she was talking to me.

? Q Was she shaking?

8 A Yes, the was.

9 Q And in your mind, was she visibly upset about what

10 she was telling you?

· 11 A Yes, she was.

Q And what did she tell you?

A She stated to me that he had touched her.

MR. GREEN: Objection, your

I'm gaing to

15 Ronor.

THE COURT:

sustain the objection. Your next question, please.

O As a result of what she told you about Mr. Wood, what

19 did you do?

A I did not do anything at the cime.

21 0 Why not?

72 A Because we were all still grieving over my dad dying,

we were both very close to him, it was -- we were still

24 trying to neal from that.

25 Q Any other reasons?

ADMINISTRAÇÃO

- 1 We were moving on with our life. We were at a place where things were just starting to move in a very right and 2 3 a very good direction for us.
 - You didn't want to go backwards?
 - No. I did not want to go backwards.
- were you concerned about King as a result of what
- she told you?

8

17

25

1

2

3

4

11

16

18

24

- 9 Tell me, even though you were concerned about Manager,
- 10 why you didn't report it.

Yes, I was.

- 11 Because this is revisiting my past, which I have worked through and had gone beyond. 12
- 13 So you didn't want to go back there?
- 14 I did not want to go back.
- 15 All right. Now, later, did there come a time where
- 16 you heard that Mr. Wood was under investigation?
 - Yes, there was.
- Okay. And tell me how you heard that. 18
- 19 A bank employee from where I used to work had
- 20 contacted my mother, and that's how I heard about it.
- 21 And as a result of you learning that information,
- 22 what did you do?
- 23 I -- first of all, I spoke to my now-husband, and we
- 24 made the decision to go to Children's Services.
 - You didn't go to the police?

367

- When you say "anything else" --
- I didn't want to go after Frank. I just wanted help for Manner. Because the way she was feeling, I can't help
- All right. 5

her.

- So why --
- When you say you "didn't want to go after Frank," 8 what do you mean?
- I didn't want anything to do with that. I wanted 9
- 10 nothing to do with him. I don't want -- I did not want him
- All right. And you told Det. Kollar that? 12

to go to joil. I wanted help for Kenman.

- Yes, I did. 13
- 14 And that was the reason you didn't report it
- initially? 15
 - λ Yes, it is.
- Okay. Did you ever have any conversation with Frank 17 Wood about what Kimer had told you?
- 19 No, I had not.
- Now, when you were living in Chippsys Lake, you 20
- lived there a couple of months or half a year, or something 21
- 22 like that?
- It was, I think, a little less than half a year. 23
 - Okay. Did you ever see any pornography at the house?
- 25 Not at the house, no.

- No, I didn't.
- You want to Children's Services?
- Yes, ma'Am.
- Okay. And what was the purpose of you going to
- Children's Services?
- Because I wanted to get professional help for House.
- 7 All right. So you went to Children's Services with KPPATTO?
- 9 Yes, I did.
- 10 And what happened when you went to Children's
- 11 Services?
- 12 Both the gentlemen there at Children's Services and
- Det. Kollar interviewed myself, $K_{i}^{\underline{m_{i} + m_{i}}}$, and one of my other 13
 - children.
- 15 for what purpose?
- To pretty much hear what happened to Kimerou and to 16
- 17 see if my middle daughter $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{u}}^{n+m}$ had had anything happen to
- 18 her.

14

- 19 All right. Now, when you were sitting there with
- 20 Det. Kollar, did you talk to Det. Kollar about what you
- 21 wanted or what you didn't want to see happen?
- 22 Yes, I did.
- 23 Tell me what you told him.
- I wanted help for K . I did not want anything 24
- 25 else.

2

3

368

- Okay. Did you ever see any pornography in Frank's 1
 - possession while you were married?
 - Only once.
- Okay. And what kind of pornography was it? In what 4
 - form, I quess?
- It was just a magazine.
- All right. Now, when you lived in Chippewa Lake, did 7
- Frank have a workroom of sorts or ~~
- It was upstairs.
- And what do you know of the room? Was that --10
- 11 It was a summer home, so:the room was upstairs, it
- was separated into two rooms. There were -- it was almost 12
- like an attic space, but you could walk up there. There 13
- 14 were beds in one room and then beds in another.
- And did Frank spend a lot of time up in that coom? 15
- 16 Usually just in the evenings, doing drawings.
- Okay. Did you observe -- you had three children at 17
- 18 the time.
- 19 Α

21

24

- 20 Did you observe any difference in the way he treated
 - one child over the other?
- 22 No. I didn't.
- 23 And did he have time alone with the children?
 - A lot of times I was home.
 - Okay. Did he display any kind of difference -- did

MS. EISENHOWER:

All right.

4

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

12

33

15

18

further, your Honor.

6

THE COURT:

Thank you very

I have nothing

369

տաշհ.

Sir.

MR. GREEN: 9

Good morning,

Ms. Speelman.

THE WITNESS:

Good morning.

I'm Harrison

12 MR. GREEN:

Green. I'm the attorney for Frank Wood. I just want

14 to go over a few questions with you.

15

THE WITNESS: Okay.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GREEN:

When you got involved with Frank, you were still

married to this --

20 Yes.

-- gentlemen in Canton? 21

I call bim a gentleman; I'm not sure.

23 Yes.

All right. And there were issues at that time about

custody of children?

Yes. He was with me when I did.

He worked with you? ٥

A (Witness modding head affirmatively.)

And isn't it true that there were problems even with

your family regarding the custody of Warmany

What happened is, my parents had quardianship from

the time I was nineteen, which in the State of Florida is different. They had it for health insurance purposes --

9 All right.

10 -- and that pretty much had expired. And we had that

11 overturned in Florida.

When did that take place?

Which part? When I had it overcurned?

Yes. When you -- when you received custody of KL. 14

She was in my custody. But I had -- the Florida

16 quardianship was overturned in -- I believe that was in

17 2001.

Weren't there a lot of fights with your parents over

19

20 Yes.

21 There were a lot of actions brought, civil protection

22 orders --

23

25

Yes.

-- brought by you and by Mr. Wood? 24

Yes.

371

Was this all over K[-- TO ?

2 A lot of it was because my mother and I didn't get

3 along. We didn't see eye to eye. Some of it I -- from

what I recall, had to do with the children; they ware

concerned about them.

And you weren't married to -- were you were married

to Frank? Or you said -- it looks like about eighteen

monthe?

Ատ−հտ.

10 How long -- how much of that time did you live

11 together?

We lived together from December of '99 till either 12

13 March or April of 2001.

14 Okay. So these was -- during the term of the

marriage --15

Hm-hm.

17 -- there was a considerable time you were not living

18 together?

16

19

For the end of it?

Aight. 20

21 Yes.

22 You say March, then you indicate that your divorce

23 was January.

24 Of 2002.

20027 25

Okay. Your children are all from the -- from your 14

When I went through the divorce at first with my

ex-husband, my first husband, there were issues at that

He was there with me while they were resolved.

Dkay. He even paid for the attorneys, didn't he?

And I think there were some legal actions even in

The only thing is, we got divorced, and my other

time, but they had all been resolved.

And Frank Wood helped you?

15 husband that was in Canton?

divorce was in Canton.

And in Canton?

My first child - Kermer - her dad had separated and 16 17 left before she was born. So June and Hearth are both my

18 ex-husband's children.

Yes.

Yes.

Florida?

So Kermine is another husband's child?

20 No. A

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

19

25

1

Or you weren't married? 21

22 No.

23 I'm sorry. I didn't know that.

24 No.

So he helped you get custody?

```
Yes.
 1
            So almost ten months?
     Α
            So you only lived together, if I'm hearing you
      correctly, for a little over a year?
            Hm-hm.
 7
     Q
            During that time, you've indicated that Frank didn't
 8
      pay any particular attention to any one of your children?
 3
            Na.
10
           Was he good to the children?
11
            He was good to them.
           And, in fact, wasn't he your birth coach during the
12
     birth of --
14
            ~- H
15
16
           He was at all the appointments and everything for my
17
      daughter Home, and he was there when she was born.
```

Okay. And you brought up this magazine that you

And do you recall what magazine you saw?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAW.

was.

Α

Hpm – հոր,

When was that?

That was while we lived in Medina on North Jefferson. It was one night, just walking into his office, there he

375

I do not. ì 2 Was it Playboy? I -- I cannot say. Okay. I don't know. 5 6 Did you look at the magazine? I'm sorry? 8 Did you look at the magazine? 9 No. I looked down, saw what it was, and I left the 10 FOOM. 11 So when you say "pornographic," you don't know what 12 the magazine contained? 13 I did not look at it. Now do you know it was pornographic? 14 15 Because he was fondling himself when he was looking at it. 16 17 That tells you it was pornographic? 18 That, in my mind, would be a pornographic magazine. 19 Do you recall when in time this took place? 20 It was -- what I recall is when we lived in Medina 21 City on North Jefferson. 22 Okay. 23 Because it was in the laundry room. 24 This was December of '997 25 No, it wasn't. It was -- it was right before I had

Here's, and I had Here's in August of 2000. 1 When did you move to Chippewa Lake? 2 3 It was sometime in October, if I recall, of 2000 and -- no. It was 2000, because How was just little. 4 And when did you -- and you got -- when did you get 5 6 physical custody of Kimerous I know she didn't come to you 7 right away. В No, she didn't. She was with my mother. 9 Is that like --I don't remember exactly. 10 <u>l</u> 1 Could It have been September of 2000? 12 It was before we moved to Chippewa. It was probably 13 at the end of the time we lived in Medina. 14 **Q** So it could be late September? 15 I can't remember exact dates. I just know it was 16 before we moved. 17 When you -- and even when xforto was finally taken by 18 you, your parents - your mother, anyway - maintained 19 certain visitation rights? We had a visitation -- a tentative order that finally 20 21 was written up and agreed upon between myself and my 22 parents. 33 Now, you've mentioned your brother Ryan. 24 How-hom. 25 He's a -- he's a Spencer, right?

376 Ł ٨ Yes. 2 Q And Ryan worked for Frank when you first met? 3 A When I first met Frank, yes. But he didn't continue to work with him much longer, 5 did he? No, he didn't. 6 Did you have trouble with Ryan? 7 We both had trouble with Ryan. Ħ 9 Okay. I want to know about your trouble with Ryan. Q 10 Well, pretty auch --11 MS. EISENHOWER: Objection, your 12 Hanar. 13 THE COURT: I'm gaing ta 14 sustain the objection. Your next question, please. 15 Okay. Did Ryan ever molest you? 16 MS. EISENHOWER: Objection, your 17 Honor. 10 Νo. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 You're to digregard. You indicated that you and Frank had trouble with 21 22 Ryan. 23 Yes. 24 You brought a civil protection order against Ryan? 25 Yes.

2

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

16

10

21

23

24

25

MS. EISENHOWER: I'm going to object, your Honor. I objected the first time he asked about trouble with Ryan. I'm going to continue to object to that line of questioning.

THE COURT: Yes. Unless you can give me some idea why you're going this way, I've got a problem, I guess, with it.

Come on up.

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held at sidebar out of the hearing of the Jurora.)

THE COURT: Mr. Green. 1 don't know where you're going. The fact that you asked her whether she was molested, that's not good, because that would have nothing to do with this case. Why would you ask that question? MR. GREEN! Why did I ask

that question? Because I believe she has a prior prejudice.

THE COURT: About Ryan? MR. GREEN: No. About this type of conduct. THE COURT: I didn't like

that. That wasn't right.

MR. GREEN:

25

1

If she was THE COURT: molested by Ryan wouldn't -- what other reason are you going into the Ryan issue? That's the other thing I need to know. I didn't want to limit you, because I believed it had to do with the issue of Karren. I thought you were going to ask if Ryan Ryan molested this witness, no. I'm not going to allow it.

MR. GREEN: Okay. THE COURT: "Did Ryan ever

"Did you ever ask Ryan?"

have sex with Remove ?"

I have no problem with regard to that, but I'm not going to allow you to ask the witness those questions.

MS. EISENHOWER: My issue with that question is, I don't believe -- there's no basis for that question. There's never been an allegation that that happened.

> THE COURT: Do you have a

basis for the question?

MR. GREEN: That was

information given to me by my client.

THE COURT: There you go.

379

377

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurois, Counsel, and the Defendant.)

THE COURT:

Go ahead, sir.

I'm sorry.

BY MR. GREEN:

On the civil protection order that you sought, you and Frank sought, did that involve North?

A She was included as a person protected under the order, Also.

10 Okay. And that was for -- let me understand the 11 parties you were seeking the protection from.

From my parents.

From your parents only?

14 Huan∽han.,

Okay. 15 Q

I think I had one -- Ryan was involved only because

17 he was living at their house at the time.

And there was an issue regarding Ryan and R____ --

19 No, there was not.

20 -- at that time?

No, there wasn't.

22 All right.

MR. GREEN:

Just one

second.

THE COURT:

Sure.

(Whereupon, a discussion between Attorney Green 2 and the Defendant was then held out of the hearing of the court reporter.] 3

You indicated that Mr. Wood paid your car insurance for you, helped you out.

Yes.

4

5

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

How did he find out about having to help you out?

He and I pretty much either -- we saw each other around town. . He had been in the Auditor's Office, there was a property he was building a house on, and he filed a destruction of property for structures that were destroyed before. So I mean, just casual conversation in between.

13 And you let him know that you needed help?

14 Yeah.

15 And he helped you out?

16 He did help me out.

17 And you say for about six months. When did that

terminate?

It was somewhere in the beginning of 2005, because [took him off my policy.

That was why he was able to assist you with it, because he was on your policy?

Yeah. He was listed under my policy.

24 It wasn't because he stopped paying you?

No. I took him off my policy because I'm the only

381 one that had authority on my policy. 1 Would be that be maybe in May of 2005? 3 I believe it was before that time. How much before? 5 I can't tell you an exact date. It was before that time. 7 Q Did he tell you why he wasn't going to make payments anymore? 9 No, no, not at all. 10 He didn't tell you it was because he needed to help 11 pay for Danielle Sadowsky and her children? I have no idea who that is. I have no idea. 12 13 Did you ultimately bring a complaint against Frank Wood? 14 15 I'm sorry? 16 Did you bring a complaint against Frank Wood on 17 behalf of your daughter? I went to Children Services. 18 19 O That's the only place you've said anything about 20 this? 21 Yes. 22 MR. GREEN: That's all the 23 questions I have. 24 THE COURT: Thanks very 25 much.

1 Miss Eisenhower, 2 REDIRECT-EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. EISENHOWER: On cross-examination, Mr. Green asked you if Frenk wood had helped you pay for an attorney in your original divorce --7 Hon-hon. Ħ -- in your divorce before Frank. Yes. 10 Did he help you pay for an attorney in your divorce 11 from him? 12 No, he did not. 13 Did you have an attorney? 14 I did. 15 And who was it? 16 Jim Palmquist. 17 And who was Mr. Wood's attorney? Mr. Stanley, right there (indicating). 18 19 I have nothing MS. EISENHOWER: 20 further, your Monor. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Sir. 23 MR. GREEN: Nothing 24 further, your Monor. 25 THE COURT: Ha'am, you can

382

383 step down. Call your next witness, please. See Actual MS. EISENHOWER: THE COURT: . KALEE SPENCER: Ri. THE COURT: My bailiff Christine is going to swear you in, okay? That means you need to raise your right hand, Whereupon, the State of Ohio, to further maintain the issues to be maintained by them, called one Kennes Skelacase, who, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. That is the microphone, and this is what you need to kind of talk into. Do you see that lady? She needs to hear you, so do you want to see if you're close enough? Just say "hello." KIMPAYEE SPEDACTED; Hello. THE COURT: Good. Hy name is Chris Collier. What's your name? KENNAME SEEDATIED; KENT SEEDWIED. THE COURT: Oh, you've got to be a little louder than that.

1

2

5

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

384 KENATRO SENDACTED; K SEPREMENTED 1 Spell your last THE COURT: 3 name for my court reporter. NEW SEROAUTED: s-REDACTED . 4 5 THE COURT: Very nice. 6 What's going to happen is, Ms. Bisenhower is going to stand up and is going to ask you some questions, and when she's done asking you questions, 9 Mr. Green is going to ask you questions as well. 10 Okay? KIND S PEDAC NUMBER 11 (Nodding 12 Affirmatively.) THE COURT: 13 Oh, it's really 14 important that you actually speak. KENNOON): 15 Oh, sorry. 16 THE COURT: So speak out 17 loud. My court reporter can't take down what you're 16 saying unless you say it out loud. So here we go. Okay. Ma'am, you may proceed. 19 20 DIRECT-EXAMINATION BY MS. RISENHOWER: 21 22 How old are you? 23 7ел years old. 24 Oxay. And what's your birthday? REDACTED 25

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

- and I think you are - you've got to rely on her to give you testimony that's truthful.

MS. BISENHOWER:

Well --

THE COURT:

And, "No, I

don't remember," is, "No, I don't remember." And you saw her difficulty in doing this.

My other problem here is, this is a hard thing for her to do, and I certainly don't want to put her . in a bad situation while this is going on in such a manner. I heard what I heard.

Your Honor, may MS. EISENHOWER: I recall her and ask her if she remembers telling someone about it? Because she's on tape telling people about it. She's discussed it with her therapist.

THE COURT: That's wonderful, except we've got a trial today, and this is where it matters. She can talk to the man in the moon, but unless she gets on the stand and says, "Yeah, I remember doing it," and, "I remember this man doing it to me," we don't have anything. She can talk to anybody in the world about this, but -- and if she's spoken to a therapist about this, good, that's good for her therapy. If she's talked to friends, that's good for her if she's able to express

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

19 20

21 22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

to do?

her feelings about it. But we've got a trial today, and she has got to be able to present this in such a way to the Jury; that is, she has to say, "Yes, this thing happened." What I'm hearing her say is, "No, it didn't happen."

If she doesn't remember anything, she doesn't remember. I'm not privy to all of the things you are privy to. I know what I saw, and that was a girl who for - and I'll tell you - at least fifteen seconds didn't answer the question at all; and then second, a girl; when you asked her the question directly, almost in a way to get her to -- to lock her in, she says she didn't remember. I know that's what I .wer

And that's not her fault. I'm not blaming anyone. It's not your fault. It's just that's what you have sometimes, and that's what I was looking ac.

So if you want to take a break, if you want to talk to her and calm her down, that's fine. I'll see you in a little bit.

[Recess taken.]

THE COURT: Miss Eisenhower, have you thought about what you're going

391

MS. EISENHOWER: I would obviously like to recall her.

If you're not going to permit that, I would like to recall Robyn Spencer to identify Frank Wood, and then my next witness would be Dr. LeSure, who was treating both of these victims, who is going to outline her diagnosis and --

MR. GREEN: Judge, I can't hear her with all the background noise.

THE COURT:

All right.

MS. EISENHOWER: Dr. L+Sure is going to outline her treatment of them, the histories they both presented, and the diagnoses and the treatment that they have been given.

I would request that we play the interview that was done with Hamming Spandscreb, that was done at Job and Family Services by a Job and Family Services social worker.

THE COURT:

Who was present

20 during that interview?

> MS. EISENHOWER: David Madrich

5.5 and Det. Kollar.

Were you in the room?

THE COURT:

You can't do it

for the same reason. The Crawford case seems to

indicate to me, unless a social worker is doing this for the purpose of diagnosis and treatment, is part of the treatment team; it doesn't come under the diagnosis and treatment portion. But I'll listen to those witnesses if you want to put them on the stand and tell me the circumstances that surrounded that.

I'll see if we can get you your file. You're going to need a good five, ten minutes to look at that?

MS. EISENHOWER: Yes, your Honor, I am going to need a few minutes. I would --I can give it to her now.

THE COURT: Why don't you do that, and why don't we come back in ten minutes and find out where you're at.

MS. EISENHOWER: So again, 1 quess I would request that i be able to recall Kirker. THE COURT: Okay. Here's my question for you. If you recall her and you ask her, "Do you remember this," and she says "No," we're done, okay? We're done. We're done. I'm not going

to let you go through with her, "Yes, you do remember. Do you remember this? Do you remember this?"

Row old is shep

385 You just had a birthday? 1 What? 3 Q You just had a birthday, right? Yeah. Did you have a party? 6 Sort of. 7 Sort of, ckay. What do you have with you? By Teddy Bear - Ted. 10 All right. Who lives in your house with you? 11 My mom's new husband Eric, my mom, my two sisters and Heavent, and my pets. 12 13 Oh, your pets? (Witness modding affirmatively.) 14 What kind of pets? 15 16 Three cats, one fish. 17 One fish. Do they get along with the cats, the fish? Q. 18 Sort of. 19 Sort of, buh? All right, Was there a time where your dom was married to 21 Frank Wood? 22 A Yes. 23 All right. Do you remember when that was? Q 24 Do you remember how long ago? A while ago? 25

(Witness modding affirmatively.) 1 Okay. Do you remember -- you have to speak up nice and loud. Yeah. There you go. All right. Do you remember where you lived when you lived with Frank Wood? Yeah. Where? 10 Once in Chippewa. 11 Well, all right, let's talk about Chippewa. Did you 12 live close to the lake in Chippewa? 13 Yeah. 14 Did you like that? 13 It was all right. Okay. And Mr. Wood lived there with you, right? 16 17 Hm-hm, yes, 18 Okay. When Frank Wood lived there with you, do you 19 remember a time where you would talk to him in the upstairs 20 room? 21 No, I don't think so. 22 MR. GREEN: Judge. 23 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 24 MR. GREEN: Can Miss 25 Eisenhower move just a little bit?

387 THE COURT: 1 You can't block his view. Z 3 MS. EISENHOWER: Oh, okay. I'll 4 stand over here. 5 MR. GREEN: And I'm a 5 little concerned about the approach here, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: l don't care. 8 BY MS. EISENHOWER: 9 Do you remember a time where you and Frank were 10 together upstairs in the house in Chippewa? 11 No. 12 Okay. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. You 14 can step down. Thanks very much. :5 THE WITNESS: Oxay. 16 THE COURT: We're going to 17 take a break. Don't discuss the case among 18 yourselves or begin to form or express an opinion 19 about the matter until you get all the evidence, you 30 get your instructions of law, and you begin your 21 deliberations. 22 We'll see you in about ten minutes. Thanks very 23 அம்கள். 24 (Whereupon, the Jury exited the courtroom and 25 the further following proceedings were then held in

the presence of the Court, Counsel, and the 1 Defendant.| 3 THE COURT: You can't get that from her. She's not going to be able to do it. 4 5 She can't do it. She has 6 MS. EISENHOWER: typically exhibited some reluctance initially, but 7 then has actually been able to speak about what в 9 happened. 10 THE COURT: She doesn't 11 remember being in the room with Frank Wood that's the 12 site of this crime. If she doesn't remember it, she 13 doesn't remember it. I'm not going to have you -- I 14 mean, I'm not going to have you push the girl into 15 something like this. I mean, it's just not right. 16 You got what you got. 17 1 have MS. EISENHOWER: 18 Dr. LeSure, who indicates that every time -- I mean, 19 that's her initial response every time when it's 20 discussed. 21 THE COURT: and so then 22 which one does the Jury believe, when she says "no" 23 or when she says "yes"? That's my problem. My 24 problem is that's where we are at. I mean, if you're 25 relying on her to give you testimony that's truthful

388

Just turned

ten.

ļ

5 6 7

1

2

3

7 8 9

10

12

15 16

14

17 18

20 21

22

19

23

25

4 5

7

Ð

1

Z

11 12 13

10

17

19

15

16

20 21

22

23 24

25

MS. BISENHOWER: She just turned

. ·

THE COURT:

ten. She's got to be able to -- you've got to take her testimony. I mean, some people can't do this. Maybe she can't do this. And that's okay.

But my point is -- here, let's do this. I'll give you the file, take ten minutes, talk to Dr. LeSure, see how you're going to do this. I'll listen to your request to put her back on the stand again for the purpose of asking her that question and we'll see where we're at and we'll go from there.

All right?

MS. EISENHOWER: All right.

(Recess taken.)

THE CODRT: Miss

Eisenhower,

MS. EISENHOWER: I want to recall **Market*. I have spoken to her, she is willing to come back on the stand and talk. But, to be very frank with you, Judge, she indicates to me that she is too frightened to talk, but she is willing to say that is Frank Wood and that she has talked to Dr. LeSure about what happened, but when I begin to ask her the next question, she says, "I am too afraid

to talk.

1

2

3

5

7

₿

9

10

11

12

33

14

15

16

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. EISENHOWER: So I would like

to put her up there.

THE COURT: You have what you have. You know, I'll permit you to recall her. I quess what I don't want you to do is, I don't want you to testify for her. 'I'm fearful of that.

On the other hand, you know, I'm trying to get

-- I'm more inclusive than exclusive. I don't want

to -- I called a halt to it mostly -- well, not

"mostly." I called a halt to the testimony for her.

It seemed kind of difficult for her.

MS. EISENHOWER: Judge --

THE COURT: I don't want to put her in an uncomfortable position.

MS. EISENHOWER: I'm telling you that I've made a pact with her to ask her those two questions, and then she has said to me, "I'm too afraid to say anything else." That's all she's going to say, and for her mental well-being, that's all I'm

THE COURT: And I

appreciate that.

going to ask her.

MS EISENHOWER: Yes, your

395

Honor.

THE COURT: I know how difficult these case are: We'll see what we can do.

MS. EISENHOWER: All right.

THE COURT: . Good. Well. :

let's get started and see how much we can get

through.

MR. GREEN: Just for the

record, we'll object to the recalling.

THE COURT: I understand.

She's a ten-year-old girl. I'm going to let her talk, but obviously the leash is short.

There's a couple of things. I'm concerned for your client, your side. I'm not going to let Miss Eisenhower testify for her. That's important.

And I don't mean that you would, but I know what it takes to try one of these cases. And they are hard,

And so the second thing is, I think Miss

Elsenhower has called it just right. I mean, let's

see what this girl will say, and I'll give you an

opportunity to cross-examine her and we'll go from

there.

So I will note your objection to my ruling.

MR. GREEN:

in.

Thank you.

Bring the Jury

396

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.)

THE COURT: There we go.

We are back on the record in Case Number 05 CR 0365,

State of Ohio Versus Frank Wood.

Miss Eisenhower.

MS. EISENHOWER: The State of Ohio would like to recall $\mathcal{R}^{\text{Formal or }}$ Spectro to the stand.

THE COURT: come on back in the same seat here.

You remember the microphone, right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: Year.

THE COURT: Just make your voice nice and loud. You don't like the microphone?

You don't like it?

It's okay.

Okay. Just

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS:

Yeah, Because

see that lady back there? She loves to hear your voice, and you need to talk so she can hear you, okay?

THE WITNESS:

Okay.

CONTRACTOR TO DESCRIPTION OF THE CO. SECTIONS

.**--**-

397 1 THE COURT: Go ahead, 2 Miss Bisenhower, 3 BY MS. EISENHOWER: KERN when you were previously talking about 4 5 Frank Wood being married to your mother, is he in the 6 courtroom today? Υασ. Could you point to him and describe what he's 9 wearing? Please point to him. 10 (Witness complying with request of counsel.) And what is he wearing? 11 Q 12 He's wearing kind of a brownish jacket and a tie. 13 THE COURT: Let the record 14 reflect she's identified the Defendant in the -- is 15 it an orange shirt? 16 THE WITNESS: Hm-bm. 17 THE COURT: And the black 18 hair? 19 THE WITNESS! Yes. 20 THE COURT: So noted for 21 the record. BY MS. EISENHOWER:

Do you know Or. Suranne LeSure?

And have you talked to her about Frank Wood and what

23

24

25

λ

Yes.

398 1 he did to you? 2 Yes. 3 Did you tell her the truth about what that was? Q Hm-hm, yes. λ Oxay. 5 6 MS. EISENHOWER: I have nothing 7 further, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Green, sir. 10 MR. GREEN: No questions, 11 your Honor. 12 THE COURT: : Ma'am, you can 13 step down. 14 I know this is weird, but I've got to move you 15 out again because I've got questions I have to ask Dr. LeSure before we commence further. 16 17 Don't discuss the case among yourselves. Do not 16 begin to form or express an opinion about the matter 19 until you get all the evidence, you get your 20 instructions of law, and you begin your 21 deliberations. 22 Thanks very much. 23 (Whereupon, the Jury exited the courtroom and 24 the further following proceedings were then held in 25 the presence of the Court, Counsel, and the

		399
-	Defendant.	
	THE COURT:	Bring her on in
.	and I'll talk to ber.	
,	. Come on up.	
,	THE BAILIFF:	Raise your
,	right hand, plasse.	
,	·	
,	Whereupon, the State of On	io, to further
,	maintain the issues to be maint	ained by them, called
,	one SUZANNE LeSURE, who, after having been first duly	
ı	sworn, was examined and testifi	ed as follows:
2	. VOIR DIRE	
₃	THE COURT:	Very good. Thank
•	you	
5	Come on up and have a seat	
6	Hey, Or. LeSure, I'm going	to be asking you some
7	questions before we bring the J	tury in relating to
g	your work, what it is you do.	Apparently you're
9	going to be giving testimony ab	oaut two of your
0	patients.	
1	And I guess what I first h	lave to ask you is,
2	cell me a little bit about what	your practice is and
3	what your job is and how you're	licensed.
4	THE WITNESS:	I am a licensed
·s	paychologist. I have a Ph.D.	in paychology from

400 Syracuse University. 1 I have practiced for about twenty-five years: 2 3 most of my professional life I've practiced in this community. I work primarily with children, some adults but 5 primarily children, and have special training and 6 experience in the area of child sexual abuse. T Okay. In this 8 THE COURT: particular case. I'm looking at a child named Remem 9 10 and a child named --SECONCILD . MS. EISENHOWER: 11 -- SKEDACTED . THE COURT: ' 12 And I guess what I need to know is, are they patients 13 14 of yours? Yes, sir. 15 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: You call them 16 "patients," not "clients"? 17 Either. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. I want THE COURT: 19 20 to do what you do. So my understanding is, at some point counsel 21 for the State is going to be asking -- in addition to 22 other things that you will testify about, she is 23 going to be asking you about statements that these 24 children made to you and, as a result of a series of 25

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

cases that have come out relatively recently from the Ohio Supreme Court and the Supreme Court of the United States under a case called Crawford, for the purpose of my record, I have to -- I should engage in a discussion with you previous to this and find out why it was that you received these statements from your patients, what was the purpose of these statements, what was your use, how did you become employed in taking these statements from them, and those kind of things.

Can you tell we a little bit about that?

THE WITNESS:

Both children

So they were

were referred to me for treatment.

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS:

THE COURT:

Hտ-իբլ. Who referred

them to you?

I'm going to

refer to my file so that I can keep them separate and

21 be accurate.

> THE COURT: Sure.

THE WITNESS:

THE WITNESS:

KINGTO WAS

403

referred by Job and Family Services.

THE COURT:

Okay.

23

24

25

You'll have to THE WITHESS: advise me about whether I can say this or not, but SREDACIED had actually been treated at Cornerstone previously. Let's see what the intake says on this.

She was referred by her school counselor.

THE COURT:

So in maither

of these cases did law enforcement refer these two children to you?

Job and Family THE WITNESS:

Services and the school counselor.

THE COURT:

The next

series of questions that I need to know is, why would you obtain a statement from these children about what occurred, and who was involved in that? What was the

purpose for that statement?

THE WITNESS:

Well, 1

wouldn't obtain a statement in the way I would if I were doing a forensic evaluation, but I would be wanting the child's perception of the stress they had encountered so that I can plan my course of action in undoing any mistaken beliefs or feelings of blame or other damage that had been the result of this stress. or really any stressors.

THE COURT:

So you would

1 take a statement so that you could aid who? Who are 2 you trying to help here? THE WITNESS: 3 The child. Is there any --4 THE COURT: 5 do you advise these children, when you're asking them 6 about what happened to them, that these statements 7 may be used in court, or that law enforcement may 8 obtain these statements? Are they aware of that? Do-9 you ever advise them about that? 10 THE WITNESS: I actually tell 11 all the children who visit me on their first visit 12 that what they say to me is private. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 THE WITHESS: Except if they 15 are talking about themselves getting hurt or 16 themselves hurting another person. THE COURT: 17 In this 18 particular case, was it your intention, in obtaining 19 these statements, to be able to use these statements 20 of these children for the purpose of trial later? 21 Was that your purpose? 22 No. THE WITNESS: 23 THE COURT: Okay. Once you 24 received these -- the statements that you received 25 from these children, how is it that it sids you in

404 treatment? How does that help? Well, the THE WITNESS: result of sexual abuse on a child, it basically causes three changes. It causes potentially a change in their arousal level, their affective arousal. It causes a potential change in their belief system, what they believe about themselves, what they believe about sexuality, what they believe about boundaries. And it changes their coping mechanism, because a severe stressor stresses a child to the point where the coping mechanisms that they use are overwhelmed, and they start to use maladaptive coping mechanisms. So in order to form my treatment of them, I need to assess damage in those three areas; and in order to assess that damage, I need to know their perception of what happened. I got it. THE COURT: Good. Anything else? MS. EISENHOWER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Green. MR. GREEN: Yes, your **Z**5 Honor.

405 1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 MR. GREEN: Judge, I'll be Using the document you gave us from Dr. Jedacek. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. GREEN: That's the -it's a Patient Care Communication Form. 7 Could I have this marked, please. ₽ (Whereupon, a Patient Care Communication Form, 9 Authorization to Disclose Information, to Dr. Jedacok 10 for Patient SPEDACTED LARROWS, was then marked as Defendant's Exhibit C for purposes of 11 12 identification.] 13 BR. GREEN: Doctor, I'm presenting you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit 14 15 C. Are you familiar with that document? 16 THE WITNESS: 17 MR. GREEN: Can you tell us . 18 what it is? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's a 20 Patient Care Communication Form to Dr. Jedacek. MR. GREEN; Okay. And it's signed off by you; is that not correct? 22 23 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 24 MR. GREEN: And it has in 25 there some purpose, I guess, for seeing --

106 1 THE WITNESS! Right. 2 -- the patient? MR. GREEN: 3 THE WITHESS: Correct. MR. GREEN: Can you tell 5 the Court what you wrote. 6 "I will provide THE WITNESS: 7 psychotherapy, parent guidance for parents, and support for legal process." MR. GREEN: 9 Okay. What did you mean by "support for legal process"? 30 11 THE WITNESS: The process of 12 going through this for a young child is very, very 13 traumatic. Sometimes the process of testimony can be 14 as traumatic as the event itself, and most children need support during that process. 15 16 MR. GREEN: But you're supporting the legal process, aren't you, by doing 17 18 . that? 19 THE WITNESS: 20 supporting the child's management of the legal 21 process. 22 MR. GREEN: . You're helping 23 to prepare the child for the legal process? 24 THE WITNESS: Develop their 25 coping strategies, maybe would be a better word.

	407
MR. GREEN:	And when did
you sign off on that?	
THE WITNESS:	When?
HR. GREEN:	Yes.
THE WITHESS:	2/5/05, it
says.	
MR. GREEN:	The permission
by Scott Sadowsky is dated when?	
THE WITNESS:	11/22/04.
MR. GREEN:	Okay. Is that
when you first received the refe	rral?
THE WITNESS:	I don't know.
Let me see.	
The case was signed in on 9	/24/04. They had
called on 9/23/04. The first in	take appointment was
scheduled for 11/22/04.	
MR. GREEN:	Okay. When you
put down "support legal process,	" you anticipated
that there would be testimony in	chis case?
THE WITNESS:	I always
anticipate that there might be t	estimony in a case
like this.	
MR. GREEN:	So when you
interviewed her for the purpose	of taking her
statement as to what happened, y	you understood that it

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		408
ı	may well be used in court?	
2	THE WITHESS:	Oh, yes.
3	MR. GREEN;	It wasn't
4	strictty for treatment purposes?	
5	THE WITNESS:	No, it was for
6	treatment purposes. But I am acc	customed to being
7	summoned for court, and so when t	here's a chance I
8	might be, I also proceed in my he	ead as though I might
9	be, because I want to be careful.	
10	MR. GREEN:	That's all the
11	questions I have.	Ì
12	THE COURT:	Anything else,
13	Miss Eisenhower?	
14	MS. EISENHOWER:	No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT:	Dkay. 1
16	appreciated that, Mr. Green.	
17	MA. GREEN:	Thank you.
18	THE COURT:	What the
19	Court's going to note at this point is, that under	
20	Evidence Rule 803(4), which is an evidence rule which	
21	would determine statements made	by physicians as to
22	the treatment of a person to be	nontestimonial, the
23	Court's making a determination t	hat it is not
24	nontestimonial.	
25	The child was referred by a	private agency, not

Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

13

21

22

by a police agency: that the primary purpose for this is diagnosis and treatment; that this psychologist has indicated that that was her purpose in this: that she understands that in many cases there is testimony that is given, but that the purpose of obtaining the statement from the child wasn't for the purpose of trial, but that - the Court's understanding is - the psychologist understood that at some point there might have to be the need for helping the child cope with the rigors of a trial, but that the statement wasn't taken for the purpose of helping that person cope with the rigors of a trial. In other words, that it was an additional treatment that was enadditional function that the psychologist engaged in when performing this.

Based on this, the Court's making a determination - and note the Defendant's objection for the record, please - that she is going to be able to testify as to what was said to her.

With that in mind, would you bring the Jury in.

MR. GREEN: Judge, if I can ask for clarification? Because there's two -there's two parties here that she's testifying about.

411

all be seated. Thanks very much.

We're back on the record in Case Number OS CR 0536; that is State of Chic versus frank Wood.

Ma'am, you were sworn previously before the Jury came back in.

State your name for the record; and spell your name for my court reporter, please.

THE WITNESS: Suzanne LeSure, L-+-S-u-r-e.

THE COURT: Okay. What's going to happen in the next few minutes is, Miss Eisenhower is going to have some questions for you, and then when she is done, Mr. Green will as well.

Miss Eisenhower, you may proceed.

MS. EISENHOWER: Thank you, your

16 Honor.

DIRECT-EXAMINATION

BY MS. EISENHOWER:

- You are a psychologist, correct?
- 20 A Correct.
 - And you are a licensed psychologist in the State of Ohio?
- 23
- 24 25

Correct. Can you tell me a little bit about your education, please?

Sew en0 2 MR. GREEN: 3 referred by Job and Family Services. THE COURT: Not the police agency, not the police agency. One was a private 5 person, the other was -- was Job and Family Services. 5 The Court notes Job and Family Services referred 7 them. And I remember her testifying that -- the Job 8 9 and Family Services woman testifying that she 10 referred her for the purposes of treatment. And I 11 understand that, so you're right, Job and Family 12 Services sent one, a private individual sent another. The police were not -- by the way, were the 13 14 police involved in any of the statements that were 15 given? Were they present when the statements were 16 taken? 17 THE WITHESS: No. THE COURT: 18 Okay, good, 19 THE WITNESS: They were not. 20 THE COURT: Okay, good. 21 Chris, bring them in. 22 (Whereupon, the further following proceedings 23 were then held in the presence of the Court, the 24 Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.} 25 THE COURT: Okay. You can

THE COURT:

I have a bachelor's degree from Ohio Wesleyan, I have 1 2 a master's degree in child development from Syracuse University, and a Ph.D. in psychology from Syracuse 3 University.

I have an internahip at the Medica! College of Wisconsin through Children's Hospital there.

- And your training --
- That concludes pretty much my training.
- Okay. And you have a -- is there a license required in the State of Ohio for psychology?
- Correct.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Are you currently licensed?
- Yes, I am.
- Do you have to do any further training and education to keep that licensa?
- You have to do an additional year of supervised work, and you have to sit for a written exam, and you have to pass a verbal exam to be licensed in Ohio.
- Okay. Are you a member of any professional associations?
- Yes, I am.

I'm a member of the Ohio Psychological Association and the American Psychological Association. And have you been past-president of each one of them?

```
ŀ
           I'm a past-president of Ohio Psychological
2
      Association, and Ohio's representative to the AFA Council.
3
           Okay. And that's also as a member?
           Correct.
5
           And you also were a professor of psychology at one
6
     point?
7
           My professorship at NEOUCOM is an adjunct
8
     professorship.
9
           All right. Do you have an erea of specialization?
10
           Yes. I work primarily with children. And within
11
      that category, I specialize in children who have had crauma
12
      or who have medical problems.
13
           Okey. And what kind of traums are we talking about?
14
            We're talking about trauma from sexual abuse, but
15
      other kinds of trauma as well.
16
            Oxay. And you are affiliated with Cornerstone
17
      Psychological Services, correct?
           I ans.
18
19
            Now, I need to ask you if you are currently treating
      SERENCTED (LEDWINS)
20
21
            I am.
            And when you were treating SPEDACTED LEGACED, were you
22
23
      able to come up with a diagnosis?
24
            Yes.
25
            And that diagnosis was based on the -- well, first of
```

all, why don't you tell me the diagnosis. I guess that's 1 2 the easy part. 3 Well, I collect information through history from the parents. I use standardized instruments to assess the child's functioning from parents, when possible from school. 7 I also do direct observation, and occasionally direct assessment of the child in a formal way in my office. 10 All right. And did you do all of that with SREDACTED F ESSANTEDS 11 12 I dia. And were you able to form a diagnosis on Standard? 13 14 My initial diagnosis was adjustment disorder. 15 Okay. Now, can you tell me what kind of history Search | presented with? 16 17 SEMPACIO, had experienced a series of recent stresses. including her parents separating, a move on the part of her 18 19 maternal grandmother, and allegations of sexual abuse. 20 All right. And were you able to determine who the allegations of sexual abuse concerned? In other words, who 22 was the person alleged to have committed these --23 MR. GREEN: Objection. 24 THE COURT: Overruled, You 25 CAR answer.

415

Both the mother and the child reported that Frank Wood was the person who was involved with the sexual abuse. All right. And did Standard give you details concerning that sexual abuse? She did. All right. And what details did she give you about that sexual abuse? She referred to the gentleman in quastion as "Frankie." She said, "He started by showing me pictures on the computer." I asked, "Of what?" She sald, "Of naked people." I asked, "Then what?" She said, "Then he came in bed with me at night and he was lying on top of me, and I think he was naked. I

> "He was kissing me down here," she pointed to her "I felt some wetness between my legs." And then I asked her, "What happened then?"

And she said, "It was, like, goosy. I think he put his private on mine."

felt my shirt up here -- " she points, "-- and my privates

down here," she points to her ankles.

I asked, "Why would he do that?"

She said, "Once I opened my eyes in the middle of 1 the night and he was on top of me, and his arms were around 2

I said, "What did he say?"

She said, "He said, 'I love you as much as your mom. This is how you're going to have a baby when you're an adult. Don't tell your mon."

She said, "It all started when I got my bed by myself."

And I said, "What did you think about that?" And she said, "I was feeling quite gross, like I wanted to take sixty showers.":

> And I said, "Did you tell anybody?" And she said, "I just wanted it over with." I asked her when the last time was.

And she said, "Sometime after my birthday and before Halloween."

She said, "He lied to my mom. He would tell her he was tucking me in or giving me medicine or reading me a story. I told my mom every morning that he was a liar. I figured out that whatever he did was had or he wouldn't have lied. He'd tell my mom that he was doing work, but he was just staring at pictures. It was strange.

"I opened my eyes once and I saw his private. It was all hairy.

4 5

3

me."

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 3.7

18

20

19

21

22 23

25

24

23

ļ

2

3

9

10

11

ኒ2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

thigh.

24

"He did our windows.

"He was always saying my boots were really nice."

Q All right. Now, how many patients do you think over the years you've seen for victims of sexual abuse?

- A Oh, probably over two hundred, I would guess.
- Q All right. And let's narrow that down now to children who are younger, say ten and under. Do they often -- or is it your experience that often children that age delay reporting initially about the offenses that have occurred?
- 11 A Yes.

1

2

3

4.

5

7

10

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Z

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GREEN: Objection,

13 leading.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. GREEN: Leading.

THE COURT: Oversuled. You

can answer.

18 A Yes.

Q . All right. And why is that?

A Well, there are many reasons. Sometimes the initial offenses are very small and children don't quite know what to make of them, don't understand even if they're sexual.

Sometimes they don't think they will be believed, and they try to say -- like in the bistory she reports, "I

said he was a liar." And what that to me is, she thinks she's talking about it. So sometimes they try to say it, but they don't get their point across.

Sometimes they're afraid. Sometimes they want to protect the person.

There are a lot of different ressons.

- Q All right. Now, in your case, are there coping mechanisms that SREDACTED developed to deal with that allegation?
- A I think -- I think she has -- she has done a couple of different things. In her account to me, it's clear that one of the ways she coped was pretty common, which is to be still and do or say nothing.

Sometimes children will pretend that they're asleep or almost freeze or send their mind somewhere else as a way of coping.

In addition, SEEDACTED had a lot of anxiety over the situation, and she had begun some pretty maladaptive ways of releasing that inside, like picking at her skin and other kinds of anxious habits.

SREDACTED . Were you also treating KREDACTED ?

A Yes.

ì

2

3

5

6

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Z

10

11

12

14

15

16

23

24

25

Q And did you reach a diagnosis for her as well?

Her initial diagnosis was adjustment disorder as

419

well.

Q Did you take a history from K_____ --

A I did.

Q -- in order to determine your diagnosis?

A I did.

Q And what was the history?

A Reserve had also had a number of stressors. She had multiple male figures in her life. And at the time that she came to see me, her mother had a figure and was engaged, so that was a new person she was adjusting to.

And she also describes sexual abuse.

Q Can you tell me specifically the history she gave you?

A Kename did not say much. Reserve responded to any direct conversation about this with extreme anxiety. But I did ask her to tell me about it, and she said, "He was hurting me."

And I asked, "Where?"

And actually, I thought she would tell me, like, on her body, and she answered, "In a room upstairs. We were tenting a summer home, but it was in the wintertime."

And she told me a story about her mome throwing a snowball that year.

"What did he say to you."

Answer: "He didn't -- he said 'Oon't tell

anyone.'"

I said, "How often did this happen?"

She said, "Like every night."

And I said, "What happened?"

And she had great difficulty giving me much information, but what she told me was that he would put his mouth on her private, his hand on her private, and his private on her private.

Q And did you go over with her what she meant by "private"?

A Yes.

2 And what did she call the private?

13 A She was talking about his and her genital area.

Q Okay. And tell me, when you say she -- she displayed anxiety, how did that manifest itself?

A Well, the child behavior checklist that I gave -that I referred to earlier as using in my diagnosis and
treatment, showed elevations on the scale that measured

A I also administered what's called a Structured

Pediatric Psychosocial Assessment. And that's a

computer-generated test that looks at her status and

basically it tells me about her, that she has a strong

tendency to try to deny worrisome concerns, minimize the

that Trefer
treatment, s
fraction anxiety.

O Okay.

A Lalso
Pediatric Ps

Thank you, your

impact of negative experiences but, at the same time, may harbor deep emotions, which she then suppresses.

- Q Okay. I bet you get this every time you testify, but in laymen's -- in layperson's terms, what does that mean she does, if somebody harbors their deep emotions?
- A It means that she's the kind of child who wants to push it down, not look at it, and move on to what she can focus on positive in her life.
- 9 Q Okay. Now, is that also a coping mechanism for 10 Kalee?
 - A Oh, yes.
 - Q Okay. And is that one of her strongest coping mechanisms?
- 13 mechanisms?
 14 A Well,

1

3

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- A Well, it's a good news/bad news coping mechanism.

 What that means is that she's the kind of child who can do

 well in school and continue to function and to look all

 right on the outside, even though she might be in a

 difficult situation.
- The bad news side of that coping mechanism is that you can't always tell when a child hurts or is in distress, and I compare it to like a splinter in a sole that she carries around and you don't discover it until later.
- You are aware -- are you aware of when Kings first told someone about Frank Wood's sexual activity with her,

5 Much later. What does that period of time tell you? What does that do to a child with K profile that you just 7 described as far as her coping mechanism? 9 Well, I think she puts that experience deep inside 10 her and proceeds with her life, trying to adjust to the immediate stress source of, "I'm moving, I might have a new 11 12 school," the here and now. 13 And does that delay, from the time from when she 14 first disclosed until the time she began to see you, does 15 that -- does that help that coping mechanism be more firmly entrenched, or did it do the opposite? 16 17 I don't think it does either one. 18 Okay. 19 I think that it's a style of coping that she uses 20 habitually. Her mother, in giving her history, reported a similar coping mechanism of her own. I don't think it 21 22 affects it either way. 23 Now, when children of sex abuse -- when younger children of sex abose come to you, is it -- tell me the 24 words they usually use to describe -- or how they describe 25

any disclosed sexual abuse? ' '

Between July and September of '04.

And so it was sometime after that that she came to

1

2

3

¢

aee you?

423

the frequency of the events; in other words, how often those sexual activity events occur.

A Poorly. You know, I -- I wish that people who interviewed children understood that they don't have a good grasp of dates or time or frequency. And it's easy to get wrong answers, confused answers from them for that reason.

Q Okay. And is that -- is that something that happens more often than not with smaller children?

A Yes.

Q Referring to the diagnoses that you have on these children, are they consistent -- well, first of all, why don't you explain to me what the diagnosis for Helpins is.

14 A Adjustment disorder --

Q Hen-han.

A -- means that she's experiencing symptoms that are in response to a stressor.

Q Okay. And is that diagnosis consistent with sexual abuse?

20 A It 15.

Q And SEEDACHED s diagnosis?

A Hers was initially adjustment disorder as well, but in reviewing my notes, I realize that I had upgraded it to posttraumatic stress disorder, because she was having intrusive memories.

1 Q All right. And posttraumatic stress disorder, could
2 you explain what that is?

stressor which involves changes in arousal level, either repetition or sometimes avoidance of trauma or related elements to the trauma, and a tendency to experience intrusive memories like extreme violence or being sexually abused.

Yes. It is a response to an atypical traumatic

9 Q And is posttraumatic stress syndrome also indicative of sexual abuse?

11 A It's consistent with that experience, yes.

MS. EISENHOWER: I have nothing

further of this witness, your Honor.

THE COURT:

MR, GREEN:

THE COURT: Hr. Green, you may proceed.

Hanor.

3

5

6

ø

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

cross-examination

20 BY MR. GREEN:

Q Dr. LeSure, given this history that SEEDACTED gave you --

23 A Yes.

24 O -- when did she give you that history? Over what 25 period, perhaps?

_

2

3

5

6

January 17th, 2005.

- Û And that's -- the history that you've testified to here today was all on that particular date?
- A That's what I referred to just now. She certainly spoke about the events on other dates, but that was the date on which she gave me the most detail.
- O Okay. And through your therapy with her --
- 9
- 10 Q -- you've gone into those events again and again with
 - her?
- 11 12 No. This is the date on which I went into the events
- in detail. On other dates we talked about the events, but
- 14 more about her fears or managing her nightmares about the
- 15 events. So I wouldn't have gone over the details of what
- 16 happened on multiple occasions.
- 17 How often have you visited with her?
- 18 A It looks like I've seen her seventeen times.
 - When was the last time you saw her? Ф
- 20 2/2/06.

19

22

24

25

l

2

3

4

5

- 21 Is she no longer a client of yours?
 - I've been seeing her less frequently because she was
- 23 doing better.
 - Do you anticipate you'll be seeing her any further?
 - Possibly. It depends on whether the stress of going

through the legal events has exacerbated some of the 1 2 skin-picking and other signs of anxiety.

- Okay. Your assessment, was that shared with Ohio Job and Family Services back in early 2005?
- They had actually evaluated her before she came to
- #8. So my records show that there was a communication with
- 7 Dr. Jedacek, who she saw on 2/7/05, and communication with В Anne Bisenhower on 8/5/05.
- 9 Did you get a copy of the Chic Job and Pamily 10 Services assessment?
- 11 (Witness codding negatively.)
- You didn't receive that? 12
- 13 No.

3

4

5

19

22

25

- 14 You just know they did it?
- 15 Yes. That's important, because if it hadn't been done, I would have had to report it. I'm a mandated child 16
- 17 abuse reporter, so I would have to report it. When it's
- 18 not been done, I have to report it.
 - So I essume that you were the reporter?
- 20 No. I asked the -- I ask -- in a case of child
- 21 sexual abuse, I ask whether it has been reported to JFS or
- law enforcement, because if it has not, I would have to 23 report it.
- 24 Did you understand that it had been referred to lew

427

- Yea, I did.
- Okay. On Kind, her referral came to you under different circumstances: is that correct?
- Yes. K 's family called on June 17th and said they had been referred by JFS...
- 6 That's '05, right?
- 7 Pardon?
- That's of '05?
- 9 Yes.
- And Karanter, at the time you first saw her, was what, 10
- 11 nine years old going on ten?
- 12 Yes.
- 13 And the events that she described to you, when did
- 14 they happen?
- 15 You know, I'm not sure I have the dates. I have that 16 she disclosed between July and September of '04, so it was
- 17 prior to that.
- 18 So you don't know?
- 19 I don't have the dates.
- 20 If it had been three, four years earlier, would that
- 21 make a difference as to the quality of the history that you
- 22 recaived from a child of that tender and age, or of tender
- 23 years?
- 24 Yes, it -- it -- it makes a difference. People
- always ask whether children are going to comember things,

and it's dependent on their language ability. So if a

enforcement also?

child -- if something happened to a two-year-old. they 2 probably would not remember it at -- or be able to recall 3

it in words.

A three-, four-, five-year-old would be able to remember, might not have a specific memory.

- Okay. Did you, in the case of K take a history also from the parents or --
- Yes,
- -- at least her mother?
- 11 Yes.

10

1 Z

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Can you tell us -- let me strike that.

Did they relate to you that K was having problems after this disclosure in July -- or September of 2004? Was she having problems she needed assistance with?

They described her as usually happy, occasionally getting into, what mom describes as, her diva mode; that she was distressed with the current disclosures and JFS interview, and the disclosure of that coming out was causing her distress. She was having some anxiety about other children, like a new school, and the upcoming marriage, and that type of thing. But she didn't have a lot of symptoms.

Now, you've indicated that K had these other

24

) 8

Q Do you know if those stressors were going on in her life when the events of what are claimed to be sexual abuse took place?

A Well, the stresses -- one of the stresses was a change in different male people in her life. That would have occurred during the time Frank Wood was in her life.

The stressor - and even though this is a happy thing, I referred to it as a stressor - of mom's marriage and the subsequent potential move and new school. Those are not bad things, but they're stressful for a child. Those would have been subsequent to the events she

Those would have been subsequent to the events she disclosed.

Q Were you also aware of the stressor, I quess it would be, of the change in custody of her from her grandparents to her mother?

A From her grandparents to her mother, no.

O Do you know anything about that history?

A The legal things I know about were that her -- her mother's fiance said he wanted to adopt her; she didn't say anything about the change in custody.

Now, you didn't know anything about the civil protection order that the parties went through with Mrs. Spencer and with her family?

1	A With Kamanana mother, I also asked about any domestic
2	violence, child abuse, sexual trauma, et cetera. And my
3	notes say that Frank was arrested for domestic violence
4	against the mother, pled to a lesser charge; that there was
5	domestic violence between maternal grandparents.
6	Q Okay. Do you know when those took place?
7	A No.
8	Q So you don't have a complete history of what was
9	going on in K's life at the time
10	A I don't have that.
11	Q from the July to September period, or whenever it
12	took place?
13	A I don't have a complete legal entry.
14	Q OXAY. Are you aware of, from SEEDACTED's history,
15	her biological parents?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And the transference problems?
18	A Yes.
19	O What were you aware of?
20	A SPEDACTED has a very complicated history.
21	MS. EISENHOWER: Your Honor, may
22	we approach?
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	(Whereupon, the further following proceedings
25	were then held at sidebar out of the hearing of the

	:	431
1	Jurors . I	
2	THE COURT:	Ma¹am.
3	MS. RISENHOWER:	Mr. Green 13
4	aware that Or. LeSure had informati	on that was filed
5	concerning that activity between he	r and her brother,
6	and I want to make sure that he doe	sn't elicit that
7	from the witness, because I have no	t advised this
8	witness not to talk about that.	
9	THE COURT:	Okay. We're
10	not going there.	
11	MR. GREEN:	I'm just trying
12	to find out what she had for a hist	ory.
13	MS. EISENHOWER:	Well, your
14	Honor	
15	THE COURT:	Stop. Listen
16	to him.	
17	MR. GREEN:	Just I'm
18	trying just trying to find what	she has for a
19	history.	
20	THE COURT:	Ali right.
21	MR. GREEN:	That's all I've
22	· #5k¢d.	
23	M3. EISENHOWER:	That's
24	MR. GREEN:	I'm not asking
25	about	

-	. 432
1	MS. EISENHOWER: That's an
2	open-ended question, what a history includes.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. GREEN: It isn't part
5	of her treatment, though.
6	THE COURT: Dkay. 1'm not
7	gaing
8	MS, EISENHOWER: The
9	information
10	MR. GREEN: I'm not
11	trying to get information. I'm just saying, if she
12	brought it up, she may have used it as part of the
13	treatment.
14	THE COURT: I've aiready
15	ruled on that issue. Her prior sexual conduct is not
16	admitted and I'm not going to let that in. You can
17	talk about generally her history, but I don't want
19	that coming in, so that's where we're at.
19	MR. GREEN: I was not
20	trying
21	THE COURT: 1 hear you.
22	MS. EISENHOWER: Your Honor
23	MR. GREEN: to get that
24	information in terms of my question.
25	THE COURT: I understand
	•

Honor.

MR. GREEN: Yes, your

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.)

BY MR. GREEN:

- Do you remember the prior question?

5

6

9

10

14

25

1

2

3

4

7

- If you would, please respond. 12 Ů.
- 13 Skillar TED has a complicated history, in which she

lived with her maternal grandmother prior to the planned

- adoption by the current parents that brought her in, 15
- 16 However, in the process of that adoption, those parents were divorcing, and they were making a plan for a to live 17
- with father and visit with mother on Wednesdays and 18
- 19 weckends.
- 20 Did you understand the situation regarding SMEMOTES 's
- biological parents? 21
- 22 Let's see. Her biological father is Rick, his
- 23 whereabouts were unknown. He saw her last when she was
- 24 three or four.
 - Her mother had died of a brain tumor.

435

- that have any formal designation in your classification books? Can you discuss that? :
- The formal classification of adjustment disorder is 309 ICD Code.
- 3097 5 Q
- б Yes.
 - That's for both of them? 0
- ₽ Well, I said that I felt SAME I adiagnosis should
- 9 be upgraded to posttraumatic spress disorder, which was --10 is an initial DVM diagnosis.
- What number is that under? 11 ٥
- 12 Gosh, I don't know the number. But I could find
- 13 it.

18

23

24

25

- When did you make that diagnosis --14 Ò
- 15 The change --
- 16 -- posttraumatic stress disorder? Q
- The change for SERBACER? 17
 - Y4s.
- 19 In February she started to complain about nightmares.
- 20 You're going to have to help me. February when?
- 21 I'm sorry. February of '05.
- 22 Hm.-hm.
 - That's when her picking increased.
 - Then as things began to happen legally with the rest, then she was very aware of that. In October of '05

- Do you know anything else about the parents' history?
- The biological parents?
- 3 Yes.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

14

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

в

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I know that she went to her biological grandmother's, who was her primary caretaker from about two-and-a-half to four-and-a-half, and Streatly thought of her as her

mother.

- And then Children's Services became involved because they were concerned about Grandma Alice supervising. And at nine, Danielle and Scott were planning to adopt her.
- So you don't have any further history as to the biological mother?
- No. Only she was not in her life, had not been in her life for sometime when I saw her.
- If you had information about the biological mother, that included information that she was a drug abuser, was convicted of drug offenses, would that have any influence on your opinion on the stressors for SEEDACTED?
- Considering that she was already with her grandmother Alice by two-and-a-half, probably not. Unless there was some biological damage from drug use in utero.
- You don't have that information, correct?
- 24 As far as I know, no.
 - The term you're using, "adjustment disorder," does

she began to experience nightmares regarding the offender. Her mother reported increased difficulties of managing her emotional arousal.

In November, dad reported that nightmares were improving, but her concentration at school had caused some decline in grades.

She reports her school day would be interrupted by daydreams.

And when I last saw her, she was doing much better with that, and she was not having those intrusive memories.

- Did she talk to you about other nightmares that she had, prior to these events with Mr. Wood that she described?
- No.
- bid she talk to you about a dream that she had about her mother, her biological mother?
 - I don't remember that. I'd have to look at each note, but I don't remember it.

We talked about worries, memory of Frank, worries about the divorce, worries about being adopted, worries about won't have a family, worries shout school, worries about being teased at school.

These reports that you received in October and November of '35 regarding nightmares, and the decrease in

437 nightmares, were those coming from the parents? 1 2 No. From SEEDACTED. From SKERNCTED? 3 4 (Witness modding affirmatively.) Α . 5 Q I thought you indicated the father reported that. Well, yes, but Semacro also, SREDACTED herself 7 reported mightmares. And, also, Strategic reported the в improvement in the nightmares. 9 Were you aware that she was doing the picking before 10 the event? 11 Yeş. And what do you think manifested that picking that 12 13 she does? 14 I think whenever she has significant anxiety, significant stressors that cause an increase in that 15 16 anxiety again, she goes back to that picking behavior. 17 When I can work with her and get a period in which there's 18 not a significant stressor, you'll see it improve. 19 This is while -- she was very fearful that she was 20 going to be abandoned again, correct? She was very worried about being adopted and who she 21 22 was going to stay with. She has a very strong relationship with her 23 24 father, so I don't think that she has a fear of being 23 abandoned by him.

Not by the father? 1 2 Not by the father. 3 What about Danielle Sadowsky? I think her relationship with Danielle is much less 5 secure. I believe Danielle decided not to pursue the adoption and that the father will adopt her alone. Would part of her fears be related to this: That Danielle Sadowsky had a relationship with Frank Wood while she was still married to S 's father, what she designated 10 her father? SALL'ACTED_ expressed anger toward her mother for 11 putting her in a situation with a man who sexually offended 12 against her. And SREDACTED also expressed confused feelings 13 14 about the fact that her half-brother was to be the child of 15 the man that offended against her. She had Very mixed 16 emotions toward her mother about that. 17 And she is well aware that Scott Sadowsky is not her 18 biological father? 19 Yes, she ia. 20 Q And does she have attitudes towards her biological 21 father? 22 No. 23 How about her biological mother? 24 She is not that focused on her biological parents. I 25 think she probably had more complicated feelings about

1 Grandma Alice, who was her original attachment person. 2 Did you understand what the problems were with 3 Grandma Alice? MS. EISENHOWER: Objection, your 4 5 Honor, as to the relevancy of that. Ó THE COURT: Yes. I'm going 7 to sustain the objection as to the relevancy of that at this time. В MR. GREEN: Your Honor, may 9 we approach? 10 (Whereupon, the further following proceedings 11 were then held at midebay out of the hearing of the 12 Jurors.) 13 Sir. THE COURT: 14 MR. GREEN: Judge, she 15 16 previously brought up the Grandma Alice problem. I think I'm allowed to delve into it: she brought it 17 18 цр. THE COURT: Where are we 19 going with that? 20 MR. GREEN: " Frankly, I 21 think it may relate to some of the scressors. This 22 23 is actually all new to me. Okay. Let's --24 THE COURT: MR. GREEN: But I don't 25

440 think --1 let's talk THE COURT: 2 about it. Let's get it over with, all right. I'll 3 let you ask the question. 4 (Whereupon, the further following proceedings 5 were then held in the presence of the Court, the 6 Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.) 7 BY MR. GREEN: 8 Doctor, I was asking you'about who you've characterized as "Grandma Alice," and you said that there 10 were some problems with her, SPEDACTED needed to be taken 11 from hex; whatever, I'm not sure. I just want to 12 understand what you understood happened. 13 Well, I should be clear that that part of SREDACTED's 14 treatment record was prior to my involvement, so I can't 15 really speak directly, only from my review of the record of 16 it. Because that was done by a different therapist prior 17 18 to my involvement with her. But --19 MS. EISENHOWER: I would renew 20 my objection to this question. 21 That's all THE COURT: 22 right. He got his answer. 23 Your next question, please. 24 What did you -- was -- did you understand this to be 25

9 10

11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20

22

23

24 25

25

witnesses?

stressor that you relate it to?

as a stressor? And what was the background of that

I understood that S had an initial attachment to this woman, had called her "mother." I understand that Grandma Alice, which is how I know her, became somewhat overwhelmed with SREDACTED, may have left her alone, mentioned to the daycare that she wished that she could be adopted.

Children's Services began -- got involved.

There was then a disruption. When she came to her current father's, there was a disruption and a lack of contact of any kind with Grandma Alice. SMEDACTED was unsure of whether she wanted to visit with Grandma Alice any further, and the family consulted a therapist to sort out the intergenerational relationships and what Skebacher's relationship with Grandma Alice would be.

Okay.

MR. GREEN: a second, your Honor?

> THE COURT: Sure you can.

(Whereupon, a discussion between Attorney Green and the Defendant was then held out of the hearing of the court reporter.)

HR. GREEN:

That's all the

May 1 have just

questions I have.

25

23

24

25

please.

1

Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Eisenhower.

> Just briefly, MS. EISENHOWER:

your Honor.

REDIRECT-EXAMINATION

BY MS. EISENHOWER:

The stressors that you talk about, the antitipation of having to testify, is that a stressor for a child?

It's extremely stressful.

And these two children's cases, was this stressful

Yes.

Okay. And, Doctor, if I told you that when K came in here she was very nervous --

MR. GREEN:

Objection, your

Honor.

THE COURT:

I'm sorry, I

didn't hear the question. I'm going to have to listen to the whole question. Let me hear the whole question, then I'll hear your objection.

If I told you that when X came in here, she was reluctant to testify, would that be consistent with your diagnosis of her?

THE COURT:

Stop.

444

The State of

Have a seet,

And you're objecting to that?

ĺ	. 443
1	MR. GREEN: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	Overruled. You can answer.
4	A Yes, it would be consistent.
5	Q All right. Again, is that a coping mechanism for her
6	for one of those atress sources?
7	A Is what a coping mechanism?
8	Q Not addressing it.
9	A Not addressing it is a coping mechanism she uses.
10	Q Okay,
11	MS. EISENHOWER: Nothing
12	further, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Green.
14	MR. GREEN: No further
15	questions, your Bonor.
16	THE COURT: You can step
17	down. Thanks very much.
18	We're going to adjourn for lunch now. We're
19	going to come back at 1 o'clock.
20	Don't discuss the case among yourselves or begin
21	to form or express an opinion about the matter until
22	you get all the evidence, you get your instructions
23	of law, and you begin your deliberations.
24	Miss Sisenhower, do you have any further
7.5	

Possibly one MS. EISENHOWER: 1 2 more, yes, your Monor. Dr. LeSure, 3 THE COURT: thanks for coming in. Good to see you again. (Whereupon at 12:00 noon a luncheon recess was 5 taken until 1:05 p.m., at which time the further following proceedings were had and placed upon the record.} Come on in, 9 THE COURT: 10 folks. Have a seat. We're back on the record in Case Number 05 CR 11 12 0365, State of Ohio versus Frank Wood. We're going to continue with the State's 13 14 witnesses. 25 Your next witness, please. MS. EISENHONER: 16 17 Ohio calls Det. Mark Koller to the stand. 18 Whereupon, the State of Ohio, to further 19 20 maintain the issues to be maintained by them, called one MARK KOLLAR, who, after having been first duly 21 22 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT:

Miss Eisenhower.

Нопог.

1

2

3

5

9

14

17

19

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

DIRECT-EXAMINATION

BY MS. EISENHOWER:

Would you state your name, and spall your last name for the record.

- Mark Rollar, K-o-1-1-a-r
- В Q And your occupation?
 - I'm a detective for the Medina Police Department.
- 10 And how long have you been with the Medina Police
- 11 Department?
- Rine years. 12
- 13 How long have you been in law enforcement?
 - It will be thirteen years in September.
- 15 And what are your duties as a detective with the
- City of Medina? 16
 - To investigate all felony crimes.
- And did you have occasion to investigate an 18
 - allegation of sexual abuse made by Kindman skindering
- I did. 20
- Do you remember when you first saw K SPERIALTED? 21
- I first saw K in June of 2005. 22
- 23 All right. And in June of 2005, where did you first
- 24 see her?
 - At the Hedina County Job and Family Services building

447

- And were you aware that there had been a relationship. between K mark mother and Frank Wood?
- Yes, I was.
- All right. Now, did you determine, also, as part of your investigation, where the behavior between Frank Wood and Kimer Specifically the sexual allegations that she was alleging, took place?
- ₿ Yes, I did.
- 9 Where did they take place?
- At 69 Longacre in Chippewa Lake, which is in the 10
- County of Medina, State of Ohio. 11
- 12 Okay. And that was during what time (rame? Did you
- 13 determine that as well?
- Yes. Between August of the year 2000 and October, 14
- the end of October of the year 2000. 15
- All right. Were you also able to determine, based 16
- on -- well, as part of your investigation, the next step as 17
 - far as Kithin, where she should go next?
- Yes. 19
- 20 Was she referred somewhere else?
 - Yes, she was.
- 22 Okay. Not by you?
- 23 Correct.
 - But you're aware that she went somewhere?
 - Y#5.

on Northland Drive. 1 2 And she was there to conduct an interview along with 3 Dave Madrich, correct? Correct. And were you -- did you observe that interview? I did. And was that part of your investigation? It was. All right. Now, can you tell me, please, what behavior you observed from Months during that interview? 10 At first she was a little bit shy, but compliant 11 12 until pertinent questions in the investigation began to be 13 asked, at which time she became very withdrawn, very opset, 14 began crying. At one point she assentially curled up into 15 a ball onto her mother's lap. She had a very difficult 16 time talking about those aspects. 17 As a result of the information that K did give 18 during the interview, did you begin an investigation? Yes, I did. 19 20 Okay. And so from that interview there came

Where?

Correct.

Frank Wood.

investigating?

21

22

23

24

25

1

To Corneratone Psychological Services. 2

sufficient facts to begin an investigation?

All right. At that point, who were you

3 All sight. And you continued your investigation, Q

correct?

Correct. 5

And as part of your investigation, did you also 6 conduct a search warrant on Frank Wood's home? 7

Yes, I did.

And where was that located? 3

4885 Gareway Drive, Medina Township, Ohio. 10

Okay. And that search warrant led you to enter his 11 12 home on what day?

That would have been on August 5th, 2005.

And --14

MR. GREEN:

May I approach,

448

Your Honor?

THE COURT:

Sux∉ you can.

{Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held at sidebar out of the hearing of the Jurors.

20

21

22

23

24

13

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT:

Sir.

MR. GREEN:

Your Honor, the

other day we handled a motion to suppress on the search warrant, which was based upon the -- the search warrant, the body of it, said it was looking

25

446

25

24

18

1

2

for a computer. There's been no mention of a computer in the Mentano SMEDACHED case. I believe they were looking for the computer in the SMEDACHED SMEDACHED case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. EISENHOWER: I wasn't

planning to ask him about the computer, because we didn't find it in Karara's home. It was found in Beth Rapenchuk's home, and that's all.

THE COURT: Why are we talking about this now? What's the point?

M5. EISENHOWER: There was a briefcase inside the house that contained pictures of little girls, lots of little girls.

THE COURT:

This is a

locked briefcase?

1

2

3

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

25

MS. Elsenhower:

Hm-hm.

THE COURT:

You're seeking

to introduce the information of that?

MS. EISENHOWER:

That's it.

THE COURT:

Sir.

MR. GREEN:

Well, I believe

451

Thank you.

that the search warrant was for the computer only, which was not in the Karanto Skelak-Tab case.

th was not in the N- -- Spanning Case.

You also have the problem, Judge, that it wasn't

understand the problem. I'm going to overfule the 3 objection. We're going to continue the examination. MS. EISENHOWER: Thank you. (Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the 7 Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.) BY MS. EISENHONER: 9 10 When you conducted the search warrant, can you tell 11 me, did you find a briefcase? Yes, I did. 12 Can you tell me what you found when you found that 13 14 briefcase? The briefcase was locked. Inside the briefcase 15 16 contained numerous files and documents as well as 17 photographs of young children. 18 And the majority of those photographs, what were they of? 19 20 Young females. All right. And when you say "young," can you please 21 22 tell me the ages? 23 From the age range of four or five up to probably 24 eleven, twelve. Okay. And were you able to identify those young 25

even within the officer's jurisdiction.

THE COURT:

450

452

Yes. I think I

girls? Some of them we were able to identify; others I was 2 3 not able to identify. Were you able to identify some of those pictures --4 5 well, you tell me who you identified. I was able to identify Korputan SELDACTED as being one of 6 the individuals, as well as her younger sister. 7 Also, a Kresenth Relative was another photograph that В 9 I was able to identify out of that briefcase. Were you able to make a connection between Marry TED 10 H^{MESPORE} and Frank Wood? 11 Yes. Killinging was the daughter of another one of Frank 12 13 Wood's prior girlfriends. MR. GREEN: 14 Objection. No 15 foundation, your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 16 17 Your next question, please. 18 MS. EISENHOWER: All right. BY MS. EISENHOWER: 19 20 There were other pictures of girls locked in that 21 briefcase you weren't able to identify? That is correct. 22 23 MS. EISENHOWER: I have nothing

further, your Honor.

THE COURT:

Sir, you may cross-examine. 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. GREEN: 3 You said that you searched the Frank Wood residence? 4 5 That is correct. What date was that? August 5th, 2005. Was he there? He was not. 10 Did he consent to the search? No, he did not. 11 12 This was via search warrant? 13 That is correct. Where was this search warrant obtained from? 14 The search warrant was obtained from Judge Dale Chase 15 of the Medina Municipal Court. 16 17 Okay. And the purpose of the search warrant was? Q To look for a computer and related media that we 18 believed were inside his residence. 19 Did you have a basis for looking for the computer 20 21 that you announced to the court? 22 Yes, I did. 23 And what was that basis? 24 I had been in his residence the day before and seen a a computer, a laptop computer, inside the residence. 25

25

State apparently has called all the witnesses they're going to call in the case, and as I've indicated to you, Mr. Green at this point can choose to call witnesses or not. And we're going to do that tomorrow morning, so I'm going to send you home this evening.

Don't discuss the case among yourselves. Don't let envone discuss it in your presence. Do not begin to form or express an opinion about the matter until you get all the evidence, you get your instructions of law, and you begin your deliberations.

We'll see you at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning. Enjoy the rest of your day. It's just beautiful outside.

(Hearing adjourned.)

.

One is in.

25

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[CONTINUATION OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1 ABOVE-CAPTIONED CASE ON FRIDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF 2 APRIL, 2005, COMMENCING AT APPROXIMATELY 9:00 A.M.) 3 (Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, Counsel, and the Defendant.) THE COURT: We are on the record in Case Number 05 CR 0365, that is State of 9 10 Ohio versus Frank Wood. What we're going to do this morning is, the 11 State's going to rest, I think, and then I'm going to 12 turn to counsel for the Defendant to determine 13 whether or not they're going to call any witnesses. 14 and if so, who are they and what are they going to be 15 testifying about. So let's start. 16 17 State. Your Honor, the MS. EISENHOWER: 18 State of Ohio would rest subject to the admission of 19 Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4. 20 Exhibit 1 are notes taken by Danielle Sadowsky. 21 Have you any THE COURT: 22 23 objection to Exhibit 1? No, your Honor. 24 MR. GREEN:

THE COURT:

25

457 Exhibit 2 is MS. EISENHOWER: the Petition for Diasolution of Marriage for Danielle Sadowsky and Scott Sadowsky, signed by Ron Stanley. Any objection THE COURT: . to 2? MR. GREEN: ' No objection. Two is in. THE COURT: MS. EISENHOWER: Exhibit 3 is the docket of the divorce between Scott Sadowsky and Danielle Sadowsky. THE COURT: Any objection to 37 NR. GREEN: Was that introduced? MS. EISENHOWER: I believe both of these came in during the mistriel argument. is that for the MR. GREEN: Jury to see, your Honor? Are you moving THE COURT: to introduce this? MS. EISENHOWER: Yes. THE COURT: ' 1 think this goes to establish the representation of the purported family member/victim and the Defendant as well. So

458 I'll note your objection: Exhibit 3 is coming in. What's your next exhibit? Exhibit 4 are MS. EISENHOWER: 3 the medical reports testified to by Donna Abbott for SREDACTED LEFTSCHED. 5 Any objection THE COURT: to 4? MR. GREEN: Pour, I believe В there's parts, your Honor, that should be, I think --9 Teil ma. THE COURT: 10 I think it's a MR. GREEN: 11 group report. Doctor -- not "Doctor." The nurse 12 practitioner, Donna Abbott -- she practically is a 13 doctor, I think, the way she's testified. She's got 14 dictated notes in there that were based upon the 15 notes of the social worker, and I don't think they 16 17 can come in. So you think THE COURT: 18 the social worker's portion should be excised? Is 19 20 that your argument? Yes, your MR. GREEN: 21 22 Honor. HS . EISENHOUER: The social 23 worker testified to her portion. 24 Her portion. 25 MR. GREEN:

I only have

l

7

10

13 14

11

12

16 17 18

15

20 21

22

19

23 24

25

6

7

8

1

13

1

15

16

17

18

23 24

25

459 MS. EISENHOWER: And that she gave a verbal statement to Donna Abbott as part of how to conduct the exem. So the social worker notes are in there, but also what she told her for the purpose of medical treatment is what he's referring to and, I think, relevant. THE COURT: I'm going to -can I take a look at the report? MS. EISENHOWER: Sure. (Providing.) THE COURT: {Perusing exhibit.; MR. CREEN: Plus we want to

argue that, you know -- I'm sorry, your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead, sir. MR. GREEN: I was just

going to say, we still are objecting to the nurse practitioner's notes, because it was from someone else, it wasn't from the victim.

THE COURT: Right. What you're saying is that portion with regard to the issue of the statement made by the child to the licensed social worker, that -- there's a page of it in here.

MR. GREEN:

Yes.

23

24

25

1

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: And I'm looking at this, it's Page 7. Let's see what else we've got, hold on.

Okay. The Court is going to excise Page 7 that you're talking about, which is the narrative portion of it. Is that right?

Since what I MR. GREEN: have is -- I don't have a copy of the exhibit. I only have what was --

THE COURT: . Show me what

you have.

what was produced in discovery.

THE COURT: That's this

MR. GREEN:

(indicating). MR. GREEN: That looks like

it. THE COURT: The Court is going to have this page excised. I think you're probably right under those circumstances. The rest

of the report's coming in. I'll note your objection to the rest of it.

Anything else from the State?

No, your Honor. MS. EISENHOWER: All right. The THE COURT:

461

State has rested at this time. Counsel for the Defendant, do you have any witnesses you wish to present at this time?

MR. GREEN: Your Honor, at this time we would move for a Rule 29 dismissal of

THE COURT:

Go ahead, sir,

please.

the charge.

It's our belief MR. GREEN: that, one, in the King Shenwill portion of the case. her failure to testify as to the conduct that took place here, the balance of it, actually doesn't even match up with what the allegations are in the Complaint. You know, she -- it's unfortunate, but the little girl, when asked did she go upstairs with Frank, she said, "No." That is in evidence. And I think that's -- whatever she may have said afterwards, we've heard directly from the victim, and she said, "No." As much as they want to explain It away, this man's, you know, in jeopardy over there, and I think that portion needs to be dismissed now.

THE COURT:

All right.

. Anything from the State?

MS. BISBNROWER:

Yes, your

Honor. That's an incorrect characterization. She was asked if she remembered it. She was not -she was not asked did she -- "Do you remember." I believe that Dr. LeSure's testimony gave sufficient evidence, along with the remainder of selient testimony to support the charge in that

THE COURT:

Anything else.

462

sir?

matter.

NR. GREEN: Your Honor, on the rape charge, we believe that the State has falled to meet its burden. I don't believe there was any evidence from the victim herself that indicated that there was penetration. There was no statement as to penetration of the vaginal tract.

Or, if you go by what Dr. Abbott said, you could go inside, you know, she talked about the hymen, but she talked about the vagina being beyond the hymen. So she gave the impression that there was no physical evidence whatsoever to support this, she only said there can be sexual abuse. She did not say rape.

The little girl, I don't think, gave any indication that there was a rape here. She did not testify as to cumnilingus.

And the dates don't even match up with what's in

the Bill of Particulars here.

THE COURT:

Thank you,

sir.

2 Z

₿

Anything else from the State?

MS. EISENHOWER: Your Honor, the victim testified that it was several days before her birthday, which is <u>REDACTED</u>. The amended Bill of Particulars says the lat through the 3rd.

In addition to that; she said, and I'm quoting her now, "His private went in my private."

Penetration, however slight, we feel has been met.

Court makes a determination on a Rule 29 motion, the Court has to view the facts of the case most strongly against the party making the motion - that is to say, view the facts most strongly against the Defendant in the case - and make a determination as to whether or not there is any evidence which, if believed by a reasonable jury, the jury could come to the conclusion that the Defendant committed this offense. I don't weigh the evidence, it's not a matter of weighing the evidence at this point, it's determining whether there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to come to the conclusion that the offense

occurred.

I

₿

ı

Looking at the issue of gross sexual imposition in Kenners Specially, the Court recalls the victim in the case saying that she did not remember going up to a room in Chippews with this Defendant. There was other supporting evidence, supporting the prosecution, that such an event occurred. The Court believes that evidence, if believed, a jury could conclude that an offense occurred against Kindwar Specialism.

Again, I'm not arguing or making a determination as to the weight of the evidence or whether the Jury should believe it, I'm weighing whether they could believe it, and I think a reasonable jury could believe that offense occurred.

With regard to the rape offense, the Court has that same burden. I'm making that same determination. Based on the testimony of the victim in the case, the Court believes that there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to conclude that this offense may have occurred.

I'll note the Defendant's exception to my overruling the Rule 29 motion. The Court is going to permit this case to go to jury on both counts.

Now I need to know, are you going forward with

anybody? Do you have anyone you want to testify

today?

MR. GRZEN: We have one

witness, your Honor.

THE COURT:

That would be

Dr. Reed?

MR. GREEN: That would be

Dr. Reed.

THE COURT:

Let's find out

what Dr. Reed is going to testify about.

Doctor, come on up. My bailiff is going to swear you in.

DEFENDANT'S CASE

Whereupon, the Defendant, to maintain the issues to be maintained by him, called one

M. DOUGLAS REED, Ph.D., who, after having been (irst duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

VOIR DIRE

THE COURT:

Have a seat in

this chair here for a few minutes.

Sir, the reason why I brought you in without the Jury is, there's an issue with regard to testimony. With all of the experts who have testified in the

case so (ar, the Court has engaged in a voir dire examination just to find out a little bit about what they were going to testify about. I'm going to do that with you.

Again, I'm the only one at a disadvantage here.

Both counsel for the State and counsel for the

Defendant have read your report. I don't get that,

so I need to ask you a few questions.

first, apparently - and I'm guessing - you did some kind of an examination of the Defendant in this case and came up with some conclusions. I need to know a little bit about what you did, what kind of information you got, how you got the information. just those kind of things, and what were your conclusions.

So tell me a little bit about that.

THE WITNESS:

Yes, I spent
seven hours with Mr. Wood in the Medina County Jail,
and I went through a lot of the standard
bistory-taking. I took three separate histories.
One, a psychosocial history; one, a sexual behavior
inventory; and another sexual history questionnalize
to see if there was consistency across the board in
the giving of the histories. I was evaluating his
responses against the twenty-five indicators of

2

3

5

Н

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

470

Someone who would be -- someone who would abuse children, what the research shows that you would find.

> THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I Administered the MMPI-2, Minnesota Multiphasic Physiological Inventory, and the Criminal Supplement; the Rorschack Inkblot Method; and the TAT, Thematic Apperception. These are four highly-standardized, validated tests to measure psychopathy, defensiveness, mental illness that kind of thing.

I also did a Sex Offender Recidivism Assessment Guide and a --

> THE COURT: What is that,

sir?

reoffend.

THE WITNESS A Sex Offender Recidivism Assessment Guide, which is a -- it's a history taken from file data or in person as to whether a person is highly likely to recidivate or

The other one is a Violence Risk Assessment Guide. I did that one as well. And that measures the likelihood of recidivism for a violent offender.

We have to consider any sexual crime a violent crime, so I did both; the SORAG and the VRAG.

contact with minors, even when he was a minor. His first sexual contact was when he was sighteen, so he has no -- he has none of the typical drives that a pedophile would have or the typical abuser would have toward minor children.

His Sexual Behavior Inventory shows that he has normal, healthy sexual thoughts, and if you could -if you consider healthy would be masturbating to an adult person, rather than a fantasy of an adult rather than that of a minor.

His preferences are heterosexual. He has 'sexual attraction only to adult woman.

He's not sexually compulsive or addicted, in my professional opinion.

His sexual behavior is under his control. He is not out of control, compulsive.

His mental status exam indicated he has no organic brain damage, he is able to think straight. he's above average in intelligence.

The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale that I did, his score was thirty-eight out of a hundred and eight, indicating that he's only mild to moderate severity of symptomatology. Mostly it's a suspiciousness that is troubling him a lot in the sense of being falsely accused. He complained a lot

So I did all those tests in one day. The histories were done ahead of time, and I went over each and every question in the histories with Mr. Wood, and that led me to a couple of pages of conclusions. THE COURT: What did you conclude?

THE WITNESS: I concluded that he -- there's so many -- there's like eighteen different conclusions. I don't know if you want a summary of all of them, or do you want me to take each one?

THE COURT: Jedy em list your conclusions are. I don't know anything about your report or what you've done.

THE COURT: Okay. The paychosocial history has numerous positive elements, but it had a few elements that would lead to negative triggers, such as apparently being divorced early in his life, him having had one alcohol arrest. Those are things that are key triggers in a history for someone who is -- if you're measuring violence or sexual recidivism.

In his Sexual History Questionnaire, I found that there is no sexual history of sexual desire or

of that.

The Hare Psychopathy Checklist, which is the most validated test of whether somebody is psychopathic, a psychopath. His score on his own self-rating was about an eleven -- was an eleven out of thirty-four. Actually, if he had done all on target, he would have had a score of forty. His score was eleven. My rating of him would have been even smaller, or lower, than that.

four other people also rated him on the Hare Psychopathy checklist, and his score was four or flue.

THE COURT: When you say "four or five," what do you mean? I asked four THE WITNESS: people in the community who know him to race him by

looking at the twenty --Okay. THE COURT:

THE WITNESS: -- items. THE COURT: " Who are these people? Their name are THE WITNESS; anonymous, confidential. THE COURT: How did you get

them?

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

ı

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ı

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITHESS: form to distribute to people that were -- who know him.

THE COURT:

"Them" who?

THE MITNESS:

Through

four people.

counsel, through his counsel. His counsel identified

I've got you,

okay.

THE WITNESS: THE COURT:

THE COURT:

All right.

who they are?

THE WITHESS:

No. I know

You don't know

Their names, but I don't know them. I have not interviewed them.

15 16

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS:

THE COURT:

These were

I don't care.

Who are they?

given confidentially. May I show you this and let you read the names? Do you want me to read the

D#8433

What else did you do?

THE WITHESS: These are the

four people. William J. Elster.

THE COURT:

You don't have

to -- look, you tell me what you need to tell me. If you feel uncomfortable, tell me what you need to tell me. That's okay.

What other conclusions did you reach?

THE WITHRES:

Okay. I

472

mentioned earlier that I did the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide.

I did the Sex Offender Risk Appraisal Guide.

I did a Pedophilia Assessment, which is including the screening scale for pedophiliac interests.

I did an MMPI, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, Thematic Apperception Test, Rorschach Inkblot Test, and that led me to -- that led me to fourteen separate conclusions which formed the basis of my opinion --

THE COURT:

THE WITNESS!

Go ahead, sir.

-- which was

I don't have

attached to the report.

the report. Please tell me what those are.

THE WITNESS:

THE COURT:

Okay, Would

you like the conclusions? I gave you some of them.

Would you like to have the opinion?

THE COURT:

Sir, I don't

474

care. I guess my point is, I'm trying to figure out what you're going to testify to, so tell me what your conclusions were and then what your opinion was.

Okay. The THE WITNESS: conclusions from the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory was that he had no psychopathology; none of the ten clinical scales were elevated.

The conclusion from the Thematic Apparcaption Test is that he is an emotional man, he oversimplifies the task, he's not very creative or imaginative,

He expressed many themes, mottos, sayings, and cliches.

He had twenty-four stories that he created from the pictures that I gave him, and he tended to create positive outcomes. He is hoping for, obviously, a positive outcome.

There's no sign of a thought disorder or significant disturbance of mood in his responses.

The Rorschach Inkhlot Method. He has a lot of situational distress at this time because of the charges he's facing. He had chronic stress prior to that: situational distress was added to that.

He has characteristics that tend to be

undesirable in social relationships. He displays some social ineptness. He has a history of marked -marked by failed relationships.

He has learned to be suspictous of people that are close to him.

He does not see himself as narcistic, which is an important finding, and he does not come across -does not test as being marcistic.

He does use rationalization and externalization as defense mechanisms.

He has high ego strength.

His relations with others are superficial, tenuous, and unrewarding.

So essentially, no pathology. There are some parallels with people who might recidivate because he has some history such as alcohol use and being suspended one day from school, things that show up as high predictors.

So my opinion was, "Mr. Wood was evaluated by means of tests, inventories, histories, climical interviews, checklists, and collateral information.

"These measurement instruments led to numerous findings," which were identified in each section of the report.

"The findings led to numerous conclusions,"

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 25

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

478

which I just went through.

1

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"In my professional opinion, to a reasonable degree of psychological certainty, Frank Wood does not meet the diagnostic criteria for pedophilia. He does not endorse or agree the three core beliefs or rationalizations used by pedophiles to justify their illegal behavior.

"He has no history, spart from the recent index offense charges, of sexual behavior with a minor, even when he was a minor.

"Mr. Wood is not a sociopath or psychopath based upon the Mare PCL-R testiscores of himself, myself, and four persons who know him.

"He does not match the profile for a psychopath. Therefore, he would be a good candidate for undergoing a polygraph exam. He is not slick, conning, or manipulative: He could not beat the polygraph, in my professional opinion. "

He does not have a stash of child pornography. If he were to be someone who would be a serious or, historically, sex abuser type, he would undoubtedly have had a stash. In my thirty years of working with pedophiles and sociopaths, they all have had a stash somewhere. He did not have any.

He has no organic impairment which keeps him

from knowing right from wrong. Everything he believes and espouses would be violated if he were to touch a child sexually. .

In my professional opinion, he is also not a situational pedophile. He is a man who would normally would prefer adult woman, but who, because he would be unable to have sex with an adult, might turn to a minor. He was being sexually active regularly, therefore, he would not have needed to turn to a pre-pubescent shild.

He has no mental illness, no mental disorder according to the MMPI-2, the MSE, Mental Status Exam. Mone of the ten clinical scales were elevated to the clinical range.

He was guarded and he was defensive during the testing because he was not sure of what was going to be done with the results:

His stores on the Violence Recidivism Appraisal Guide and the Sex Offender Recidivism Appraisal Guide, both indicate that if he had, in fact, been convicted of these crimes, that his probability of recidivating would be high, but they're based on the conviction of the offense having been proven and not charged.

He has some of the social immaturity that might

477

lead to what a pedophile might have, which would cause him to turn to a child, but in his case he has a long history of relationships with adult woman, not minors.

He's not in a job where he is usually brought into contact with minors, so there's no -- there's no predatory deductive manner there.

Those were my conclusions and my opinions.

THE COURT:

Thank you very

much.

Just briefly, Miss Eisenhover, do you have any questions on the voir dire issue only?

MS. EISENHOWER: When you conducted those examinations, how many parts of that examination were done outside your presence?

THE WITNESS: Things like the histories were written by him in the cell, so there were -- there were several dozen questions that he filled out while he was sitting in the jail cell.

MS. EISENHOWER:

With --

THE WITHESS:

Then when I met

with him, I went over each of those with him

MS. EISENHOWER:

So part -- and

would you normally do that in the presence of

gomeone?

THE WITNESS: Typically a person would come to an office, such as mine, and would fill out the forms while sitting in the lobby

or at a conference table.

MS. EISENHOWER: Okay. And at

that part of the exam, you don't know if he consulted with someone else about his answers or not,

do you?

THE WITNESS: Мy

understanding was that the only people he could have talked to about those answers would have been someone in jail.

MS. EISENHOWER: Right. And you don't know if he did that or not, do you?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't. It didn't matter, because when I met with him, I went

All right. MS. EISENHOWER: Second of all, how much time did he have to do that?

> THE WITNESS! About a week. MS. EISENKOWER: A week? THE WITNESS: Hm-hm.

> > MS. EISENHOWER:

over all the questions with him myself.

All right.

3 5

1

2

10

11

12

7

13 14 15

> 18 19 20

16

17

21 22 23

24

2

3

5

6

7

₽

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT:

Thank you.

Do you folks have any questions on the voir dire issue only?

MR. GREEN: :

No, your Honor.

THE COURT: '

All right.

I would

Was there anything else you were going to testify to?

Was there anything else he was going to testify to beyond what I have heard so far?

MR. GREEN: certainly ask for certain psychological terms to be defined.

And those would

be?

is "adjustment disorder," to just throw out a term.

THE COURT:

It's okay.

Such as, What

The diagnosis MR. GREEN: with Or. LeSure of the adjustment disorder.

THE COURT:

Da you know

those things?

MR. GREEN:

THE COURT:

MR. GREEN:

Presumably.

THE COURT:

What do you do

for a living?

23

24

25

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

25

THE WITHESS:

psychologist, a clinical psychologist

THE COURT:

consequently, if they were to talk to you about what an adjustment disorder diagnosis it, you've had some experience and --

> THE WITNESS: THE COURT:

THE COURT:

Yes, sir. -- knowledge

Okay. Here's

Okay. And so

about that? THE WITNESS:

Yes.

I'm a

what I'm going to rule - and with no disrespect to anybody in the couttroom - the Defendant in this particular case is charged with two offenses. One of them is a rape charge, which alleges that on or about October 1st through the 30th -- that's not true. October 1 through October 4.

MR. GREEN:

Three.

THE COURT:

Give me one

482

second.

Okay. October 1 through October 3, 2004, in Medina County, Chio, that the Defendant purposely engaged in sexual conduct with - and they give 40 initial - S.L., and that S.L. was less than ten years of age when that occurred.

The next count alleges a single count of gross sexual imposition, which says that on or about the 1st of August, 2000 and through the 31st of October 2000, and in Medina County, Ohio, that the Defendant purposaly had sexual contact with K.S., not his apouse, or caused K.S., who was not his spouse, to have sexual contact with K.S., who was less than thirteen years of age, whether or not the Defendant knew the age of such a person.

I'm going to conclude, with all due respect, that the findings and conclusions in this report aren't relevant on those; issues. They may be relevant to sentencing, they may be relevant if the issue was whether the Defendant was a psychopath or a pedophile or a person who had some kind of mental disease or defect, but the problem is, nonpsychopaths, nonpedophiles, people with diseases or defects, and those without can commit those offenses as well. So it's not relevant on these issues.

I'm determining, secondarily, in addition to being not relevant, all of the information -- nearly all of the information from which this learned doctor has concluded these findings come directly from the Defendant, and from the Defendant almost without

481

exception. One would assume in this particular case that the prosecution will not have an opportunity to cross-examine the statements that were made to this doctor because the Defendant isn't going to be testifying; consequently, there's no opportunity to test the credibility of the things that he said to you. And if you're unable to test the credibility of the things that were said to you, then the conclusions may vary. My concern then is, in addition to the relevance issue, the hearsay isaue.

So for those reasons, the Court is going to note what you have said, ask that what you have said, your conclusions and findings, be preserved on the record so a reviewing court can take a look at what happened here and what I'm saying and say, "Rey, Judge, you were wrong," and be able to understand that.

I'm also going to have, if you can, please, a copy of your report to be included with the record as well. I'm assuming counsel for the Defendant would proffer all of the things you've said. That's the reason why I wanted to go into such great detail, because if I'm wrong, I want somebody at the appellate level to take a look and say, "Judge, you

made a mistake."

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

\$

3

4

5

6

7

₿

3

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

This is an important case. I want to make sure the decision I make is the right one and that the reasoning for my decision is transparent.

It is not that you haven't done a good job doing what you're doing, or that you're not capable of it. in fact, just the apposite, I find just the apposite to be true.

I do find that the conclusions aren't relevant and that they're based on Mr. Wood's testimony -- or Statements to you, which can't be tested in this particular case by an examination.

So with that in mind, I will permit testimony with regard to those issues of adjustment disorder and those other kind of things that were testified to by other psychologists.

Anything further?

MS. EISENHOWER: Yes, your Honor. Or. LeSure is a psychologist. I would like to lodge an objection to that part, in that he has not heard her testimony, reviewed the files, interviewed the children, treated the children.

THE COURT:

fodder for cross-examination, but I guess I'm saying

she said these things, she said what these things are, and I think it's fair that the other side has a chance to elicit that information and the Jury can listen to that.

Bring them in, Chris.

MR. GREEN: Well, Judge,

let me make a decision on that, please.

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

Chris.

ı

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

. 24

MR. GREEN: May I take maybe five minutes to speak with my client and counsel?

> THE COURT: Sure.

> > [Recess taken.)

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurors, Counsel, and the Defendant.

THE COURT: We're back on the record in Case Number 05 CR 0365, that is the State of Ohio versus Frank Wood.

The State's rested, we've gone over the voir dire and the testimony of the Defendant's doctor, basically made some conclusions with regard to that, and now we're going to see whether there's going to be any witnesses for the Defendant.

485

That's great

463

Do you have a witness you wish to call at this

time, sir? MR. GREEN: Judge, we don't

have any witnesses.

Okay. Do you THE COURT: want to go into closing arguments? How long are you going to need for closing arguments?

MR. GREEN: Your Honor, we have some exhibits to introduce.

THE COURT: folka, I'm

the presence of the Court, Counsel, and the

sorry, I need to send you out again.

(Whereupon, the Jury exited the courtroom and the further following proceedings were then held in

15 Defendant.)

> (Whereupon, a copy of a calendar for October 2004 was then marked as Defendant's Exhibit D for

purposes of identification.] First, how much THE COURT: time are you going to need for closing argument?

MS. EISENHOWER:

THE COURT:

thing, your Honor, probably half-hour, forty-five minutes.

that about right? 25

Balf-hour, is

The whole

١	
	MR. GREEN:
	have exhibits.
	THE COURT:
	have?
]	MR. GREEN: .
	calendar. And, your Honor,
	take judicial notice of what
!	3rd of 2004 is.
	THE COURT:
	Miss Eisenhower, please.
	MR. GREEN:
	THE COURT:
	objection on the calendar?
	MS. EISENHOWER:
	Honoc.
	THE COURT:
	calendar objection?
	MS. EISENHOWER
	because I believe the issue
	is that she may or may not
	of the Defendant during tho
	absolutely no evidence to h

Well, just a we would ask the Court to t October lat, 2nd, and Give that to (Complying.) Do you have an Yes, your What is your Well, only he is trying to get at have been in the presence ise days, and there's hold that theory up. I don't think there's anything to substantiate

24

THE COURT:

Here's what I'm

486

Your Honor, I

What do you

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

₽

9

going to do. The calendar will not come in. I'm going to let him make whatever arguments he wants to make with regard to the Calendar.

MR. GREEN: Exhibit A is the letter sent to Scott Sadowsky, that we presented in the State's case.

> THE COURT: A is in.

Show me what month you've got.

MR. GREEN: (Providing.) THE COURT: . What other

things do you want in?

MR. GREEN: I think these probably have to be copied before they go to the Jury, because of the highlighting, but there's the letter from Tricia Carchedi, from Medina County Job and Family Services.

THE COURT:

It's here.

MR. GREEN: That is her summary sheet that she provided to the prosecutor, which is Exhibit B.

And then Defendant's C, which was the Patient Care Communication Form that Dr. LeSure used.

THE COURT:

Any objection,

ma'am?

MS. EISENHOWER:

I have an

objection to C. That is a foundational requirement, that you examined her directly on, and I don't think it should go to the Jury. It was -- it went to her purposes for the exam, and -- that was outside the presence of the Jury, and -- first of all, I don't think they're going to understand what they have, but second of all, I don't think it's part of what the Jury should see.

THE COURT:

I'm going to

She testified

490

let in C.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Z

3

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GREEN:

there was a referral to --

You win. You THE COURT:

don't have to talk anymore. It's in.

MR. GREEN: I just wanted

the record to reflect --

THE COURT: Do you want to

make a proffer for the record? I'll step away.

MR. GREEN: Na. She

testified to the dates that are on the documents and what took place.

THE COURT: There you go.

A, B, and C are admitted.

Anything else?

At this time I will note the renewal of counsel

for the Defendant's motion for a Rule 29; I will note the same roling from the Court.

Chris, bring them in.

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held in the presence of the Court, the Jurers, Counsel, and the Defendant.)

Everybody be seated. We are back on the record in Case Number 05 CR 0365; that is State of Ohio Versus Frank Wood.

wa've reached the end of the third stage of the proceeding; that was the presentation of evidence. You've heard all the evidence you're going to hear from the State and from the Defendant. There's going to be no other evidence that's going to be presented in this case. All right?

So we're going to move from the third stage to the fourth stage, which is closing arguments. Remember opening statements? It was about a week ago when the actorneys stepped in front of you and told you what they would try to prove to you in the CASO. and then you've had the trial, all the witnesses' testimony.

We're now moving into the fourth stage, where

489

stand up in front of you and tell you what they think they have proven to you or not, depending on the perspective of the attorney. This is called closing arguments. It's important to understand that closing arguments are not evidence; they're not. They're designed to assist you and give you an idea about what they think they have proved to you.

Closing arguments are persuasion. That's the reason for closing arguments. Why should you believe one witness and not another, or this part of the testimony of this witness and not another part. That's the reason for closing arguments. They are important.

Each actorney's going to spend maybe a half an hour with you, maybe a little longer, probably not much longer than that, and then when that's done they will sit down and I'll read you the jury instructions which I've prepared. I'll read these to you, that's the fifth stage, and I'll hand them to you. They're in writing so you can review them as well as me reading them to you.

Then the sixth stage will be your deliberations, and we'll get to that.

All right. With that in mind, understanding that it is the State of Ohio that has the burden of

THE COURT:

You can all be seated.

10 11

12 13

15 16

17

14

81 19

20

21 22

24

23

25

the attorneys will, once again, have a chance to

2 3

1

5

13 14

17 18

21 72

24

25

7

Honor.

19 20

10

23

ì 2 3

4 5

10

12 13

11

15 16

14

17 18

19 20 21

22 23 24

proof, they get a chance to talk to you twice. First you'll hear their closing argument, and then Mr. Green will have a chance to talk to you, and then the State will have a chance to rebut. In any event, you're looking at maybe forty-five minutes at the outside. I don't know that they'll go that long. Miss Bisenhower.

CLOSING ARGUMENTS

MS. EISENHOWER: Thank you, your

I join the Judge and Det. Kollar in thanking you, and we thank you very much. A week-long trial is always difficult on everybody, including you, because you have the toughest job. You have to pay close attention to every single thing that happened in the courtroom all week, and I know that's tough.

Closing argument is my turn to talk to you about what I think the State of Ohio has shown you about this case. When we started out, I told you my road map; I gave you that road map. I said, "This is what I think we will be able to show you." And we did.

And how did we do that? Do you remember in the beginning I said to you, "There's going to be testimony from people who were there, about things

they saw"?

1

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, when the Defendant gave you his opening, he gave you all a lot of words, like "fairy tales, concoctions," and "vengeance," I think he said, and there was none of that. There's no evidence to support any of that.

I gave you a little girl by the name of SMEDACTED LEGISLATION. She sat right there (indicating); she was very brave. She sat in the courtroom with Frank Wood and she told you what he did to her. "His private went in my private." She said -- sat there and said something so delicate to people she's never seen before. I cannot begin to imagine how difficult that was.

You heard Dr. LeSure say she's been diagnosed with posttraumatic stress syndrome and she picks at herself because of an atypical stressor of sexual abuse.

Her behavior is consistent with what she tells you Frank Wood did. Her behavior is consistent. And her story, her story about what he did, was consistent with every single person she told.

She had to go to the Care Center at Akron Children's Mospital and have an exam for sexual abuse at ten years old. I don't wonder she picks; I don't

493

wonder.

She has an exam where she again has to disclose what happened, "He put his private in my private. It was wet and sticky. "

She demonstrated a motion for Elizabeth Morstatter, where she put her hands out and did the up-and-down motion. These are things ten-year-old girls simply do not know: They don't know. And they shouldn't know. And she sat here and told you about that.

Now, I also told you mount Skiller was going to testify. And she tried, she really did. She had a Teddy Bear. She sat down and she tried, and you could see the difficulty she was having; you could see it. She came back in here and she could tell you the person that she told about this was Dr. LeSura, and she told her the truth.

And she also told you who. She pointed at him (indicating).

That was a monumental thing for King Skeparies to be able to do that, because, as you heard Dr. LeSure testify, this is how K deals with it. She doesn't pick, she just hides it. She just puts it way far down in. And you know what? Dr. LeSure told you that's what her mother does. And you know

what? Robyn Spencer sat here and said, "Yeah, that's what I did when she told me the first time. I put it way down in here because I didn't want to be back under the influence of Frank Wood. I didn't want to be back in that, so I just filed it away and I didn't talk about it.

And that's exactly what her daughter did: she filed it away and she didn't talk about it.

Donna Abbott and Elizabeth Morstatter, they're as good as it gets when it comes to the work they do. Donna Abbott explained to you why, in minety percent of the times, there are no physical findings, and she related it to you in a way that all of you can understand. "Yes, when I've got an ulcer bite on my lip, in the inside of my mouth, it's gone in & day or two. ⁴

Delayed reporting has a lot to do with it. Instilling fear in children about what's happened to them works for a defendant every time. And it works for him so they can stand up and say, "There aren't any physical findings," because the child was too afraid to go and talk to semebody about what had happened. And it isn't until they're safe and it isn't until they're not around that person that they feel like they can finally tell somebody. And that

23 24

25

11 12

14 15

16 17

19 20

23

25

ı

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

all works so that someone can stand up and say. "Well, there's no physical evidence."

Folks, you can't suppose there would be, because there's no evidence, that there should be. The evidence that you got from the State of Ohio says in ninety percent of these kind of cases there never is. That does not mean it didn't happen. In ninety percent of these cases there aren't any, and she gave you all the reasons why. All of them.

When Kennith talked about what happened to her to Suzanne LeSure - Dr. LeSure - she displayed all of the same mechanisms that you saw here, but she told her, "He put his private on my private. He rubbed it."

Now, the defense is going to say, "Well, when?" Look, SREINCHED was very clear. "Right before my tenth birthday." Who doesn't remember their tenth birthday? They're mine and then they're ten. "Right before."

And when he tried to ask her about that, she said, "No, but the two days before that, I hurt."

Now, children remember things, even a day -even a year or a couple of months after. What do they remember? The last time it happened, and SPECIALTIED told you October 20th. The first time it

497

of your daughter's bedroom. "What are you doing? What are you doing in your underwear in my daughter's bedroom?"

"We'll talk about if later."

What is that, "We'll talk about it later"?

They acted appropriately, and I don't know how you turn that into vengeance. They acted appropriately to protect the children.

Dr. LeSure treats victims of sexual abuse. She's seen a lot. She gave you testimony about what they told her occurred. They told her - SMEDACTED specifically - "He put his private in my private. He put his private on me and he rubbed it all over me. It was sticky and wet. It was gross."

Now, if there was ever a ring of truth to something an eleven-year-old said, that's it, "It's gross."

Yeah, it's gross, yeah. And that's what she said. And those are the things you have to remember when judging the credibility of these children. It was their words, their descriptions, and "gross" sure has a ring of truth in it. Kids that age, that's the word they use.

But sticky? Gooey? Those are things she shouldn't know. Those are things she shouldn't. happened is the time it hurt the most. Those are the things that trigger a child's memory.

And that's what you got: that's what you got.

The Defendant indicated, in his opening to you, that this was a conspiracy, a concection, all these people don't like Frank Wood.

Well, I'll give you Scott Sadowsky. He's got a reason not to like Frank Wood. That much is true. But you know what? He was very brave, too. He came up here and he told you exactly what happened. "I hired this guy. I let Danielle do it. I hired him to come in, and the next thing I know he's got my Wife."

But he didn't say he was vengeful or angry. He fell on the floor crying. He was hurt. He was hurt. And the Defendant is going to make him out to be some kind of vengeful monster because when he found out that Frank Wood had molested his adopted daughter he acted appropriately and said, "I don't want him around her anymore"? Every one of you would have done the same. Every single one of you would have done the same if there's a chance this guy did that, "I don't want him anywhere near my daughter."

And every one of you would have had the same reaction Danielle had when you see a guy coming out

And SPERMIND was worried stilly about, "Where am I going to live?" and, "Who am I going to live with?" She's been shuffled from here to there to here, and

"Don't tell anybody."

he took advantage of that.

"And, SRENACISI, why didn't you?"

"Where am I going to live? If I can't live with mom, where am I going to live? I just moved from Grandma Alice to here, and before that -- "

He knew that. He knew.

Kanara comes, finally gets settled in, after a lot of rigmarole she gets settled in. She didn't want to get disrupted either. She doesn't tell anybody. And she's looking for a father figure: she's looking to that guy for help.

Both of them, "I want some help with my homework. What are you doing?"

Now, pornography. Hey, if it's not child pornography, it's pornography, but these girls are telling you the same thing.

Robyn Spencer sat there and said, "Yeah, he was looking at pornography in a magazine."

"Well, how do you know that?"

"Because he was fondling himself while he was doing that."

Z 3

1

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

6

10

11

12

13

9

14

16 17

15

13 20

1 B

71 22

23

25

24

4

5

6

. 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 D

21

22

23

25

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

What did SREWACTED tell you? "I went downstairs and he's looking at some really gross pictures on the computer."

"Well, he had his hand in his pants."

Have a ring? Sound familiar?

That's the thing, folks, we don't just have one story, we have two. We have two stories from two girls who never, ever met each other. They don't know about each other to this day. They don't know about each other, their mothers don't know about each other. They can't concoct a story they don't know.

Penetration however slight. sREDACTED gave you that. Donna Abbott gave you that. Suzanne LeSure gave you that. Don't think you have to have more. This is direct evidence by a person who was present when it happened, and it doesn't get any better than that. There she was, knowing things that happened to her by that Defendant that she should never have to go through.

And we believe that when you consider all the evidence -- well, I forgot one piece.

Travis McCourt begins that investigation in '04, in January, when the disclosure is made, and he talks to the Defendant. And he testified to you that he

asked the Defendant early in the interview, "Did you ever have sex in the kids' beds?"

"No." The Defendant said, "No."

And he testified to you that, in fact, the Defendant told him when the kids were there, he didn't even like having sex when they were present in the house,

But subsequent to that interview the Defendant said, when confronted with possible evidence to the contrary - in other words, "We may have some biological evidence on these beds. How would that happen?"

"Oh, well, hm, wait. Yeah, maybe I had sex on that bed twice and that bed once."

He lied. He lied. And then, when confronted with the possible, "We may be able to say that," he Changed his story.

"All right. What about the pornography on your computer?"

He tells Officer McCourt, "It quit working because it got rained on."

It didn't quit working because of rain. As soon as he got a phone call from Officer McCourt in February, he took that computer up to Cleveland and he amashed it to bits and threw it in three different

501

Dumpsters so that we'd never be able to find it.

Because that's the piece of evidence that corroborates what these girls are saying, what SELDACIED is saying. The Defendant wants you to hold that against us. He wants you to say, "You've just got some little girls here." That's because the Defendant destroyed the computer, and that's because the Defendant had so instilled fear in these girls not to tell that they didn't tell right away.

I can't do anything about that now, but What I can do is say to you that those two girls told you, from their mouths - SREDALTED did, King tried - what happened to them, and that's not changed since they originally told. What happened to them has stayed the same every time they had to go through the process, and I am asking you not to make that in valn.

The State of Ohio asks that you find this Defendant Guilty.

MR. GREEN:

THE COURT:

Thank you,

Thank you, your

21 ma'am.

Sir.

2**2** 23

24

25

Ronor.

THE COURT: You bet, sir. MR. GREEN:

Ms. Elsenhower,

502

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:

I want to thank you for your time that you spent here on behalf of Mr. Wood and myself and Mr. Stanley.

We said from the beginning this is not an easy case, but I -- in my opening statement, I tried to highlight a few things for you that are trying to be downplayed here.

I talked about the lack of physical evidence. I think I delivered. There is no physical evidence.

I talked about, and I noted it in the voir dire, someone said, "Well, you'll hear versions from the stand." We have had versions from the stand as to how things transpired.

I talked to you about these two separate offenses, because that was questionable. Why are we here for that? I called it "piling on."

And then I talked to you about the history of the case.

I'd like to go through these witnesses kind of one by one, and I want you to think about what was actually said, when they finished, what did you get out of it.

Travis McCourt was the officer from the

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

\$1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

. 6

Montville Township Police. He testified that there was a complaint made, that he went and participated with Children's Services in an interview of the mother and of the child in this case - Danielle Sadowsky being the mother and SEFDACTED MESSAGE being the child.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

ኒዕ

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

l D

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He was there, he said he asked only a couple of questions, he let the social worker do most of the interview. He knew that the case was -- that they were certainty over at Akron Children's Hospital for evaluation. He did interview the Defendant. He then got the report back from Children's Services, and he terminated the case. No rape, no gross sexual imposition, no sexual imposition, no sexual assault,

Danielle Sadowsky. We got into the -- you know, the whole background of how she met Frank, what went on, the relationship that she had with Frank. Sounds like they were on quite a fling there at the start.

no charge. Didn't happen. He closed the case.

It moved into things, she backed off for a short time, she goes back to Frank. And this time, and sometime either in July or August, I'm not sure what it was, but she has the children spend three nights of the week there. She testified those nights of the week were Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday. She testified that never deviated. Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday were her nights with the children at the house.

She also -- you know, she testifies that she wakes up on this -- I think it's October 20th. Somebody's a little unclear whether it's the 19th or the 20th or the 21st, but whatever day it was, that she wakes up and goes looking for Frank.

Now, I want you to think about that. She went looking for Frank, she didn't find him initially, and She sees him coming out of her daughter's bedroom in his underwear. And she's totally appalled that he would be in his underwear. Well, I'm going to skip here, but do you remember Scott Sadowsky, when I asked him what he wore around the house in front of his children? He wears his underwear.

So what caused her to go off? I asked her if they had a dispute the night before. She said, "Well, there was something." She couldn't remember what it was, but there was something, something that happened that might before that caused her to go Off.

And she goes off on Frank. She goes off. She doesn't run in after she sees Frank coming out of the room to see what's happened to SREDACTED. Do you

505

remember that? She did not go into the room. She went down to her son's room - A - and went in bed with him. She left N (sic), who she thinks something happened with, according to her, because Frank looked quilty and he says he didn't want to talk about it.

But, you know, she trailed off on that. If you remember her testimony, she said, "Well, he said he didn't want to talk about it. He said he would talk about it later. He would talk about it later."

But now she says, "Well, he did tell me that he was in there because SEFDACTED was upset and that he was trying to console her." She got that at that time because there was no "later" that she could have gotten it. She got it at that time. So we've got the testimony kind of fudged a little bit at the start, but them she did tell the truth of what was şaid.

But her reaction, that ought to tell you a lot. She went down to A room, she leaves, she packs up, moves in with Scott again. He gives her thirty days. I was kind of amused by how they - Danielle and Scott - described each other. This wonderful, loving home; and he's a great dad, she's a great mom, she's this, she's that.

Well, what the heck happened to them? is she a great mom? She has an affair. An affair that she gets pregnant in while she's still married to Scott. And all he is, is burt? I think he showed you a

And he was good about not finding any anger at Mr. Wood, but he started:to show some towards Danielle on cross-examination. I got a feeling he had a lot of anger for Mr. Wood.

little bit of anger here and there.

Danielle pursues SMEDACHED over and over and over. And if you remember, Skeparting said, "She kept asking me and begging me. I couldn't hold it in any longer and I told her."

Weil, told her what? She told her what she wanted to hear. What do kids do? You get pestered enough, "Yeah, sure, I did it." It happens.

The details of what happened. That gets really interesting in this case. The State wants you to believe only the statements that you got from the stand when they could have given you some better evidence of what was said. They are relying upon the testimony of a social worker and her notes at Akron Children's Hospital. Do you remember Miss Morstatter? What did she rely upon? It was videotaped. Where is it? Why didn't you see

it?

3

1

2

4 6

7 ₿

10 11

9

13 14

12

16 17

15

20 21

18

19

22 23

25

24

2 3

1

5 6 7

8 9 10

12 13

11

14 15 16

17

18 13

20 21

22

23 24

25

MS. EISENHOWER: Objection, your

Honor.

THE COURT: " Overruled. You

can continue.

MR. GREEN: Miss Morstatter said she looked at it before she came in here. They didn't want you to see it. Didn't want you to see it. They don't want you to see coaching. They don't want you to see they got most of the information from mom. They don't want you to see it.

And if you remember I asked sREDACTED, I had ... asked, you know, "How many times did you have to tell this story?"

And she said, "A lot."

And admittedly, we know there were several times she had to tell the story. The social worker, Akron Children's Hospital, she said she met with Ms. Elsenhower several times. She has been over this thing and over it.

And maybe you picked up on at in the testimony. This happened on redirect, on redirect of SEEDWIND when she was saked about the computer. She wasn't asked in the first direct-examination about the computer. She said, "Frank had me look at these

pictures."

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 D

21

22

You were led to believe that Frank was intentionally showing those pictures to SKEDALTED . In her response to Ms. Eisenhover, you were absolutely led to believe that.

When I asked her about did she work with Frank in doing homework, and this sort of thing, she said, "Yeah, Frank helped me with my homework."

I said, "Did he call you to the basement?" She said, "No. I would go to the basement to see if I could get Frank to help me with my homework.

That's when she saw what she described as seeing adults on the computer, "And Frank told me, 'I'll be with you in a minute.'" He did not invite her to look at those pictures. Do you remember that? Because it really had me concerned when she gave a canned response to computer questions on direct-examination, or really redirect, and then it was a different response.

They want you to believe that she's afraid of Frank. Do you remember my last question to SARBACTED? I asked that directly, "Are you afraid of Frank Wood?"

She said, "No." Not afraid of him.

509

Danielle, as I told you, testified that the children stayed with her hosband on the weekends. She and her husband were consistent about that. Was SREDACTED near Frank's house prior to her birthday? I'm Sure she was, because she was probably there Monday, Tuesday, Mednesday.

She has had dinner, we heard about it - I just forgot the name of it - where they go up to Put-In-Bay. Go up to Put-In-Bay, I quest that's the grandma's or something, but that's where she'd go with dad on the weekends. We heard about that.

Her birthday. Yes, she remembers her birthday. And she wasn't there on her birthday, is what she soid, she was with dad, her daddy.

Now, this little girl's been put through an awful lot. There's no question about it. Not only just in this case. It was unfortunate the way her life started. I hope that, you know, with all the things that have happened in her background and everything that she's going to be okey.

But I thought it was interesting, when we talked to Dr. LeSure about the adjustment disorder she talked about the atressors involved, and when she got to SRIDACTED - let me get this right here - she listed the stressors. And this would be from her notes in

the order that she placed them.

She came up with this adjustment disorder, and she says a series of recent events. Divorce was number one. Number one.: Do you remember that? She made that number one.

Move of grandparent; number two.

And then she talked about Frank Wood, and she just said Frank Wood was number three. And then she started to break it down, and the first thing that she said was Frank Wood and showing pictures. She didn't talk about sexual abuse here at this point.

So her order of importance was divorce, number one, as being the problem. Knowing that this child had been referred to her for sexual abuse, the divorce was number one. Adjustment disorder. She tries to seek counseling for parental guidance of the parents and the child.

She didn't initially treat the child for sexual abuse, and I don't think that I ever heard her talk about it other than she found out about it through, what she describes as, her history that she takes. We don't have a time and a place when it took place. But I thought it was interesting, in her notes, she described any form of sexual abuse - and I did not

23 . 24

2

3

7

8

10

11

. 12

13

14

15

16.

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

; 9

1

10

19

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

13 16

say "rape" - sexual abuse having taken place between SEEDACTED's birthday and Halloween. Do you remember that? Again, it's not October 1st, 2nd, or 3rc.

And she didn't say "rape." She doesn't have "rape" in her notes.

Now we go to Donna Abbott. And yes, she's seen a lot of these cases. I probably differ with Ms. Eisenhower on what I heard on about ninety percent of the cases. That was put in with the total of all the cases that she sees, of which she said forty percent that can't be verified. Forty percent. She had a lot of different statistics for us.

And then she acknowledged, because of the history, this can be sexual abuse. She didn't have a time frame for you, she has statements - again, that she received from a social worker, and she got statements that were on a videotape that she didn't even look at - and she makes that conclusion.

But I think most importantly, while the prosecution wants you to believe this isn't important, I think it is - we're in a serious charge here - there's no physical evidence.

Along that line, you heard from the officer from BCI. Mr. Saraya. They took in evidence, immediately

contemporaneous to the time that it was discovered that anything had happened on these mattresses and mattress pads and sheets and clothes, and nothing. Nothing.

You heard Officer Kollar -- Bet. Kollar, excuse me. He came in with a search warrant to get his computer. Did you hear any results on the computer? Nothing you, heard about that.

You heard that there wre no findings by McCourt, by Carchedi - I think that's the name, I'm struggling with it - and the people at Akron Children's. Nothing conclusive. No charge brought by Travis McCourt, no further investigation. The case was closed by Ohio Job and Family Services, Children's Services; it was closed February 25th.

Nothing in this case has changed as of that date. You've got people that work with this, with criminal offenses, with sex abusers; nothing has changed since that time. There's no new evidence that has happened in the SkillMTRD LEADERS case. Nothing new.

Then along comes Robyn Spencer. And Robyn, who still had some sort of a talking relationship of some sort with Frank Wood, and was getting some support from him, he was helping her, she decides, 'I've got

to come forward because I heard at the bank something happened, but I don't want to lile charges against Frank. I don't want to hurt him."

I find that to be a bit in conflict.

And here we are; that's the only new evidence.

Well, you get Keeke in here and everyone's trying to say she's reticent, she's this, she's that, she doesn't want to talk about it. But this was the day she had to talk about it - or yesterday - and you had to hear from her. She is the direct evidence. When she was asked about being upstairs with Frank, she couldn't remember, and she said, "No." She said, "No."

She was brought back in the courtroom, and all she did was say, "Do you know who Frank Wood is?"

"Yesh, I know who Frank Wood is," and she left, They tried to bolster the testamony through Dr. LeSure and Det. Kollar.

Again, another major missing piece of evidence for you. What did Det. Kollar tell you he did when he interviewed X[___ ? He recorded her. Where's the recording?

MS. EISENHOWER: Objection, your Nonoz.

THE COURT:

Oversuled.

514

This is closing argument, it's not evidence.

You can continue, sir.

MR. GREEN: : You heard him say there was one. Where is it? Why didn't you hear it? Because it doesn't say what they want you to

These are awful charges to have against this man. And as I told you in opening statement, you want to make sure in your decision you're right. And I believe to find Frank Wood Guilty, in order to find him Guilty, you've got to feel comfortable with it, that there's something more than stories given to third parties or second parties or whatever. You've got to know. They had the opportunity to let you know, but they played with it, they moved it around. they twisted it to make it fit. They have tried to make this thing fit into October 1st, 2nd, or 3rd that a cape took place. Not gross sexual imposition, not sexual contact, a rape, and you're charged with attempting to find that there's a rape here.

I didn't get out of SPEDACTED the same things that Ms. Elsenhower says happened. SREDACTED said when these events took place, whatever they were, that most of the time she had her eyes closed, and

20 21 22

24

23

25