

I... am... better.

-Kahn, *Star Trek, Into Darkness*

¶768 Now we must apply a few simple facts to what I presented thus far regarding all of the people who aided in the Implanted/Transplanted Memories of the girls through the processes of emotional association, neuroplasticity, re-scripting, and transference:

- 1) S.L. initially and repeatedly denied any wrongdoing by me (Tp.92, Ln.5-10)
- 2) Danielle badgered S.L. from September-October of 2004 to January of 2005 until S.L. caved and told Danielle the story Scott wanted her to hear [¶205], (Tp.94, Ln.8-9)
- 3) “Frankie raped you”
- 4) Via his own testimony, Scott actually **admitted** that he believed S.L. when she denied any wrongdoing by me (Tp.216, Ln.2-14)¹⁷⁰
- 5) K.S.’s story was “not accurate in reality” [¶664], (Exhibit-04, p.11)
- 6) When asked who touched her, K.S. answered “Frank?” in the form of a question [¶648]. *Whew!* Glad Atty. Stanley was there for this one
- 7) Both K.S. and S.L. were abused and controlled **prior** to living with me
- 8) Both K.S. and S.L. suffered changes in custody, repeatedly
- 9) Both K.S. and S.L. suffered changes in living arrangements, repeatedly
- 10) K.S. had too many male figures in her life [¶804]
- 11) Scott had control over K.S.’s mind and body from October of 2004 until Trial in May-June of 2005 [¶191]
- 12) Lynda, at least, had access to the mind of K.S. from the day of alleged reporting until Trial. She was actively involved in K.S.’s life, as we have seen, since birth

Just too much for the minds of these poor girls. Just too much.

¹⁷⁰ Guilty conscience speaks #5.

¶769 Next, consider the facts that, not only was information withheld from LeSure, via the lies, manipulations, and half-truths that were fed to Dr. LeSure, we have no idea what questions were asked of the girls during their counseling sessions. But here are a few – Wait! What did Judge Collier tell the **Dirty Dozen**? Ah!

To *infer*, or to make an inference, is to reach a *reasonable conclusion* of fact which you may, but are not required to, make from other facts which you find have been established by direct evidence. Whether an inference is made rests solely entirely with you (Jury Instructions: Tp.531, Ln.13-18), [*emphasis added*].

So let us do the same, *infer*, but with the truth! Now, here are a few of those *reasonably concluded* questions:

- 1) What did Frank Wood do to you? [¶684]
- 2) What else did Frank Wood do?
- 3) Did Frank Wood do...?
- 4) Did Frank Wood say?
- 5) It's alright. Whatever you say to me is "private" [¶734], (Tp.403, Ln.4-12)

¶770 Such questioning would further implant, step-by-step, the false memories, and insert me deeper into the memories of what others had done, thereby changing, re-scripting the *cell assemblies* of their memories [¶821].

. . .

Groot: I am Groot?

["Are we there yet?"]

Frank: Almost.

. . .

¶771 Now, please recall that Dr. LeSure's initial diagnosis for K.S. (Tp.418, Ln.25), [¶784],

and that of S.L. (Tp.414, Ln.13-14) was “adjustment disorder” that became sexual abuse. The real kicker is this: Dr. LeSure never clarified *how* her diagnoses of the girls radically changed, or *why* such changes took place.

¶772 Patient and careful planning, eh?

¶773 You see, there is no evidence in my Part-And-Parceled Trial Record that Dr. LeSure approached the girls objectively and carefully with ‘*What happened to you?*’ Therefore, the opposite holds true:

‘*What did Frank Wood do to you?*’ [¶684]

¶774 Dr. LeSure contractually forgot the first rule of medicine:

Diagnose before you prescribe.

¶775 *Brain Butcher.*

And people want to know why *I* have an attitude.

¶776 As Dr. LeSure’s testimony is void of “Frankie raped you,” and “the two days before,” the “untruthful” Danielle testified that S.L. never saw Dr. LeSure in 2004 (Tp.163, Ln.1-5), and that she, Danielle, made no allegations [¶781] of sexual abuse regarding S.L. and me (Tp.163, Ln.6-11). Danielle also conveniently forgot to mention that S.L. had been “previously” treated by Dr. LeSure [¶730], just like she forgot to mention Dr. Jedacek; S.L.’s previous treating psychologist (Exhibit-44). Yes, people lie to hide, gain, and/or protect something or someone. But we’ll get into this much later at the appropriate time [¶1442]. This is promise you. ☺

¶777 Reader, please read the above paragraph a few more times. This really needs to sink in. Thanks.

¶778 Further establishing Danielle’s Court-acknowledged and State-utilized perjury, Dr. LeSure contradicts Danielle’s testimony by verifying that

1) "The case was signed in on 9/24/04. They had called on 9/23/04. The first intake appointment was scheduled for 11/22/04" (Tp.407, Ln.14-16)

2) Dr. LeSure also clarified that Scott signed for "permission" on 11/24/04 (Tp.407, Ln.7-9), (See Exhibit-03: p.6)

¶779 Continuing... Danielle claimed S.L. had "**no counseling**" when she came into their custody, but changed her story a moment later (Tp.110). On the other hand, Scott testified S.L. had "**always**" been in some sort of counseling (Tp.204), (Exhibit-19: OIP; May 12, 2016; p.2).

¶780 It's disturbing to know that others were in S.L.'s mind **prior** to the extensive list of those in this case [¶634-¶637], and that Danielle repeatedly lied about it under oath. Σ

¶781 Self-contradicting her own testimony in [¶776], Danielle must have forgotten she went to the Montville P.D. on those two (2) separate and distinct occasions to file **rape charges** against me while under Scott's orders [¶205], (Tp.169, Ln.18-Tp.170, Ln.1-2):

1) October 20, 2004 [¶186], (Tp.163, Ln.12-Tp.164, Ln.21)

2) January 11, 2005 [¶205], (Tp.170, Ln.11-19)

¶782 Amnesia?

¶783 Word to those who did this to the girls and to me:

You knock on Hell's door long enough,
sooner or later,
Hell's going to answer.
-Unknown

¶784 Confirming Dr. LeSure's reckless ethics and improper protocols, if one could call them that, recall that K.S.'s initial diagnosis was "adjustment disorder" [¶771] that also became sexual abuse **after** Cornerstone Psychological Services received your tax dollars via contract.

Fascinating.
-Dr. Spock

¶785 Digging deeper, now recall that, during Trial, K.S. TWICE declared that she could not recall being at an alleged crime scene with me, and that Judge Collier declared “What I’m hearing her say is, “No, it didn’t happen” (Exhibit-03: p.10). Remarkably, these events occurred prior to Dr. LeSure’s testimony. Therefore, I was wrongfully convicted on K.S.’s (and S.L.’s) coached *ex parte* out-of-court statements to her treating psychologist in direct violation of my right to the Confrontation Clause of the U.S. 6th Amendment. I will now explain.

¶786 There are three (3) primary reasons as to *why* Dr. LeSure should not have been permitted to testify as the State’s Expert Witness. The *first reason*, as we have seen, was the granting of the financially motivating contractual “agreement” that was never disclosed to the Defense or Jury. The *second reason* is found in Crawford v. Washington (2004), 541 U.S. 36, and Davis v. Washington (2006), __ U.S. __, 126 S.Ct. 2266. Within both of these cases, the U.S. Supreme Court declared *ex parte* statements to a treating psychologist that are made about past events, with **no ongoing emergency** (below), to be testimonial. Further, under the U.S. 6th Amendment I had no Pre-Trial Constitutional Opportunity to have an attorney cross-examine the alleged statements that were presented to Dr. LeSure and the Grand Jury. What more, Judge Collier’s passing Dr. LeSure’s illegally submitted report to Court Reporter Garrity for the purposes of destruction, did not exactly aid in preserving my Constitutional Rights. In addition, and this is disturbing, Atty. Green neither requested nor suggested that he be permitted to have our own psychologist, Dr. Reed: The Attorney General’s Expert (Exhibit-46), examine K.S. or S.L. [¶704].

¶787 Clarifying testimonial statements for you, such statements are

testimonial when the circumstances objectively indicate that there is **no... ongoing emergency**, and that the primary purpose of the interrogation is to establish or prove past events potentially relevant to later criminal prosecution (Davis, id at 2273-73), [**emphasis added**],

which is exactly what Dr. LeSure did!!!!!!

¶788 K.S. was prompted to lay claim that she was abused over four (4) years prior to her meeting Dr. LeSure. With **no ongoing emergency**, and Dr. LeSure in the Prosecutor's back pocket always ready and willing to testify as the State's Expert Witness, by law, Dr. LeSure should never have been allowed to testify regarding K.S.'s *ex parte*, Pre-Trial, and coached out-of-court testimonial statements. Notably, Judge Collier didn't see it this way. With LeSure on the payroll and always ready to testify, Collier said, regarding K.S., that LeSure's

purpose of obtaining the statement from the child wasn't for the purpose of trial, but that – the Court's understanding is – (Tp.409, Ln.5-7)

that the statement was taken for the purposing of helping that person cope with the rigors of trial (Tp. 409, Ln.10-12)

[Then why did LeSure testify?]

Based on this, the Court's making a determination – and note the Defendant's objection for the record, please – that she is going to be able to testify as to what was said to her (Tp.409, Ln.16-17).¹⁷¹

¶789 Not only is this a violation of Excited Utterance Evid.R.803 (2), State v. Dean, Potter v. Baker, and State v. Jones [¶650-¶654], this blatant violation of my U.S. 6th Amendment rights happened because K.S.'s voluntary in-court testimony yielded absolutely *nothing*. Remember "we" and the "pact" [¶684-¶689], (See also [¶988-¶993]).

[illegible]

¶791 The *third reason* Dr. LeSure should not have been allowed to testify regarding her interviews of K.S. can be found in State v. Arnold (2010), WL 2430965 Ohio. Pursuant to this Ohio Supreme Court ruling, a psychologist who has filled “**dual capacities**” by acting as a

¹⁷¹ *In subsidium* #11.

“forensic investigator” and a “treating physician”, as did Dr. LeSure, should not be allowed to testify regarding her *ex parte* communications with an alleged victim. Such renders the alleged victim’s statements purely *testimonial*, and therefore, *inadmissible*. Further, this if fully supported by Crawford (supra). For clarity, Dr. LeSure confirmed she “understood” her testimony would be required, was “accustomed” to being summoned to court, and that she was a “mandated” child abuse reporter [¶736-¶737] on county contract. If she was actually *helping* K.S. prepare for the rigors of trial, LeSure could have coached K.S. (and S.L.) from the sidelines concerning her (their) emotions and focus... *only*. Still, a valid question remains: How did Dr. LeSure *not* fill the dual capacity roles of “forensic investigator” and “treating physician”? I would just love to see the State explain that one away on public television.

¶792 As a refresher, the majority of Dr. LeSure’s testimony that supports what I have shown you so far can be found at

1) (Exhibit-03: p.6, 11, and 12)

2) (Exhibit-08: p.R-1 at ¶7)

3) (Exhibit-19: OIP; August 31, 2015 and May 12, 2016)

¶794 Reader, throughout this literary manifesto, on at least two (2) occasions, I told you that I am neither an attorney, doctor, nor private investigator. I also told you that I am far from being an accomplished author or editor. Obviously. (Rita, no comments from the peanut gallery ☺). Further, I am far from being a licensed clinical psychologist with *kick-ass-credentials* like Dr. Reed. Nevertheless, what I am is an observer of fact who is trying his best to put all of the pieces together in an innovative manner that will make sense. As I welcome *all* of your questions for clarification, insights, help, and ideas, I do thank you kindly for your patience as I go on my little walkabouts to come full circle on a subject, sub-topic, or point of law. If you only knew the

quantity of paper, pencils, and erasers that I have gone through in my attempts to be clear!

Fortunately for me it holds quite true that

“Paper is patient.”
(Frank, p.136)

¶795 To properly proceed from this point, please recall that Det. Kollar was not only lied to, but was told half-truths, and information withheld from him.

[@X-1•#\$!!!]

Had to get that out. I feel much better now. ☺

¶796 Now I will show that Dr. LeSure was treated in the **EXACT** same fashion as Kollar, rendering her examinations of the girls psychologically one-sided, and, therefore, just like Kollar, she achieved the wrong result: **Me!** You see, from The Tenth Man Rule, Dr. LeSure did begin with the end in mind [¶471, 5], and that end was confirming me as the perpetrator in two (2) young, abused **before** I met them, confused, and stressed minds. So watch how all this plays out. I will have to repeat a few details to keep us on point and to eliminate gaps as I break down LeSure’s testimony. This you will find to be quite disturbing.

¶797 At the time of Trial, Dr. LeSure was “**currently treating S.L.**” (Tp.413, Ln.19-21), and her “initial diagnosis” of S.L. was “adjustment disorder” (Tp.414, Ln.13-14).

¶798 Dr. LeSure then confirmed that

S.L. had experienced a series of recent stresses, including her parents separating, a move on the part of her maternal grandmother, and allegations of sexual abuse (Tp.414, Ln.17-19).

¶799 Then, in response to being asked who was alleged to have committed the abuse, Dr. LeSure answered,

Both the **mother** and the child reported that Frank Wood was the person who was involved with the sexual abuse (Tp.413, Ln.1-3), [emphasis added], [¶856, 2] [¶1378, 4].

¶800 Reader, I remain under the impression that you are in no way surprised at see the “untruthful” Danielle’s direct involvement in the psychological interviews and examinations of S.L. After all, S.L.’s “mother” told her that “Frankie” had “raped” her (Tp.300, ln.21-Tp.301, Ln.8) under Scott’s orders while he carefully lurked in the shadows and avoided all alleged reporting and interviews.

Coward!

¶801 Strange how Danielle conveniently forgot to tell Dr. LeSure about

- 1) S.L.’s past history of sexual abuse
- 2) Why she left the sanctity of the marriage bed
- 3) The “million cash”
- 4) The baseball roster of non-professionals, unqualified, family, and children that had access to S.L.’s mind
- 5) That she, herself, had been molested in her youth

¶802 When asked about delayed reporting of alleged abuse, Dr. LeSure stated

Sometimes they’re afraid. Sometimes they want to protect the person (Tp.418, Ln.4-5).

¶803 Like I stated earlier: *Stockholm Syndrome* [¶756 at Footnote 169]. As S.L. was fully dependent on Scott, long-term, for a stable home life and emotional support, K.S. was dependent, long-term, on Lynda and Ryan. The girls had to protect their providers. They didn’t know they had a choice. Just like the **uninformed and illegal adoption of my son** by Danielle’s new husband. My son not only does not know that I exist, we must consider that Judge Kevin Dunn asked my son if he wanted her new hubby ‘Brad’ to be his dad. This is direct evidence that the

Court-declared “untruthful” **Danielle will harm the minds of children for her cursed vanity.**

She lied to Scott, Kollar, Dr. LeSure, the Court, Pros. Eisenhower, S.L., me, Children’s Services, Akron Children’s Hospital, the Grand Jury, and who knows who else to accomplish the dual motives of her and Scott. She’s a sick human being that needs help. A lot of help.

¶804 Continuing... K.S.’s initial diagnosis was also, as we have seen, “adjustment disorder” (Tp.418, Ln.25) that became sexual abuse. What more, and here’s the real kicker, according to LeSure, K.S. had “**multiple male figures in her life**” (Tp.419, Ln.7-8), [¶741, 11], [¶768, 10].

Wait!

- 1) Who were these men? [¶810]
- 2) Why were they not interviewed by Kollar, Children’s Services, and LeSure?
- 3) Do they have criminal backgrounds?
- 4) Were they ever accused of sexual abuse or abused themselves?

This is serious because

- 5) Dr. LeSure proceeded without this information
- 6) K.S. allegedly told Dr. LeSure “**He was hurting me**” (Tp.419, Ln.16-17)

¶805 As the alleged **use of force** was not in the police report (Exhibit-04),

- 1) The use of force went unindicted (Exhibits-02 and 25)
- 2) Robyn testified to the contrary (Exhibit-03), [¶660]
- 3) Dr. Reed confirmed that I **cannot** harm a child (Exhibit-34), [¶504-¶505],

and I **never** will

leaving the alleged use of force a true IMPLANTED/TRANSPLANTED MEMORY¹⁷² under

Title 18 U.S.C.S. § 1512, [¶195]. So, with the above in mind,

¹⁷² Implanted/Transplanted Memory # 7, and Unindicted allegation # 5.

Query: Who was hurting K.S.?

¶806 In direct regards to K.S. the following exchanges took place between Atty. Green and Dr. LeSure:

Q And the events that she described to you, when did they happen?

A You know, I'm not sure I have the dates. ***.¹⁷³

Q So you don't know?

A I don't have the dates (Tp.427, Ln.13-19), [¶813], [¶886].

Shozbot!
-Mork and Mindy

I gather *guesswork* is more applicable with Dr. LeSure's unethical and immoral protocols. What more, with no date, you have no location. Ah! Dr. LeSure's testimony regarding both girls does not proffer an exact location, as in home address [¶1109, 6]. My bullshit Bill of Particulars (Exhibit-02) and **sham indictment** (Exhibit-25) only read, in the most generalized manner, "Medina County" and proffer no actual alleged crime scene. This is interesting because,

With neither date nor location,
you cannot identify the actual perps.
-Frank P. Wood

This is confirmed where K.S. testified TWICE that she could not recall being at an alleged crime scene with me (Exhibit-03).

¶807 Continuing with LeSure's testimony,

Q If it had been three, four years earlier, would that make a difference as to the quality of the history you received from a child of that tender age, or of tender years?

A Yes, ***. A three-, four-, five-year-old would be able to remember, might not have a specific memory (Tp.427, Ln.20-Tp.428, Ln.6), [emphasis added].

¹⁷³ ***: Used to show there is additional text that is not used/cited.

Then how was K.S. so **specific** during police interview but not specific enough with “coaching” for the two (2) “gentlemen” [¶525] from Children’s Services to testify against me?

¶808 “They’re having problems with K.S.” [¶526].

Kapow!

-Batman and Robyn

¶809 Supporting, while being interviewed with me as the end in mind, Dr. LeSure concluded that K.S. “**didn’t have a lot of symptoms**” (Tp.428, Ln.23-24). You would think this would have raised a few questions in LeSure’s mind. Especially since symptoms are subject to emotional transference along with the Implanted/Transplanted Memories. Obviously, they couldn’t get them all to transfer. But upon reflection, perhaps the lack of symptoms is what caused and prompted Dr. LeSure to press me as the prevailing issue during questioning. People, let’s keep it 100... We already know that happened.

¶810 Continuing with K.S.,

“one of the stressors was a change in different male people in her life” (Tp.429, Ln.6-7)

Again, who were they? [¶804, 1]. Notice this is the **second time** Dr. LeSure mentioned this. She had a thread of information she failed to follow. To put it bluntly,

she missed it

completely.

¶811 In support of this critical error, Dr. LeSure stated, regarding K.S.,

And at the time she came to see me, her mother had a fiancé and was engaged, so that was a new person she was adjusting to (Tp.419, Ln.8-10).

And to think, LeSure never interviewed any of the “male people” in K.S.’s life.

Daft arse!

¶812 Dr. LeSure's performance was nothing less than *financially biased incompetency* and *uniformed ineptitude*.

¶813 For verification of this assertion, Dr. LeSure never looked into the male figures that existed in K.S.'s life at the time of alleged reporting:

“Between July and September of '04” (Tp.422, Ln.2)

Then again, she didn't have a time frame regarding alleged abuse as a reference point to start from [¶806], and, obviously, didn't bother to ask. She needs her county contract and license revoked, indefinitely.

¶814 This next shocking exchange took place between Atty. Green and Dr. LeSure:

Q Were you aware of the stressor, I guess it would be, of the change in custody of her from her grandparents to her mother? [¶428-¶434], (Exhibit-08).

A From her grandparents to her mother, **no** (Tp.429, Ln.15-18), [Emphasis added].
America, did you catch that? Dr. LeSure had no knowledge of the custody battle for K.S. From this point on, we must remain under the impression that this crucial information was deliberately withheld from her because

- 1) It verifies motives
- 2) Establishes a solid time frame regarding alleged incidents
- 3) Confirms living arrangements and therefore an exact location of the crime
- 4) It places S.L. with Uncle Ryan: PERP #2

¶815 This next exchange concerned Robyn:

Q Now, you didn't know anything about the civil protection order that the parties went through with Mrs. Spencer and with her family? (Tp.429, Ln.23-25), [¶041], [¶444]

A With KREDACTED's mother, I also asked about domestic violence, child abuse, sexual

trauma, et cetera. And my notes say that Frank was arrested for domestic violence against the mother, pled to a lesser charge; that there was domestic violence between the maternal grandparents (Tp.430, Ln.1-5).

Well, we already know that Dr. LeSure not only had information withheld from her, but that she was fed a mouth full of lies because she just spoke them.

¶816 Time to clarify the lies:

- 1) As the domestic violence charge went to trial, I never “pled” to a lesser charge [¶679], and it’s obvious that Robyn did not tell LeSure that she lied under oath about that night (Exhibits-39 and 40). So who told her this?
- 2) Robyn never told LeSure about her sexual experiences with Ryan, which will be confirmed shortly [¶817]
- 3) The domestic violence regarding Robyn’s parents is **not** in the police report (Exhibit-04), and was **not** mentioned by Robyn during Trial
- 4) The incident between Robyn and myself is **not** in the police report (Exhibit-04) and was **not** mentioned by Robyn at Trial
- 5) The CPOs and TPOs filed against Robyn’s family are **not** in the police report (Exhibit-04)

¶817 Ultimately, when Atty. Green stated to Dr. LeSure,

Q So you don’t have a complete history of what was going on in KREDACTED’s life at that time - -

she answered,

A I don’t have that (Tp.430, Ln.8-10).

Holy batshit, Robin!

-With apologies to *Batman*

I am a fan ☺

People, of course Dr. LeSure was not given a complete history of what was going in K.S.'s life at that time. That's because a complete history would have to include Uncle Ryan. So the best thing for Lynda, Ryan, and Robyn was to keep LeSure in the dark. And that they did. As a real drive-it-home swing to the ball in play, regarding K.S. and Robyn, Dr LeSure stated

I think it's a style of coping that she uses habitually. Her mother, in giving her history, reported a similar coping mechanism of her own (Tp.422, Ln.19-21), [¶880].

Confirmed! [¶816, 2]

That's what happens when you're abused by the same person in the same home! Yes, K.S. mimicked her mother, and with good reason [¶872-¶890]. Σ

Note: And to think, Judge Collier saw **nothing exculpatory** in Dr. LeSure's illegally suppressed and destroyed report.

¶818 Reader, I am trying my very best not to go back-and-forth between LeSure's testimony about K.S. and S.L., but that's how it went at Trial: *Fast & Confusing*. For now we are done with her testimony regarding K.S., and will conclude with the core of her testimony regarding S.L.

¶819 I have already shown you that too many unqualified people, and children, corrupted S.L.'s memories, and this was all compounded by the stressors and abuses she experience **prior** to meeting me. You have also seen that S.L.'s case was signed in with LeSure **prior** to the indicted dates of alleged abuse. Eventually, **after** months of badgering by Danielle, on January 11, 2005, S.L. caved and told Danielle the story Scott wanted her to hear. Then, a few days **later**, on January 17, 2005 (Tp.425, Ln.1-2), S.L. gave an alleged history of events to Dr. LeSure.

Turning the cerebral screws a little tighter, Dr. LeSure admits, regarding S.L.,

It looks like I've seen her seventeen times (Tp.425, Ln.18).

¶820 *Merde!*

¶821 All these people, and repeated biased interviews, combined left S.L. with scrambled eggs for memories. Please, allow for me to explain what I have been driving at for quite some time.

Memory and imagination. Neuroscientists¹⁷⁴ once thought that different brain regions controlled memory, imagination, and experience. But they now understand that these processes involve overlapping brain regions.

One brain structure important to the formation of memories is the *hippocampus*, part of the cerebrum. Many neuroscientists believe that memory formation involves the neuron pathways from various parts of the cerebral cortex that connect to the hippocampus. Scientists think the pathways create small networks of neurons call *cell assemblies*, which store memories.

When a new memory is triggered, a cell assembly in the hippocampus is activated along with corresponding neurons elsewhere in the cerebral cortex. When a memory has been triggered repeatedly,

[as with S.L.]¹⁷⁵

the activated neurons in the cerebral cortex gradually become linked to one another, *changing* the original cell assembly,

[thereby *altering* S.L.'s memories].

Eventually, the memory will become independent of the hippocampus and will be stored primarily in other areas of the cerebral cortex. When part of a cell assembly is stimulated, it can cause the entire

[*changed*]

cell assembly to become active. This a sensory signal, such as a familiar smell

[*or sound*]

¹⁷⁴ **Neuroscientist:** Scientists in various fields (who) work together to study the structure, function, and chemical composition of the brain. This study is called neuroscience or neurobiology. Fetzer. B Volume 2, p.551. [In other words, *really smart people*].

¹⁷⁵ []: My emphasis based on Trial facts and neuroscience.

can trigger the whole related

[and altered]

memory (Fetzer. B Volume 2, p.554).

[¶742], [¶744], [¶756], [¶761], [¶770], [¶949, 5], [¶977, 1]

¶822 “Frankie raped you” Σ [¶640].

[THE CROWD GOES WILD!!!]

. . .

Groot: I am Groot?

[“Are we there yet?”]

Frank: We’re there.

Rocket: That was one long drive.

Frank: True, but worth the trip.

. . .

¶823 Now that we have a firm grasp on how memories are implanted, transplanted, and altered, when Atty. Green sought to get deeper into S.L.’s history, Pros. Eisenhower called for a sidebar and said,

Mr. Green is aware that Dr. LeSure has information that was filed concerning that activity between SREDACTED and her brother, and I want to make sure that he does not elicit¹⁷⁶ that from the witness because I have not advised this witness not to talk about it (Tp.431, Ln.3-9).

¶824 A few things:

1) Yes, I told Atty. Green about the January ’04 event (Exhibit-09), but this was about the July ’05 event, and Atty. Green never told me that he had this additional information

¹⁷⁶ Elicit. 1. To bring out: EVOKE. 2. To call forth (e.g., a reaction). 3. To educe. Pickett, p.372.

2) This is additional proof, a confession, if you will, that Pros. Eisenhower is in the habit of coaching witnesses, even though she forgot this time. Recall the “**pact**” she made with K.S. Σ

3) What did Dr. LeSure do with this information? Scramble more eggs

¶825 Dr. LeSure confirmed that S.L. was in the habit of “**picking at her skin**” (Tp.418, Ln.19). A sign of long-term abuse, we must now move into the following exchange that took place between Atty. Green and Dr. LeSure:

Q Were you aware that she was doing the picking **before** the [alleged] event [with me]?
[**Emphasis added**], [**Emphasis added**].

A Yes.

Q And what do you think manifested that picking that she does?

A I think whenever she has significant anxiety, significant stressors that cause an increase in that anxiety again, she goes back to that picking behavior (Tp.437, Ln.9-16).

¶826 At first, the above statement may seem commonplace. In all actuality, it is not and requires some clarity. You see, S.L. was “picking” at her skin **before** she moved in with Scott and Danielle. S.L. was also “picking” **while** living with Scott **before** she met me. But LeSure never went into the *why* of S.L.’s abused past that caused the picking to start, and continue, **while** in Scott’s care. Understanding that the picking is what helped S.L. release her anxiety that came from certain stressors in her life, as a brief list of those stressors, S.L.

1) Was abandoned by her biological parents

2) Placed with “Grandma Alice” by Cuyahoga County Children’s Services due to some unknown abuse and/or neglect

3) Taken from “Grandma Alice” by Cuyahoga County Children’s Services due to some

unknown abuse and/or neglect

- 4) Moved into the new home of Scott and Danielle
- 5) Entered a new school
- 6) Was sexually abused **prior** to my meeting her
- 7) Was sexually abused **after** I met her
- 8) Was badgered by Danielle with **“Frankie raped you”**
- 9) Had her only home breaking up due to my foolish affair with Danielle
- 10) Had too many people messed with her mind
- 11) Was worried, **primarily**, about Scott adopting her (Tp.437, Ln.21-25). Hmmmm.

Now that’s interesting

Then, to top it all off,

- 12) Dr. LeSure upgraded S.L.’s initial diagnosis of adjustment disorder to
“posttraumatic stress disorder because she was having intrusive memories”
(Tp.423, Ln.22-25), [¶949, 4] due to **prior** sexual abuse

With S.L.’s traumatic past, those **“intrusive memories”** were so powerful that they attempted to override her new Implanted/Transplanted Memories (a.k.a. “cell assemblies”) of me as perp with **“the two days before”** (Exhibit-03).

¶827 As to picking being a sign of long-term abuse, I have never read anything about it except for what I discovered in the Abused Trial Record. I put the series of events in the chronological order in which they occurred to understand what really happened. As to what enabled me to present this to you in such a lucid fashion is *experience*. At first I was reluctant to share this with you, and I even know *why* I was reluctant. It’s part of the process. I went this far, might as well go the rest of the way...

¶828 Abuse comes in many forms via verbal, physical, rejection, abandonment, neglect, betrayal, and the like [¶892]. For over a decade I have experienced all of this by the Courts, people out there in the *Free World*, and by a few *select* individuals in prison. Although I have seen every corner of *Hell*...

Those who escape hell, however, never talk about it,
and nothing much bothers them after that.

-Charles Burkowski

But I'm still in *Hell*, it bothers me, and I need to talk about it.

¶829 You learn a lot about love when you are with those who love you. But you can still be lonely in a crowd of people. Anne Frank verified this fact with

A person can be lonely even if he is loved by many people,
because he is not the "One and Only" to anyone (Frank, p.139).

This confirms my belief that

Love is the highest form of understanding,

-Unknown

because you learn a lot about love when you are deprived of it. Yes, it's amazing what you see in the darkness that you cannot see in the light! As a valid example: Imagine that all the air in the room suddenly left you. What would you learn?

¶830 Now to the contrary of love,

Abuse is the lowest form of understanding,

-Frank P. Wood

because abusive people, bullies and cowards, to do what they do, requires no self-awareness or self-control. They are weak, shallow, and their brain functions are severely limited; borderline socio-emotional illiterates, by most standards. They are like **Mobile Amoeba** that absorb, fornicate, defecate, and move on. They have nothing to offer this world. Ignorant animals, less

than animals, whose only evidence of their existence can be found in the wake of their passing as feces, offspring, and destruction.

¶831 These **Less-Thans**, as I call them, are insecure control freaks who know not love.

To love means to *trust and believe*.

-Unknown

As trust means to allow without fear, to believe in someone is knowing the trust exists when you're not there. Yes, true loyalty means that I will protect your reputation even when you are not there. But abusers do not love themselves. They don't know how. When they look in the mirror, they see their fears, failures, their ineptitudes, and inadequacies. They'll prejudice you for any reason. You may have or be something they don't have and/or are not. I have been prejudiced to the benefit of others out there, and because of my race, case, intelligence, and the like, in here. So when the **Less-Thans** abuse you, it is based on pure ignorance. Removing all doubt,

What one does not understand,
one fears.

What one fears,
one destroys,

-Chief Dan George

therefore,

Ignorance is the foundation of prejudice.

-Mr. Frank P. Wood

¶832 This is a really strong cup-o-coffee!

¶833 Ms. Frank said,

As I grew older, and more mature, my love grew with me (Frank, p.147), as did mine, but not the **Less-Thans**. Something limited their ability to grow emotionally and develop empathy and compassion. Borderline dysfunctional sociopaths, they hardly know right

from wrong and have *de minimus*¹⁷⁷ amount of self-control to stay functional outside their inner circle, leaving them on the very edge of becoming a psychopath. As a CAVEAT, anything could tip them over because they function with fight or flight according to their pleasures and their problems, leaving them a danger to themselves and those around them. Therefore, if they're in a foul way, steer clear, stay on your guard, and prepare for their ignorance, because you will run into a *Podax!*¹⁷⁸ So

Stay flexible.
Be like water.
-Master Bruce Lee

Supporting,

In all things,
keep a low center of gravity,
-F. Philip Wood

especially with our ego and pride.

¶834 As to what stunted their socio-emotional growth, I believe I have that answer, at least, in part.

¶835 While in business it was necessary to employ the art of Emotional Intelligence ("EI"): knowing when people accept or decline and how to respond accordingly. I was in the business of not just selling my company, buy myself. Combine that with not only giving people what they want, but also what they need, sales is one tough field! To make sure everyone involved was satisfied, I developed a simple method of observation:

Watch and listen carefully so I can see and hear
what people are doing and saying.
-Me

¹⁷⁷ Latin: the minimum

¹⁷⁸ Latin: Asshole!

In this manner, I would be able to discover and understand their wants and needs. Yes, by practicing and applying this simple method of observation in prison, I learned to discover the motives that drive those wants and needs. As motives are based on beliefs that determine behavior,

The more mature the mind,
The more mature the motive.
-FPW

¶836 Think about it... You have a want or need, but do you know *why* you have that particular want or need? Hmmmm. As most people don't, take the time to understand *why* you make the choices you make. The process of self-discovery is most enlightening, and it is also the most difficult. Most would rather look at and judge another instead of look inward and condemn themselves. As we should only judge others with the disposition of love, remember that

For the LORD seeth not as man seeth;
for man looketh on the outward appearance,
but the LORD looketh on the heart.
-I Samuel 16:7 (KJV)

¶837 So, based on my observations during my sojourn at *Chez DRC*, what I have processed and concluded is as follows: When a man, for instance, begins using drugs or alcohol at age 15, his ability to develop his socio-emotional skills becomes arrested. How can I say this? Easy. I have watched these same 15 year-olds become sober due to their incarceration isolating them from their drug or alcohol of choice. The result? You have an addicted, angry, emotional 15 year-old in the body of a man. A dangerous cocktail that displays itself in their behaviors.¹⁷⁹ The lack of focus, self-control, and self-discipline that I have seen is nothing less than shocking.

¶838a The above actions and observations can lead to only one (1) logical conclusion: the

¹⁷⁹ Sex, money, authority, power, and the like can also be addictions.

prefrontal cortex, where the brain's ability to develop and control emotional behavior resides (Fetzer. B Volume 2, p.554), is directly affected by substance abuse. As the substance abuse continues, emotional control stops and social skills decline, thereby allowing abusive behaviors to develop because the only thing left is the fight or flight response that is controlled by the *amygdala* (supra). The scary part? The brain is primarily wired for **fight**. Understand this.

¶838b Clarifying, known as the *reptilian* part of the brain, the *limbic* system was the first part of the brain to develop and sits at the top of the spinal cord. When fight or flight becomes pure fight, and people get hurt, this is known as a *limbic hijacking*.

¶839 Although part, or parts of the brain are restricted due to intoxication, the brain still learns. This man may have a degree, career, family, and more. This is why some are called *functional* addicts or alcoholics. I've observed intently and studied fervently.

¶840 Having laid the sure foundation of some of the highly questionable and abusive characters that I have had the most unfortunate displeasure of meeting, let's go forward with how this background information applies to the instant matter of S.L.'s *picking*.

¶841 Back in 2007 I found myself *wringing my hands with worry*. Something you hear about, but don't understand until you do it. When I caught myself doing this, I thought about genetic memory, social behaviors, and how hand signals and bodily gestures are used in the military and on construction sites. Shortly thereafter, I was put in a position to expand my basic knowledge of American Sign Language ("ASL") to tutor a deaf student.¹⁸⁰

¶842 By expanding that ASL knowledge, I was better able to understand how my own anxiety was manifesting and being released, just like S.L., through my natural body language. You see,

¹⁸⁰ Try using ASL to tutor a recovering crack addict in third grade math. No easy task, mind you. Literally, I pounded my head on the table a few times in pure frustration and disbelief.

ASL employs a plethora of everyday mannerisms that you perform, and you are completely unaware that you are doing them!¹⁸¹ As this study helped me to develop a deeper sense of *personal awareness*, my personal concepts of mental, emotional, and thought control took a great leap forward. Then, through direct abuse and trying to avoid it, I became more aware of my *situational awareness*, which led to the enhancement of my *environmental awareness*. In prison, it's not just your mental awareness that develops, but your senses! And I practice honing them regularly. In brevity, I am alert regarding changes in light, sound, temperature, *smell*, and air movement. Honed! Even my peripheral vision and sense of touch are always *at the ready*. *Ooh Rah!* Hearing? Wow! Borderline bionic. Yeah, and I read lips very well after watching TV for seven (7) years with no sound. What more, I learned that it's not just losing one sense, say eyesight, that causes and increases in hearing ability to develop, but environmental conditions that cause me to live in constant survival mode affects them all. And that's why people get headaches when they study. You see, specific regions of the brain will actually swell/grow to store increased memory when a specific subject/topic is heavily studied [¶755].

¶843 Eventually, from abuse, I began to withdraw and isolate myself. I would hardly talk and decided not to trust. I imagined signs hanging around the necks of people that read, *I will hurt you, I will lie to you, I will steal from you, I will abuse you, I will use you, I will betray you, I will lie about you*, and the like. Something I still do occasionally with one-word-epitaphs. Yes, they are well-deserved.

¶844 Withdrawn, in the most absent-minded manner, I would pick or scratch at a bump on my skin. And, somewhere in the subconscious, upon clear reflection, that bump symbolized the abuse that was neither warranted nor wanted. With this mindset, I was thinking, *If I scratch it, it*

¹⁸¹ ASL also helps me understand what others are doing around me.

will go away. But it would bleed if I went too far, making matters worse. Then I would be disappointed in myself and actually blame myself for the bleeding. I recall S.L. calling herself “Stupid!” when she let the cat out of the bag about the pregnancy, and Scott wanting the baby and ~~me~~ to die. Rough dinner that night.

¶845 S.L. was far from stupid. One of the brightest, sweetest, funniest kids you’ll ever meet. And even with all the hell she went through, she was always proper with me and never failed to be courteous and to treat me with kindness. An amazing young lady who just wanted to be loved and accepted for who she was, just like you and me. Cool kid.

¶846 The picking didn’t start right away. *Life in Hell* came to a boil in 2015. The picking took control between late-2015 and ended in mid-2017. How? Through sheer will and determination, meditation, and the desire not just to win, but to *not lose*. No, these bastards won’t beat my heart, my mind, or my soul. My spirit is undaunted and I remain unbroken. Σ

The only thing necessary for evil to triumph,
is for good men to fail to act.

-Edmund Burke, *Tears of the Sun*

I am a good man, and I chose to act.

¶847 Nice try, *Suckers!*

¶848 My will, education, and mind in general were strong enough to understand what was happening to me, study it, and then defeat it. S.L. was not so fortunate.

¶849 As to a partial account of abuses suffered, I have

- 1) Been jumped from behind in my cell while prosecutors twisted words in their briefs
- 2) Found cleaning chemicals in my food and drinking cup while courts wrongfully denied me requested legal relief
- 3) Crawled into my rack to find razor blades and needles while my COAI went ignored

- 4) Been repeatedly threatened while those in positions of political power left me in prison
to rot and die

You can tell the quality of a man by how
he treats those he considers to be beneath him.

-Unknown

- 5) Been lied to, lied about, verbally assaulted, and accused blamed for what others had
done while a judge lied about evidence to leave me in here [¶1379-¶1409]
- 6) Been used and then discarded like yesterday's trash while pleas for help went ignored
in the *Free World*
- 7) Been played, manipulated, and treated as sport and entertainment while those in
positions of legal authority did nothing but lie to cover up their sins
- 8) Medina County Job & Family Services threw a damn party honoring the "untruthful"
Danielle and her cursed vanity while Director Pataky ignored my letter that contained
proof of my actual innocence
- 9) Had family members dishonored while others lied to protect the abuser
- 10) Every time I stand up for myself regarding the above and more, I am blamed and
retaliated against. What do you think the State will do when they see this!

Bring it!

- 11) And there's more... much more! Obviously I am living in *Adult Day Care For The
Socially Inept*

And for today, children, we're going to make
smiley faces with macaroni on paper plates. ☺

¶850 A five (5) gallon bucket only holds five (5) gallons of shit. But there have been times my
bucket was mounded to hold six (6). It's an art form, really. You see, first I had to be abused,
then learn to take it in stride, then want revenge. But

The best revenge is to be unlike your enemy.

-Marcus Aurelius

A man who values life, love, and peace I process, vent, meditate, exercise, and educate my mind.

Sometimes I find release in letters and emails, sometimes in visits or phone calls as I share the above and talk it through, but this is how I dump the bucket because that bad boy can fill fast.

You see, every day in prison is a *bad* day, then there are *rough* days, then *shitty* days, and the ultimate is absolutely *FUBAR*.

¶851 When people mistreat me, I now thank them to their face because I only grow stronger, more balanced, more focused, and decidedly more determined every time I am abused [¶900], [¶904]. To do this, I first remind myself

“They’re not important”

Then I remind myself to employ the processes of

- 1) Self-awareness: How I perceive what’s happening to me and my response to that stimuli on the emotional level: fight or flight

When life is out of focus,
go back to the basics.
Breathe.

-Unknown

- 2) Self-control: I choose to pay attention to my fight-flight response and to hold back

All life is precious.
Do no harm.

-Buddhist tenets

- 3) Self-discipline: I strive to apply proper character principles as I respond. True, not always possible when you are in the thick of it. Righteous indignation is a powerful and moving event. As

It's alright to be angry,
but what you do with the anger
is what counts,
-FPW

remember that

You are measured,
watched,
and overheard.
-St. Francis of Assisi

4) Self-mastery: I choose not to let that event script my mind, and engage in the stress-releasing mental exercise of meditation,¹⁸² and/or the physical release of exercise.

Also, I read while listening to peaceful/mediation music until I fall asleep. Once I awaken refreshed, I look inward to see if I

- i. could have avoided the situation
- ii. was at fault
- iii. could have handled it differently

Remarkably, even if not at fault, I find room for improvement. As life is a process,

We are to have self-mastery over
our faculties,
our passions,
and our authority.
-Unknown

¶852 Reader, I hope that I have not bored you. But, as earlier stated, I could only *show* you that “picking” is a sign of long-term abuse. Sadly, I had to explain what S.L. went through from personal experience.¹⁸³ The “picking” is a way for the stress and anxiety to be released.

¹⁸² Learn Mindfulness Meditation and the art of Presence of Mind. Powerful tools for calming the mind and refocusing your energy.

¹⁸³ As I shared some of these experiences with members of my family and Atty. Stanley, I learned that it was very therapeutic to talk it through.

Fortunately for me, I was able to defeat it and allow for the healthy habits above to be my remedy and release.

¶853 With the bushel filling fast, and “picking” is a sign of long-term abuse, we may now *infer* that this adds to our knowledge of why Danielle left the sanctity of her marriage bed. But there’s more! Utilizing (Exhibit-19: OIP; August 31, 2015), on p.4 at ¶4 it reads, regarding the change in parenting responsibilities of S.L.,

An affair, a pregnancy, a brutal divorce, and criminal allegations. Now we have a major role reversal while Danielle and Scott glorified each other under oath. Families only do such things when they’re hiding something.

¶854 Skeletons in the closet.

[Sound of bones rattling]

¶855 With bones rattling, parenting role-reversals tend to happen during crisis. Ask any Fed Agent involved with cases regarding children. And what crisis were they hiding? Once again, why Danielle left the sanctity of the marriage bed. Please, stay with me on this one as I bring it to light. Thanks. ☺

¶856 Utilizing (Exhibit-11),

- 1) Danielle “resented” S.L. (p.2: Tp.201, Ln.22—Tp.202, Ln.7). This prompted her to lay the parenting responsibilities of S.L. on Scott (p.1: Tp.196, Ln.8-14).

First parenting role established

- 2) Transcripts reveal that Danielle assumed the parenting role of S.L. and, under Scott orders [¶205], attempted to file rape charges against me on two (2) separate and distinct occasions [¶186], [¶205]. She then inserted herself into the investigation as the controlling parent by participating in the interviews of S.L. with Children’s Services (Exhibit-19: OIP; August 31, 2015), and Dr. LeSure (ibid) [¶799], while Scott took

“no action” [¶206]. Further, **only** Danielle actively participated in the interview at Akron Children’s Hospital [¶1378]

First parenting role-reversal established

3) Now recall that Scott assumed custody and control of S.L. **after** charges were brought against me by Danielle on October 20, 2004, and S.L. lived with Scott from then straight on through until Trial in May-June 2005 [¶191]

Second parenting role-reversal established

¶857 Now you see the role-reversal didn’t just happen once; it happened TWICE,¹⁸⁴ [¶958, 1].

Once is an indicator.
Twice is a pattern.
Three times is confirmation.
Four is commitment (Exhibit-81: p.5-7).
-Frank P. Wood

¶858 Our Wall Street Brokers are the best trained in the world, and they follow the above pattern of human behavior fiercely! As some of the sharpest mental instruments on the planet, I watch their behaviors and follow their leads. Why?

Follow the pros
until you can take the lead.
-Prison law library mantra

¶859 Need to say this... I know Money talks and bullshit walks, and people say *Cash is king*. However, studying for two (2) degrees, one (1) being finance along with prepping for my Series 7 exam, let me just say this so it benefits many:

Intelligence is king.
-Frank P. Wood, *Born in the U.S.A.*

¹⁸⁴ You should have seen S.L. testify. Every time the courtroom door opened, she looked at it in fear. She had the same look when Danielle caught her telling me about Scott dropping his towel in her bedroom [¶960], (Exhibit-08: p.D-3, ¶2).

Why is this true? You could have all the money in the world, but if the guy next to you is smarter than you, he can take it. ☺

¶860 Going forward, and building on the above, they thought I would never figure it out; what they did to me. But they broke the

Second Rule in Life: Never piss off somebody smarter than you with patience.

Especially when he has nothing but time on his hands,

-Frank P. Wood, *The Messenger*

because

I don't know everything,

But I can learn anything,

-FPW

and that's what makes me smarter than them: I possess humility and know how to learn by employing the art of

Absorb.

Reject.

Add.

-Master Bruce Lee

What more, it never hurts to

Improvise.

Adapt.

Overcome.

Ooh-Rah!

¶861 Supporting and verifying the above, during Trial, as I was leaving the courthouse in the back of a cruiser, I saw Robyn walking away with K.S. while Danielle was walking with S.L. back to their cars. Robyn was holding K.S.'s hand and Danielle was walking with about three (3) feet between her and S.L. Like a silent picture show, the broken mirrored image spoke volumes.

¶862 Watch and listen, People. Watch and listen. I learn a lot that way. In fact, everyone I meet has a card in my mental Rolodex®, and every time they do something, I just add that data to it

until I discover their pattern of behavior. *Threads of information*. Once I have the pattern, they are remarkably easy to read. Yes, I hear and see much that I do not outwardly respond to. This provides a false sense of security for the other person so that they continue to expose themselves in their arrogance, ignorance, and laziness. Abusers are so easy to read.

¶863 Going forward... Dr. LeSure confirmed S.L. was treated by a different therapist, prior to LeSure's direct involvement, when S.L. was taken from Grandma Alice's home (Tp.440, Ln.9-Tp.441, Ln.16). At first I did not know if this was Dr. Natalie Jedacek (Exhibit-44), or some other unknown therapist. The Altered Record is not clear. However, notice Dr. Jedacek's phone exchange is '764' (ibid). That's the Eastern Medina area. I know because Danielle's work number had the same exchange. Now, Grandma Alice was in Olmstead Township, Ohio which is located in Cuyahoga County. This means **another** therapist and social worker would have been involved through Cuyahoga County Children's Services.

¶864 With another egg scrambled, no one from Cuyahoga County testified.

¶865 As to (Exhibit-44), this is where and when Scott signed for the mysterious "permission" on 11/22/04 (Exhibit-03) for Dr. LeSure to take over S.L.'s therapy and this case. Now we must ask the all-probing question

Why?

Hold on to your hats, Ladies and Gentlemen,... this is going to be a wild ride.

¶866 Probing that answer deeply, Dr. Jedacek was treating S.L. for **prior sexual abuse** and activity with her brother A.S. [¶619-¶620] that occurred **prior to** S.L. meeting LeSure and me. This had to be kept from Dr. LeSure and out of the courtroom. Now we also know why it took Scott nearly two (2) months to sign after the case was signed in for invest with LeSure and **before** the occurrence of an alleged incident. You see, Scott didn't want Dr LeSure to know, so

he tried to hide Dr. Jedacek from Dr. LeSure. But either S.L. or the *Town Crier*: the “untruthful” Danielle, slipped up, so he had to sign for “permission” with his tail between his legs. As to why it was Scott who had to sign and not Danielle, recall that he was S.L.’s *sole legal guardian* from October 20th of 2004 until Trial in May-June of 2005 [¶191].

¶867 Reader, one must question why they did not take S.L. back to Dr. Jedacek, despite the fact that the answer is clear: Dr. Jedacek knew too much. So they fed Dr. LeSure lies and half-truths, and withheld information from her, while Scott and Danielle worked on “**Frankie raped you**” in the most sick and twisted manner. The design and function of these *Instruments of Manipulation* were forged on the *Anvil of Motive*.

Never forget that!

¶868 With the *Money Tree* bearing fruit, Dr. LeSure became magically convinced that S.L.: the *Temple Virgin* (Exhibit-14) was raped. Yet, N.P. Donna Abbott could **not** conclude that a rape occurred (Tp.280, Ln.19-20), (Exhibits-03 and 14). To the contrary of medical fact, the *Left Foot of God on Earth*: Judge Collier told the **Dirty Dozen**

“Rape.” The Defendant was charged with rape... vaginal intercourse between a male and female. Penetration [of the vagina]... however slight... Vaginal intercourse means penetration of the penis into the vagina (Tp.537, Ln.9-Tp.538, Ln.3), [emphasis added].

As you saw it here first, we now see *how* Dr. LeSure’s initial diagnosis went from “adjustment disorder” to sexual abuse, and that Scott and Danielle, the *who*, were instrumental in the *why*. With these three (3) elements established, and the State’s Medical Expert contradicting LeSure’s contractually motivated diagnosis and Judge Collier’s *bullshit* Jury Instructions, Dr. LeSure, quoting S.L., stated that the incidents took place in October

“Sometime after my birthday and before Halloween”
(Tp.416, Ln.16-17), [¶1020, 9, ii]

¶869 Reader, you already know where we are going with this, so let's go there. ☺

¶870 There are three (3) major flaws with S.L.'s statement in regards to me as perp:

- 1) The case was signed in with LeSure for invest in September (Exhibit-03) and **not** the police **prior** to the occurrence of an alleged incident in October (Exhibit-02)
- 2) S.L. forgot to tell LeSure she was sexually assaulted the **"two days before"** her birthday (Exhibit-03)
- 3) She spent that entire indicted, testified to, and confirmed weekend of abuse with her **"dad"** at **"Put-In-Bay"** and **"not at Frank Wood's house"** (Exhibit-03)

¶871 Now, having personally proven via State's testimony that I only knew S.L. for 28 intermittent and partial days while I ran my business [¶178], N.P. Abbott was told by S.L., with Danielle present [¶1378], that she was sexually abused **"approximately fifty times"** (Tp.280). Strange how this allegation did not surface until **after** S.L. moved back in with Scott until Trial [¶724j, i-iv], [¶952, 4]. Again, utilizing the visitation schedule set by Scott and Danielle, for the month of October 2004 I only knew S.L. for, at the most, nine (9) intermittent and partial days. As this placed S.L. with Scott for the other 22 days, N.P. Abbot interviewed and examined S.L. in January of 2005; over three (3) months **after** the alleged indicted dates of abuse, and S.L. coming under Scott's absolute custody and control. We must now *infer* that S.L. didn't tell Dr. LeSure [¶443] everything [¶949, 3] due to *Stockholm Syndrome* [¶958, 1-5], and that **"approximately fifty times"** occurred while S.L. was in Scott's sole custody and care.

Knock!

Knock!

-Predator

-Σ-

¶872

MY LACK OF SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE,
MOTIVE, AND EASY ACCESS REGARDING K.S.

¶873 Reader, a while back we discussed this topic and S.L. [¶177-¶184], and I told you we would get to it regarding K.S. [¶028]. I had nearly forgotten, so we will discuss it now.

¶874 K.S. was born in Florida. At that time, all of the Spencer Family lived there, including Uncle Ryan. As previously shown, Robyn's mother Lynda deceptively took custody of K.S. Eventually Robyn moved to Kansas, and, with Lynda's permission, took K.S. with her. While there, Robyn married Michael Zane and eventually gave birth to J.Z.

¶875 While in Kansas, Robyn's mother, father, and brothers Ryan and Colyn moved to Medina, Ohio. Shortly thereafter, Robyn brought her two (2) girls: K.S. and J.Z., to Ohio for a visit in November of 1999 [¶038], and elected to stay.

¶876 In December of 1999, I witnessed Ryan's sexual advances toward Robyn and activity with K.S. (Exhibit-08). Although Robyn and I discussed these events in detail, nothing was ever done.

¶877 After a series of domestic violence incidents between Robyn, Lynda, and Ryan, Robyn brought the girls to my house right after Christmas of 1999. Due to the guardianship papers, we had to surrender K.S. to Lynda (and Ryan) the very next day.

¶878 Historically, K.S. was initially raised in the Spencer family home in Florida with Lynda and Ryan. K.S. then lived with them in Ohio for a period of ten (10) months: from November 1999 until late-July 2000, when the guardianship was overturned [¶437].

¶879 Robyn and I separated between March-April of 2001 (Tp.372, Ln.10-13). This would have placed K.S. in my care for approximately eight (8) months while I ran my business.

¶880 After our separation, Robyn took the girls and moved back in with Lynda and Ryan, and

stayed there, at least, until the end of 2001. This exposed K.S. to Lynda's manipulations and Ryan's abuses for an additional nine (9) months, where she developed the same "coping mechanisms" as Robyn [¶817].

• • •

Rocket: Damn!

Frank: I know. And nobody caught it but me.

• • •

¶881 Supposedly, K.S. made allegations between "July and September" of 2004 (Tp.421, Ln.24-Tp.422, Ln.2) that someone had improperly touched her between August and October of 2000 (Exhibit-02). With K.S.'s date of birth being --/--/--, she would have been approximately four-and-a-half (4 ½) years old at the time of the alleged incident, and approximately eight-and-a-half (8 ½) at the time of alleged reporting. For clarity, a solid four (4) years lapsed between alleged incident and reporting.

¶882 "July and September" of 2004... Strange... That's when Danielle was living with me.
Hmmm.

¶883 In "May of 2005" I ceased all financial support to Robyn (Tp.380, Ln.13-Tp.381, Ln.6). It was only **after** the money stopped that Robyn took K.S. to Children's Services on 6/16/05 (Exhibit-04), and **not** the police (Exhibit-03).

¶884 Yes, nearly a year lapsed between allegation and reporting: **when** the money stopped.

¶885 Miraculously, the dates in the indictment (Exhibit-02) just happen to *immediately follow* the dates of the guardianship being overturned, and K.S.'s rightful return to Robyn.

• • •

Rocket: Motive!

Frank: Something else they missed.

. . .

¶886 Now we see why Dr. LeSure didn't have a complete picture/history of what was going on in K.S.'s life at that time [¶817], and did not have the actual dates of alleged abuse [¶806]. To better help explain:

- 1) As a reminder, a complete history would have to include "Uncle Ryan"
- 2) Exact dates would have placed K.S. in the Spencer Family Home
- 3) Dr. LeSure could not testify to the dates in the indictment, further proving critical information was deliberately withheld from her

¶887 As I kept an "open door" policy in the house (Tp.114), and Robyn was a stay-at-home-mom, regarding the purpose of this chapter:

- 1) Special knowledge: K.S. was raised in the Spencer homes in Florida and Ohio, with Uncle Ryan. K.S. and I got along swell except when she went into what Robyn called her "Diva Mode". It was actually quite comical because she was so sincere.¹⁸⁵ However, she grew up with Ryan long-term and he had her trust. K.S. also looked to him for emotional support because he was *immediate family*. To the contrary, I was still a stranger of sorts
- 2) Motive: Ryan abandoned his first wife in Florida because he was deathly afraid of her ex-husband. So when Lynda moved to Ohio, Ryan, still married, followed like a puppy with his tail between his legs. New to an area with no intimate partner, his subconscious elected to repeat his past patterns of behavior, concerning Robyn and K.S., some of which, I witnessed (Exhibit-08). To the contrary, I rather enjoyed my

¹⁸⁵ I heard that raising boys is much easier. I do not doubt that.

intimacy with Robyn, but actually became shy about it in her third trimester. Yes, I was afraid to hurt the baby. Hey, I didn't know! Still, husband and wife find a way, eh? ☺

- 3) Easy access: With an open door policy and Robyn always home, I worked a lot to provide for a wife and three (3) girls, pay off attorneys in three (3) states, and maintain medical benefits, etc. Again, in my care the girls *never* did without. Our "Family Time" was every Friday night: pizza and movies, and Sunday afternoons: shopping, the zoo, and the like. On the other hand, Ryan had ample time alone with K.S., and no one in the Spencer Home questioned it. Why? Again, *immediate family*.

So, as *immediate family*, Scott had the same elements with S.L. as Ryan had with K.S.: *long-term familiarity, privacy, and established trust*.

¶888 Supporting my lack of special knowledge, motive, and easy access to K.S., I seek to remind you of the following:

- 1) My demanding work schedule: 12-14 hour days was a common event
- 2) K.S. testified TWICE that she could not recall being at an alleged crime scene with me (Exhibit-03)
- 3) Judge Collier said, "What I'm hearing her say is, "No, it didn't happen" (Exhibit-03)
- 4) Implanted/Transplanted Memories/*Cell Assemblies*
- 5) Motives of Family and Money
- 6) Dr. Reed proved that I cannot harm a child (Exhibits-34 and 46)
- 7) "They're having problems with K.S." [¶526]

¶889 Lastly, as with S.L., Dr. Reed testified *voir dire* that I am

"not slick, conning, or manipulative"
(Exhibit-34: p. Reed-3, Item 14)

Therefore, far from being a pathological liar or manipulator, it is evident that I did not have the time, motive, access, or mindset to seduce a child.

¶890 Robyn needs to recant [¶508], [¶817], [¶1113], [¶1151, 2].

-Σ-

Chapter 32

¶891

WORD TO THE ABUSED IN THIS WORLD

♪ In your heart
in your soul
did you find peace there?
-Loreena McKennitt, *Full Circle*

¶892 Listening to that song as I type, that song means a lot to me. I recall listening to it for the first time in 2003. I was stunned that my immediate response was, “No”. Took me a while to understand my answer. But, upon reflection, I learned that abuse comes in many forms [¶828]. In fact, abuse comes at you on every facet of life:

- 1) Spiritual
- 2) Mental-Intellectual
- 3) Social-Emotional
- 4) Physical
- 5) Financial (Exhibit-75)

When you are abused on any of these facets, your mind is directly affected, and, regardless of the facet abused, they all affect you with equal force and effect, leaving you despondent and in a state of despair. Such abuses rob you of your peace and inner happiness. With no peace, you begin to lose sight of your self-worth: **your true value**. Eventually you begin to forget to believe in yourself, and this allows for you to start blaming yourself for what others, *abusers* had done to

you; taken from you. From there you begin to lose hope if you will ever be happy again. As you should never let anyone steal your joy,

Never start the day without hope,
-Frank P. Wood, *A Survivor*

that great expectation that stands up in defiance and that shouts into the darkness, '*I'm going to be alright!*' Yes, you are, and yes, you can have your joy back. You can reclaim your peace and happiness that were never theirs to take. Please, let me show you an example, outside my own desire to be free of this abusive captivity, *my open wound*, and to be loved by my *One and Only*, whoever she may be.

¶893 Annelies Marie Frank was born into a Jewish family on June 12, 1929. Born in Germany, they emigrated from Germany to Holland in 1933. Eventually, that region of Europe, the Netherlands, came under German occupation and Nazi control during WWII. Neither a good time nor place for a Jewish family. Eventually Anne's older sister Margo made the Nazi's "Call up" list. Jews on the list were taken, allegedly for work, and never heard from again. So on July 6, 1942 the Frank Family and another moved into, basically, an attic, above a warehouse and storefront where they stayed in hiding. Eventually they were betrayed to the Nazis and captured on August 4, 1944. No, it did not end well for all of them, but it can for you.

¶894 Trapped with death walking the streets below, Anne went inside herself to the point of reflection, discovery, and growth. Eventually, on Wednesday, 23 February, 1944 she wrote

When I looked outside right into the depth of Nature and God, then I was happy, really happy. And Peter,¹⁸⁶ so long as I have that happiness here, the joy in nature, health and a lot more besides,¹⁸⁷ all the while one has that, one can always recapture happiness.

¹⁸⁶ Close to her age, Peter was the only child of the other family in hiding.

¹⁸⁷ She had her family, faith, food, shelter, and books: things she valued.

Riches can be lost, but that happiness in your own heart can only be **veiled**, and it will still bring you happiness again, as long as you live. As long as you can look fearlessly up into the heavens, as long as you know you are pure within,¹⁸⁸ and that you will still find happiness [**emphasis added**]. (Frank, p.____)

¶896 Simplistic, innocent, and beautiful. Living in the *open wound of her captivity*, she chose to *not* let it defeat her by focusing on those who loved her, and those she loved. I still live in this *open wound*, but I focus on my loved ones and getting home to them. This keeps me strong and helps me to hang on to the threads of hope, happiness, joy, and peace. She did it. I am doing it. You can do it.

Can!

-Buzz Lightyear, *Toy Story*

¶897 I am not asking for you to believe in *God*, or a *god*. In fact, I believe in neither according to organized religion. Does that make me right? No. Not at all. I've been unjustly abused for too long to believe there's something or someone up there looking out for my sorry ass; save those who love me. However, I know there's something out there greater than me, greater than this, greater than those who did this to me. All the matter and energy in the universe came from somewhere, and humankind, in all its ignorance and pride, did not put it there. There is a *Creative Force*, and I highly recommend you do not mess with it. I once read

Matter and energy came together to create form.

-Aristotle

Indeed, I am a firm believer that the *Creative Force* did just that.

¶898 If you are of a faith, know this:

Religion provides what the sciences, arts, and politics do not:
a moral code.

-Compliments to A.G. Riddle

¹⁸⁸ You are not at fault for the abuse you received.

So stay with it. It gave Anne hope and inner peace. And, as a note, yes, I do talk to the *Creator* from time to time. Personally, I am just pissed off at the *Creator* for allowing this to continue, *inter alia*. But we do talk. Well, I pitch a royal bitch and he, she, or it listens. I'll leave that with you.

¶899 You are not what those who abused you want you to be: Their Emotional Whipping Post. You are more. Much, much more. And you are so much more than them, that they would rather destroy you through oppression than let someone else receive the good, the beauty that you have to offer. I said *have* to offer. Your inner joy and peace, your capacity for empathic love is still in you. Pull the *Veil of Pain* back and you'll see it's still there. In here I hide mine because, when people, abusers see it, they attack what they believe to be a weak spot. But my natural state of happiness and love for family are my strengths. Although I keep them hidden, *Yes!* I will have my day, and as I stated somewhere before in this melee,

You can tell the quality of a man
by how he treats those
he considers beneath him.

-Unknown

That's because,

True strength lifts,
it does not push down.

-Unknown

¶900 You can rise above the level they put you at: their level. You must! Abusers never rise above their stations in life because they lack empathic love. Their ways will only take them so far because they are not compassionate leaders. And, if by chance they do soar with the eagles like Hitler or Hussein, their glory is as fleeting as a vapor in the wind.

As is the man,
so is his strength.
-Bible?

Their wings, the wings of the abusers, are of wax and turkey feathers that melt as they dare fly too high, like *Icarus*.¹⁸⁹ But you have wings too, wings as strong as iron and made of eagle feathers. As the birds use the wind, *that which resists them*, to fly and *command* the skies,¹⁹⁰ you will not only use the wind, your environment, the abuse, or the memories of it, to your advantage, you too will command your skies and your happiness. Yes, you *will* discover your method, as have I [¶851].

¶901 *YOU* own your heart, mind, body, and soul: your skies. Not them! They only think they do in their narrow-minded and self-aggrandizing approach to life.

¶902 Know that you are **not** at fault for what has happened to you! Abusers are damaged people. Granted, some abusers were abused, and, through the process of *imprinting*¹⁹¹ and *neuroplasticity*, became abusers themselves. Such is **nurture**. On the other hand, because of their own short-comings and insecurities, some have deliberately chosen the path of the abuser. Such is **nature**.

¶903 I must concede this observation: As to the nature, it, the choice to abuse, could be, in part, *genetic memory*. Think about it... You knew to cry to communicate that you were hungry and cold when you were born.

¹⁸⁹ In Greek mythology, Icarus was the son of Daedalus. He escaped from Crete by flying with wings made by Daedalus, but Icarus flew so high that the sun's heat melted the wax that kept feathers and frame together, and he fell to his death in the sea.

¹⁹⁰ Daniel Bernoulli. Swiss mathematician of the 1700s. Conceived *Bernoulli's Principle* which explains lift: how birds and planes fly, and pitchers throw curve balls. Also known as *Bernoulli's Law*, it explains how boats sail into the wind. Yes, wind pulls a sail rather than pushes it. Amazing!

¹⁹¹ Imprinting. Rapid learning of species-specific behavior patterns that occurs with exposure to the proper stimulus at a sensitive period of early life. Anderson, p.885.

¶904 Some people, as I have experienced, are simply evil and provide absolutely no benefit to the human race. Then again, abusers **do** make the abused *stronger* [¶851]. Such a paradox!

You know the bed feels warmer sleeping here alone 🎵
You know I dream in color
And do the things I want
Think you got the best of me
Think you've had the last laugh
Bet you think everything good is gone
Think you left me broken down
Think that I'd come running back
Baby, you don't know me 'cause you're dead wrong 🎵
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
What doesn't kill you makes a fire
Puts the fever higher
Doesn't mean I'm over 'cause you're gone
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stronger
Just me myself and I
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
You heard that I was starting over with someone new 🎵
They told you I was a moving on
Over you
And think that I'd come back
I come back swinging
You tried to break me but you see
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
What doesn't kill you makes a fire
Puts the fever higher
Doesn't mean I'm over 'cause you're gone 🎵
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stronger
Just me myself and I
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger

Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
Thanks to you I got a new thing started
Thanks to you I'm not the broken hearted
Thanks to you I'm finally thinking about me
You know in the end they say you're left with a better beginning 🎵
In the end
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
What doesn't kill you makes a fire
Puts the fever higher
Doesn't mean I'm over 'cause you're gone
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stronger
Just me myself and I
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stronger
Just me myself and I
What doesn't kill you makes you stronger
Stand a little taller
Doesn't mean I'm lonely when I'm alone 🎵
When I'm alone
-Kelly Clarkson, *Stronger*

I am nobody's damn *doormat* anymore!

. . .

Rocket: Did you just have a Kelly Clarkson moment?

Frank: Yeah, she's amazing! I also like Lady Gaga, Pink, and *Rihanna*. 😊😊😊😊

Rocket: What was the song you decided belonged to the woman who carved your heart out with
a spoon?

Frank: *Smooth Criminal* by Fiona Apple. Yeah, that's hers, and only hers. I call her '*That one*'.

Groot: I am Groot?

[“Why a spoon?”]

Frank: So it would hurt.

Rocket: Literally?

Frank: Uh-huh. I was nothing more than sport and entertainment. Lesson learned.

. . .

¶905 What happened to you does not define you; it's what you do. Understand this! It does not make you who you are, or who they want you to be. And an unjustly applied label does not identify you! No. But avoiding something does not make it better or go away. True, the courts would like to think so. However,

The bravest face their fears.

-Unknown

So you must face what has happened to you. If not, it will always own you. Is that what you want? **NO!** And unless you take ownership of it, the pain, you can't throw it away. Put simply,

I own all of me
and this allows for me
to freely give of myself to others [¶344], [¶931].

-Frank P. Wood, *Unbroken*

¶906 It is time for you to get up, face it, and go back through the fire in your mind. The *Crucible of Pain* is the *Necessary Evil* at this point. You must be purified of the crap that was cast upon you. Be a conqueror!

The hardest steel is passed through the hottest fire.

-Unknown

So sharpen your double-edged sword [¶936] on the *Rocks of Hope and Despair*. Be that warrior of life! Then, after it's all said and done, remember this saying from a previously abused and

amazing woman, who rose from the *Pit of Hell*:

I choose not to let that rent space in my mind anymore.

-Pastor Paula White

¶907 You are allowed to be free, loved, and happy. You are allowed to look inside yourself and pull the *Veil of Pain* back that shrouds your peace and joy, diminishing the beauty of your inner light. Live your life out loud!!! I dare you to do so!!! Dare yourself! Get up and empty the bucket of shit that you have been carrying around, and then throw it away. It was never your bucket to begin with. It's the abuser's bucket. Let him or her have it. True,

What's been there the longest is always the last to leave,

-Pastor Joyce Meyer

but you can do this. It's your life. Your peace. Your dreams. Take them back! And know that

You are worthy of love! [¶936].

¶908 If you're hesitant about embracing your suffering, Christian or not, I can share this one (1) absolute historical example with you:

Christ embraced his cross.

Yes, in front of his accusers and abusers. This is what I have to do every day I sit down to type this Affidavit in front of people who want to see me fail and fall, every time I file a motion into a court, or reach out to the *Free World* and ask for help. But I don't care what they think of me. I'm not here for their damn approval. With the Christ as my example, such courage and commitment proves that

Sometimes you have to go where you don't want to go,
and do what you don't want to do,
to get where you want to be.

-Unknown

And I want to go home.

What do *you* want?

¶909 If you're wondering exactly *how* you're going to do this,

A journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step.

-Unknown

And that first single step is *choice*. Choose who and what you want to be. This is when the abuser starts to lose control over you. This is when you decide

I shall no longer live in an open wound.

-Frank P. Wood, *Unconquered*

This is when you finally understand that

You cannot go forward if you are living in the past.

-FPW

No. You cannot put new wine into old wine skins. They *will* burst. So

Always finish the old before you start the new.

-FPW

If not, your painful past will affect all your future actions and relationships. They have so far.

Remember the person who wanted to take you to the new *Thai* restaurant of life? [¶744]. Yeah, the *One* who cared just got away.

¶910 Don't let this happen to you!!!!!!

¶911 Reader, I have shared so much of my pain and suffering with you. In the process, I have been quite candid, and can only hope that you see and understand that I write from pure experience. I wish I could just plug my mind into yours and give you every bit of data that I have studied, deciphered, and applied to reach this point. And I know that I am not a psychologist helping you through a divorce, having never been married or suffered if myself. I am wrongfully imprisoned and have suffered indignities that I still refuse to talk about. So learn from me because I am a mental and spiritual warrior, and these bastards will *not* break me.

¶912 And to those who did this to the girls, to my family, and to me, and who perpetuate this *Insolent Injustice*, know this:

I am not leaving prison broken and damaged goods.
I am leaving prison *Exonerated* and *New*.
-Mr. Frank P. Wood

Understand this.

-Σ-

Chapter 33

¶913

WORD TO THE READER

There is no beast so fierce
that knows the touch of pity.
I know such pity.
Therefore I am no beast.
-William Shakespeare

¶914 Dear Reader:

¶915 Time to bear all, I needed to take some time out and thank you directly for granting me audience, and for your patience and understanding.

Thank you ☺

I know that

Good writing must be educational and entertaining,
-Ken Follett

and I am doing my best to reach this bench mark. As to the educational part, I am working diligently with pencil and paper (the research and writing process) to not only heighten your awareness of our laws, but to show you how they are either ignored or misapplied by Court and State to satisfy ulterior motives. Further, it is my absolute intent to reveal to you what has happened to me; what was paid for with your tax dollars. And I am using footnotes, [¶]

references, and (Exhibits) to keep us focused and organized. As to the entertaining part, recall when you read MY WAY [¶581-¶595]. Humor relieves stress and drives points home. And I do believe that I have not said anything that is not permissible on public television, one (1) of the three (3) threads that make up communication cord of our Social Fabric. The other two (2) being phones and internet. So I pray you took no offense. If those who did this to the girls and to me take offense, *good for them!*

¶916 A monumental task, this Affidavit's birth began during the first week of March 2017. During the summer months, I wrote it page-by-page, sitting bunched up on a top rack in a block and steel building, with limited lighting, and the sun beating down on a sheet metal roof. No. No A/C. It's sort of like the *Easy-Bake Oven*® our kid sisters used to make brownies in. Hey, those darn things were good! ☺ (Thanks, *Bean*. I love you, Sis.) Obviously the winter months of '17-'18 were much easier, except for the *Living Light Sockets* that are taxing on the mind and nerves. Yes, there are some people that, if you deal with them, you're going to get zapped. So *always* check the voltage before you throw the switch.

Throw ze first switch!
-*Young Frankenstein*

¶917 With sweat pouring off me and papers sticking to my arms and legs, fans blowing gave cause to weigh down folders with books and paperclip pages to the blanket. What a sight!

¶918 During the course of production, I took quite ill. Green and yellow came out of my chest and nose. When it started coming out of my tear ducts, a new one for me, I knew I was bummin'.

Uhg!

¶919 Yeah, somebody dropped a beaker.

[Sound of glass breaking]

¶920 The illness came out of nowhere! One day I exercised chest and core for an hour straight

with gas-to-go! (Us old guys exercise. Young guys work out.) Then, a few days later I was flat on my back with fever, headache, no strength, and my *entire* body was in pain. Even my eyeballs hurt! *Crapola!* All I could do was tell myself, '*Get up, Frankie. Get up!*'

That's the spirit, soldier.
-Universal Soldier

Fortunately for me, medical staff saw clear and took excellent care of me and prescribed antibiotics. Ever see a 1000mg pill? *Whew!* Glad it wasn't a suppository, because *that* wasn't happening, mind you.

¶921 By the time I began to type, what began as a simple concept on paper: What's Truly Important? (Exhibit-75), this document was edited, at least, eight (8) times until it became 630 handwritten pages. And that's without the 86 (Exhibits). What more, some pages were re-written three (3) to four (4) times for clarity and cross-referencing. Talk about forming calluses and shortening pencils! Eventually even the calluses hurt and I had to find a new way to hold the pencil to write. This was followed by the development of new and painful calluses. *Yay!* Yes, I am a remarkably determined fellow. However, there are times I look at the calluses on my fingers and realize, '*Someday I will be free, I won't have to do this anymore, and they'll be gone.*'

¶922 Not sure how the formatting will come through in the end. Had to alter it several times. It's been a *learn-as-I-go* process. You may come across numbered paragraphs or (Exhibits) RESERVED for future revisions and publications. You may even come across a few blank [] or [¶]. Why? Sometimes it is difficult to find every cross-reference in my mind and this document was done as *many* separate files on the computer for easy management. But I am doing my best.

¶923 You will also notice, Reader, that every page of this Affidavit has a page number in the lower right hand corner. That number is for the legal presentation of the Affidavit itself

beginning with [¶001]. Prior to that I have used *Roman Numerals*. Further, there are a total of 877 pages, and I included the total number pages per (Exhibit) in Appendix-A.

This is to account for *every* page of the Affidavit and *every* page of the (Exhibits). So if you receive a copy of this beast, or copy/download it from my web site at www.freefrankpwood.com, you will know if anything is missing or didn't transfer.

¶924 Don't work hard.
 You'll just get tired.
 Work smart and steady.
 -FPW

¶925 *Wow!* Just did a count! I have gone through nearly 25 pencils and 1800 sheets of paper. Will need to hug a tree to say '*Thank you*' when this is over.

• • •

Groot: I am Groot.

Frank: All right. Bring it on in.

[Group hug]

• • •

¶926 Writing and editing a document of this magnitude with graphite and processed wood pulp was like writing a dissertation about world peace on a stone tablet with hammer and chisel. An arduous and lengthy task. When I am ready, it is my hope to use the word processor in the law library. I can format much faster on the computer than my typewriter and I am desperately pressed for that precious commodity: **time**. But, depending on environmental factors, I may have to use my typewriter to keep a steady pace. You see, prison is about routine, but the only consistencies are the inconsistencies. Yes, I must stay flexible in mind. What more, by the time you read this, I will have put, at least, 1700 hours into research, writing, editing, and typing. Then there's the 200-plus hours of help that came my way. Most grateful I am,

I thank you all!!!!!!

¶927 Yeah, been busy and drove a few people nuts in the process. ☺

¶928 Over the years, Atty. Stanley told me on several occasions to write a book about this. We always thought that I would do this **after** my exoneration/vindication, and not before. However, again, circumstances dictated my actions. The time to tell the story came about without warning. And since we'll be publishing this via the World Wide Web, 2018 is going to be an exciting year for many. I can see it now:

Man #1: I can't believe this is happening to me!

Man #2: Oh, it's happening, sweetheart.

-State Farm Insurance

¶929 **Go Team!**

¶930 To bring this literary manifesto to you, also took over a decade of suffering, research, and contemplation. Yes, I do possess

A mindset two notches above tenacious,
-Clive Cussler

and one of my greatest assets is flexible and innovative thought processes. I didn't know exactly *how* I was going to do this. Especially from in here. Nevertheless, my mindset and abilities kept me focused as I actually developed the process of this presentation. For instance, I had to stop half way and develop the QUICK GUIDE at the beginning of this document to track topics, chapters, and footnotes. Once I realized its value, I incorporated it for your use.

¶931 Some of you may still be wondering *who* I really am. Having been remarkably candid and sincere with you already, there's no need to not open up and share now. I am someone who values family, love, life, and education. I am a man who knows, believes in, and trusts himself. I am, for lack of a better word – aware. I seek to use my strengths to help others and to turn my weaknesses into strengths. I also respect and love myself. If I did not, then I could not own all of

me. Therefore, as stated earlier, I could not give freely of myself to others [¶344], [¶905].

¶932 Despite my bravado, machismo, and humor I am still a romantic, but no longer a hopeless one. I am no longer the Romeo who fell for a pretty face and a good story. But if I ever do meet my *One*, this is the love I have pent up in my heart for her that I hide from the world around me: for her and her alone [¶343],

I love you like the springtime flowers
love the midsummer's rain
with gentleness and kindness

I adore you
like their blossoms adore the sun
with humility and joy

And I do so with the adoration
of angels falling prostrate before their Lord:
with awe and admiration

-Your One and Only

Sad to know that I may grow old alone and take that much love to the grave, but if the *Creative Force* should be so kind as to bless me with my *One and Only* [¶344], that would be a good day.

A very good day, indeed. ☺

To love someone
is to benefit their life.
-Frank P. Wood

¶931 And *they* called *me* the monster; a beast.

¶932 Now, as a word to the men in the reading audience, remember these finer points in life:

1) A woman changes her mind because she can. You just have to deal with it. Sorry, pal.

*C'est lavie, mon ami.*¹⁹²

¹⁹² French: That's life, my friend.

- 2) It is to your honor whey she says '*I do*'. So don't forget that
- 3) When you say, '*I do*' she's thinking, '*Oh, yes, he does!*'
- 4) The two key words to a successful marriage are '*Yes, Dear*'. It's good to practice that
in a mirror. You'll see

And, Ladies, no matter how much you love us, remember, *we're still guys*.

¶933 So, Gentlemen, to help you on your way down the road of matrimony towards years of love and bliss, I encourage you to commit the following to memory, as it will serve you well in every decision you make for the rest of your life:

When your woman becomes your wife
She becomes that much more desirable

When your wife becomes pregnant with your child
She becomes that much more beautiful

When your wife becomes the mother of your child
She becomes that much more precious

-FPW

Remember this and commit it to heart. Σ

¶936 I am honorably proud of the man I am, have become. Looking back, I cannot recognize the lad who was unjustly thrust into prison. By comparison, he was foolish in some ways, immature in others, and not living life to his full potential. Seems like that was another life; one that I did not participate in. But I did! I was there; I was him. After years of rebuilding my mind and correcting any misguided beliefs, I turned my weaknesses into strengths and became a man whom you would seat at your kitchen table and call '*Friend*'. So, who am I? Glad you asked.

I am Mr. Frank P. Wood
Child of the Universe; Son of the Creative Force
I am a good man; the Eye of the Storm
I am worthy of love; capable of great love [¶907]

I have tremendous value and true worth; something to offer
I possess the mind of a philosophical scientist; the heart of a nobleman;
the soul of the tiger; the spirit of the wolf
I am The Innocent Man; the Sword With the Cutting Edge [¶906]
Focusing through the eye of the tiger, and with a mindset two notches above tenacious
I shall not quit until I win
Until then, I shall endure.
DURABO!¹⁹³ [¶343]

That's who I am; the man I built from scratch. Σ

¶937 [RESERVED]

¶938 Reader, I am not just doing this to correct a manifest miscarriage of justice for the girls and for me, and to bring the guilty: Scott and Ryan, and others to justice, I am doing this to remove this fraudulent shame from the legacy of my family [¶1458]; those I love and who stood by my side. Family is not formed solely of blood and marriage. It is a unit of relationships forged by years of friendship and bonding. With that said, learn this axiom:

First Rule in Life: There's nothing more important than family.

And you never give up on family.

No matter what.

-FPW

This is what my family has proven to me. *Repeatedly.*

¶939 I am not doing this for sport or entertainment, or because I am bored. Innocent people, the abused, we don't lay down; we fight back because

Injustice anywhere

is a threat to justice everywhere.

-Martin Luther King, Jr.

What more, as

¹⁹³ Latin: I SHALL ENDURE!

Each of us is given a limited amount of time.
I [We] choose to use my [our] time wisely.
-Jack London

¶940 Time is our most priceless and personal asset. As

Time, you first give before you take,
-Lewis Carol, *Alice in Wonderland*

Time is the one thing you can give
that you can't get back.
-Me

¶941 Continuing, St. Augustine said,

Time is a measurement of movement and change.
-St. Augustine, *City of God*

Quite true, and once you achieved that measurement of what you did with your time, you did one

(1) of two (2) things with this most personal, priceless and irreplaceable asset. You either

1) Invested it

or you

2) Wasted it

Therefore, as an asset's sole purpose is to generate wealth regarding each of your Five Facets of Life (Exhibit-75), I encourage you to

Put your time where you get your greatest return.
-Unknown

And, yes, as you

Manage for the long term,
-IBM

know that

Children spell love T-I-M-E.
-Max Lucado

¶942 People, I know that this document's contents may seem harsh and aggressive at times, but this situation *IS* harsh and aggressive. In fact, it is *BARBARIC*. They chose to do this to me. This is their fault and not mine. I am just the messenger. They deliberately elected to lock up an innocent man: me, with my mind and a library, and people out there who love and believe in me.

Not too bright on their part.
(Exhibit-34: p. Reed-1, Item 6)

You see, input does equal output, but

Effective input equals greater output.
-FPW

And this is something I do understand quite well. Although in-depth studies of physics, finance, and economics verify what I just said, the Christian teaching about the difference between casting a seed on rocky and fertile soil will provide the same result. Jesus was one cool dude.

Don't be efficient.
Be effective.
(Covey, p.____)

And that's what I have done: become *effective*.

¶943 With that said, I'll stop when they stop; and not a moment beforehand. ☺

¶944 Reader, I have opened up to you so very much. I had to make sure you had at least a solid understanding of who I am, what I am doing, and why I am doing it. Now, if you will pardon my ways and means, let us sally forth, sift some wheat, and set some tiles until it is appropriate for us to *infer* and arrive at a reliable verdict.

¶945 Thank you.

Yours truly,

Frank

-Σ-

¶946

TRIAL FACTS: PART III

. . .

Frank: Time to give `em a little bit of *‘whatfer’*.

Rocket: *‘Whatfer?’*

Frank: *Whatfer* all they done to the girls, my family, and me.

Rocket: I reckon this is going to get real juicy.

Frank: Like a steak over hickory flame.

Groot: I am Groot!

Frank: No. You’re combustible. Let Rocket cook.

Rocket: Hello! Fur!

Frank: Just stop, drop, and roll. You’ll be all right – Groot! No! Put down the lighter and leave him alone. *Sheesh!* Teenagers.

. . .

¶947 After the “untruthful” Danielle’s testimony, the State rested its case. This is when Atty. Green motioned to dismiss both bogus charges pursuant to Crim.R.29 Motion for acquittal (Exhibit-45: Tp.461-464).

¶948 Specifically regarding the alleged rape of S.L., Pros. Eisenhower countered with
 Your Honor, the victim testified that it was several days before her birthday, which is **bullshit** [¶043], [¶089], [¶1025]. The Amended Bill of Particulars says the 1st through the 3rd [**emphasis added**], (ibid) (Tp.463, Ln.5-8).

¶949 Wow! Pros. Eisenhower just declared S.L.’s testimony to be “bullshit” because it

1) Matched the indicted dates of abuse (Exhibit-02)

2) Placed S.L. in Put-In-Bay with Scott and “not at Frank Wood’s house” (Exhibit-03)

3) Proves S.L. did not tell Dr. LeSure everything [¶868-¶871]

4) Reveals some of the “intrusive memories” Dr. LeSure did not talk about [¶826, 10].

This is supported by “approximately fifty times” [¶871]

5) Confirms that the *Vulcan Mind Meld* of S.L. by Scott and Danielle was not entirely successful. Her previous abuses by Scott must have been so remarkably long-term, numerous, and severe that the “intrusive memories” of this past continued to surface and struggled with the altering of her cell assemblies [¶821]

¶950 As it is illegal to REDACT ANYTHING a prosecutor says from a Trial Record, “bullshit” is REDACTED (Exhibit-45). Not only does this confirm my claims of transcript manipulation,¹⁹⁴ I will now show you how this simple redaction proves that Pros. Eisenhower, in a pre-meditated manner, knew she was sending an innocent man to prison.

¶951 [INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

¶952 After declaring S.L.’s testimony to be “bullshit”, during Closing Statements, Pros. Eisenhower donned the *Halo of Hypocrisy* and told the **Tainted and Tampered-With Jury**,

Look, SREDACTED was very clear. “Right before my tenth birthday.” Who doesn’t remember their tenth birthday? They’re nine and then they’re ten. “Right before” (Tp.495, Ln.15-19).

¶953 Now recall and compare when Atty. Green asked S.L. about her birthday party. S.L. clearly testified she celebrated her tenth birthday

“on”

her birthday: Sunday, October 3, 2004, that she had another birthday party when she got back to my house on Monday, October 4, 2004, that this was her “dad’s weekend”, and it was “the two days before” the party that “I hurt”... “In my private” (Exhibit-03), [¶131-¶139].

¹⁹⁴ Missing-Incomplete-Altered Trial Record #16.

¶954 But Pros. Eisenhower was very adamant, with good reason, as she confirmed her above statement to the Jury with

She sat here and told you “a couple of days before my tenth birthday.”
And that’s evidence. That’s evidence. There’s been no evidence to the contrary. None (Tp.524, Ln.19-24), (Exhibit-03), [¶1016].

¶955 Now that’s the gospel truth, Folks [¶131-¶139], [¶500 at Footnote 110].

♪ [Angelic chorus] ♪

¶956 Reinforcing the above, when Pros. Eisenhower directly asked S.L.,

Q Okay. And that incident that occurred a couple of days before your tenth birthday, did he put his private inside your private?

S.L. answered

A Yes (Tp.232, Ln.18-21), [¶985, 1].

Son-uv-uh-bitch!
-Gene Wilder, *Stir Crazy*

¶957 Reader, what’s so scary about the above is that I just proved to you two (2) things that

Pros. Eisenhower *knew*:

1) S.L. was sexually assaulted “the two days before” her tenth birthday in Put-In-Bay by her dear ol’ dad: Scott (Exhibit-03)

2) That she was sending an innocent man to prison for life to cover up her screw up

. . .

♪ [Phone rings]

Groot: I am Groot... I am Groot... I am Groot!

[Hands phone to Frank]

Frank: Thanks, Groot... This is Frank... Hey! Great to hear from you... Yeah, I knew it was only

a matter of time and effort... Uh-huh... Yes... Your sisters are on hold as we speak...

Awesome! Great idea!... I am so looking forward to that moment... *Ciao!*

Rocket: You're smiling to the point of beaming. What's up?

Frank: That was *Fate*, the sister of *Karma* and *Destiny*. She's going to have all of them call back on a three-way at the appropriate time. And she gave me a message to deliver in the interim to those who did this to the girls, to my family, and to me, and who perpetuate this *Insolent Injustice*.

Groot: I am Groot?

Frank: As *Karma* is inevitable,
 and *Destiny* holds true,
 Fate rarely calls upon you at your time of choosing.
 -Mr. Frank P. Wood

Rocket: Now that's deep.

Frank: Time to turn up the heat and sear the meat.

• • •

¶958 Reader, earlier we established that

- 1) Danielle left the sanctity of her marriage bed for a reason [¶853-¶857]
- 2) S.L. was sexually assaulted while she spent the entire indicted weekend of abuse with Scott in Put-In-Bay and “not at Frank Wood’s house” (Exhibit-03: p.7-9)
- 3) Pros. Eisenhower declared the indicted dates of abuse: October 1st – 3rd of 2004 (Exhibit-02) as the “first time” it happened, and the unindicted date of October 20th of 2004: when S.L. moved back in with Scott until Trial [¶191], as the “last time” it happened (Exhibit-03: p.12), [¶1109, 5]
- 4) From October 20th of 2004 until S.L.’s medical exam in January of 2005, *something* happened to her “approximately fifty times” [¶871]

5) While I was around S.L. for nine (9) intermittent and partial days during October of 2004 [¶871], and S.L. was in Scott's perverted possession the other 22 days, Dr.

LeSure stated the allegations rant the entire month of October [¶868-¶871], [¶958, 7]

Scott, you might as well bend over
and kiss your ass 'Goodbye'. ☺

With warmest regards,

Frank

With the above in mind, a while back I told you there were more dates of abuse [¶189, 3]. As there are still two (2) more to go, that I know of, we'll start with the one (1) below.

¶959 As with the first weekend of October of 2004 (Exhibits-02, 03, and 09), S.L. also spent the second weekend of October 2004, Friday the 8th through Monday the 11th (Exhibit-15), with Scott, per the pre-set visitation schedule [¶135], (Exhibit-03). Afterwards, while helping S.L. with her math at the kitchen table on Tuesday the 12th, S.L. told me how her "Dad" came in her bedroom and dropped his towel. After she described the incident in *great detail*, she looked over my shoulder with the look of absolute fear; the same look she had on her face every time the courtroom door opened during her testimony (Something these geniuses didn't notice), and put her head down. As I looked over my shoulder, Danielle¹⁹⁵ stood behind me with arms crossed over her chest, an angry look on her face, and staring down S.L. (Exhibit-09: p.D-3, ¶1).¹⁹⁶ That night Danielle and I argued for hours.

¶960 With the "intrusive memories" of "the two days before" in play, Pros. Eisenhower asked Scott directly,

Did you ever walk around in just a towel?
(Tp.218, Ln.22), [¶857 at Footnote 184]

¹⁹⁵ There can be no doubt that S.L. was afraid of, at least, Danielle.

¹⁹⁶ The things that come to light in hindsight!

[BONG! BONG! BONG!]

♪ For whom the bell tolls ♪
-Metallica, *For Whom the Bell Tolls*

It is just simply amazing how the body will drain blood from the non-essential organs and extremities when the flight response engages. Such physiological effects caused Scott to

♪ Turn a whiter shade of pale ♪
-Procol Harum, *A Whiter Shade of Pale*

I bet his heart rate jumped from 70bpm to 140bpm in exactly point-zero-six-seconds. ☺

¶961 Getting into the juice of this meaty situation, *only* four (4) people knew about the towel incident: Scott, S.L., Danielle, and me. I support –

• • •

Rocket: Uh, Frank?

Frank: Yes, Rocket.

Rocket: Then how did Pros. Eisenhower know to ask such a highly specific question?

Frank: That's the \$1.2 million dollar a year question, my furry friend. And the answer is so logical and direct that it will come as no surprise. Simply put...

• • •

¶962 S.L. told someone who was directly involved in the investigation that was signed in with Dr. LeSure **prior to** the occurrence of an alleged incident (Exhibit-03).

¶963 This is some *freaky* stuff because not a single State witness, including S.L., mentioned this during Trial. As to *who* she told, could have been anyone who was involved in the *Hippocampus Stomp* of this poor girl's mind [¶633-¶637]. Could have been someone else.

Dunno. Either way, this event made its way back to Pros. Eisenhower in such a realistic manner that it became part of her questioning of Scott, but **not** of S.L., Dr. LeSure, or Danielle. *Hmmm.*

In fact, it must have disturbed Eisenhower to such a great extent that the “towel” question was actually **preceded** by the following exchange that took place between her and Scott:

Q When you and Danielle were married, did you - - what was your habit of walking around the house as far as you were dressed?

A While we were married, typically my underwear, my boxers (Tp.218, Ln.17-21), while he knew he was living with a previously sexually abused child. Hey, Danielle knew too and allowed for this. As that habitual manner of dress would have easily carried over into his new bachelor life, while S.L. was in his possession [¶191], to the contrary, when S.L. was asked

Q What would Frank wear when you would see him in the morning, when you’d get up?

S.L. freely answered,

A Jeans (Tp.249, Ln.6-8). Σ

¶964 Reader, I have faithfully stayed the course to get to this point, and I am most eager to show you exactly *why* the “towel”, “underwear”, and “Jeans” are truly important.

¶965 Historically, **after** the “untruthful” Danielle found out she was pregnant, the case regarding S.L. was signed in the Dr. LeSure on September 24, 2004 (Tp.407, Ln.7-16) **prior to** the alleged abuse regarding the indicted dates of October 1st-3rd of 2004 (Exhibit-02). Acting under Scott’s orders [¶205], on October 20th of 2004, the **same day** S.L. went back under Scott’s complete mental, emotional, and physical control [¶191], Danielle went to the Montville P.D. to file “rape” charges against me (Tp.66, Ln.3-4). As this allegation went unindicted (Exhibit-02) Danielle testified that, on this very morning, she saw me coming out of S.L.’s room in my “Underwear” (Tp.157, Ln.8), (Exhibit-09: p. D-3 at ¶2-¶3), (Exhibit-18: p.1 of 5, 4th section from bottom).

• • •

[BOOM!]

Frank: What!

Rocket: Groot thought it was appropriate to fire another cannon. You know... since we're there
and all.

Groot: I AM GROOT!

Frank: Yes, you most definitely are.

[COLLECTIVE LAUGHTER]

• • •

¶966 Closing the mouth of the *Malicious Town Crier*, permanently, I'll leave this with you:

- 1) "approximately fifty times"
- 2) Cell assemblies
- 3) Dr. Reed
- 4) "Frankie raped you"
- 5) "the two days before"
- 6) "intrusive memories"
- 7) "Put-in-Bay and not at Frank Wood's house"
- 8) "bullshit"
- 9) *Laundry Lists*

¶967

Danielle, as you possess neither honor nor integrity, you
have lost all credibility before the human race [¶369-¶371].

The good man you screwed over,

-Frank

¶968 As things are not looking so good for the Home Team, we'll get to the last date abuse, that I could discover, in [¶1379-¶1393].

¶969 Wrapping up the "towel" incident, pun intended, as a summary:

- 1) This is missing from LeSure's testimony and that of every other State witness
- 2) Somehow this evidence made it to Pros. Eisenhower
- 3) Pros. Eisenhower made this her last question to Scott
 - i. Knowing he would deny it
 - ii. As a way to bolster the State's case, again ¹⁹⁷
 - iii. To discredit S.L. should she come forward in the future and recant. Yes, Eisenhower used this poor girl, as did Scott and Danielle
 - iv. To support "bullshit"

¶970 Pursuing a grand slam, there is no proof that Det. Kollar, Pros. Eisenhower, or Dr. LeSure acted on this evidence. Why? Because

It didn't fit their theory.
-Atty. Ronald R. Stanley

Please allow for me to explain.

¶971 With their legal theory of perp driven by personal reasons (*Motives*), misguided beliefs (*Dogmas*), and career ambitions (*County contracts*), I was nothing more than a lab rat, an experiment: '*Can we pull this off?*' They could have cared less as to who was and was not guilty. Further, as their inept and incompetent investigation was deliberately misdirected by lies, half-truths, and withheld information:

¹⁹⁷ Bolstering also occurs at [¶684-¶722], [¶1055], [¶1071], [¶1140], [¶1096, 4-¶1099], [¶1140], [¶1382-¶1403].

“Investigators and police are so driven to catch the person that just did this heinous crime that when they find someone, they focus on them *to the exclusion of all others*.” *** “And then they start making the evidence fit their theory [*of perp*], instead of making their theory fit the evidence” (Hagerty, p.65), [*emphasis added*], [¶1267, 2].

¶972 In the State’s reckless but deliberate targeting of me as their Theory of Perp, “*to the exclusion of all others*,” now you know why

- 1) So many people from the police report and Children’s Services did not testify
- 2) The plethora of the unqualified and groups of children that interviewed K.S. and S.L. were never interviewed and did to testify
- 3) No extended family or friends of K.S. and S.L. testified
- 4) The pictures of pictures were not shown to the Jury (*a dirty move*)
- 5) The State’s Leading Expert, Dr. Reed, was not allowed to testify
- 6) Atty. Green failed to subpoena my witnesses and did not tell me until halfway through Trial (*another dirty move*)
- 7) I was not allowed to testify (Recall that Atty. Green had my original Pre-Trial Histories while I was out on bond), [¶062]
- 8) S.L.’s previously treating psychologist, Dr. Jedacek was never called to testify
- 9) The social workers from Cuyahoga County Children’s Services that took S.L. from “Grandma Alice’s” home did testify
- 10) Det. Kollar, Pros. Eisenhower, Children’s Services, and Dr. LeSure elected to *not* interview me
- 11) I was never called to testify before the Grand Jury
- 12) The Montville P.D. police report that revealed *why* the alleged rape case was “terminated” was illegally suppressed during Trial and then destroyed [¶207-¶225]

- 13) Dr. LeSure's report was declared to have no exculpatory value, and then given to Stenographer Garrity for the purposes of destruction prior to the commencement of Trial [¶724a-¶724o]
- 14) The letter from Children's Services that was sent to the Prosecutor's Office stating there was "no evidence" and that the case was "closed" was illegally suppressed during Trial without my knowledge [¶201-¶204]
- 15) S.L.'s previous sexually activities with A.S. was suppressed during Trial [¶619-¶630c]
- 16) The "two gentlemen" from Children's Services who interviewed K.S. did not testify [¶524]
- 17) The video interviews of the girls were not shown due to "coaching" [¶246], (Tp.506-507), and Pros. Eisenhower's secretive involvement was kept secret [¶701-¶704]
- 18) Montville's interview of me was not shown during Trial [¶215]
- 19) The video of me in the back of the cruiser from after the fraudulent revoking of my \$200k cash bond was not shown to the Jury [¶393]
- 20) Dr. LeSure's county contract was kept from the Jury and me [¶724a-¶724o]
- 21) All the men in K.S.'s life were never interviewed
- 22) *Etcetera*,¹⁹⁸ *etcetera, etcetera!*

¶973 As it was necessary to disregard The Tenth Man Rule and conduct a biased and one-sided investigation to satisfy motives in the beginning, it was just as necessary to keep the above exculpatory and exonerating evidence from the Jury in the end. Failure to do so would have decimated the State's vulgar version of Theory of Perp, and that is all they ever had:

¹⁹⁸ Latin: "and so forth"

a “bullshit” theory

¶974 Correct me if I’m wrong, but, aren’t you supposed to build a case around the evidence and not the evidence around the theory? Σ

¶975 Obviously The Tenth Man Rule and the above *Laundry List* didn’t fit their agenda.

¶976 In the most heinous fashion, as previously noted, it is brutally obvious that Pros. Eisenhower never cared for S.L., let alone the justice she deserves. This is revealed by how she only sought a conviction for the motives of money, career, and reputation, and let Scott run free. For verification of this assertion, her Closing Statements to the Jury that contradicted “bullshit” were made **after** she had time to think about it over night. Σ

¶977 Ponderable: Pros. Eisenhower was able to take statements made during a weeklong Trial and twist them just enough to suit her needs during her Closing Statements to the Jury. As these statements were a new event and the last things the Jury heard,

- 1) These statements altered the Jury’s *cell assemblies*¹⁹⁹ [¶821] regarding events that occurred during the course of Trial
- 2) The microphone the witnesses spoke into was connected to not just speakers, but a recording device

¶978 I am thoroughly convinced of this because

- 1) The twists of words **after** a weeklong Trial were part of her written Closing Statements that she continuously glanced at as she lied, repeatedly, to the Jury
- 2) The twists were *too close* to the original statements that were from earlier parts of the Record, and just enough to mislead an emotional Jury

¹⁹⁹ I remain under the impression that it is always best to win a case by lying to the Jury at the last possible moment.

3) Atty. Stanley and I took notes every day of Trial, and, in my method of studying the competition, Pros. Eisenhower never took notes during the course of Trial. Also, Det. Kollar had a small pad and pen, but wrote very little [¶724], 6]

Yeah, that's worth a Federal invest.

¶979 People get handed positions of authority with limited inherent power to carry out orders, or enforce rules, policies, procedures, and regulations set in place by those that came before them: the higher-ups. However, after a while, they become comfortable on their little thrones. Then they become arrogant thinking their inherent power is a right to abuse and condescend. (*True power is the ability to honorably influence a decision for the good of all involved.*) Then they become complacent, which is followed by laziness, which ends up in carelessness. And, *that*, my dear Reader, is when they make mistakes. And *this* is what I look for, *wait* for [¶1092, 3 at Footnote 124]. Σ

The patient warrior is the dangerous warrior.
-Louis L'Amour

Yeah, that's an *Ooh Rah!*

¶980 [INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

¶981 Getting back to the Crim.R.29 Motion for acquittal, Judge Collier refused to dismiss the charges. He did so in four (4) swift and well-practiced steps. *First*, he declared that, by operation of law,

When a Court makes a determination on a Rule 29 motion, the Court has to view the facts of the case most strongly against the party making the motion – that is to say, view the facts most strongly against the Defendant in the case – and make a determination as to whether or not there is any evidence which, if believed by a **reasonable juror**, the jury could come to the conclusion that the Defendant committed this offense (Exhibit-45, Tp.463, Ln.13-21), [**emphasis added**], [¶597].

¶982 Judge Collier's *second* step was in denying the dismissal of the alleged F-3 GSI regarding K.S. In doing so, he made the following determination:

Looking at the issue of the gross sexual imposition in KREDACTED SREDACTED, the Court recalls the victim in the case saying that she did not remember going up to a room in Chippewa Lake with this Defendant (ibid) (Tp.464, Ln.1-5)

Reader, now recall that:

- 1) K.S. testified to this TWICE (Exhibit-03)
- 2) Judge Collier admonished Pros. Eisenhower for testifying for K.S.
- 3) Judge Collier said, "we don't have anything"
- 4) Judge Collier said, "No, it didn't happen"
- 5) Pros. Eisenhower made a "pact" with K.S.

Judge Collier continued with

There was other supporting evidence, supporting the prosecution, that such an event occurred (Exhibit-45: Tp.464, Ln.5-7)

As I can't find this evidence in my Molested Trial Record, there was

- 6) The recalling of K.S. to the stand and Pros. Eisenhower lying about it to the Jury [¶684-¶720]
- 7) Pictures of pictures that were lied about and not shown, *in subsidium* [¶479-¶577]
- 8) Robyn's illegally solicited testimony [¶650-¶657]
- 9) Dr. LeSure's *ex parte* communications with K.S. that were misguided, founded on multiple motives, coached, and based on lies, half-truths, and withheld information that were commented on illegally and *in subsidium* [¶758-¶792]

Now consider

- 10) Dr. LeSure lied to the girls
- 11) Dr. LeSure's illegally submitted, suppressed, and destroyed report

12) Dr. LeSure's undisclosed contract with Medina County

Judge Collier then concluded his *third* step with

I think a reasonable juror could believe that
offense occurred (ibid) (Tp.464, Ln.14-15)

A reasonable juror? Not an ice cube's chance in the Sahara! However, to the contrary, a jury
comprised of

13) Court-declared "cynical" Jurors

14) A Court-elected Juror who was molested in her youth

15) An *in subsidium* protected Medina City Elementary school teacher could

¶983 Yep. What we have here is an *in subsidium*²⁰⁰ denial of a Crim.R.29 motion of the
alleged GSI. There are so many horrifying *in subsidiums* that I am tempted to start recording
them as 'Insidious'.

¶984 Shooting his regular, regarding Atty. Green's motion to dismiss the alleged rape charge
regarding S.L., Judge Collier took his *fourth* step when he said,

I'm making that same determination. Based on the testimony
of the victim in the case, the Court believes that there is
sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to conclude that this
offense may have occurred (ibid), (Tp.464, Ln.16-21)

¶985 A few problems for Court and State:

1) By her own testimony, S.L. was sexually assaulted on the indicted dates of abuse in

Put-In-Bay "the two days before" by Scott and "not at Frank Wood's house" (Exhibit-
03), [¶956]

2) As S.L. was a *Temple Virgin* (Exhibit-14), she was never raped

3) S.L. was abused "approximately fifty times" (Tp.280) while in Scott's sole custody

²⁰⁰ *In subsidium* #12.

and control [¶191]

4) The case was signed in with Dr. LeSure **before** the indicted dates of alleged abuse

5) “bullshit”

6) As noted in (Exhibit-31: Item 10), Dr. LeSure was sure something happened, but didn’t exactly know *what* or *when*. This is because critical information was withheld from her, and the “intrusive memories” of S.L.’s painful past abuse kept surfacing

7) Please refer to [¶958, 1-5]. Thank you ☺

¶986 By refusing to dismiss the alleged F-1 rape charge, Judge Collier acted *in subsidium*²⁰¹ the State, again. Further, regarding this charge specifically, Judge Collier has proven his collusion in my wrongful imprisonment as he willfully ignored the above and

1) The Court-declared “untruthful” Danielle

2) Court-acknowledged and State-utilized perjury

3) Put-In-Bay and I was not there

4) Lack of subject matter jurisdiction

5) Implanted memories: “Frankie raped you”

6) The fact that he gave Montville’s report, the one that stated *why* the case was “terminated”, to Stenographer Garrity for the purposes of destruction

7) He did the same with LeSure’s report

8) He suppressed the letter from Job & Family Services that declared “no evidence” and “closed”

9) Two (2) parenting role reversals

10) *Etcetera...*

²⁰¹ *In subsidium* #13.

¶987 Judge Collier elected to refuse to dismiss both charges under the above conditions, *inter alia*, without hearing any evidence from the Defense. His decisions were made prematurely as he had not yet heard the credible Dr. Reed. As it is both legally and factually evident that his decision was completely biased, and resulted in a deliberate and pre-meditated

Abuse of power. (16c) The misuse of improper exercise of one's authority; esp. the exercise of a statutorily or otherwise duly conferred authority in a way that is tortious, unlawful, or outside its proper scope (Garner, p.12),

as he willfully engaged his judicial powers for the sole tortious purpose of sending this *Innocent Man* to prison.

. . .

Frank: Rocket, what's he doing?

Rocket: Reloading the long nines.

Frank: Why?

Rocket: He's really excited about what happens next.

Frank: He's humming.

Rocket: I think he got that from you. You tend to hum or sing when you're happy, and since he can't sing, humming works.

Frank: He must have picked that up from me when we met. Have only done that a few times since, having learned the difference between moments of laughter and the state of happiness. It's been a long hard bit.

Rocket: In time, my friend. In time.

Frank: Yeah, in time. Best get back at it for now. Let me know when the steaks are done.

. . .

¶988 Earlier we discussed M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D., the licensed clinical psychologist (Tp.480, Ln.1-2) who directly examined me [¶502-¶506]. At that time, I introduced the core of Dr. Reed's *voir dire* testimony regarding his in-depth-examination of my mind and beliefs (Exhibit-34). I had also made mention that Dr. Reed is the State's Leading Expert, and that Judge Collier refused to let him testify before the **Corrupt Collective of a Jury** [¶506]. Now I will explain Judge Collier's unreasonable, arbitrary, and unconscionable decision. Hence, his *In Subsidium Abuse of Power*.

¶989 First, let us take a closer look at Dr. Reed's *kick-ass-credentials* (Exhibit-46), and add a few high-quality Italian marble tiles to our mosaic. In the process, I ask that you please note items 11, 15, and 17 as they are enumerated below.

11. Dr. Reed holds a Diplomate on the Board in Clinical Forensic Counseling, of the American College of Certified Counselors, Division of Psychotherapy (DCFC).

He holds seven other specialties in that group:

[including]

a. Certified Sex Offender Treatment Specialist²⁰²

15. Dr. Reed is a Diplomate of the American Board of Psychological Specialties (BABPS). He holds eleven psychological specialties in that group:

Sexual Abuse Behavioral Science Counseling Psychology

Substance Abuse Psychology

[and my favorite]

17. Dr. Reed is designated as an expert on the Ohio Attorney General's Databank of

²⁰² By comparison, LeSure specializes in, or so she claims, working with children who have experienced trauma, have medical conditions, or have suffered sexual abuse, but proffered no such credentials like Dr. Reed's (Tp.413, Ln.9-17).

Experts on Child Abuse (D.E.C.A. List).²⁰³

• • •

[BOOM!]

Rocket: Now that's one happy camper.

Groot: ♪ [Humming] ♪

• • •

¶990 Reader, as the State's Leading Expert, who is also qualified to do work for the Department of Defense (Exhibit-46: Item 5), which is totally cool, Dr. Reed's credentials are infallibly high. To permit him to testify would have

1) Crushed Dr. LeSure's testimony

2) Decimated the State's *Theory of Perp*

As this is point-blank-obvious, I encourage you to apply Dr. Reed's reliable findings regarding my mind and beliefs (Exhibit-34), and his credentials (Exhibit-46) to all you have read so far. Σ

¶991 In this situation... today... Dr. Reed... he is the man.

¶992 Upon the conclusion of Dr. Reed's *voir dire* examination, Pros. Eisenhower said,

"Your Honor, we can't have the Jury hear this."

To this, Judge Collier replied,

"I agree."

This exchange took place on (Tp.479, Ln.1-2), but has been deleted from the Trial Record,²⁰⁴ (Exhibit-47). Fortunately for me, Atty. Stanley makes for an honest and credible witness (Exhibit-31: Items 7 through 10).

• • •

²⁰³ To the contrary, Dr. LeSure did not make the list. ☹

²⁰⁴ Missing-Incomplete-Altered Trial Record #17.

[BOOM!]

♪ [Group humming *Fur Elise*] ♪

. . .

¶993 Regarding the above “we” by Pros. Eisenhower, recall that Judge Collier said, regarding K.S.,

“we don’t have anything” [¶688-¶689]

right before the “pact” was permitted to take place in his Court.

¶994 Some may call this collusion, and some may call it conspiracy. Either way, I call it
wheat.

¶995 Now let us take a closer look at exactly *why* Dr. Reed was not permitted to testify.

. . .

Rocket: Time to poke the bear?

Frank: Why not? They poked the Tiger, and I feel

Grrreat!

-Tony the Tiger®

. . .

¶996 Following the above “we” Transcript Manipulation, Judge Collier turned to Dr. Reed and said,

It is not that you haven’t done a good job doing what you’re doing or that you’re not capable of doing it, in fact I find just the opposite to be true (Exhibit-48: Tp.483, Ln.5-8).

¶997 I’m sure he did. ☺

¶998 What’s that they say about a guilty conscience? Ah! Yes!

It speaks.²⁰⁵

¶998 To no surprise, Judge Collier then elected to act *in subsidium* and

“find that [Dr. Reed’s] conclusions aren’t relevant”
(*ibid*) (Tp.483, Ln.9).²⁰⁶

Holy crap on a cracker!
-Me

¶999 Obviously both Court and State feared the backlash of losing this conviction, yes?

Fear is a motivator.
-Brother Curt

¶1000 As a reminder, Danielle, Scott, Robyn, and Lynda also operated under this premise.

Also, as earlier promised, we will return to “*Cui bono*” again.

¶1001 In refusing to allow Dr. Reed to testify, not only did the Trial Court prejudice me of my
U.S. 6th Amendment right to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in my favor, Atty.
Green failed to openly object, and I never affirmatively waived this right.

¶1002 As to the above, the State actually argued in other filings that the Defense called no
witnesses. Then how did Dr. Reed testify *voir dire*?

¶1003 Contrary to Judge Collier’s unreasonable, arbitrary, and unconscionable actions, in
State v. Ogle, Slip Copy 2007, WL2793355, Ohio App. 8th Dist., the Appellate Court ruled
against another Trial Court in a mirrored situation with

In this assignment of error, Appellant contends that the trial court erred
by not allowing Dr. Campbell to testify. We agree (Ogle at ¶26).

Accordingly, the trial court abused its discretion in not allowing Dr.
Campbell to testify. Insofar as Dr. Campbell’s testimony went to the
heart of the allegations contained in the indictment (Ogle at ¶35).

²⁰⁵ Guilty conscience speaks #6.

²⁰⁶ *In subsidium* #14.

¶1006 In this mirrored situation, Dr. Reed was not just my expert witness, but The Attorney General's Expert Witness. Compounding the situation, Dr. Reed was also my *sole* witness. Pursuant to Evidence Rule 702 Testimony by experts, both Federal and State, Dr. Reed should have been allowed to testify. In sync, Evid.R.402 states

Evidence is relevant, and therefore generally admissible under Evid.R.402, if it has any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or **less probable** than it would be without the evidence [**emphasis added**].

Ah! And therein lies the rub... To allow for Dr. Reed to testify, the evidence he had to present would have proven the allegations to be not only **less probable**, but **impossible** for me to commit.

'Anything for a win!'

¶1005 Judge Collier displayed blatant abuses of power and discretion in refusing to allow for Dr. Reed to present his unbiased, reliable, and scientific findings regarding my mind and beliefs to the **Twisted Twelve**. Now, to the contrary, please recall that the State was permitted to have Dr. LeSure, under contract, with her paltry credentials by comparison, and her unethical and immoral protocols regarding children [¶724-¶740] testify. Yeah, that's basic justice and fairness in Medina, for ya'.

¶1006 I remain under the distinct impression that one must be on the payroll in Medina County to testify as an expert (Exhibit-42). Hey, I haven't seen otherwise.

¶1007 Having contractually and miraculously gone from "adjustment disorder" to "sexual abuse" regarding K.S. and S.L., and both girls testified to my benefit (Exhibit-03), Dr. LeSure relied on lies, half-truths, and withheld information to arrive at her, at best, biased and sketchy conclusion of me as the culprit. Oh, that's right... I nearly forgot... She started out that way. So for Judge Collier to deny Dr. Reed's testimony was not just another act of *in subsidium*, it was

another blatant display of judicial hypocrisy (Exhibit-31: Item 10).

¶1008 So say the Framers of Justice, Due Process, Equal Protection, and Basic Fairness.

¶1009 Reader, would you want this man (Exhibit-48), after all you have seen so far, presiding over your case, the case of your loved one, or dear friend? I think not.

¶1010 Upon leaving the stand, the noble and intelligent Dr. Reed approached the Defense Table and said to me,

“This is the first time that I have not been permitted to testify.” Σ

¶1011 Medina County loves its convictions [¶1039, 4].

¶1012 Folks, there’s no such thing as a fundamentally fair trial in Medina County. I have shown you, repeatedly, this undeniable truth. Nevertheless, confirmed by Dr. Reed, the State proved my innocence for me, and I thank them *very much*. ☺

. . .

Frank: No, Groot. We’re not going to throw them kisses and flowers to say ‘*Thank you*’.

Groot: I am Groot!

Frank: All right... Let’s do it.

[Group throwing kisses and flowers]

Frank: Groot, was that an *air hug*?

. . .

¶1013 [RESERVED]

¶1014 Concluding this tragic portion of my Trial, as noted above, the denial of my U.S. 6th Amendment right was clear. And although this Constitutional Violation was raised in the Higher Courts, no one cared. And this was the same cavalier attitude Judge Collier employed when he directly aided in

1) The manipulated waiver of my speedy trial rights

2) The fraudulent revoking of my \$200k cash bond [¶365-¶391] Σ

¶1015 Still working my case, and utilizing (Exhibit-49), I gladly present the following facts.

¶1016 At the closing of both the State's and Defendant's case, Atty. Green sought to introduce a few (Exhibits) on my behalf (ibid) (Tp.485, Ln.8-15). Judge Collier then excused the **Dirty Dozen** from the courtroom. One (1) potential (Exhibit) was a calendar for October 2004 (Ln.16-18). Atty. Green then, regarding S.L., sought to remind the Trial Court of the indicted, testified to, and State-confirmed dates of abuse [¶952-¶954] as

“October 1st, 2nd, and 3rd of 2004” (Tp.486, Ln.5-8).

(See also Exhibits-02 and 03).

¶1017 To this, Pros. Eisenhower nearly came out of her skin with an objection (ibid) (Tp.486, Ln.12-17), and then clarified her objection with:

Well, only **because I believe** the issue he is trying to get at is the she may or may not have been in the presence of the Defendant during those days,²⁰⁷ and there's absolutely no evidence to hold that theory up. I don't think there's anything to substantiate that (Ln.18-24), [emphasis added].

¶1018 BRB!

. . .

[Frank, Rocket, and Groot are now doubled-over on the floor in
tears and suffering from tremendous outbursts of laughter]

Rrrrip!

²⁰⁷ Guilty conscience speaks #7.

Frank: Sorry, Folks. Groot farted from laughing too hard. He's full of fiber.

. . .

¶1019 Apologies, People. The 9.5 quake of hysterical laughter, tears, and dust lasted nearly 20 minutes. Still suffering from aftershocks, but I think Groot's colon is clear.

¶1020 Now, decimating Pros. Eisenhower's feigning "**because I believe**", several facts reveal her hypocrisy and desperation for conviction:

- 1) Put-In-Bay with Dad and "not at Frank Wood's house"
- 2) The "intrusive memories" of "the two days before"
- 3) The corroborating testimonies of Danielle, Scott, and S.L.
- 4) "no evidence to the contrary. None." (Eisenhower's very own words)
- 5) "bullshit"
- 6) "closed"
- 7) "terminated"
- 8) The "first time" it happened (Eisenhower's very own words)
- 9) The *amended* Bill of Particulars (Exhibit-02): Pros. Eisenhower *deliberately* amended the indictment by "**narrowing down**" the dates from October 1st-31st to October 1st-3rd (Exhibit-50:Tp.18, Ln.13-16), with "**No supplemental indictments**" (ibid) (Tp.17, Ln.16-17); i.e., **no additional indicted charges** [¶1043]. As a note:
 - i. Why did she do this? Because she knew something. The amendment was not just to secure a life rape, it was a *just-in-case-measure* to secure a conviction, as in, *just-in-case* S.L. changed her story on the stand. Consider, if you will, the "intrusive memories" of "the two days before" when "it hurt" (Exhibit-03)
 - ii. This went against LeSure's testimony where she quoted S.L. with "Sometime

after my birthday and before Halloween” [¶868]

10) October 1st-3rd of 2004 went uninvestigated, originally unindicted, and the only person to mention this weekend during the course of Trial was S.L. Yes, Reader, we will get to this in [¶1240-¶1256]

11) It was up to the Jury to decide

And the hits keep coming and coming!
-Casey Kasem, *American Top 40*

¶1021 At this moment, as if looking through the *Hubble*, it is crystal that Pros. Eisenhower *knew* that I was not at the actual crime scene in Put-In-Bay with Scott and S.L. In order to keep the Jury distracted from this *truth*, she also *knew* the calendar must not come in. With a **Tainted, Impartial, and Cynical Jury**, Pros. Eisenhower also *knew* they were focused solely on a conviction. But she wasn’t taking any chances.

¶1022 In consideration of the Jury’s malicious mindset and Pros. Eisenhower’s pre-meditated maneuver that worked:

1) The State cannot proffer one (1) piece of alleged evidence against me that cannot be contradicted and disproved with at least two (2) Trial facts

2) I’m in prison

¶1023 Reader, think about how S.L. testified to *whom* she was with, *where* she was, and *when* it happened (Exhibit-03). Her voluntary in-court testimony, that was fully corroborated by the testimonies of Scott and Danielle (ibid), [¶131-¶142], completely contradicted her coached and implanted Pre-Trial out-of-court statements.

¶1024 Yes, I am innocent:

1) Legally

2) Factually

3) Psychologically

4) Medically

5) Geographically

and I find this revolting situation to be nothing less than $\beta \neq ^{\wedge} \$ \& ! *$ offensive.

¶1025 Now, as S.L.’s “intrusive memories” surfaced during Trial via her voluntary in-court testimony as “the two days before”, Pros. Eisenhower elected to declare this testimony to be “bullshit” [¶948] because

1) It precisely matched the dates in the indictment

2) Placed S.L. in Put-In-Bay with Scott and “not at Frank Wood’s house”

3) Was corroborated by Scott and Danielle

4) Confirmed a lack of subject matter jurisdiction

Ergo,²⁰⁸ if her testimony is “bullshit”, then my **sham indictment** is also “bullshit”, and must be withdrawn and vacated by the Plaintiff: the State, and dismissed with prejudice.²⁰⁹

♪ Ta da! ♪

¶1026 Medina, that ball’s in your court. Pun intended.²¹⁰

¶1027 Reader, a long time ago I told you that we were going to test the mettle of many [¶014].

Happy not to disappoint. ☺

¶1028 S.L.’s testimony, *inter alia*, exonerates and frees me. Pros. Eisenhower preached this testimony to the coagulated cerebral cortex of the **Lynch Mob** as the gospel truth. Knowing I was innocent, **Post**-“bullshit” she told the Jury:

²⁰⁸ Latin: therefore, hence.

²⁰⁹ Dismissal with prejudice. A judgment dismissing a case because the Plaintiff’s contentions have not been proved and, furthermore, the judgment bars the Plaintiff from future action on the same issue. Rothenberg, p.147.

²¹⁰ I’ve done your job for you. You’re welcome.

- 1) “The State of Ohio asks that you find this Defendant guilty” (Closing Statements: Tp.501, Ln.18-19)
- 2) “...you can **feel good** about finding him guilty... and we request you find him Guilty of both counts (Final Closing Statement: Tp.526, Ln.14-18), [¶597, 2 and 3],[¶1256], **[emphasis added]**,
after she lied to the Jury about K.S. and failed to disclose the “pact” to them [¶684-¶720]. Σ

¶1029 Pros. Eisenhower is now guilty of pre-meditated

1) **Prosecutorial Misconduct:** as she

- i. Blatantly lied to the Jury while holding Public Office under Oath, thereby committing perjury pursuant to O.R.C. §2921.11(A), [¶081]
- ii. Failed to withdraw the O.R.C. § 2921.52 sham indictment pursuant to
 - a. Crim.R.4(A)(1) due to lack of probable cause [¶237-¶238]
 - b. Civ.R.3(B)(3) Lack of venue; and O.R.C. §2901.12(A) Lack of subject matter jurisdiction [¶131-¶160]
 - c. Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 6-101(A)(3), Failing to act competently, again [¶077]
 - d. Ohio Code of Prof. Resp. DR 1-102 (A)(3)(4)(5), [¶080-¶082], [¶549]
 - e. American Bar Association (ABA) Standards of Criminal Justice Relating to Prosecutor Function. Part V-The Trial, Standard 3-5.6 Presentation of Evidence (a), [¶089], [¶549]
 - f. Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Advocate, Rule 3.8: Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor (g)(1)(ii)(h), [¶099]
 - g. Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct, III, Advocate, Rule 3.8 (a), [¶248]

h. Code of Professional Responsibility, Canon 1 and its Ethical
Considerations, EC 1-5 [¶530-¶531]

2) **Malicious Prosecution**: as she

i. Deliberately misrepresented evidence to the Jury in violation of the U.S.

Supreme Court's decision on Washington v. Hofbauer, supra [¶549]

ii. Willfully calculated a wrongful conviction to send this *Innocent Man* to prison in violation of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Berger v. United States, supra [¶550]

¶1030 It appears that Pros. Eisenhower's ship of "bullshit" now sits listless in the *Doldrums*.²¹¹

¶1031 Grinding into dust what remains of Pros. Eisenhower's feigning "because I believe", her litigations reveal the same lack of consistency and veracity as the source of the lie itself:

The "untruthful" Danielle

¶1032 Remember The Law of the Echo [¶452-¶455].

"Frankie raped you"

. . .

[BOOM!]

. . .

¶1033 In the end, and to no surprise, Judge Collier concluded that

The calendar will not come in.
(Exhibit-49: Tp.487, Ln.1)

²¹¹ Areas of ocean along the equator where sailors of old, literally, prayed for wind and relied on current and oar to pass through.

¶1034 Judge Collier heard the evidence. He knew. Therefore, he knew exactly what he was doing as he acted *in subsidium*,²¹² rendering him just as guilty as the State in sending this *Innocent Man* to prison. Σ

¶1035 Reader, my presentation of the calendar of October 2004 (Exhibit-15: p.1) came into my possession as part of the minimized version of the Trial Record that I received from the Ohio Attorney General, who, in turn, received it from the Medina County Prosecutor's Office. What more, confirming the above, in 2017 Atty. Stanley obtained (ibid) (p.2) from my Trial Record that is on file with the Medina County Clerk of Courts.

Houston, we have a problem.
-Apollo 13

¶1036 Time for a little Q & A.

Q: After Judge Collier refused to allow for the calendar come in, how was it made part of the Permanent and Perverted Record post-verdict?

A: Transcript manipulation via tampering with State-court Records, just like the pictures of pictures²¹³ [¶480-¶481].

¶1037 Both Court and State kept the calendar from the Jury for obvious reasons, then they presented it (and the pictures of pictures) as part of the Altered Record to the Ninth Appellate District Court and the Ohio Attorney General to make it *appear* as if I were permitted to present exculpatory evidence on my behalf. True, this is *fraud upon the court* [¶500 at Footnote 110], the Appellate Court. Having concealed the calendar (and pictures) in one court, only to fraudulently present it (them) in another, is also known as Fraud by concealment, a direct violation of O.R.C.

²¹² *In subsidium* #15.

²¹³ Missing-Incomplete-Altered Trial Record #18 (pictures of pictures) and #19 (calendar of October 2004).

§ 2921.12 Tampering with evidence, which states, in pertinent part, that

(A) No person knowing that an official proceeding or investigation is in progress,... shall do any of the following:

(1) Alter, destroy, conceal, or remove any record, document, or thing with purpose to impair its value or availability, as evidence in such proceeding...

only to fraudulently present it in another!

¶1038 With these lumens cast to show the way, as a document, the calendar (as with the pictures of pictures) was deliberately concealed from the Jury and removed *in subsidium* from the official public proceeding of my Trial. This purposefully impaired its availability, which, in turn, impaired its exculpatory value. Clarifying, the Jury never got to weigh the credibility of “the two days before” along with the exculpatory value of the calendar in its deliberations. Had they done so, and aligned the testimonies that placed S.L. in Put-In-Bay with Scott and “not at Frank Wood’s house”, I would not be in prison for a rape that never happened in a place I have never been.

¶1039 As this is not the first time something like this has happened during the course of my Trial, I invite you to recall

- 1) The pictures of pictures [¶479-¶551], (Exhibit-33)
- 2) The Montville P.D. police report that was suppressed and destroyed because it revealed *why* my case was “terminated” due to “insufficient evidence” that resulted in a lack of probable cause (Exhibit-04), [¶207-¶225]
- 3) The letter from Job & Family Services/Children’s Services to the Prosecutor’s Office, that surfaced in a side bar without my knowing, declaring that my case was “closed” due to “no evidence”, and that was illegally suppressed [¶201-¶204]
- 4) The denial of Dr. Reed’s exonerating testimony [¶988-¶1101]

5) The questionable and unethical Grand Jury proceedings [¶227-¶269]

6) Dr. LeSure's contract was hidden and her report was given to Stenographer Garrity for the purposes of destruction [¶724a-¶724o]

As all of these events, and more, occurred outside the presence of the Jury, they are of similar import and reveal a repetitive behavioral pattern of corruption.

¶1040 Reader, to reinforce the above, I must make you readily aware that statements such as

- 1) "untruthful in her testimony"
- 2) "we don't have anything"
- 3) "I made a pact with her"
- 4) "What I'm hearing her say is, "No, it didn't happen"
- 5) "Your Honor, we can't let the Jury hear this"
- 6) "bullshit"

and events such as

- 7) Being forced and manipulated under duress in to waiving my speedy trial rights
- 8) The fraudulent revoking of my \$200,000.00 cash bond

inter alia, also took place outside the presence of the Jury. Σ

¶1041 To summarize, after the illegal concealment, removal, and impairment of the exculpatory calendar, via transcript manipulation, the calendar was falsely presented to the Appellate Court and Attorney General as part of my Altered Trial Record. State laws were broken in the process. In sync, for the calendar (and pictures) to magically disappear and reappear like this violated Federal Law. Pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C.S. § 1512, for Judge Collier to be assisted by Pros. Eisenhower in pulling that rabbit out of the hat, they would have had to

(b) *** knowingly use [] intimidation, threaten[] or corruptly persuade *** [the former Clerk of Court Kathy Fortney] *** with intent to - -

(2) cause or induce [her] *** to - -

(B) alter *** an object [my Trial Record] with intent to impair the object's integrity ***
for use in [the] official proceeding [of my Direct Appeal]

[leaving them subject to]

*** be[ing] fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

[Supporting,]

(c) Whoever corruptly - -

(1) alters *** a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent
to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or

(2) otherwise obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding, or attempts to
do so

Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years or both.

[However, as]

(h) There is extraterritorial Federal jurisdiction over an offense under this section,

[and]

(j) If the offense under this section occurs in connection with a trial of a criminal case,
the maximum term of imprisonment which may be imposed for the offense shall be
the higher of that otherwise provided for by law or the maximum term that could
have been imposed for any offense charged in such case.

(k) Whoever conspires to commit any offense under this section shall be subject to the
same penalties as those prescribed for the offense the commission of which was the
object of the conspiracy [**Emphasis added**].

¶1042 Reader, with the above Federal Law in mind, it is now the proper time to share this with
you. After Judge Collier denied the calendar's admittance into evidence, Atty. Green returned to
the Defense Table, folded the calendar page in half, looked at me as he shrugged his shoulders,
and left the folded page in the middle of the table. This leads me to believe it was *deliberately*

left there for Pros. Eisenhower to pick up after I left the courtroom, leaving Atty. Green guilty of collusion in the conspiracy to alter court records and send me to prison. Based on the above law and facts, Judge Collier, Pros. Eisenhower, and Atty. Green have all earned

(k) *** the same penalt[y] as [] prescribed for the [alleged F-1 rape] the commission of which was the object of the conspiracy.

¶1043 Having finely sifted that grain of wheat, and so we're setting the right tile in its proper place, in clarifying what their combined and effective efforts produced, by "narrowing down" the dates in my indictment [¶1020, 9] (Exhibit-50: Tp.18, Ln.13-16), Pros. Eisenhower secured

"A life rape, Your Honor"
(ibid) (Tp.17, Ln.21-24)

leaving them subject to this "same penalt[y]".

Buckle your seatbelt, Dorothy,
because Kansas... is going *bye-bye* '.
-The Matrix

-Σ-

. . .

[BOOM!]

[Collective laughter]

Frank: [Singing]

♪ I feel good! ♪
-James Brown

. . .

¶1044

CLOSING STATEMENTS: PART I

. . .

News Flash... This just in... On May 21st of 2018, at 2:23 p.m., Attorney Ronald R. Stanley forward an email to Frank P. Wood by utilizing the prisoner's JPay email system. In a brief and concise statement, his Legal Secretary contacted Medina County Job & Family Services, twice, in attempt to obtain a copy of the contract that was granted to Dr. Suzanne LeSure of Cornerstone Psychological Services (See Exhibit-43). Job & Family Services denied the request by declaring the contract is protected by HIPPA laws, and failed to quote any specific portions of the law itself to justify their position. We will continue to keep you updated as we learn more about what happened with your tax dollars. Stay tuned.

-Behind the Razor Wire Broadcasting

. . .

¶1045 Throughout this presentation we have discussed a multitude of evidentiary facts²¹⁴ that were kept from the **Angry Villagers With Pitchforks and Torches**. As these items appeared on a series of *Laundry Lists*, two (2) of these items now come back in to play: the video interviews of K.S. and S.L. Having done my homework, we will now discuss them as they were discussed during Closing Statements.

¶1046 Video Interview of S.L.: S.L. was video-interviewed by Job & Family Services (Tp.286, Ln.2-3). This video was withheld from the Jury because it reveals

1) "coaching"

and

²¹⁴ Evidentiary facts. Those facts that are essential in proving the matter at issue; facts that are derived from testimony of witnesses or from other sources. Rothenberg, p.169.

2) “most of the information came from mom” (Tp.506, Ln.17-Tp.507, Ln.11),

(Exhibit-19: OIP; August 31, 2015; p.2)

¶1047 Clarifying the parenting roles in S.L.’s life, the following exchange took place between Pros. Eisenhower and S.L.:

Q Who is your dad?

A Scott Sadowsky [¶1109, 6].

Q Who is your mom?

A Danielle Sadowsky (Tp.223, Ln.4-7).

¶1048 Now that should leave no room for the imagination as to

1) Who “dad” was in “Put-In-Bay” (Exhibit-03), [¶135-¶136]

2) Who “mother” was that told S.L. “Frankie raped you” (Tp.300, Ln.22-Tp.301, Ln.8), (Exhibits-03 and 18), [¶056, 8], [¶192]

. . .

Rocket: Let me guess... Scott just fled the country and Danielle went from blonde to redhead.

Frank: Guilty cowards do tend to run and hide.

. . .

¶1049 When Atty. Green mentioned that the video of S.L. was withheld due to “coaching” (Exhibit-19: OIP; August 31, 2015; p.3 at ¶3), Pros. Eisenhower stepped in with an “Objection” (Tp.507, Ln.2), only later to tell the Jury,

But he wants you to think I hid something from you. I did not.

(Tp.523, Ln.15-17)

. . .

[Once again, Frank, Rocket, and Groot are doubled-over on the floor in tears suffering from tremendous outbursts of hysterical laughter. They’ll be back in a few.]

. . .

¶1050 Whoa! Are you freakin' kidding me!?!?! Reader, which *Laundry List* of suppressed and/or destroyed evidentiary facts do you want to discuss? This is as bad as

I did not have sexual relations with that woman.

-President Bill Clinton

Pros. Eisenhower either

- 1) Suffers repeated bouts of amnesia
- 2) Has an active alternate personality
- 3) Possess neither honor nor integrity

Leaning towards the latter two (2), I am so deeply disgusted and traumatized by what she had done that, when this is over, I'm going to have to endure drug treatment and shock therapy without the counseling to get this out of my mind!

. . .

Rocket: Okay. Deep breath there, Tiger. In with the good. Out with the bad.

Frank: [Deep breath and long exhale].

Rocket: Now let's eat. Steaks are ready.

Frank: Good, I really need a break. Medium rare over hickory will do just fine about now.

Groot: I am Groot?

Frank: Teenagers and ketchup... Bottom left.

. . .

¶1051 Okay, Reader. We're back in the saddle, so let's get started.

¶1052 Video Interview of K.S.: Det. Kollar and Pros. Eisenhower video-interviewed K.S. at Job & Family Services (Tp.445, Ln.21-Tp.446, Ln.6), [¶701-¶705]. When Atty. Green asked the Jury, "Where's the recording?" Pros. Eisenhower, for obvious reasons, shouted, "Objection"

(Tp.513, Ln.20-Tp.514, Ln.7). Now, please recall

- 1) Pros. Eisenhower's secretive involvement
- 2) The "pact"
- 3) "But he wants you to think I hid something from you. I did not."
- 4) "No, it didn't happen"
- 5) The *Laundry Lists* of evidentiary facts that mysteriously slipped her mind through a series of synaptic misfires

¶1053 The crazy part? Judge Collier not only helped her, he *allowed* for her to lie to the Jury [¶1073], [¶246]. How *twisted* is that!

• • •

[BOOM!]

Frank: A little premature there, buddy. But we're almost there. Better reload.

Groot: I am Groot!

Frank: Ha Ha! You gotta' love the young at heart.

• • •

¶1054 Now it's time to discuss the Video Interview of Myself. Officer McCourt of the Montville P.D. interviewed me at the station with a second officer present (Tp.57). There was a camera directly above them. While out on bond, that was fraudulently revoked, Atty. Spears said he saw the video and that I handled myself rather well. Atty. Green also saw this video. As to *why* it was never shown to the **Angry Villagers**, recall that

- 1) I allowed myself to be interviewed without an attorney present
- 2) I let them search my home without a warrant
- 3) I agreed to a polygraph with an attorney present, which they denied

4) When asked if I would submit to DNA testing, my direct and immediate response was, “Absolutely” (Exhibit-09: p.D-5), (Exhibit-36: p.6, Item 19)

¶1055 To mention these videos during Trial, and to not show them, results in three (3) additional counts of *bolstering* [¶969, 3, ii at Footnote 197]. Further, since they were mentioned during Trial, by operation of law, I was entitled to, at least, their Transcripts for the purposes of appeal. Especially since, as I have shown you, when combined with what remains of my Adulterated Trial Record, the videos are remarkably exculpatory.

¶1056 For the True Record, during my **Tortious Trial**, there were three (3) VHS tapes in a dark brown accordion folder on the State’s Table. The folder was open and faced the Defense Table, rendering its contents highly visible. Yes, all three (3) videos, minus the one (1) from when I was in the back of the cruiser [¶393], were in the courtroom, and not one (1) of the four (4) was shown to the Jury (Tp.1-560).

-Σ-

Chapter 36

¶1057 CLOSING STATEMENTS: PART II

If the mind is not prepared,
then opportunity arrives in vain.
-Niccolo Machiavelli

¶1058 Reader, earlier we discussed Atty. Stanley’s truthful presence at my side [¶060-¶076], and how Pros. Eisenhower planned to

“build a record for that”

with Judge Collier’s encouragement (Tp.138, Ln.10-20), [¶071]. I also told you we would come back to this. So hold on to your hats, Folks. This is going to be a wild ride.

• • •

Frank: Ready, Groot?

Groot: I am Groot!

Frank: Unleash the Kraken!²¹⁵
-*Pirates of the Caribbean, Dead Man's Chest*

[BOOM!]

• • •

¶1059 As to her ill-conceived plan, Pros. Eisenhower made a deliberate decision and elected to profess to the **Cynical Five-Plus-Seven**, regarding Atty. Stanley and me,

He didn't participate. He's sitting over there to manipulate the system, at the Defendant's instructions, at the Defendant's instructions to intimidate the witness that I have brought in here because he knows things (Tp.525, Ln.7-11), [¶1260a].

¶1060 As earlier noted, and Atty. Stanley will confirm, I verbally retained him by asking him to sit at my side during Trial. I needed his legal guidance and for him to serve as a filter/buffer between Atty. Green and myself. That is all. What more, the only thing he ever said was that, after Montville "terminated" the case against me, per Danielle, Scott said,

"That's not good enough"
(Exhibit-09: p.D-5, ¶5)

¶1061 Again, Atty. Stanley *never* violated his attorney-client privilege with Danielle. Had he divulged anything worthwhile, I would have used it over a decade ago.

¶1062 What! Think I enjoy living unjustly in penury and poverty? *Sheesh!*

• • •

Groot: I am Groot!

Frank: Groot, language. Hanging out with that other crowd again, huh? And no pouting. We discussed that too.

²¹⁵ Reader, by now you know I love movie one-liners. ☺

Groot: [Speaking quietly] I am Groot.

Frank: I heard you. And would you please put down your cell phone for five lousy minutes!

. . .

¶1063 Now, getting back to Pros. Eisenhower's denigrating attack on opposing counsel, when she said, "because he knows things", the Jury was of such a corrupt cerebral collective that they could not put the proverbial *two-and-two* together. Due to their cynical mindset, they could not make the connection between the "untruthful" Danielle's divorce attorney, a divorce that was the result of our affair,²¹⁶ by my side and

"because he knows things"

¶1064 This goes to show how all of the

- 1) Lies
- 2) Half-truths
- 3) Withheld information
- 4) Bolstering
- 5) Vouching
- 6) Misrepresentations
- 7) Evidentiary suppressions and destructions
- 8) Manipulations
- 9) *Insidious in subsidiums*

took their toll a Jury comprised of

²¹⁶ As the Jury forgot the divorce was the result of our affair, thereby ignoring motive, I paid the retainer for Atty. Stanley to file on Danielle's behalf with a company check around mid-August of 2004. When Danielle told Scott that I had done this, he was infuriated because, just like when Lynda took K.S. from Robyn, Danielle had no legal representation. By this action, I broke Scott's control over Danielle, but only for a brief respite.