

¶1343 I live with that cell assembly rolling around in my hippocampus every day, always wondering when someone will come forward and tell the truth via recantation. In time.

¶1344 Still working my case, on December 1st (My birthday! *Woo-hoo!*) of 2014, I filed a Brief Of Appellant (Exhibit-76) into the Ninth District seeking access to the courts with the medical research (Exhibit-72). However, for reasons we already understand, this filing is *missing* from my Docket (Exhibit-07: p.1).²⁷⁵ Ah! Yes, Reader... By now, you understand that most criminal dockets read backwards, with the most recent filings listed first. Apologies for not clarifying that earlier.

¶1345 Within the Brief (Exhibit-76), I presented a valid application of the medical research (Exhibit-72) to the pure prepubertal hymen of S.L. (Exhibit-76: p.19-21). I had to be crystal in my litigation because, as noted in (Exhibit-76: p.1, Ground V), and (Exhibit-74: p.5), Judge Collier deliberately relied on the **wrong section** of the research, the “Adolescent girls” portion, and not the “prepubertal” portion (Exhibit-76: p19). I say “deliberately” because the State did the same (Exhibit-73: p.4).

¶1346 Yes, Reader... As this was done to me **Post-Trial**, that’s *in subsidium*.²⁷⁶ You all know the history and the score. There’s no denying it now.

. . .

Rocket: Coordinated collusion and corruption.

Frank: Unquestionably true, my dear fellow. Unquestionably true.

. . .

¶1347 With an extension of time granted, on January 12, 2015 the State filed a tainted reply brief (no Exhibit but available upon request) that is also *missing* from the Docket (Exhibit-07:

²⁷⁵ Altered-Incomplete Docket #13.

²⁷⁶ *In subsidium* #21 for Judge Collier.

p.1).²⁷⁷ On January 26, 2015, I timely filed my Reply Brief Of Appellant Frank P. Wood (Exhibit-77). Appropriately dubbed the “*Hammer Brief*” by many, with, uh, good reason, it is truly a most *stimulating* read.

Oh, my giddy aunt!
-A Knight's Tale

And, to no surprise, it is also *missing* from the Docket (Exhibit-07).²⁷⁸

¶1248 In the end, the Ninth District denied me any and all requested relief on July 20, 2015 (no Exhibit), while deliberately ignoring Judge Collier’s willful avoidance of the proper section and application of the medical research to the distinguishing facts of my case: The *Temple Virgin*. S.L. Yes, that’s *in subsidium*.²⁷⁹

¶1349 On August 12, 2015, I challenged the Ninth’s offensive, biased, and unjust ruling into the Supreme Court Of Ohio with my Memorandum In Support of Jurisdiction Of Frank P. Wood (no Exhibit, but available upon request), Ohio Supreme Court Case No. 15-1328. In order to gain access to the Court, I **presented the following as part of my argument:**

Ground III: The Trial Court erred when it determined that “the doctor who provided Wood with the medical research is not one of the doctors who authored the research article” as a means of denying Wood relief.

The Trial Court has ruled on one of the merits of Wood’s motion without an evidentiary hearing. Under these conditions,

(the court abused its discretion in deciding defendant’s **new trial motion** without an evidentiary hearing). State v. Gaines, 1st Dist. No. C-090097, 2010 Ohio 895, ¶36; citing State v. Davis, 131 Ohio St. 3d 1; 2011-Ohio-5028; 2011 Ohio LEXIS 2381, HN11, [**P32].

Placing one Due Process violation on top of another,

²⁷⁷ Altered-Incomplete Docket #14.

²⁷⁸ Altered-Incomplete Docket #15.

²⁷⁹ *In subsidium* #2 for the Ninth District.

(Due process requires that a motion for new trial based on newly discovered evidence must, at some point, be considered on the merits). State v. Rossi, 2nd Dist. No. 23862, 2010 Ohio 4535, [**P23]; citing State v. Davis, 131 Ohio St. 3d 1; 2011-Ohio-5028; 2011 Ohio LEXIS 2381, HN11, [**P32].

Wood is clearly entitled to a legitimate evidentiary hearing with the presence of counsel (Memorandum In Support, p.10).

¶1350 Reader, as Judge Collier’s complaint is irrelevant to the facts, he could not cite a single law to support his contentions. That’s because there is none. What more, both legal citings came from State v. Davis, an Ohio Supreme Court decision. But that didn’t matter, for on August 28, 2015, *violating* its own past legal decision, the Court *illegally* denied jurisdiction (to hear my case), (no Exhibit). FYI, I say *violating* and *illegally* based on the sound legal doctrine of

Stare decisis. (stahr-ee di-si-sis), n. [Latin “to stand by things decided”] (18c)
The doctrine of precedent, under which a court must follow earlier judicial decisions when the same points arise again in litigation. ***. (Garner, p.1626).

¶1351 Why? Because the medical research frees too many people.

¶1352 Reader, I have elected to close this chapter here and to discuss the exonerating effects of the medical research in the next chapter. But, before we go there, I invite you to consider why

- 1) My “Notice” is no longer available for public “View”
- 2) An evidentiary hearing took place to discuss the “Notice” *without* the presence of counsel and myself
- 3) My “Response” that contained the medical research is *missing* from the Docket
- 4) Judge Collier took nine (9) months to respond and he *deliberately* relied on the wrong portion of the medical research to deny me access to the courts, as did the State

5) My appellate filings regarding the medical research are missing from my Docket
and are *not* available for public "View"

Thank you. ☺

-Σ-

Chapter 47

¶1353 THE EXONERATING EFFECTS OF THE MEDICAL RESEARCH

Excuse me while I *whip* this out!

[Elderly woman screams and faints]

-Blazing Saddles

. . .

Rocket: They are absolutely going to hate you for this.

Frank: Three things, Rocket: One, they already do; two, the feeling's mutual; and three, I got
nothing to lose. Oh! I nearly forgot... What was it that Judge Collier said? Ah, yes. I
remember now... **I don't care.**

. . .

¶1354 Reader, right now quite a few are referring to me as the *Shock Jock of Pro Se*
Litigants, but I have yet to achieve the coveted and crowing fame of **#FPW**. However, hold
fast, for this next exotic episode just might get me there. ☺

¶1355 The goal of this chapter is to solidify the fact that they knew all along that

"Frankie raped you"

is

"bullshit"

I will incorporate previously presented data in the process, and prove to you that S.L.'s hymen,
the one with "no abnormalities" or "signs of trauma" (Exhibit-76: p.21) was neither touched

nor penetrated “however slight” (ibid) (p.19-21), how the medical research (Exhibit-72) supports and is supported by Akron Children’s Hospital’s finding of a *Temple Virgin* (Exhibit-14), and why S.L. had a vaginal opening of *only* “4-5mm” (ibid).

. . .

Frank: Groot?

[BOOM!]

Frank: Thank you.

. . .

¶1356 Trial Court, State, and Appellate Court claim that there is a “plethora of evidence” that proves I “repeatedly” raped S.L. “approximately fifty times” (Tp.280), [¶724j, 6]. Remarkably, they *never* proffer (Exhibit-14), a Transcript Page, a Line Number, an exact location or date of the alleged crime outside of the “intrusive memories” of “the two days before”, or Dr. Reed’s reliable findings (Exhibit-34) to support their contentions. In this light, well, *shadow*, (Exhibit-71) reveals how the research (Exhibit-72) used prepubertal hymens as a scientific control to determine if an adolescent hymen was penetrated. The reason is this:

In a case *control* study⁸ of prepubertal girls aged 3 to 8 years, *only* girls who described digital-vaginal or penile-vaginal penetration were found to have deep notches or complete clefts in the posterior rim of the hymen (Exhibit-72: p.2, ¶1) [*Emphasis added*].

¶1357 Riding the razor’s edge and about to show you why Court and State deliberately relied on the “adolescent” portion of the medical research, recall that “the two days before” rendered S.L. to have allegedly suffered

A life rape , Your Honor. It’s a child under ten,
(Exhibit-50: Tp.17, Ln.21-22)

thereby placing her juxtaposition chronologically to the girls used as a scientific control in the

study.

¶1358 Next, please turn to (Exhibit-14) where it reads

EXTERNAL GENITALIA (DESCRIBE PUBERTAL STATUS
AND GENERAL APPEARANCE): Tanner 1.

The designation “Tanner 1” comes from what is known, both in the Legal and Medical Fields, as
the

Tanner Scale. (1978) A method of measuring the physical
development of an individual to assess sexual development during
puberty so as to determine whether the person should be classified as
a child, an adolescent, or an adult. • The scale is based on physical
measurements and development of external primary and secondary
sex characteristics. It was created by Dr. James Tanner (1920-2010),
a British pediatrician. – Also termed Tanner stages (Garner, p.1684).

¶1359 With the above to serve as a sure foundation for what now follows, in United States v.
Cameron, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24878, the U.S. Supreme Court determined that

Dr. Rice explained the Tanner Scale, a standardized means of assessing the
level of a child’s development. There are five stages: Tanner I through V:

Stage I is prepubertal; that is, no evidence of secondary sexual development
***. (Cameron, Id at HN6).

¶1360 Reader, applying the above to the instant matter, we have now medically and legally
confirmed that S.L. was a *nine-year-old-prepubertal-child*, meeting the criteria of the scientific
control in the case study, at the time of the alleged rape. You know, the raped alleged to have
occurred “the two days before” her tenth birthday party in Put-In-Bay, Ohio with “no evidence to
the contrary. None” (Exhibit-03). I know... I know... I know...

¶1361 With the alleged rape to have occurred between October 1st-3rd of 2004 (Exhibit-02),

S.L.'s medical exam²⁸⁰ took place on January 26, 2005 (Tp.262, Ln.23), (Exhibits-17 and 18), approximately 117 days later. And during the exam, contrary to "Frankie raped you", a *Temple Virgin* was discovered (Exhibit-14).

¶1362 The purity of S.L.'s prepubertal body/hymen is critical because I was tried and found guilty of a **vaginal rape** (Exhibit-76: p.20), that never happened in a place I have never been, on dates that went uninvestigated and **originally** unindicted, and that were withheld by S.L. from Dr. LeSure due to fear and *Stockholm Syndrome*. What more, as S.L.'s "Tanner 1" classification and age satisfy the criteria of the "control" utilized in the research, to penetrate S.L.'s **vagina** "however slight", would have placed **direct pressure** on her purity leaving

deep notches, grooves, or clefts in the posterior rim of the hymen,
(Exhibit-72: p.2, ¶1)

of which, there were none. In fact, at the time of exam, S.L.'s

HYMEN (DESCRIBE IN DETAIL): [had a] Crescentic; smooth, ample rim; [with] no tears or disruptions [emphasis added] (Exhibit-14).

¶1363 *Pure*, in every way. And there's a reason for that.

. . .

Rocket: He's on roll now.

Groot: I am Groot.

["Like an acorn down a hill."]

[Nods and murmurs of agreement]

. . .

¶1364 By medical definition, a *hymen* is

²⁸⁰ Scott and Danielle had no conscience about putting this child through the humiliation and trauma of the exam, knowing they were lying. Very *sick* and *twisted* people, indeed.


The membranous fold which partially or wholly occludes the external orifice of the vagina (Anderson, p.846).

As a *membrane*, the hymen is literally

A thin layer of tissue which covers a surface, lines a cavity, or divides a space or organ (Anderson, p.1079).

¶1365 With that on the table, the hymen is a

Basement membrane, comprising the basal lamina and reticular lamina (Anderson, p.1080). (See diagram below).



¶1366 *Epithelial* tissue, a.k.a. *epithelium*, is the

covering of internal and external surfaces of the body, including the lining of vessels and other small cavities [vagina]. It consists of cells joined by small amounts of **cementing** substances [emphasis added] [emphasis added] (Anderson, p.611),

and NOT *fibroblast*, which is

1. a connective tissue cell; a flat elongated cell with cytoplasmic **processes** at each end, having flat, oval, vesicular nucleus. Fibroblasts, **which** differentiate into chondroblasts, **collagenoblasts**, and **osteoblasts**, **form** fibrous tissues in the body including tendons, aponeuroses, supporting and **binding** tissues of all sorts [emphasis added]. Called also *fibrocyte* and

desmocyte. 2. collagenoblast (Anderson, p.671).

¶1367 Reader, a process is

2. a series of operations, events or steps leading to the achievement of a specific result (Anderson, p.1458),

and the process we are actually discussing is the body's ability to heal using *fibroblast* and *collagen*. Now, notice that while *fibroblast* supports and binds tissue together so it can heal and scar, the hymen is cemented together, LACKING THE ABILITY TO HEAL with *fibroblast* and the flexibility of *collagen*. Yes, there's a reason the term cement[] is used. Follow me on this one, please.

¶1368a When concrete, "cement" is broken, it is discarded as useless. It cannot be fixed or repaired of its own inert and natural abilities. Consider when a hernia bulges through a membrane. Does it heal on its own? No. This is why we have surgeons who install hernia mesh. Now, going back to my Christian roots:

Q: If a prepubertal hymen, that is easily torn, marked, or ruptured like wet tissue paper, can grow back during the 117 days between alleged vaginal intercourse and exam, and a woman can regain her virginity by such means, why is it deemed so sacred?

A: Because God did NOT put *fibroblast* in the hymen!

¶1368b To cement the above facts into reality, pun intended, as it was so eloquently put to me by two (2) different doctors (anonymity for the moment) who do not know each other, one being an OBGYN and the other a FAMILY PRACTITIONER,

Fibroblast is a single cell protein that forms hair-like structures with cytoplasmic processes at the end that literally pull your skin together so it can scar and heal. And, neither fibroblast nor collagen are found in the hymen.

What's so scary is that, in the past, I actually read case law where some nutcracker of a doctor testified for the State declaring that a hymen can grow back. Uh-huh. Sure. Sarcasm intended. ☺

¶1369 With the above in mind, I ever so respectfully ask my Lady Readers the following question:

Have you, or anyone you know, regained virginity via abstinence?

¶1370 The loss of a hymen is a *one-time-event*. And it is so sacred that, in the Middle East, if a hymen is ruptured from a fall, use of feminine hygiene products, sport (gymnastics), or a terrible act (like the one alleged that never happened), the hymen is literally *sewn together* for the wedding night. Amazing! As

Anything polluted is weak,
-Frank P. Wood

and eventually discarded, and

Purity is power,
-Frank P. Wood

The power of purity is priceless. Σ
-FPW

¶1371 Now that we all understand that the *Temple Virgin's* vagina was never penetrated "however slight", and her *flawless hymen* did not grow back, I ask you to recall that the Twisted Twelve found me guilty of a vaginal rape (Exhibit-76: p.20) from 70 miles away: "Put-In-Bay" to "Frank Wood's house" (Exhibit-03).

¶1372 But why stop there? *Merde!* There's more!

Oh, my giddy aunt!
-*A Knight's Tale*

• • •

Rocket: Did you call his lawyer?

Groot: [Gravely nods 'Yes'].

. . .

¶1373 Just loving it when movie one-liners come back into play, recall my “Hammer Brief” (Exhibit-77) and the concepts and metaphors presented via the science of ballistics. Now recall that S.L. had a vaginal opening of “4-5mm” (Exhibit-14). With a flawless hymen and a vaginal opening the size of the diameter of a “small pencil” [¶646]...

¶1374 At least 50% of my DNA is Mediterranean. Yes, I am Southern Italian rendering me of *Moor*, *Roman*, and *Spartan* descent, leaving proudly and emphatically, genetically

pre-dis-po-si'tioned

For me to have penetrated S.L.'s hymen and enter her “4-5mm” vaginal canal, “however slight”, would have been like

shoving a pipe-cleaner through a straw –

. . .

Rocket: Nice metaphor.

. . .

eradicating the hymen and bursting the vagina. Therefore, if need be, I will expose myself in open court with the media present –

. . .

Rocket: There's your money shot!

. . .

to satisfy the inquiries of all interested parties involved, thereby putting an end to this State-fabricated, proffered, and declared “bullshit”.

¶1375 I don't know any other way to convince you that

I never touched this child!

I've done about all I can. And, again, State, Court, and Appellate Court claim I "repeatedly" assaulted S.L., but they never proffer a Transcript Page and Line Number, or a medical document to support this repeated reckless assertion. This alone reveals that

1) I have repeatedly proved them wrong

2) Their line of thinking is

'Just say he did something and leave him in prison'

because I proved them wrong with *their* evidence (Exhibit-03) [¶647], [¶1109, 8], [¶1388]

¶1376 Supporting the validity of the medical research, I have enclosed (Exhibit-78: Affidavit of Attorney Ronald R. Stanley: October 2, 2014), thereby confirming he located (Exhibit-79: Doctors who authored the medical research article) on the Internet, and forwarded their information to me. Understanding that these Doctors are Leading Experts in Pediatric Child Abuse Medicine, I wrote them on September 22, 2014 (Exhibit-80). But, like most people out there in the *Free World*, they don't really want to hear from a prisoner and never responded, just like when I wrote the Director of Akron Children's Hospital several years ago asking for NP Abbott to confirm her negative findings and testimony via affidavit (no Exhibit). In hindsight, it would not surprise me in the least bit if the Prosecutor used Collier's nine (9) month delay to contact the Doctors first. Reader, after all I have revealed to you, would you put it past them?

¶1377 Yes, I did forward the research and information on the Doctors to the OIP (Exhibit-19: OIP; March 10, 2015; p.1). But as I stated earlier, they require either DNA, a new witness, or recanted testimony to reopen my case. Oh, I will send them this. Perhaps they will now

reconsider. Especially since I have revealed a multitude of **ways to legally reopen.**

¶1378 Ah! A few last minute details that you are going to just love. Please turn to (Exhibit-14) where it reads

OTHERS PRESENT DURING EXAM: Mother.
[¶856, 2], [¶871]

As we already know, that's Medina's very own Town Crier: The Court-declared "untruthful" Danielle. Now, turn to the lower right-hand corner of (Exhibit-17) where it reads

FOR SEXUAL ABUSE ONLY: LENGTH OF TIME
BETWEEN ONSET OF ABUSE AND INITIAL
DISCLOSURE _____ ? _____.

A question mark? Really? Danielle and Scott signed the case in with Dr. LeSure in September of 2004 **prior to** the indicted dates of October 1st-3rd of 2004, and S.L.'s exam was in January of 2005; merely three (3) months later. But for some odd reason, Danielle couldn't even proffer an estimated time frame, thereby protecting her lies and impeding an ongoing investigation. However, she could tamper with an alleged witness/victim and tell her

"Frankie raped you"

with absolute assurance. Now recall that neither the indictment nor Dr. LeSure had exact dates and locations. Remarkably, neither did Children's Services. Now, cementing Danielle's untruthfulness and lack of morals, recall that she

- 1) Went to Montville, TWICE and under Scott's orders, to file rape charges
 - 2) Participated in the interview with Children's Services (A video the State refused to Show during Trial)
 - 3) Participated in the interview with Dr. LeSure while Scott was nowhere to be found
- [¶361]

and that

4) Dr. LeSure declared that the information came from “mother and the child”

[¶799], (Tp.413, Ln.1-3)

And we have a question mark. *Wow!* This calls for ending this chapter with a query.

Query: Dr. LeSure, Akron Children’s Hospital, and Children’s Services didn’t have

exact dates because Danielle couldn’t provide them. So why did Pros.

Eisenhower narrow down the indictment from the 1st-31st to the 1st-3rd?

-Σ-

We came.

We saw.

We kicked its ass!

-Ghostbusters

• • •

Frank: Well?

Rocket: The crowning fame and glory of *#FPW* is all yours.

Frank: [Bows deeply]

Groot: I am Groot.

Frank: Thanks, Groot. And, by the way, you seem to have grasped the concept of a metaphor quite nicely.

Groot: [Smiles]

Rocket: There will be no living with him now.

• • •

¶1379

JUDGE PATRICIA ANN COSGROVE

One '*Oh, Shit!*' can wipe out ten '*Attaboys*'.

-Business management axiom

¶1380 Reader, earlier I mentioned that we would discuss the filings that Judge Cosgrove ruled on. Having already gone over the Motion For Disqualification Of Prosecutor's Office (Exhibit-57) and her Order (Exhibit-62) on this Motion [¶1191-¶1203], we will now review the final two (2).

¶1381 On February 20, 2015 I filed another Application (no Exhibit) and Motion (Exhibit-81) for new trial (See Exhibit-07). The purpose behind the filings was a *Facebook* transmission where S.L., as an adult, said I abused her two (2) years prior to our meeting.

Ruh-roh, Raggy!

-*Scooby Doo*®

¶1382 The Motion presented as (Exhibit-81) contains one (1) internal (Exhibit-B: The Facebook transmission) for your direct review. As you read them, I implore you to compare them with the contents of [¶119] and the admissions of Scott and Danielle (Exhibit-11). Then apply this data to what we already know: That S.L. was with Scott

- 1) For several years prior to my meeting her while Scott had the elements of Privacy, Easy Access, Special Knowledge, Familiarity, and Trust at his disposal
- 2) When she first started "picking" at her skin, a sign of long-term abuse
- 3) When the case was signed in with Dr. LeSure prior to an alleged incident
- 4) On October 1st-3rd of 2004, which is, by definition and testimony, "the two days before" and the State-declared "first time" it happened
- 5) October 8th-11th of 2003: the weekend of the "towel" incident

♪ [Cat calls and whistles] ♪

- 6) On the weekend of October 15th - 18th per the visitation schedule: The other 22 days of October
- 7) On October 20th of 2004, which went investigated, “closed”, and “terminated” by two (2) State agencies regarding me, only to be removed from the indictment (Exhibit-02) **right before** Trial, but remains the State-declared “last time” it happened
- 8) From October 20th of 2004 until Trial in May-June of 2005, when S.L. was sexually assaulted “approximately fifty times” (Tp.280). Remember, that was not mentioned until S.L.’s medical exam in January of 2005

Reader, it should be crystal by now that I have established, via State’s evidence and testimony, that Scott has quite the pattern of perverted behavior. Now we have S.L. stating that I abused her two (2) years **prior** to our meeting while she was in Scott’s custody and care. *Whoa!*

¶1383 On March 27, 2015 the State filed a challenge (no Exhibit) that I answered on April 9, 2015 (Exhibit-82). To no surprise, after legally and factually proving the State wrong, on October 7, 2015 Judge Cosgrove denied my request for an evidentiary hearing (Exhibit-83). Yes, she did this relying on the *Prosecutor’s criteria* that is neither supported by law, nor operation of law. This is how they do it, Folks. Yeah, they just make it up as they go, so keep reading.

¶1384 Unsupported by the Fornicated State-court Record (Tp.1-560, and what’s missing), within her decision (ibid), Judge Cosgrove went entirely too far when she made the fatal mistake of alleging that

The testimony of S.L. reveals that she was sexually assaulted by Wood on several occasions and her testimony was supported by “ample evidence” and “corroborated by several witnesses” (Exhibit-83) (p.2, ¶2),

and that, regarding S.L.,

She was raped multiple times by Wood (p.3, ¶3).

¶1385 “bullshit”

¶1386 Reader, not only have I shown you State’s evidence to the contrary, Judge Cosgrove failed to cite Akron Children’s Hospital’s report (Exhibit-14), a single Transcript Page or Line Number, Dr. Reed (Exhibit-34), or any witness testimony, as have I, to support her contentions. What more, as she elected to rely on and quote the *in subsidium* ruling of the Ninth District,

SHE LIED

¶1387 Now, a while ago I told you how they keep raising these same lies, with no supporting evidence [¶473], to leave me in prison. Here, through a repetitive pattern of behavior, I have proven it to you. State, Trial Court, Appellate Court, and Visiting Judge Cosgrove all did the same thing: Lie repeatedly. Verifying their lies, via State’s evidence adduced at Trial, I have successfully proven that

1) October 20th, 2004

- i. Was the *only* date of alleged abuse that I was around S.L.
- ii. Was removed from the indictment via amendment
- iii. Was investigated, “closed”, and “terminated” due to “no evidence”
- iv. Testified to by Scott and Danielle
- v. And I was not found guilty of this date by the **Cynical-Six-Plus-Six** despite Judge Collier’s *illegal* offer to find my guilty with “special findings”

2) October 1st-3rd of 2004

- i. These dates were declared by the State to be the specific, actual, and guaranteed dates of abuse via amending the indictment

- ii. These dates were confirmed by the “intrusive memories” of “the two days before”
- iii. S.L.’s whereabouts on these dates were confirmed by Scott, Danielle, and S.L.
- iv. These dates were vouched for by the State as having “no evidence to the contrary. None”
- v. With a State-verified lack of subject matter jurisdiction, I was not there and no one placed me in Put-In-Bay with Scott and S.L. on the weekend of her tenth birthday
- vi. These dates went *uninvestigated*

Yeah, and *I* am the one in prison. And people want to know why *I* have an attitude. Perhaps they would care to hazard a guess?

• • •

Rocket: Sarcasm.

Frank: Yeah, it’s the lowest form of wit, but does have its place and serves a purpose at this moment in time.

Rocket: Fair enough.

• • •

¶1388 It is now evident that the State’s legal theory was originally predicated upon the date of October 20, 2004. But, two weeks prior to Trial, the State changed its legal theory to October 1st-3rd of 2004 [¶269]. Having proven my factual innocence of their *new* legal theory with my 26(B) and COAI, the State, assisted by the Appellate Court and Judge Cosgrove, has proffered the new, but obscure, legal theory of

‘Just say he did something and leave him in prison’

[¶1375, 2]

¶1389 The State could neither challenge nor defeat my 26(B) or COAI (Exhibit-03), and now relies upon a new “separate and distinct” legal theory in violation of Wong v. Money, 142 F.3d 313, 332 (6th Cir. 1988), and thereby forfeits challenge and conviction. Supporting, the State failed to proffer and indictment pursuant to Crim.R.7 and Chapter 2941 to support its new staunch position. What more, there is neither testimony, verdict, nor a Sentencing Journal Entry to validate and uphold their new legal theory and leave me in prison.

¶1390 Continuing, with neither indictment²⁸¹ nor verdict to detain me on their new “separate and distinct” legal theory, both Court and State are now guilty of violating O.R.C. § 2905.03 Unlawful restraint, and O.R.C. § 2905.01 Kidnapping, rendering them subject to suit under O.R.C. § 2743.48 Civil action against wrongful imprisonment. Why? As I have repeatedly shown you, their actions *to Obtain & Sustain* not only prove they *knew* that they were sending an innocent man to prison, their cover-up was willful, deliberate, and premeditated [¶475].

THOUGHT TO PONDER

**If I am in error, they why lie, hide, remove,
tamper with, alter, destroy, and make disappear?**

¶1391 Like I said earlier, they refuse to indict and try me on these additional counts of libel [¶476-¶478].

¶1392 They knew they would lose.

¶1393 Based on everything revealed, these violations of law must be true. So how are they detaining me?

Buehler?

Buehler?

Buehler?

-Ferris Buehler's Day Off

²⁸¹ Another U.S. 6th Amendment violation.

¶1394 The last set of filings concern the letter from Children's Services that surfaced during a side bar without my knowing, but was illegally suppressed by Court and State. Yes, the letter that revealed the case against me was "closed" due to "no evidence". Remember, it was an illegal suppression because the letter was legally exculpatory regarding, specifically, October 20th.

¶1395 The illegal suppression of evidence is known as a Brady Violation. So, on March 30, 2015 I filed a *pro se* Request For Brady Hearing And Dismissal Of Indictment (Exhibit-84).

¶1396 Reader, before we press on, I beseech you to peruse this document (ibid) very carefully. When you are done, know now that the question you will want to ask me will be the same question everyone asks after they have read it:

'Why the [Bleep!] are you in prison?'

• • •

Frank: You guys cool?

Rocket: Just enjoying the show.

Groot: I am Groot?

Frank: Oreos™? Greatest cookies on earth! Top left. And save me some this time!

• • •

¶1397 Welcome back, Reader. At this very moment, as the question begins to form on your lips, all I can proffer in response is

“Σ”

¶1398 The State filed a desperate and reaching response on April 8, 2015 (no Exhibit); a mere two-page token response that was legally inaccurate, and, at best, impotent.

¶1399 On April 20, 2015 I filed my Response (Exhibit-85). Please peruse patiently, for you would be hard-pressed to find a better piece of incarcerated *pro se* litigation. What more, this

filing incorporates the **Town Crier** as the star of the show. Yeah, Danielle always did love to be the center of attention. Well, once again, she got what she wanted. ☺

¶1400 As you are already aware, on October 7, 2015 Judge Cosgrove issued an Order (Exhibit-86) denying me any and all requested legal relief. So let's take a closer look at this.

¶1401 Unsupported, naturally, by the Battered Record, Judge Cosgrove made five (5) fatal and bogus claims:

• • •

Rocket: Lies.

Frank: Noted.

• • •

Claim #1: As Judge Cosgrove turned a blind eye to a valid Brady Violation, and illegally denied me hearing, she claimed the “Defendant” objected to the admission of the evidence (ibid) (p.3 at ¶3)

Truth #1: Referring back to (Exhibit-21: Tp.341-347), the Trial Record flatly contradicts this lie (See also Tp.1-560)

Claim #2: Judge Cosgrove declared there was a “plethora of medical evidence” to support the allegation of rape (Exhibit-86: Top of p.3)

Truth #2: As this is a “plethora” of “bullshit”, Judge Cosgrove deliberately ignored the existence of the *Temple Virgin* S.L. (Exhibit-14), which was presented by the State during Trial as “State’s Exhibit-4”. (See Exhibit-55 of this Affidavit)

Claim #3: Judge Cosgrove claims that, by my “own admission”, Atty. Green AND I knew of the evidence at the time of Trial (Exhibit-86: Bottom of p.3)

Truth #3: A compound lie, for no such “admission” exists AND the Trial Record proves her untruthful

Claim #4: Judge Cosgrove claimed that Atty. Green AND I sought to have the evidence “excluded” from the Trial (Exhibit-86: Bottom of p.3 to top of page 4)

Truth #4: Really? Show me this in my Raped Record! Only a *fargon idiot* would seek to have exculpatory and exonerating evidence “excluded” from his trial

Claim #5: Judge Cosgrove alleged the evidence was disclosed at the time of Trial (ibid) (p.3 at ¶4)

Truth #5: Another blatant lie, the letter from Children’s Services was discussed in a sidebar, without my presence, and outside the hearing of the Jury. This is verified where the following took place:

MR. GREEN: Your Honor, may I approach?

THE COURT: Come on up.

(Whereupon, the further following proceedings were then held at a sidebar out of the hearing of the Jurors)

(See Exhibit-21: Tp.341, Ln.2-7)

Supporting, my lack of presence during that colloquy left me in the dark.

Obviously, as

Logic prevails where common sense fails,
-Mr. Wood

the letter was never “disclosed” during Trial

¶1402 Reader, pursuant to Crim.R.16 Discovery and inspection, Section (A) demands that I was supposed to receive

“information necessary of a full and fair adjudication of the facts.”

As the letter from Children’s Services never made it to my Discovery File, I never knew it existed and Atty. Green failed to disclose this information to me [¶201-¶204]. I would have to say that this is most definitely a violation of my U.S. 6th Amendment right to counsel. Think about it:

Q: What attorney would not want to disclose such exculpatory and exonerating evidence to a paying client?

A: One that had “\$1.2 million cash” on his mind.

• • •

Rocket: He hit it!

Frank: Nothing but net.

• • •

¶1403 All of Judge Cosgrove’s bogus claims –

• • •

Rocket: Lies.

• • •

are unsupported by the State-court Record. Therefore, to proffer such alleged esoteric information, she is claiming that she has direct, personal, and certain knowledge of facts that exist outside the Record. And we all know that’s **bolstering** [¶969 at Footnote 197]. So, did she lie or **bolster**? Unfortunately, she did both, for her lies **bolstered** the State’s case.

¶1404 I do declare that, due to what I have presented thus far, Judge Cosgrove’s denials of my requested legal relief (Exhibits-62, 83, and 86) resulted in three (3) counts of *in subsidium*.²⁸²

²⁸² *In subsidium*: Three (3) counts for Judge Cosgrove. That’s a total of 26 that I could discover.

And here's why:

IF I WAS NOT LEGALLY AND FACTUALLY CORRECT,
THEN JUDGE PATRICIA ANN COSGROVE
WOULD HAVE HAD NO NEED TO LIE.

¶1405 Her lies reveal her belief system, favoritism, and a blatant disrespect for Law & Justice. This is shocking because in Disciplinary Counsel v. Calabrese, 2015 Ohio LEXIS 1383 –

• • •

Rocket: Oh, shit!

Frank: Yeah, that just came back into play.

• • •

Judge Cosgrove sentenced former Cuyahoga County Judge Anthony Orlando Calabrese III (#0068535) to a total of four (4) years in prison, with *concurrent* sentencing (sentences are served all at the same time, as opposed to *consecutive* where they are served one after another), Calabrese at HN6. Why? So glad you asked. As an overview, for violations of

- 1) Ohio Code of Professional Responsibility
- 2) Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct
- 3) Engaging in a pattern of corrupt activities
- 4) Several felonies

¶1406 Remarkably, I have proven all of the above to you in my case! A sad state of affairs, really, for Judge Cosgrove's *in subsidium* rulings reveal several things:

- 1) Disrespect for the law
- 2) A lack of honor and integrity
- 3) Judicial hypocrisy (Calabrese)
- 4) *In subsidium* favoritism

5) A willingness *To Obtain & Sustain* a wrongful conviction

6) She never reviewed the Trial Record

Now, if I am wrong, then someone else wrote her Court “Orders” and she blindly signed [¶080].

Gee... I wonder who that could have been?

• • •

Groot: I am Groot!

[“Former Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern!”]

Frank: You know, Groot, for having the IQ of a houseplant, you’re quite astute.

Groot: I am Groot.

Frank: You’re most welcome.

• • •

Yes, I do concur with Groot because I have litigated against Kern for so long that I know his line of litigation, his catch phrases, and repeated statements. Damn. There were times that I thought we were more like an old couple arguing in code: No one knew what we were arguing about but us! Still, I do detect a second litigator’s involvement. Just can’t figure out who it is. In time.

¶1407 Reader, now you see why I filed the Motion (Exhibit-68) and Affidavit (Exhibit-69) to remove Judge Cosgrove from my case. With the trilogy of corruption, malfeasance, and hypocrisy sitting on the Bench, I will never gain access to the Trial Court. Why? Again, for me to win in the Trial Court would establish my credibility in the Hartman case (supra).

¶1408 On February 27, 2017 the Supreme Court of Ohio denied my Motion and Affidavit, but stated the criteria that I must meet to gain access to the Higher Court. Very well.

Let the games begin!

-Batman, Dark Knight Rises

Ready to meet that criteria and re-file, this project had to come first for it establishes the true and reliable foundation of the many public displays and legal actions that will follow. My goal is to be the first incarcerated *pro se* litigant to be transported in shackles to the Ohio Supreme Court and litigate my own case on public television. Although I never thought this would be part of my *Bucket List*, be sure to follow **Frank P. Wood** at Facebook.com [¶010]. My **Exoneration Team**²⁸³ will keep you posted.

¶1409 Thank you [¶849, 5].

-Σ-

. . .

Rocket: I can see Attorney Stanley getting a phone call from the new Prosecutor in the near future. It will be something along the lines of, "Attorney Stanley, we need to go have a talk with your client."

Frank: I'm looking forward to it. ☺ But I do declare that, as

Silence is expensive,
-Mr. Wood

I will **NOT** settle for less than that *Declaratory Judgment of Innocence* issued from the Trial Court.

Rocket: I concur.

. . .

²⁸³ No. I didn't get this far on my own.

¶1410

FRANK P. WOOD'S CLOSING STATEMENT

People have a tendency to believe what they want to believe.

-Mr. Frank P. Wood

¶1411 Reader, we have made quite the journey, you and I. From the very beginning, I warned you to steel yourself and that we would test the mettle of many. Then, on several occasions, I have invited you to be my Judge. Now, *My Fellow Americans*, I am asking for YOU to be my rational trier of fact; the U.S. 6th Amendment impartial Jury of my peers: The Jury I never had [¶1093].

¶1412 As an ugly reminder, the State of Ohio secured a **sham indictment** through deceptive means, and charged me with two (2) heinous crimes:

- 1) The alleged rape of the *Temple Virgin* S.L. from 70 miles away “the two days before” her tenth birthday when it “hurt” in her “private” (Exhibit-03)
- 2) The alleged gross sexual imposition of K.S. that Judge Collier admitted, “didn’t happen”

Clearly, the “intrusive memories” of the girls are to my benefit and operate to the detriments of Scott Sadowsky and Ryan Spencer, eh?

¶1413 Historically, I revealed the truth to you how these conspired charges came about via the three (3) most ancient motives for revenge: *Sex*, *Money*, and *Family*. Sadly, these went ignored by Court, State, Cop, Psych, and Jury. In all actuality, no one cared. Perhaps, due to ulterior motives, they did *not want* to know. They just ran with their dogma, theory of perp, **and , like a primitive beast, shoved the proverbial square peg into the round hole.**

¶1414 With the above in mind, humanity is such a paradox. Its potential to love and create are constantly countered by its propensities to hate and destroy. Why must the light and beauty in

us be so overshadowed by such ugliness? I thought people were inherently good and learned to be bad. I thought innocent people only went to prison in books and movies. Now I know that some people are inherently evil, and that some of those books and movies are based on real-life events. If you ever read the *Count of Monte Cristo* by Dumas, you *will* know what I mean. No. The movie, although well done and acted out beautifully, it did the book no justice at all. Really, read the book, for the author knew the people he wrote about, as have I.

¶1415 Through a plethora of *Laundry Lists* we have sifted our bushel of wheat, and, with legitimate (Exhibits), we firmly set our tiles in place. The mosaic, undeniably, is complete. And, in its completeness, I have revealed to you

1) Perp #1: Scott Sadowsky

2) Perp #2: Ryan Spencer

who had special knowledge, motive, and easy access to the girls. I, on the other hand, did not. Further, their positions were bolstered by the elements of privacy, familiarity, and trust. Things I also lacked. As these men were unable to control their lust, to the contrary, Dr. Reed, the Attorney General's Expert, confirmed that I am not sexually compulsive or addicted.

¶1416 In and amongst other things, I have presented sufficient law and operative fact that prove my innocence

1) Geographically

2) Psychologically

3) Medically

4) Legally

5) Factually

6) Physically

7) Conspiratorially

What more, as I unerringly invite your questions and ideas, I hid nothing from you. As to the State, please recall my proposed

THOUGHT TO PONDER

**If I am in error, they why lie, hide, remove,
tamper with, alter, destroy, and make disappear?**

¶1417 The State repeatedly relied upon lies, half-truths, and withheld information to secure my wrongful conviction. As a valid example, the Court-declared “untruthful” Danielle could not provide an exact date or location of an alleged crime, and neither could Robyn, so it was revealed by my generic indictment and Dr. LeSure’s testimony. Now recall their method of operations as they also relied upon

- 1) The Court-acknowledged and State-utilized perjury of the Court-declared “untruthful” Danielle
- 2) Implanted/Transplanted Memories
- 3) Suppressed evidence
- 4) Witness tampering
- 5) Unindicted allegations
- 6) Jury tampering: A Court-declared “cynical” Jury with its Court-elected Juror who was “molested” in her youth, and a Medina City elementary school teacher (who would have **known the teacher who interviewed S.L.**)
- 7) Destruction of evidence
- 8) One too many *insidious in subsidiums*
- 9) *Laundry Lists*

¶1418 After the commencement of the above illegal activities, as a back-up plan to cover up the corruption, **after** my Trial the State repeatedly

1) Altered my Transcripts

2) Altered my Docket

and then

3) Lost my Discovery File

There's no better way to hide what they had done. With that said, I now proffer this

Query: If Court, State, and accusers were so right and righteous and had

nothing to hide, then why alter, destroy, and make disappear **after** Trial?

Hm.

-Morpheus, *The Matrix*

¶1419 Looking back, it's shocking to see how the alleged rape of S.L. was signed in for invest with Dr. LeSure, who is on the County Payroll, and not the police, **prior** to the occurrence of an alleged incident. Then, with the help of Atty. Green, they deceived and manipulated me into waiving my speedy trial rights, under duress, three (3) days **after** Trial was due to commence. Yes, this served as a boilerplate to fraudulently revoke my \$200,000.00 cash bond **the very next day**. However, all the alterations and deletions were not enough to cover up their corruption. It just took a little extra effort and data mining to discover what they did in the dark and bring it into the light. True. Once you remove the pile of "bullshit", you only have to dig just below the surface to expose the root. No, "bullshit" never runs deep. In all actuality, it just piles high. So put away the boots and grab a shovel.

¶1420 It was so disappointing to see Judge Collier, a trusted elected official, act *in subsidium* as often and as casually as one would answer the phone. I say this because he was easily at Pros. Eisenhower's *beck-and-call*. But when he tampered with the Jury, that was a little too much, and

way too obvious. He *is* guilty.

¶1421 It's not surprising, in hindsight, to see how Court and State worked and utilized the "cynical", Tainted, and Tampered-With Jury to their advantage. What more, it is lucid that Pros. Eisenhower's approach to the Jury could be summed up in the mindset of

*'Well, since I can't dazzle them with brilliance,
I'll have to baffle them with "bullshit"'*

And that she did, because, sadly, it worked; I'm wrongfully imprisoned. ☹

¶1422 I now invite you to recall that my case was "closed" and "terminated" due to "no evidence" and legally "insufficient evidence" by Children's Services and the Montville P.D., respectively, in regards to the alleged rape of S.L. Ah, yes. And these findings were supported by Akron Children's Hospital's findings of a *Temple Virgin*. With that combined knowledge, consider that Det. Kollar, against operations of law and fact, presented this case to the Grand Jury in violation of my Due Process and Equal Protection rights to secure a **sham indictment**. Now consider how he lied to the Petit Jury of my Trial about the pictures of pictures and the unlocked briefcase. As to the former, he was assisted by Pros. Holman, and as to the latter, he was assisted by Pros. Eisenhower. There are neither excuses nor reasons to justify these unethical and illegal actions.

Bad manners, indeed.

¶1423 But why stop there? S.L., Scott, and Danielle all confirmed S.L. was in "Put-In-Bay" with Scott "the two days before". Those painfully "intrusive memories" must have been intense. I guess the **implanted memory** of

"Frankie raped you"

didn't work so well after all, eh?

¶1424 Looking back on this really bad sitcom, recall the *Baseball Roster* of family, school teachers and counselors that interviewed S.L., plus her friends who would have questioned her and shared their own stories, *real or imagined*. As none of them were interviewed by Dr. LeSure, none of them testified.

¶1425 Why?

¶1426a Such an all-probing question may forever remain unanswered. However, we may draw our own inferences based on facts presented. Such an inference would undoubtedly be secured as the evidence that they had to offer, well,

It didn't fit their theory.

-Attorney Ronald R. Stanley

And this brings us to the crux of the dogma '*Point-the-finger-and-go*' that Medina loves so well.

And this calls for maximum effort.

-*Deadpool*

¶1426b Reader, as you already know, I have a tendency to do what they do not like. Yes, that *IS* a loaded statement, but I am being remarkably particular about it at this time. You see, they do not like the fact that I quote Arthur Conan Doyle. And there's good reason for that dislike. You see, Doyle was more than just the author and creator of the famed and loved character of *Sherlock Holmes*. Doyle was also a licensed medical doctor and is considered to be, by crime scene investigators, police, and the ilk, to be the *Founding Father of Modern Forensics*. And they KNOW this. So, with that mental disturbance aimed at those who are responsible for this catastrophe,

The devil's agents may be of flesh and blood, may they not? There are two questions waiting for us at the outset. The one is whether any crime has been committed at all; the second is what is the crime and how was it committed?

-Arthur Conan Doyle

If a crime has been committed, and one knew how, then had exact dates and locations been provided to prove such, actual perp or perps would have been easily revealed.

• • •

Rocket: And that is why they hate you.

Frank: They did this to themselves, and I am just –

Rocket: *The Messenger*.

Frank: Right.

• • •

¶1426c Every hypothesis should have been exhausted before falling back on the bogus indicted charges. But no one interviewed anyone else for motive and most elected not to interview me. Therefore, no other Theory of Perp was ventured. Obviously, in the most dogma-like fashion, this was a targeted conviction. A *witch-hunt*, if you will. Yes, the horse ran the race with blinders on and a cattle prod stuck in its ass.

¶1426d With compliments to Doyle, it's a shame no one for the State constructed alternative theories to be sure. Had they done so, and conducted proper interviews, they would have discovered and weighed the motives of others along with every particle of evidence. Then they could have balanced one against the other, and decided which points were essential, and which were immaterial to the cause of action.

¶1426e Doyle makes it clear that it is the obvious things that matter most because commonplace things are so often overlooked. Consider, if you will, the family photo you placed on the dresser where you can see it every day. Eventually, it becomes commonplace and overlooked, and when you do notice it, you are simply amazed that you forgot you put it there. Now consider the commonplace event in this case of why Danielle left the sanctity of her

marriage bed. People just keep looking past that without question, just like they overlooked how K.S. developed the same coping mechanisms as Robyn. Instead of paying attention to such crucial facts, they preferred not to weigh and balance the probabilities of motive and evidence on hand to reveal the proper perps. No. Of course not. For that would have provided a scientific and factual basis on which to start sound speculations.

'Point-the-finger-and-go'

¶1426f Combine the above, The Tenth Man Rule would have clarified – revealed the power and design behind the emotionally acidic allegations. The initial power was the motive of revenge, fueled by a “million cash”, as to the alleged rape. Regarding the motives of family and revenge, consider how I took custody of K.S. and restored her to Robyn. Ah! Let us not forget how Lynda Spencer initially took custody of K.S. with her attorney on speed dial and contract in hand. As ill conceived of a plan there ever was! The design for both allegations was slow, steady, patient and careful planning. Unfortunately, that was only Phase I. Phase II of power and design came about during the cavalier invest. Its power was fueled by bias, and its natural design, again, was *'Point-the-finger-and-go'*. Phase III of power and design was secured by Judge Collier's *insidious in subsidiums* during Trial that steered the case towards wrongful conviction. Yes, all the while Pros. Eisenhower implemented its design with her lies to the Jury. Phase IV of power and design came about **Post-Trial** during the *pro se appellate process*. Yes, this was fully powered by ulterior motives, and the design came via the manipulations and disappearances of State-court Records. Not to mention the lies told to the media and reviewing courts.

¶1426g I wonder how they like me now.

¶1427 To sally forth, K.S. testified TWICE that she could not recall being at an alleged crime scene with me, only to have Judge Collier admit

“What I’m hearing her say is, “No, it didn’t happen”

and

“we don’t have anything”

Obviously, the “**pact**” didn’t really help their case, either. That’s because

“They’re having problems with K.S.”

¶1428a Is it not suspect that Robyn waited a year **after** the allegation came forward to go to Children’s Services

“and not the police”

when the money stopped?

¶1428b Yes, much like S.L.’s case was signed in with Dr. LeSure **first** and not the police.

Hey, implanted memories take time to develop and secure. Fortunately for me, the abusive “intrusive memories” placed S.L. with Scott at Put-In-Bay, and caused K.S. to recall that she was never at a crime scene with me. However, it is unfortunate for the girls that they have them. Never forget that. Never forget.

¶1429 Judge Collier heard the evidence. It was legally, ethically, and morally wrong for him *not* to dismiss the GSI charge, with witness tampering in play via the “**pact**”. Further, it was just as heinous for him not to dismiss the rape charge, especially since he heard the combined testimonies for “Put-In-Bay” and the implanted memories that prove Danielle, S.L.’s “mother” told her

“Frankie raped you”

Right, and he also heard the evidence of why the entire case was “closed” and “terminated” due to “no evidence” and the finding of a *Temple Virgin*. What Judge would allow this? One that was aware of a \$1.2million cash bounty on my head and a County Contract on the line. In sync,

under the Crime Control Act of 1990²⁸⁴ and its progeny, State Courts get paid Federal Funds *per conviction*; for verdict or plea. As Judge Collier controls every tax dollar that comes into his Court, ulterior motives have been revealed and established. Wondering what he did with my \$200,000.00 cash bond, one must now question what other reasons/motives prompted Judge Collier to act *in subsidium*. Especially since one would have to be high under the influence of a hallucinogenic to believe such “bullshit” evidence and charges!

Psst! Hey, dog.
Did you see the size of that chicken?
-Young Guns

¶1430 Yeah, the bounty on my head was all-encompassing. In addition, the above does not include your State and Local tax dollars that were received by Court and State for my Trial. Still, I find it to be unnerving how Dr. LeSure’s diagnosis of both girls went from “adjustment disorder” to “sexual abuse” after the contract was awarded. Yes, the contract that was never disclosed to the Defense or Jury.

¶1431 I always found it to be quite disturbing that Judge Collier gave no inclination of recognition regarding Robyn, Lynda, or me. You see, Judge Collier presided over the hearing to determine the collective TPOs and CPOs that Robyn and I filed against the Spencer Family. So when the protection orders were brought up during Trial, he played mute. What more, he knew the motives and history behind them prior to my Trial. What’s worse, with the truth on my side, he ruled in favor of Lynda. Why? If she was so honorable and righteous, why didn’t she testify?

¶1432 Yes, it is strange that Lynda and Ryan did not testify. But, like I stated earlier, everything in this case is connected. And that connection is linked to the

“two gentlemen”

²⁸⁴ George W. Bush enacted this 180-page read. Most enlightening, it can be readily accessed on the Internet.

from Children's Services who didn't testify, either. But, then again, Pros. Eisenhower's *secretive involvement* in the interview of K.S. spoke volumes all on its own.

• • •

Rocket: Bravo!

• • •

¶1433 Knowing that the investigations of Det. Kollar and Dr. LeSure were sloppy, biased, and inadequate, for they failed to interview the *Baseball Rosters* of people who had access to the minds and bodies of K.S. and S.L., it was unethical and immoral, not to mention biased and illegal, for Judge Collier to

- 1) Suppress the report that was illegally handed to him by Dr. LeSure, determining there was *nothing exculpatory*, only to give it to his Court Reporter: Donna A. Garrity, for the purposes of destruction
- 2) Suppress the letter from Children's Services to the Prosecutor that declared the case was "closed" due to "no evidence", thereby exonerating me of the October 20, 2004 allegation
- 3) Suppress the police report from Montville that stated *why* the case for the October 20th allegation was "terminated" due to legally "insufficient evidence", only to give it to his Court Reporter: Donna A. Garrity, for the purposes of destruction

As there can be no doubt that all the above, *inter alia*, was withheld from the Grand Jury, let us pause for reflection...

[Moment of silence]

¶1434 Forget not Dr. Reed, the Ohio Attorney General's Leading Expert on such matters.

Judge Collier elected to find his examination of my mind and beliefs to be

“irrelevant”

after Pros. Eisenhower stated,

“Your Honor, we can’t let the Jury hear this”

This is because Dr. Reed, THE MAN, had unbiasedly and scientifically proven that I do not possess the psychological capacities to commit such ignorant and heinous acts. With that said...

¶1435 Dr. Reed, the State’s Leading Expert, and Montville P.D., a State-investigative agency, interviewed me, *personally*. The former proved I couldn’t psychologically harm a child or someone I love, while that latter sought fit to have the rape allegation, the case itself, “terminated”. No one else interviewed me. Not Children’s Services, Dr. LeSure, Det. Kollar, or the Prosecutor. Further, I was never summoned to the Grand Jury for questioning. They chose via motives the old dogma of ‘*point-the-finger-and-go*’ and to build a case around an inaccurate theory of perp: me. This was despite the fact that Children’s Services “closed” the case due to “no evidence” and Akron Children’s Hospital found S.L. to be a *Temple Virgin*. Further, recall how the medical research paper supports and is supported by the legitimate findings of S.L.’s purity. Not to mention how the “intrusive memories” of “the two days before” fully exonerate me of the indicted dates of October 1st-3rd of 2004. No. I was not and could not have been in Put-In-Bay because I was in bed fornicating with Danielle at my home in Medina County: 70 miles away.

¶1436 But all this evidence in my favor did not stop Danielle from going to Montville, TWICE, under Scott’s orders, to file false rape charges against me. Recall that, not only did Danielle *forget* to tell Dr. LeSure about these two (2) events, she did so while Scott lurked in the shadows like a *coward*. Yes, just like it was *only* Danielle who participated in the interviews with Children’s Services, Dr. LeSure, and Akron Children’s Hospital, controlling S.L.’s

dialogue. As this *coaching* is why the video interview of S.L. (and that of K.S.) was never shown to the Jury, one must now logically deduce that Scott never participated in any of the interviews with the Prosecutor's Office. If he did, it was at an assured cleared distance.

¶1437 I find it to be most enlightening that Scott and Danielle testified that S.L. caused the demise of their marriage (Exhibit-11). This bright light is only enhanced in luminescence where Scott *admitted* that he "believed" S.L. when she said I did nothing to her on the morning of October 20th, and how Danielle refused to check on S.L., only to go lay down with her favorite child and son: A.S (Exhibit-11). Why? Because the set-up had begun the month prior with Dr. LeSure.

¶1438 *Game on!*

¶1439 With all you have seen, it must not come as a surprise to you that Judge Collier recused, and that he, Judge Cosgrove, and the Prosecutor lied about evidence to leave me in prison. Then, after they realized my Claim Of Actual Innocence (Exhibit-03), the lack of subject matter jurisdiction, the Brady Violation, and the new medical research could neither be challenged nor defeated, they changed their legal theory and claimed that I had committed some mysterious crimes that went uninvestigated (like October 1st-3rd), unindicted, and untried. True. And, in this fashion, they leave me in prison with false allegations that are neither supported by indictment nor verdict.

Slander and libel at their worst!

Obviously,

This town needs an enema!

-Batman

¶1440 Your tax dollars at work, People. Your tax dollars at work.

¶1441 We have explored the truth via the *timing and sequence of events* as they actually

transpired (Exhibit-03), and more. True, for we did

not rest satisfied with light and superficial knowledge,
-Marcus Aurelius

such as Pros. Eisenhower was content with in presenting to a Jury that was more than satisfied to receive. No. We dug *deep*. Supported by law and fact, there can be no wiggle room for the imagination. We have also clarified the motives, their causes, and their effects. And all this boils down to the base flight/fight response, the fear and greed of human nature that is hardwired into our brains. But some people can neither discern one from the other, nor control them. They live enslaved to their base drives and fail to use them as benevolent warning signs: I want this; I don't want that. Then they fail to act with true nobility: Greatness of character principle. True, for they operated with neither filter nor restraint. Yes, they functioned according to their pleasures and their problems empowered by their emotions. With that mental and emotional energy in play, they failed to stop and think

It's not so much if we could,
but if we should.
-Jurassic Park (paraphrased)

¶1442 To clarify my position, having studied John Milton's *Paradise Lost*, I learned that the *Creator* gave humankind two gifts: 1) The ability to reason; and 2) The ability to communicate. The former was bestowed so we could discern right from wrong, while the latter for the exchange of information. Unfortunately, some reason their way into lying in order to deceive, and thereby obtain the devices that satisfy the vices that will eventually lead to their own self-destruction. In harmony, some will reason their way in to lying in order to hide, gain, and/or protect someone or something they value [¶776]. Tragically, whatever the motives, someone will suffer unjustly when reason and communication are utilized in this manner.

Life happens

¶1443 Contrary to the above tragedies of humanity, I always thought we were put her to love and be loved. Am I wrong?

¶1444 Illuminated by the above insights, I implore you to consider how I unjustly suffer the effects of penury and poverty while corruption runs rampant in your home town or State, and that two (2) perps run free, all because of the motives of *jealousy*, *avarice*, and *fear*. Yes, these are the torches that burn brightly in darkened hearts, thereby illuminating family skeletons long-ago thrown into a closet and abandoned. Not so, anymore, for we have opened the doors, driven back the shadows with the light of truth, and rattled those bones forgotten so long ago.

[Sound of bones rattling]

¶1445 Taking custody of K.S. from Lynda and restoring the girl to Robyn's care was necessary, and necessary means '*can't do without*'. Ah! Forgive this old man for drifting, but to be loved with that *necessary* mindset and '*No matter what*'. Probably just a fool's dream in my lifetime. As time reveals all, my affair with Danielle was very foolish. The pregnancy merely sent Scott over the edge and left him in a state of panic and confusion, for neither he nor Danielle were sure who the father was. So says the "50/50 Prior Probability".

Frank P. Wood, you *are* the father!
-Maurey

'*Whoa! I'm a dad!*'
-Me when I read the DNA results

The ceasing of financial support to Robyn was also necessary, for my first and only child was on the way. With the *motives* of *money*, *sex*, and *family* hanging over my head like lead balloons Robyn, Lynda, Ryan, Scott, and Danielle all knew that I knew too much. Way too much for their personal private security. They were driven by fear of exposure and greed for self-preservation and revenge. Yes, the balloons were destined to fall. They needed a scapegoat, and I was the

most logical choice by a matter of convenience and necessity.

¶1446 The motives of Court and State are no less significant. *Power, money, prestige, control*, and *reputation* can readily become addictions. Unchecked neuro-activity creates pathways that become beliefs, *cell assemblies*, and we all know that belief determines behavior. Eventually, people in such positions begin to believe they are the left foot of *God* on earth, and act accordingly. This truth I have shown you, *repeatedly*.

¶1447 Consider Det. Kollar, Dr. LeSure, Court Reporter Garrity, Atty. Salzgeber, and Atty. Green; they all had something to gain, too. Det. Kollar advanced his career; Dr. LeSure got her contract; Court Reporter Garrity stayed in the good graces of Judge Collier; Atty. Salzgeber advanced his career to where I hear he is running for Medina Municipal Court Judge; and Atty. Green left town with cash in hand. Of that, I have no doubt.

¶1448 Everybody but me had something to gain, hide, and/or protect, while my sexual desires were not only under my control, I was sexually active with adult women... **only!** There was **no motive** for me to seek pleasure elsewhere, and no such motive was alleged during Trial (Tp.1-560). Psychologically prohibited from harming a child or someone I love, we must now return to Cicero's question:

Cui bono?

Who benefits?

Indeed, who benefits from my wrongful conviction?

¶1449 Reader, be wise and carefully weigh all of the evidence presented, and the very credibility of the evidence and witnesses presented. As you balance them against the motives, I implore you to apply the very standard of truthfulness that you are accustomed to applying in your daily lives. As you engage your objective minds like a true detective, I encourage you to

apply all of the above to the axiomatic standard of

Reasonable doubt. 4 OJI 403.50; R.C. 2901.05(D)

Reasonable doubt is present when, after you have considered and compared all the evidence, you cannot say you are firmly convinced of the truth of the charge. Reasonable doubt is not mere possible doubt, because everything relating to human affairs or depending on moral evidence is open to some possible or imaginary doubt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such character that an ordinary person would be willing to act upon it in the most important of his own affairs (4 OJI 401.10, p.36).²⁸⁵

Then, and only then, will you be able to answer Cicero's surgical question with neither hesitation nor reservation.

Cui bono?

¶1450 In the end, I remain confident that you will see that, not only has the axiomatic standard of reasonable doubt been surpassed, it has been shattered.

[Sound of shattering glass]

And, knowing this to be true, I ask that you find the Defendant: me, Frank P. Wood to be '*Not Guilty*' of both charges as they stand in the indictment, and to publicly demand my release via the termination of this *Insolent Injustice*.

Freedom!

-Braveheart

¶1451 Thank you.

-Σ-

²⁸⁵ Ohio Jury Instructions. Volume Four. Criminal. 2004.

¶1452

PERSONAL EPILOGUE

¶1453 Reader, despite all I have revealed and proven to you, sadly, there is more. But I have elected to hold back on specific subjects and points of law that, although intricately related to the instant matter, are being compiled for future legal proceedings and publications.

¶1454 Earlier I informed you that Chief Pros. Dean Holman is no longer in office. He has been succeeded by Chief Pros. S. Forrest Thompson. I heard he is a good man and concerned about doing the right thing. We shall soon see. Yes?

¶1455 Also chatted up, Asst. Pros. Matthew A. Kern is no longer in office. I do thank him, for his reckless assertions and lies forced me into deeper studies, thereby enhancing my *pro se* abilities at articulating litigation. I shall miss him dearly; like the plague. Further, per Atty. Stanley, my old friend has been replaced by Asst. Pros. Vince Vigilucci. What more, also per Atty. Stanley, Pros. Vigilucci has been reading my old filings. Interesting, for I do care to know why and what he thinks. You see, by now, he knows I am innocent. What will he do when he reads this? Your guess is as good as mine, but, as I have said before, we are about to test the mettle of many.

¶1456 Soon I shall begin a series of filings and public presentations with this Affidavit. Bound to get attention, it is, I remain a highly motivated individual on a short and serious time frame. Therefore, I must be about my business.

¶1457 I thank you, Reader, for your time and patience. And, once more, I invite your questions, insights, and ideas. Truly, I look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

¶1458 As a parting word to those who hurt the girls to hurt me, and perpetuate this tort, know that

I SHALL DULL EVERY QUILL
AND DRAIN EVERY INKHORN
UNTIL THIS FRAUDULENT SHAME IS REMOVED
FROM THE LEGACY OF MY FAMILY

Σ

(See [¶938] of this Affidavit)

¶1459 Until then,

DURABO!

¶1460 But only for the moment,

AFFIANT AND AUTHOR FURTHER SAYETH NAUGHT.

-Σ-

Unbroken,²⁸⁵

Frank P. Wood

Frank P. Wood (#A504-107)

Affiant/Author

Fan Mail: Frank P. Wood (#A504-107)

RiCI

P.O. Box 8107

Mansfield, OH 44901

Sworn to or affirmed, and subscribed to in my presence on this 28th day of August,
2018.

Monica DeJulius
Notary Public



My Commission expires: 2-26-22

²⁸⁵ With respect and admiration for Loui Zamperini, an Italian-American WWII P.O.W., whose life story reminded me that 'soldiers never give up'.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Where do I begin? There are so many of you in several states that have gone above and beyond the call of duty. When the bomb went off and the dust settled, you were there. Since that monumental moment, others have come forward, as well. Most grateful, I am.

I refer to all of you as my *Exoneration Team*, and have done my best to keep your names in the state of anonymity, with good reason. Some, due to necessity, left me no choice, but discernment was exercised within sound reason. Your privacy and safety are of the utmost concern to me, so I know you understand.

You have sacrificed much through prayer, provision, and protection. You did for me what others would not do, and/or could not do. Yes, you provided *Hope in Hell*. True, another book would be needed to list these noble and selfless deeds. But let us hope that this book is enough. Yes?

I know you did what you did because you believe in me, as I believe in you. What more, I know you love me. How do you thank someone for loving you? You love them back with greater force. You see, you are publicly declared my *Exoneration Team*, but are inwardly *My Family*. Some of you are related by blood or marriage, while others are related through years of friendship and bonding. But you are all related by love. I love you. I love you all. Always. You are *My Family*; priceless and irreplaceable. And I miss you every day.

[BIG SIGH!]

I owe you my life; a *Life Debt* that can never be repaid. Living in *Hell's Lost Half Acre*, you have given me a purpose to fulfill and the means to fight. Dashed against the *Rocks of Despair*, you reached down with the *Hands of Hope*. With your characters revealed, words are inadequate to express the strength that such hope provides. But I can tell you that my vision, focus, commitment, and sheer will and determination are a match for any foe. Yes, my resolution is absolute:

I will win. They will lose.

To love you back with greater force is my privilege and pleasure. In order to honor the *Life Debt* I owe you, and the love you give, until my last breath, I vow to you, heart and soul, to enhance and strengthen my *Five Facets* daily for the rest of my life, so that I may benefit you, our children, and those to come.

No intoxicating substance shall enter my body, outside a medical emergency. No, I do not lament forfeiting a beer while fishing or a glass of red during dinner. You are worth so much more than that. So much more. This is truly what I want: YOU. As my mind shall always be clear and my body able, at all times and in all circumstances, even if I am on the other side of the world, at any

hour, with a mere phone call, either I, or one of my future assets until I can get there, will be at your immediate disposal. For a clearer picture, *for you*, I shall always remain '*at the ready*'.

You are truly important.

And, no. Despite my geographical location, occupation, or adventure I am no longer who our Beloved Charles used to call '*The Indiana Jones of the Family*'. You will always have access to me.

You are so amazing to me, that, when I speak of you, my speech is borderline boasting. Some people in here just **cannot** grasp that. They just do not get it. Then again, they are not in my shoes. You have inspired me so much that I developed my *Life Goal*. To share, my *Life Goal* is this: To have my grandson walk by my grave with his son, point to the eternal stone and say,

'There rests your great grandfather, Mr. Frank P. Wood. He was a good man'

In harmony, Bruce Lee said,

Most men are born posthumously.

Of that, I have no doubt. And I guess he was right, for through sheer will and determination, and the process of rescripting, I have died to the old self, as suggested by the Apostle Paul. Indeed, a seed must fall to the ground and die in order to produce. Perhaps that's why *God* let Peter fall before *He* could use him. *Hmmm*.

If you only knew the longings in my heart. I yearn to have the freedom just to pick up the phone and call to let you know how much I love you, or the *freedom of choice* (that they took from me) to get in my car, drive over, knock on the door, and as soon as it opens, just hug you as if there was no tomorrow. Hopefully I won't crack any ribs, and I pray I do not become a bother. *Ha Ha!* But you are going to see a lot of me. Oh, a whole lot of me. ☺

My Family, I am the one you can depend on, because I love you. True, when I come home, and I will, I will need some solitude, time, and a place to detox from this and decompress. Pop, the place up north, if you don't mind. Yes, some solitude and a lot of meditation are in order. Yeah, a lot of meditation, for I will need to rescript certain behavioral patterns that I was forced into via circumstances. As I will be going from an environment where anyone can turn on me at any time, I will be surrounded by those who love me: YOU. I prefer not to bring these things home to you, into a relationship, or expose my future clients to them. You see, I exist in a defensive mindset, but I prefer to live in a state of open and empathic love. Obviously I am aware of what I must do. So please be patient with me. Thanks.

In closing, you have my love and loyalty. *No matter what.*

Eternally yours,

Frank

• • •

Rocket: Wow! I am deeply moved and Groot's crying.

[Groot sniffles]

Frank: Oh, no! Sappy tears are so sticky. All right, bring it on in...

[Group hug]

Frank: I am really going to miss you guys.

Rocket: Likewise.

Groot.: I am Groot.

Frank: Don't worry. We'll have another adventure when you come back for my next affidavit/book. You will come back, won't you?

Rocket: Absolutely.

Frank: And you'll bring the hot green chick?

Rocket: I'll see what I can do.

Frank: Where to now?

Rocket: Well, rumor has it some nut found another *Infinity Stone* and he knows how to use it, so we have to get back.

Frank: I envy such adventure, but I can no longer be '*The Indiana Jones of the Family*' and disappear for any length of time. My Family needs me at home.

Groot: I am Groot.

[Rocket and Groot nod in agreement]

Rocket: What about you? What's next?

Frank: Deliver this package. And, in the precise word of Will Smith,

Peace!

-Independence Day

• • •

A WORD TO ATTORNEY RONALD R. STANLEY

Ron:

I know we talked about this in the past, but

Never ask me to tell that story again, because this is
the kind of *shit* that makes you lose hope in humanity.

-Blood and Bone

Frank

postscript: Thanks for everything, and, uh... I still want Matt Damon to play me in the movie.

