October 25, 2018

Mrs. Hunter-PBPO
Ohio Parole Board Representative
Richland Correctional Institution
1001 Olivesburg Road
P.O. Box 8107
Mansfield, OH 44901

Re: Parole Hearing and documentation submittal

Mrs. Hunter:

I was recently informed by Case Manger Mrs. Roush that my first Parole Release Consideration Hearing has been scheduled for November 27, 2018. In regards to such, I seek to submit the enclosed documentation for the Board to take into deep consideration prior to the hearing. As an itemized list:

1. My updated Resume

- a. As the Board can plainly see, obtaining and maintaining steady employment has never been, and will never be, a difficulty for me
- Attorney Ronald R. Stanley has agreed to hire me as his legal secretary while I go to college to obtain my law degree (Attorney Ronald R. Stanley: 330-952-1415)
- c. Others have promised to utilize my resume on my behalf, if necessary
- 2. Domestic Articles/For Profit; No. 20040560054; February 23, 2004
 - a. I opened Frank P. Wood, D.B.A. The Wood Construction Company in April of 1998. In 2004 I incorporated as Ironwood Construction, Incorporated for growth and tax purposes
- 3. What I Look Forward To Every Day
 - a. This document appropriately watermarked: What's truly important? will give the Board more insight into the man I am
- 4. The sterling credentials of M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D.: the Ohio Attorney General's LEADING EXPERT on such matters

- a. Please note that Dr. Reed is not only qualified to do work for the Department of Defense (Page 1, Item 5), he is also on the Attorney General's database for EXPERT WITNESSES regarding highly particularized cases such as mine (Page 2, Item 17)
- 5. The Core of the *Voir Dire* Testimony of M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D., the Ohio Attorney General's LEADING EXPERT, Concerning the Mind and Beliefs of Frank P. Wood
 - Dr. Reed has unbiasedly and scientifically proven that I do not possess the psychological capacities to commit such ignorant and heinous acts

Belief determines behavior. -Max Lucado

- b. Upon hearing the findings of Dr. Reed's reliable findings and conclusions, the Trial Court refused to allow for Dr. Reed to testify as my expert witness and went so far as to declare his testimony to be "irrelevant." Now that is nothing less than shocking
- 6. My Pre-Trial History With Robyn Spencer-Speelman
 - a. This document was **refused** for *in camera* inspection during Trial by the Trial Court and has been submitted in numerous post-trial motions
- 7. My Pre-Trial History With Danielle Sadowsky-Smith
 - a. This document was **refused** for in camera inspection during Trial by the Trial Court and has been submitted in numerous post-trial motions
- 8. Who or what destroyed the Sadowsky marriage?
 - This document is comprised solely of State's evidence from the face of my materially altered and incomplete Trial Record
 - This document reveals, in part, why Danielle Sadowsky left the sanctity of her marriage bed
 - c. This document has been submitted in numerous post-trial motions and has been repeatedly ignored by Court and State
- 9. Claim of Actual Innocence
 - a. Comprised solely of State's evidence from the face of my materially altered and incomplete Trial Record, this claim has been filed, in full or in part, in every court from the Trial Court to the U.S. Supreme Court, and has gone uncontested by

- the State in **every** legal proceeding. What more, no Reviewing Court will adjudicate its merits
- For the State to challenge this claim, it would have to challenge its own evidence.
 This would merely prove both my Trial and conviction to be what they are:
 FRAUDULENT

When you're right you make a lot of noise.

When you're wrong you make a lot of silence.

-Unknown

The State has elected to make a lot of silence

- c. Please note this document reveals that
 - Pertaining to the alleged F-1, S.L. was 70 miles away from me with Scott Sadowsky in Put-In-Bay, Ottawa County at the time of the alleged incident, and yet I was tried and convicted in Medina County
 - ii. The Trial Court declared, regarding the alleged F-3, "What I'm hearing her say is, "No, it didn't happen"
 - iii. The Trial Court declared Danielle Sadowsky to be "untruthful" in her testimony because her divorce attorney, Attorney Ronald R. Stanley (a divorce that was the result of our affair) stood by my side in truth during Trial
 - a. For clarity, the Trial Court permitted Danielle Sadowsky, the State's STAR WITNESS, to present Court-acknowledged and State-Utilized perjury to a Court-declared "cynical" Jury: Claim Of Actual Innocence at page 9
 - b. For your appraisal, I verbally retained Attorney Stanley upon the fraudulent revoking of my \$200,000.00 cash bond. He stood by me through Trial and for these past 13 arduous years. To date, he has never failed me and I have yet to see an invoice. This should clarify where credibility in this matter resides. Further, I would be more than pleased to show you what truly happened at the Bond Hearing, but the Transcripts for that proceeding have disappeared from the Court House and have been removed State-court record

10. Affidavit of Attorney Ronald R. Stanley: June 6, 2017

a. This document reveals that my Court-declared "cynical" Jury contained a Courtelected Juror who had a problem with doing the Trial. In all actuality, she painfully confessed that she was "molested" in her youth. I would be happy to show the Transcript Pages in support, but they have been deleted from the State-court record

- 11. Letter to former Assistant Attorney General Erin C. Reed: October 16, 2011
 - a. After acknowledging that Attorney Reed refused to challenge my claim of innocence, I asked her to withdraw the indictment. The end result was a letter from Mike DeWine stating that Attorney Reed was no longer representing their office in its Habeas Corpus Division (letter unavailable, but easily verifiable)
- 12. Letter to former Medina County Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman: January 29, 2013 (with zip code correction)
 - a. After explaining that the State would not challenge my claim of innocence, I asked for their office to work with me on clemency. Their office refused to respond
- 13. Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction
 - a. This document verifies that I was in Medina County while S.L. was sexually assaulted, in some manner, in Put-In-Bay. Such is Ottawa County: a place I have never been
 - b. This document verifies that, via voluntary in-court testimony, no one placed me at Put-In-Bay with S.L. and Scott Sadowsky. To the contrary, S.L., Scott Sadowsky and Danielle Sadowsky all confirm that S.L. was with Scott Sadowsky on the indicted, testified, and confirmed dates of abuse
- 14. Akron Children's Hospital's Physical Examination Data page for S.L.
 - a. This document was provided by the State during Trial and confirms that S.L.'s hymen was pure: untouched and intact at the time of her unnecessary and degrading medical examination
 - b. Amazingly enough, I was found guilty of a vaginal rape regarding a nine-year-old prepubertal **Temple Virgin** from 70 miles away
- 15. Medical Research Report: #2 Differences in Hymenal Morphology Between Adolescent

 Girls With and Without a History of Consensual Sexual Intercourse: Joyce A. Adams, MD;

 Ann S. Botash, MD; Nancy Kellogg, MD; Arch Pediatr Adoles Med. 2004 158: 280-285
 - a. Paragraph 1 of Page 2 confirms that S.L.'s hymen was NEVER touched
 - This research was presented in a post-trial motion, but was refused admittance
 by the Trial Court and vehemently challenged by the State

- 16. Brief of Appellant: December 1, 2014; Cover page, and pages 1 and 19-21
 - a. This document applies and confirms that the above mentioned medical research solidifies the fact that S.L. was a Temple Virgin at the time of Trial and medical exam
 - b. As this document was filed in a post-trial motion, the Trial Court refused to grant me a valid evidentiary hearing on the matter, as did the Appellate Court, while the Prosecutor unrighteously challenged the appeal
 - c. The State fears this evidence because it frees too many people
 - d. When you combine document 4 and documents 11 through 14, it is crystal that I am serving a Life-Sentence for a vaginal rape that never happened in a place I have never been
 - e. Yes, the "cynical" Jury found me guilty of a "rape... penetration of the penis into the vagina" against law and medical fact
- 17. Doctors who authored medical research article
 - a. Please note that these Doctors are LEADING EXPERTS in Pediatric Child Abuse Medicine
- 18. Affidavit of Attorney Ronald R. Stanley: October 2, 2014
 - a. Attorney Stanley was the one who found the information on the Doctors and forwarded this data to me

In light of the above, I seek to further apprise the Board of the following Pre-Trial and Trial Facts:

PRE-TRIAL FACTS

- Surprisingly, either before or during Trial, no one bothered to question the motives of Sex, Money & Family regarding those who made the bogus allegations
- ii. Instead of building a theory of perp around the evidence, they fabricated evidence around the theory of perp. Hence, they relied solely on the dogma of 'Point-the-fingerand-go'
- iii. Neither the Prosecutor, Detective, State Psychologist (Expert Witness), nor Children's Services interviewed me. In fact, the only ones who did: Montville P.D. and Dr. Reed, "terminated" the case and concluded that I could not psychologically commit these crimes, respectively
- iv. All three (3) State-investigative agencies: Montville P.D., Akron Children's Hospital, and Medina County Children's Services declared that no crime occurred: Claim Of Actual Innocence. Ultimately, my case was "closed" and "terminated" long before an

indictment was sought. Obviously this information was withheld from the Grand Jury proceedings, for no Grand Jury of a sound mind would indict with this evidence to my benefit

TRIAL FACTS

- i. S.L. testified that she was NOT afraid of me (Tp.246, Ln.10-21)
- ii. S.L. could NOT identify me as the perpetrator (Tp.244, Ln.2-17)
- iii. K.S. could NOT recall being with me at an alleged crime scene with me: Claim Of Actual Innocence at p.10
- iv. Lead Counsel F. Harrison Green
 - a. Refused to discuss any trial strategy with Attorney Stanley
 - b. Refused to let me testify
 - c. Failed to subpoena a single witness on my behalf
 - d. I had to argue with him to call Dr. Reed
- v. The "cynical" Jury never heard any evidence on my behalf
- vi. This case is absolutely **VOID** of any eyewitnesses, DNA or physical evidence of any kind, but is replete with implanted/transplanted memories, withheld evidence, altered Statecourt records, and half-truths

A half-truth is the blackest of all lies.
-Alfred Lord Tennyson¹

After all, it IS the ultimate manipulator.

Continuing, I am fully aware of what is in my Presentence Investigation Report ("PSI"). What more, this was not only **never** testified to at Trial, I was sentenced with the lie of a PSI in hand. Yes, the Trial Court willingly did this to me.

Earlier this year I asked for Attorney Stanley to obtain certain documents from my case file at the Court House. For some odd reason, the people who work in the "vault" informed Attorney Stanley that they cannot find it. In all actuality, the original case file for State of Ohio vs. Frank
P. Wood, Medina County Case No. 05CR0365 has disappeared in totality.

<u>Ponderable</u>: If the State is so right and righteous in my wrongful conviction, then why lie, hide, alter, conceal, destroy and make disappear?

¹ This may have been Thoreau. Apologies for any error.

Although there is a great deal more to this, I ask that the Board please note that, save the Resume, these documents are part of a much larger document: Merit Affidavit of Frank P. Wood, that is subtitled <u>A Blueprint for Wrongful Imprisonment</u>. This Affidavit/Book can be easily viewed at www.freefrankpwood.com: A righteous movement that will go live on or about October 30, 2018.

As the Trial Court **refused** to accept any and all post-trial motions, just as it **refused** to allow for Dr. Reed to testify, the Prosecutor's Office unjustly and fervently challenged **every** motion that I submitted in vain attempt to obtain an honorable judicial review. Still, for a full, fair and adequate review by the Parole Board, it is only both reasonable and logical that the Board be made aware of certain facts. What more, I do fully understand that the Board cannot declare me 'innocent' or 'not guilty,' but it can declare me 'parole eligible' and/or 'pardonable.'

Mrs. Hunter, in closing, again, I ask that this letter and supporting documentation be presented to the Parole Board on my behalf for its consideration of my parole eligibility, for anyone can plainly see that I simply do **NOT** belong in prison. Thank you.

With Integrity and Respect,

Frank P. Wood (#A504-107)

RiCI

P.O. Box 8107

Mansfield, OH 44901

cc: rrs

nc