

## Patriotic and Taxpaying Americans:

Greetings from behind the razor wire!

This message serves to briefly explain the presentation of the documents that follow.

I have applied for assistance with my *Wrongful Conviction* through the **Exoneration Project** out of Chicago, Illinois. They may or may not help. There is no guarantee due to: 1) the social stigmatism attached to a sex case; 2) the required resources to combat the stigmatism; and 3) most innocence projects require either DNA, recanted testimony, or a new witness to legally reopen. Unfortunately, my case is *void* of DNA, eyewitnesses, or physical evidence of any kind.

**Compatriots**, with the above in mind, I have been thinking. After all I have been through, I do declare that Society needs to go back to the days of Ancient Rome and Cicero in at least one (1) aspect. Yes, when people had to *pay* to prosecute their motivationally driven allegations. *Trust & Believe*, there would be a lot less innocent people in prison.

In closing, I am publishing these documents because, not only may they answer some of your questions, but to show you and those who did this to me that

**I will fight this Insolent Injustice until Hell freezes over,  
and then I'll fight it on the ice.**

-Mr. Frank P. Wood

**Durabo!**

---

September 10, 2021

Intake  
Exoneration Project  
311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E  
Chicago, IL 60607

Re: State of Ohio vs. Frank P. Wood  
Medina County Case No. 05CR0365

[t]ruth, though it may run thin, never breaks,  
and it always flows over the lie  
as oil over water.

-Cervantes, *Don Quixote* (Part II, Ch.10, ¶1)

**Intake Attorney:**

Thank you for granting me brief audience. Understanding that time is our most priceless and personal asset, I respectfully take a moment to introduce myself. I am Mr. Frank P. Wood, and I am the former owner, of the now extinct, Ironwood Construction, Incorporated out of Medina, Ohio. My cause for contacting you is a *Wicked Injustice*, and I - my family- we need your help.

Many years ago I applied to your office for assistance. At that time, I did not have the evidence or the education to properly present myself. Having dug deep, I have remedied that situation.

To prepare a proper presentation, in 2018 I wrote an Affidavit/Book, appropriately titled, **A Blueprint for Wrongful Imprisonment** ("Blueprint"). Indeed, I am Author and Affiant. Those who believe in me published it at [www.freefrankpwood.com](http://www.freefrankpwood.com). A righteous movement.

Once on site, the Blueprint can be downloaded in the form of nine (9) consecutive *Google* files. It is 877 pages in length, and better than 80% of it is typed double-spaced for easy reading and legalities. What more, there are 86 Exhibits, save one (1), that are solely comprised of State,

Court and public records. The Exhibits account for 329 of those pages<sup>1</sup>. Highly detailed and easy to follow, the Blueprint also contains a full Table of Contents, Exhibit List and Works Cited pages for easy referencing<sup>2</sup>.

The project was an arduous and taxing task in this environment: an environment that is at the opposite end of the spectrum of being conducive to my goals. Armed with sheer will and determination: a mindset two (2) notches above tenacious, I wrote it in the form of a story (chapter by chapter), a trial, an educational tool, and an affidavit. Designed to teach *Patriotic & Taxpaying Americans* about the broken judicial system, and educate them on what the law actually declares<sup>3</sup>, it contains every legal and ethical violation committed by Court, State and alleged witnesses that I could find.

#### The Tenth Man Rule<sup>4</sup>

When ten people receive the same information, and nine out of those ten interpret that information and arrive at the same conclusion, as a precaution against error, it is the duty of the tenth man to start digging under the presumption that the other nine are wrong.

-*World War Z* (paraphrased for application)

From the inception of the deliberately misinformed and misguided investigation, to securing a sham indictment, to the Prosecutor telling the “cynical” Jury to “find him guilty” and that they “can feel good” about it (and the Trial Court showing them how), I have reverse-engineered every element of my wrongful conviction<sup>5</sup>. Further, I have proven that **two (2) perps run free**, a State-proffered and -proven alibi, the ulterior motives behind the allegations<sup>6</sup> (See Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308, HN2), and that documents were altered (while others disappeared) Post-Trial, *inter alia*.

“What is evidence? \*\*\* any fact that *I require* – this Court *requires* – you to accept as true”  
[emphasis added] (Tp.350, Ln.19-23).

<sup>1</sup> Acting *pro bono* since Trial, Attorney Ronald R. Stanley helped me locate several documents. Know this: Attorney Stanley is someone I *trust* at my kitchen table.

<sup>2</sup> Please note that there is a plethora of typographical errors. I only had time to type it once, on a prison computer, and get it to those who could help. Further, if there are any errors in my citations or references, please do not hesitate to ask for clarification and/or location.

<sup>3</sup> Not to mention how the law can be used as an *Instrument for Revenge* or a *Weapon of Destruction*.

<sup>4</sup> A Blueprint for Wrongful Imprisonment: Ch.24, p.139, ¶456.

<sup>5</sup> That I could find.

<sup>6</sup> **Sex, Money & Family**.

Truly, a monumental project for an incarcerated *pro se* litigant.

Before proceeding, I must inform you that the “cynical” Jury possessed a Court-elected Juror who was “molested in [her] youth”. The Jury was a major issue with my Wrongful Conviction. The Trial Court’s manipulations and persuasions of this particular Juror resulted in Jury Tampering and Structural Error. One could go so far as declaring *in subsidium*. In this light, or dare I say ‘shadow’?

Everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 10

The United Nations and its General Assembly<sup>7</sup>

Supporting my web site, those who believe in me have opened **Free Frank P. Wood** on *Facebook*. Designed as a public information page, we are currently working on expanding content and applications. Lately, we have been marketing the *Facebook* page to the public and media via *boosts*<sup>8</sup> in several Ohio cities, and will continue to do so. Many of those who did this to me are readily aware of both sites. Deeply unnerved, several of them have complained to authorities only to be ignored, and some have closed their social media accounts. Yes, the flatiron is hot. Their mettle will fold.

For your appraisal, in the past, I have applied for assistance through the Ohio Innocence Project on two (2) separate and distinct occasions. After lengthy invests, they have *never* contested my innocence, as I have seen them do others. In fact, they require either DNA, new witness, or recanted testimony to reopen<sup>9</sup>. Unfortunately, they want the smoking gun. Why? Because most innocence projects seem to be afraid of articulating the litigation necessary to combat the social stigmatism attached to a sex case. Hence, the Blueprint was necessary to reduce legwork and expenses, and promote a greater understanding. Something the populace is in desperate need of.

The dogma of

*Point-the-finger-and-go!*

must die!

---

<sup>7</sup> Signed by President Carter in 1977, rendering this Federal Law.

<sup>8</sup> Done as Social Issues and Political Action boosts.

<sup>9</sup> Obviously, the Charter they operate under was written with the State’s hand holding the pen to protect itself.

To aid in the decision-making process, in the Blueprint you will find (Exhibit-19: Letters to Ohio Innocence Project) and (Exhibit-36: Ohio Innocence Project (OIP): Application: March 10, 2015). Perhaps these documents will answer questions already forming in your analytical minds.

Directly behind this letter of introduction you will find a completed Exoneration Project Intake Application, and an Addendum to EP Intake Application). As the Addendum mimics your Application Section-by-Section and Item-by-Item, I utilized { } for cross-referencing the numbered Items within. It is a lengthy but necessary presentation. You see, this case contains two (2) unrelated allegations and covers a span of many years. What more, the complexities of this case left me with no choice but to *untie* the Gordian Knot. I had to be meticulously thorough.

Within the Addendum, although the Blueprint is cited most, I do cite the affidavit of accusation from my web site. This document is not only a condensed version of the Blueprint and case, internal (Exhibit-A) is a copy of my materially altered and incomplete Trial Record. I have enclosed a copy of the (R.C. § 2935.09 & .10 Affidavit of Accusation; Ronald R. Stanley; March 5, 2020), along with internal (Exhibit-E: Affidavit of Verity and Confinement; Frank P. Wood; March 4, 2020), for convenience.

In maintaining transparency with your Team, I will publish this letter, the Application, and Addendum on my web site. A letter addressing the Public will precede the documents to show that, whether you help me or not,

I will fight this Insolent Injustice until Hell freezes over,  
and then I'll fight it on the ice.

-FPW

Continuing, I was not allowed to testify, despite repeated demands. And, as Lead-Counsel failed to subpoena a single witness, my Expert Witness: M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D.<sup>10</sup>, was only permitted to testify *voir dire*. No, the Court-declared "cynical" Jury never heard any evidence on my behalf. Since

A one-sided investigation will always arrive at  
a one-sided conclusion,

-Frank P. Wood

it is crystal that

---

<sup>10</sup> The Ohio Attorney General's LEADING EXPERT in such matters.

The truth is not arrived at by majority vote.  
-Ken Follett

Yes, this case is a one-sided lie,

but the media repeated it, and a lie repeated becomes perception,  
and perception is reality. Perception is also very hard to change.  
-A.G. Riddle

This also reveals why *Pre-Trial Media Character Assassinations* should be declared unconstitutional as a direct violation of Due Process and Equal Protection<sup>11</sup>.

With that said, during the Covid-19 lock-down of the prison, an activist group: *Change Starts With Us Ohio*<sup>12</sup>, recorded me over the prison phone system and broadcasted me on *YouTube*<sup>13</sup>, on a weekly basis, for several months. They gave me a voice, and I remain grateful. Now we are considering a podcast.

When you're right you make a lot of sound.  
When you're wrong you make a lot of silence.  
-Unknown

The State has made a lot of silence in this matter.

After all I have been through, I do declare that Society needs to go back to the days of Ancient Rome and Cicero in at least one (1) aspect. Yes, when people had to *pay* to prosecute their motivationally driven allegations. *Trust & Believe*, there would be a lot less innocent people in prison.

In closing, upon review, you will see that there are various grounds to reopen my case, e.g., witness, jury, and document tampering; a RICO Contract; speedy trial and *Miranda* violations; suppressed evidence; illegal search; Court-acknowledged and State-utilized perjury; bolstering; vouching; *in subsidium*; and the like. None of these have been litigated on appeal and will bear good fruit in a § 1983 filing. Yes, I have been busy.

---

<sup>11</sup> On the other end, *Post-Trial Media Burials* of a Defendant's remains discourages people and organizations from helping the wrongfully imprisoned. Again, the social stigmatism.

<sup>12</sup> James Kronenberger, Founder; Ph: 380-799-3868; Email: [changestartswithusohio@gmail.com](mailto:changestartswithusohio@gmail.com); Web: [www.changestartswithusohio.org](http://www.changestartswithusohio.org).

<sup>13</sup> At the moment, I do believe that all *YouTube* recordings are listed on my web site. If there is an error, they can be heard at *Change Starts With Us Ohio @ YouTube*.

Remaining open to interview<sup>14</sup> and/or correspondence, I look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

Thank you for your time and patience.

With Honor & Integrity,

Frank P. Wood

Frank P. Wood (#A504-107)

RiCI

P.O. Box 8107

Mansfield, OH 44901

encl: intake application  
addendum  
affidavits

cc: ep  
jg  
rrs  
file

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 9

The United Nations and its General Assembly

-Σ-

**Durabo!**

---

<sup>14</sup> In person and/or on public television with the records on the table.



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

The Exoneration Project (EP) works with a team of legal students, interns, and staff members in Chicago, Illinois to evaluate potential cases around the country. Due to the high number of requests that the EP receives, we are unable to respond to every applicant. We review cases based on the order that we receive written requests and applications. If we have questions about your case or application, we will contact you directly via legal mail. It is our goal to help find representation for as many innocent victims of wrongful conviction as we can.

Please enter your information to the best of your ability in the space provided. Write clearly and include **all** relevant facts of your case. Additional space is provided on the last page of the application. **We will not accept or review any other legal documents.**

## Section A: Background Information

1. Last Name: Wood First Name: Frank
2. Date of Birth: December 7, 1967
3. Inmate Identification Number (if applicable): A504-107
4. What is your preferred language? ☒ English ☐ Spanish ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
5. What is your race or ethnicity? Italian - American
6. What is the highest grade you completed in school? Some College
7. Is this your first letter or application to the Exoneration Project? YES ☒ NO ☐

## Section B: Basic Crime Information

8. What conviction(s) are you currently incarcerated for?  
F-1 Rape (410) Sentence: 10-Life  
F-3 Gross Sexual Imposition Sentence: 3 years
9. What is the date of your conviction? May 1, 2006
10. What is your projected release date? Unknown
11. Please list the case number of the crime you are writing us about:  
05 CR 0365





# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

12. Please list any co-defendants charged in this case:

None

13. What date and time did the crime occur?

Date: Addendum p. 2-3 Time: \_\_\_\_\_

14. What was the location of the crime?

Addendum p. 3

15. What was your home address at the time of the crime?

Addendum p. 4

## Section C: Investigation

16. Please explain what the police and prosecutors say happened.

Addendum p. 4  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

17. Please explain what you say happened.

Addendum p. 5-6  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

18. Can you describe what you were doing at the time of the crime?

Addendum p. 6  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

19. Were you present when the crime occurred? YES ☒ NO

20. Why did the police connect you with the crime?

Addendum p. 7  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

21. Please list the people that the police and prosecutors say committed the crime. *(Describe the role that police and prosecutors say each person played in the crime. Describe your relationship with any of those people if you had one.)*

Addendum p. 7

---

---

---

---

---

22. Please describe anyone who you think was involved with the crime.

Addendum p. 7

---

---

23. Please list any eyewitnesses to the crime:

**Witness name and relationship to crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

Addendum p. 7-8

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Identification: **Line-up** **Photo Array** **Show-Up** **Other:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Witness name and relationship to crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Identification: **Line-up** **Photo Array** **Show-Up** **Other:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Witness name and relationship to crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Identification: **Line-up** **Photo Array** **Show-Up** **Other:** \_\_\_\_\_



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

## Section D: Arrest

24. Please describe your arrest.

Addendum p. 8-9

25. Please describe any interviews with the police. How long did they last? Who was involved?

Addendum p. 9

26. Were you or your loved ones mistreated in any way at the police station?

Addendum p. 10

27. Did you ever speak to an attorney at the police station? Why or why not?

Addendum p. 10

28. Please describe any statement that you gave to the police.

Addendum p. 10

Type of statement: Oral    Written    Taped    Videotaped

## Section E: Trial

29. Did you have a: Jury Trial    Bench Trial    Plead Guilty

Addendum p. 10-11  
Exoneration Project Intake Application



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

30. What evidence was there at trial of your innocence? Include any pre-trial or post-trial motions.

*Addendum p. 11-21*

31. Do you know of any evidence of your innocence available at trial that WAS not brought out in court? Why not?

*Addendum p. 21-23*

32. What evidence was there at trial against you?

*Addendum p. 24-26*

33. Please list all witnesses that testified at your trial:

*Addendum p. 26-28*  
**Witness Name and Relationship to Crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

Witness for the: **Defense State**

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Testimony: \_\_\_\_\_

**Witness Name and Relationship to Crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

Witness for the: **Defense State**

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Testimony: \_\_\_\_\_



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

**Witness Name and Relationship to Crime:** \_\_\_\_\_

Witness for the: **Defense State**

How do you know this witness? \_\_\_\_\_

Witness Testimony: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

34. Are there ANY witnesses that were available but not called at the time of your trial? Please describe what they could have testified to and what your relationship with them was.

Addendum p. 29

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

35. Have ANY of the witnesses changed their stories since trial? How? YES

Addendum p. 29 - 31

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

36. Did you testify at trial? If so, what did you testify to?

If not, why didn't you testify?

Addendum p. 31 - 32

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



37. Is there ANY NEW evidence that was NOT used at trial that could help demonstrate your innocence? When was it discovered?

38. If there are any items of PHYSICAL evidence that you believe were not found or tested that could help prove your innocence, please describe those items here.

## Exoneration Project Intake Application



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

## Section F: Procedural History

Addendum p. 33-37

### Direct Appeal:

What was the date filed? September 22, 2006

What was the decision date? June 4, 2007

What was the decision? Requested relief denied

Please list in detail what issues were raised.

Addendum p. 34

### Post-conviction Petition: Never Filed

What was the date filed? N/A

What was the decision date? N/A

What was the decision? N/A

Please list in detail what issues were raised.

N/A

### State Habeas Petition:

What was the date filed? May 2, 2019

What was the decision date? June 26, 2019

What was the decision? Dismissed sua sponte

Please list in detail what issues were raised.

Addendum p. 35



# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

## Federal Habeas Petition:

What was the date filed? 2009

What was the decision date? August 12, 2010

What was the decision? Requested relief denied

Please list in detail what issues were raised.

Addendum p. 35-37

## Petition for Clemency or Pardon

Have you ever filed a petition for executive clemency? ☒ YES ☐ NO

What was the date filed? October 30, 2018

**Counsel:** Please list all attorneys who have represented you.

Addendum p. 37-38

Current Counsel Name: Ronald R. Stanley - pro bono

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_

Trial Counsel Name: F. Harrison Green / Ronald R. Stanley

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_

Appellate Counsel Name: Joseph F. Salzgeber, Jr.

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_

Appellate Counsel Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_

Post-Conviction Counsel Name: N/A

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_

Post-Conviction Counsel Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact info (if available): \_\_\_\_\_





# Exoneration Project Intake Application

Intake, Exoneration Project, 311 North Aberdeen St., Ste. 2E, Chicago, IL 60607

ADDITIONAL SPACE

Please include the question number you are responding to.

*Addendum p. 38-39*

*Frank P. Wood*