*3 (Nov.4, 1999). *3 Mauersberger, Id. In addition, a party against whom relief is sought "may not rest upon the mere allegations or denials or the party's pleadings, but the party's response by affidavit." Mauersberger at *P14.

¶147 Wood's properly constructed and supported Affidavit of Verity and Confinement (Appendix-A) and his Merit Affidavit of Frank P. Wood support his Petition and Memorandum, and *vice-versa*, rendering them one (1) interwoven item pursuant to Civ.R. 10(c) Adoption by reference which states, in pertinent part, that

***. A copy of any written instrument attached to a pleading is part of that pleading for all purposes.

In the most symbiotic fashion, Wood's affidavits and memorandum establish the "case-making fact" of a valid lack of subject matter jurisdiction. This tapestry of truth was woven on the loom of S.L.'s uncontradictable testimony, only to be embroidered by the silver-tongue threads of testimony from Scott and Danielle, and hemmed in place by the golden threads of Pros. Eisenhower's declarations of truth to the "cynical" Jury.

With State's best and direct evidence from the face of the State-court Record: truly a State-proffered and -proven alibi, Wood has presented a genuine issue of material fact sufficient to make the "prima facie case." <u>United States v. Kis. 198 U.S. App. LEXIS 18007, HN9, **28</u>. In this light, regarding Wood's claim of lack of subject matter jurisdiction, res ipsa loquitur. With the presumption of correctness solely in his favor [¶019-¶021], literally, the issue "is so one sided that one party must prevail as a matter of law." <u>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 251-52, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986)</u>; citing <u>Lyons v. Brandly, 2007 U.S. Dist.</u>

⁴³ See also: Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 1986 U.S. LEXIS 38, ****56; Anson v. Tyree, 490 N.E.2d, **596; Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broad. Co., 1976 Ohio LEXIS 687, ***29; Archer v. Cachat, 165 Ohio 286, *288; Budreaux v. Mendel, 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 9898, *4.

LEXIS 101907, **29. For clarity, that "one party" is Wood and that "law" is the Lex Terrae.

¶149 To contest and contradict such immutable correctness, via affidavit and memorandum, the State would have to proffer an affirmative defense against Wood's substantive ground for relief. But this is not possible, for no new credible and material evidence against Wood exists outside the Trial Record to render him guilty of the motivationally fabricated and insolent accusations. This reality leaves the State posing the self-reflective question of 'What to do?'

¶150 Should the State elect to properly and constructively challenge, they should proceed with the utmost caution because

- 1) To admit the State-court Record is true would ensure the issuance of Writ
- To admit the State-court Record is false would ensure new trials with severed charges in two (2) separate and distinct counties. <u>O Const. Art. I, Sec. 10</u>; <u>R.C.2931.03</u>.
- There is, however, a proper resolution to this delicate situation: the State could, once again, sit silently in concession of the facts [¶010-¶011], for to contest would result in a deliberate act of malice of law.

Requested Relief

- Wood has successfully proven by sufficient law and operative fact a true and valid lack of subject matter jurisdiction regarding the alleged F-1 rape as found in the charging instrument. Having acted without jurisdiction, the Trial Court's proclamation: sentence is void (State ex rel. Tubb Jones v. Suster, supra). Without a valid sentence, Wood is being unlawfully restrained of his liberty, and, pursuant to R.C.2725.01, he is entitled to an "immediate release from prison" (Scanlon v. Brunsman, supra).
- ¶153 Lastly, since "defects in subject matter jurisdiction require correction" (Louisville & Nashville R. Co. v. Mottley, supra), Wood is directly requesting for this Supreme Court of Ohio

to issue an unconditional Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to the 6th Amendment and R.C. Chapter 2725, with prejudice, and order his immediate release.

RELIEF IS ACCORDINGLY SOUGHT.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald R. Stanley OSC# 0040766 Attorney at Law 3637 Medina Road #5 330-952-1415 Phone

330-952-1416 Fax

Legal50@aol.com email

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the foregoing Petition for Writ of Hai	beas Corpus Pursuant to R.C. Chapter 2725
due to Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction was forw	arded on the day of
, 2019 via Regular U.S. Mail to:	
Dave Yost Office of the Attorney General	
James Rhodes State Office Tower	
30 E Broad St, 17th Fl	
Columbus, Ohio 43215.	
Ro	nald R. Stanley, Esq.

•	, 2019 via the Medina County Court of Common Pleas criminal window to:
2725 due to Lack of	of Subject Matter Jurisdiction was hand-delivered on theday of
A 'Courtesy Copy'	of the foregoing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to R.C. Chapter

S. Forrest Thompson, Chief Prosecutor Medina County Prosecutor's Office 72 Public Square Medina, Ohio 44256.

Ronald R. Stanley, Esq.

APPENIDIX-A

Affidavit of Verity and Confinement

(with commitment papers)

Original Commitment Papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas; Judgment Entry; 06 MAY 15 (DOC # 01)

Second Commitment Papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas;

Judgment/Sentencing Entry; 07 MAY 22 (DOC # 02)

APPENDIX-B

Affidavit of Prior Actions

State of Ohio, Plaintiff, vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant, Medina County Court of Common Pleas Case No. 05CR0365

STATE OF OHIO)	SS: Affidavit of Prior Actions
COUNTY OF RICHLA	ND)	

- ¶01 I, Frank P. Wood (#A504-107), Petitioner and Affiant in the instant matter, having been duly advised of the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ohio, do hereby present a comprehensive list of prior actions for the past five (5) years pursuant to O.R.C. § 2969.25 (A)(1)(2)(3) and (4).
- As this list of prior actions occurred solely at the State-court level, there have been no filings in the Federal Court(s) by me or by Counsel on my behalf.
- ¶03 This list of prior actions has been assembled according to State-court-dockets.
- This list of prior actions stems from State of Ohio, Plaintiff vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant, Medina County Court of Common Pleas Case No. 05CR0365.
- ¶05 All prior actions listed below were filed pro se.
- ¶06 I now present the list of prior actions.

1. Civil action or appeal

Filed: June 13, 2014 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Application for Order Granting Leave to File Delayed Motion for new Trial
 Based on Newly Discovered Evidence, *Inter Alia*; Crim.R.33(A)(4)(6)(B);
 Crim.R.52(B)
- b. Delayed Motion for new Trial Based on Newly Discovered Evidence, *Inter Alia*; Crim.R.33(A)(4)(6)(B); Crim.R.52(B)

Case Information

State of Ohio, Plaintiff vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant

Medina County Case No. 05CR0365

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- iii. Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- Frank P. Wood, Defendant

<u>Outcome</u>

- · Dismissed as untimely
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- No award was made against me by the court

Decided: September 15, 2014

2. Civil action or appeal

Filed: October 14, 2014 in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial District

- a. Notice of Appeal
- b. Brief of Appellant
- c. Appellant's Response to State's Motion to Strike: November 7, 2014

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant-Appellant
- CA Case No. 14CA0093-M

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman

- iii. Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant-Appellant

Outcome.

- Appellee's Motion to Strike Appellant's Brief as Premature was granted prior to Appellee filing a response
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- No award was made against me by the court

Decided: November 5, 2014

3. Civil action or appeal

Filed: December 1, 2014 in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial District

- a. Brief of Appellant/Request for Oral Argument
- b. Reply Brief of Appellant Frank P. Wood: January 26, 2015

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant-Appellant
- CA Case No. 14CA0093-M

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant-Appellant

Outcome

- Dismissed with judgment of the Medina County Court of Common Pleas affirmed
- · No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- No award was made against me by the court

Decided: July 20, 2015

4. Civil action or appeal

Filed: August 12, 2015 in the Supreme Court of Ohio

- a. Notice of Appeal of Appellant Frank P. Wood
- b. Memorandum in Support of Jurisdiction of Appellant Frank P. Wood

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee vs. Frank P. Wood, Appellant-Defendant
- O Sup. Ct. Case No. 2015-1328

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff-Appellee
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kem
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant-Appellant

<u>Outcome</u>

- Court declined to accept jurisdiction
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the Court
- No award was made against me by the Court

Decided: October 28, 2015

5. Civil action or appeal

Filed: February 20, 2015 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Application for Order Granting Leave to File Delayed Motion for New Trial
 Based on Newly Discovered Evidence Pursuant to Crim.R.33(B)
- Delayed Motion for New Trial Based on Newly Discovered Evidence
 Pursuant to Crim.R.33(B)
- c. Wood's Response Supporting his Request for Leave to File Delayed Motion for new Trial: April 9, 2015

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant
- Medina County Case No. 05CR0365

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- iii. Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant

Outcome

- Dismissed as not well taken.
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- · No award was made against me by the court

Decided: October 7, 2015

6. Civil action or appeal

Filed: February 26, 2015 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Motion for Disqualification of Prosecutor's Office and for Retraction of State's Brief of Appellee
- b. Frank P. Wood's Response to State's Brief in Opposition: April 3, 2015

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant
- Medina County Case No. 05CR0365

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff
- Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- iii. Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant

Outcome

- Dismissed as not well taken.
- · No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- · No award was made against me by the court

Decided: October 7, 2015

7. Civil action or appeal

Filed: March 30, 2015 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

a. Request for Brady Hearing and Dismissal of Indictment

 Wood's Response Vindicating his Request for <u>Brady</u> Hearing and Dismissal of Indictment: April 20, 2015

Case Information

- State of Ohio, Plaintiff vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant
- Medina County Case No. 05CR0365

Parties Involved

- i. State of Ohio, Plaintiff
- ii. Chief Prosecutor Dean Holman
- iii. Assistant Prosecutor Matthew A. Kern
- iv. Frank P. Wood, Defendant

<u>Outcome</u>

- Dismissed as not well taken
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the court
- No award was made against me by the court

Decided: October 7, 2015

8. Civil action or appeal

Filed: December (?), 2016 in the Supreme Court of Ohio

Docketed: December 21, 2016 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Motion for Disqualification of State Court Judge and Conflict-Free Rehearings
- b. R.C. 2701.03 Affidavit of Disqualification

Case Information

- Frank P. Wood, Realtor vs. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent
- O Sup. Ct. Case No. UNASSIGNED

Parties Involved

- i. Frank P. Wood, Realtor
- ii. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent

<u>Outcome</u>

- Declared 'Unable to file' for failure to serve all interested parties
- · No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the Court
- No award was made against me by the Court

Decided: December 22, 2016

9. Civil action or appeal

Filed: January (?), 2017 in the Supreme Court of Ohio

Docketed: January 11, 2017 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Motion for Disqualification of State Court Judge and Conflict-Free Rehearings
- b. R.C. 2701.03 Affidavit of Disqualification

Case Information

- Frank P. Wood, Realtor vs. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent
- O Sup. Ct. Case No. UNASSIGNED

Parties Involved

- i. Frank P. Wood, Realtor
- ii. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent
- iii. Chief Prosecutor S. Forrest Thompson

<u>Outcome</u>

- Declared 'Unable to file' for failure to serve all interested parties
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the Court
- No award was made against me by the Court

Decided: January (?), 2017

10. Civil action or appeal

Filed: February 21, 2017 in the Supreme Court of Ohio

Docketed: February 24, 2017 in the Medina County Court of Common Pleas

- a. Motion for Disqualification of State Court Judge and Conflict-Free Rehearings
- b. R.C. 2701.03 Affidavit of Disqualification

Case Information

- Frank P. Wood, Realtor vs. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent
- O Sup. Ct. Case No. 17AP009

Parties Involved

- i. Frank P. Wood, Realtor
- ii. Judge Patricia Ann Cosgrove, Respondent
- iii. Chief Prosecutor S. Forrest Thompson

iv. Ohio Attorney General (?)

<u>Outcome</u>

- Dismissed due to lack of any pending action in the Trial Court
- No 'frivolous' or 'malicious' determination by the Court
- No award was made against me by the Court

Decided: March (?), 2017

¶07 This concludes my list of prior actions.

PETITIONER AND AFFIANT FURTHER SAYETH NAUGHT.

Frank P. Wood (#A504-107)

Sworn to or affirmed, and subscribed to in my presence on this _____ day of

HRCil____, 2019.

Notary Public



My Commission expires: 2 36 22

STATE OF OHIO		
	,	
)	SS: Affidavit of Varity and Confirm
COUNTY OF DICIT AND	,	SS: Affidavit of Verity and Confinement
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)	
<u></u>		

¶01 I, Frank P. Wood (#A504-107), Petitioner and Affiant in the instant matter, having been duly advised of the penalty for perjury under the laws of the State of Ohio, declare that I am mentally fit and competent to testify, that I have direct personal knowledge of and to support the facts contained herein, and that these facts are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, belief and experiences.

Currently I am The Innocent Man: a prisoner who is unlawfully restrained of his liberty and wrongfully imprisoned at the Richland Correctional Institution, 1001 Olivesburg Road, County of Richland, Mansfield, Ohio, 44905 in direct violation of my Human & Constitutional Rights.

No one shall be subject to arbitrary arrest, detention, or exile.

<u>Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 9, (1949)</u>

The General Assembly of the United Nations

- ¶03 The individual who currently presides over my custody at the Richland Correctional Institution is Warden Harold May.
- ¶04 A true copy of my original commitment papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas; Judgment Entry: 06 MAY 15 is attached to the back of this Affidavit as (DOC # 01).
- 905 A true copy of my second commitment papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas; Nunc Pro Tune, Judgment/Sentencing Entry: 07 MAY 22 is attached to the back of this Affidavit as (DOC # 02).
- ¶06 I was never served a copy of my second commitment papers by the Medina County Court of Common Pleas or the Medina County Prosecutor's Office. I received it from the Ohio

Attorney General's Office in 2008: two (2) years post-sentencing.

- ¶07 As I am detained without legal authority, all statements made and copies of Exhibits submitted by Counsel of my behalf are true, factual and correct.
- ¶08 I am willing to sit through direct examination regarding all statements made and copies of Exhibits submitted by Counsel of my behalf.
- The indictment for rape (<10) <u>2907.02 (A)(1)(b)(B)</u> (F-1) and Gross Sexual Imposition <u>2907.05 (A)(4)</u> (F-3) (Exhibit-A of Memorandum) contains materially false information:
 - 1) The charges themselves
- 2) The jurisdiction in which the alleged F-1 (sexual assault) was supposedly committed ¶10. The Indictment for Rape and Gross Sexual Imposition (Exhibit-A) was illegally secured by Detective Mark Kollar of the Medina City Police Department outside of his jurisdiction via R.C. 2921.52 Using sham legal process.
- [11] I am legally and factually innocent of all charges alleged in the indictment.
- ¶!2 The Amended Bill of Particulars: 06 APR 12 (Exhibit-B of Memorandum) contains materially false information:
 - 1) The charges themselves
- 2) The jurisdiction in which the alleged F-1 (sexual assault) was supposedly committed ¶13. I am legally and factually innocent of both charges alleged in the Amended Bill of Particulars.
- During Trial, State's best and direct evidence proved that the alleged F-1 (a sexual assault of some kind) occurred in Put-In-Bay, Ottawa County, Ohio. At that point, the Medina County Court of Common Please forfeited venue over the alleged F-1 through a true and valid lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

- With no supplemental indictments alleging a continuous course of criminal conduct to connect Ottawa and Medina Counties (Tp.17), no one placed me at the Ottawa County crime scene (Tp.1-560).
- ¶16 I have never been to Put-In-Bay, Ottawa County, Ohio.
- ¶17 My claim of lack of subject matter jurisdiction is constructed with and authenticated by State's best and direct evidence from the face of my materially altered and incomplete Statecourt Record.
- ¶18 With uncontradictable evidence in hand:
 - i. www.freefrankpwood.com, Merit Affidavit of Frank P. Wood; August 28, 2018;
 A Blueprint for Wrongful Imprisonment: 877 pages with 86 Exhibits, save one (1)
 - A stenographer certified copy of the Transcript of Proceedings from <u>State of Ohio, Plaintiff, vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant, Medina County Case No.</u>
 <u>05CR0365</u>

I am willing to testify regarding the material alterations, deletions and incompleteness of my State-court Record, inter alia.

- I lived with Robyn Spencer-Speelman and Danielle Sadowsky: State witnesses; and K.S. and S.L.: the alleged victims. I personally knew the inner-workings of their families at the time the bogus allegations were made. I also know the motives behind these insolent accusations. My testimony is critical and necessary.
- ¶20 I know who committed the crimes that are the source of my wrongful imprisonment.
- ¶21 I want to testify: something I have been thus far denied.
- Since my Petition for State Writ of Habeas Corpus and Exhibits are now supported by this properly constructed and supported Affidavit,

bogus allegations were made. I also know the motives behind the allegations and why Danielle left the sanctity of her marriage bed, only to enter mine.

¶23 Evidence exists proving that Scott Michael Sadowsky sexually abused S.L. on several other unindicted dates.

¶24 I want to testify. Something I have been denied thus far.

AFFIANT FURTHER SAYETH NAUGHT.

<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> 4</u> 5.	4
Frank P.	Wood	l (#A	504-107)
Affiant				-

Sworn to or affirmed, and subscribed in my presence on this	yth	đay of

Monicar Defect Co

My Commission expires: 2 - 2(o - 2)

The Great Seal of the State of Ohio:



STATE OF OHIO		
)	SS: Affidavit of Verity and Confinement
COUNTY OF RICHLAN	(D)	

- I, Frank P. Wood (#A504-107), Petitioner and Affiant in the instant matter, having been duly advised of the penalty for perjury under the laws of the State of Ohio, declare that I am mentalty fit and competent to testify, that I have direct personal knowledge of and to support the facts contained herein, and that these facts are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, belief and experiences.
- Currently I am The Innocent Man: a prisoner who is unlawfully restrained of his liberty and wrongfully imprisoned at the Richland Correctional Institution, 1001 Olivesburg Road, County of Richland, Mansfield, Ohio, 44905 in direct violation of my Human & Constitutional Rights.

No one shall be subject to arbitrary arrest, detention, or exile.

<u>Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 9. (1949)</u>

The General Assembly of the United Nations

- ¶03 The individual who currently presides over my custody at the Richland Correctional Institution is Warden Harold May.
- ¶04 A true copy of my original commitment papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas; Judgment Entry: 06 MAY 15 is attached to the back of this Affidavit as (DOC # 01).
- ¶05 A true copy of my second commitment papers: Medina County Court of Common Pleas; Nunc Pro Tunc, Judgment/Sentencing Entry: 07 MAY 22 is attached to the back of this Affidavit as (DOC # 02).
- ¶06 I was never served a copy of my second commitment papers by the Medina County Court of Common Pleas or the Medina County Prosecutor's Office. I received it from the Ohio

Attorney General's Office in 2008; two (2) years post-sentencing.

- ¶07 As I am detained without legal authority, all statements made and copies of Exhibits submitted by Counsel of my behalf are true, factual and correct.
- ¶08 I am willing to sit through direct examination regarding all statements made and copies of Exhibits submitted by Counsel of my behalf.
- The indictment for rape (<10) 2907.02 (A)(1)(b)(B) (F-1) and Gross Sexual Imposition 2907.05 (A)(4) (F-3) (Exhibit-A of Memorandum) contains materially false information:
 - The charges themselves
- 2) The jurisdiction in which the alleged F-1 (sexual assault) was supposedly committed ¶10. The Indictment for Rape and Gross Sexual Imposition (Exhibit-A) was illegally secured by Detective Mark Kollar of the Medina City Police Department outside of his jurisdiction via R.C. 2921.52 Using sham legal process.
- ¶11 I am legally and factually innocent of all charges alleged in the indictment.
- The Amended Bill of Particulars: 06 APR 12 (Exhibit-B of Memorandum) contains materially false information:
 - 1) The charges themselves
- 2) The jurisdiction in which the alleged F-1 (sexual assault) was supposedly committed ¶13 I am legally and factually innocent of both charges alleged in the Amended Bill of Particulars.
- During Trial, State's best and direct evidence proved that the alleged F-1 (a sexual assault of some kind) occurred in Put-In-Bay, Ottawa County, Ohio. At that point, the Medina County Court of Common Please forfeited venue over the alleged F-1 through a true and valid lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

- With no supplemental indictments alleging a continuous course of criminal conduct to connect Ottawa and Medina Counties (Tp.17), no one placed me at the Ottawa County crime scene (Tp.1-560).
- ¶16 I have never been to Put-In-Bay, Ottawa County, Ohio.
- My claim of lack of subject matter jurisdiction is constructed with and authenticated by State's best and direct evidence from the face of my materially altered and incomplete Statecourt Record.
- §18 With uncontradictable evidence in hand:
 - i. www.freefrankpwood.com, Merit Affidavit of Frank P. Wood; August 28, 2018;
 A Blueprint for Wrongful Imprisonment: 877 pages with 86 Exhibits, save one (1)
 - ii. A stenographer certified copy of the Transcript of Proceedings from <u>State of Ohio, Plaintiff</u>, vs. Frank P. Wood, Defendant, Medina County Case <u>No. 05CR0365</u>

I am willing to testify regarding the material alterations, deletions and incompleteness of my State-court Record, *inter alia*.

- I lived with Robyn Spencer-Speelman and Danielle Sadowsky: State witnesses; and K.S. and S.L.: the alleged victims. I personally knew the inner-workings of their families at the time the bogus allegations were made. I also know the motives behind these insolent accusations. My testimony is critical and necessary.
- ¶20 I know who committed the crimes that are the source of my wrongful imprisonment.
- §21 I want to testify: something I have been thus far denied.
- \$22 Since my Petition for State Writ of Habeas Corpus and Exhibits are now supported by this properly constructed and supported Affidavit,

No more than that is necessary to make the prima facie case. United States v. Kis, 1981 U.S. App. LEXIS 18007, HN9, **28.

PETITIONER AND AFFIANT FURTHER SAYETH NAUGHT.

Frank P. Wood (#A504-107) Petitioner and Affiant	
Sworm to or affirmed, and subscribed to in my presence on this	12 , day of
Monica Depulies Notary Public	STATE OF OTHER
My Commission expires: 226-25	

APPENDIX-C

Exhibits 'A' through 'S'

- Exhibit-A: Indictment for Rape (<10) <u>2907.02 (A)(1)(b)(B)</u> (F-1) and Gross Sexual Imposition <u>2907.05 (A)(4)</u> (F-3); 05 AUG 03: (2 pages)
- Exhibit-B: Amended Bill of Particulars; 06 APR 12: (2 pages)
- Exhibit-C: Application to Reopen Pursuant to Appellate Rule 26(B); August 31, 2007: (12 pages)
- Exhibit-D: Warrant to Arrest on Indictment or Information; August 4, 2005; (2 pages)
- Exhibit-E: Akron Children's Hospital's Physical Examination Data page for S.L.: (1 page)
- Exhibit-F: Journal Entry With Instructions for Service; February 27, 2015; (1 page)
- Exhibit-G: Certified Letter to Court Reporter Donna A. Garrity; January 12, 2014; (2 pages)
- Exhibit-H: Letter to Attorney Ronald R. Stanley from Court Reporter Donna A. Garrity; February 17, 2014; (1 page)
- Exhibit-I: Judgment Entry of Retired Jude Judith A. Cross; April 23, 1997; (1 page)
- Exhibit-J: Medina City Police Department's report by Detective Mark Kollar; pages 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17; (10 pages)
- Exhibit-K: Medina County Commissioner's Meeting; November 22, 2004; pages 1, 2 and 8; (3 pages)
- Exhibit-L: Credentials of M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D.: The Ohio Attorney General's Leading Expert: (2 pages)
- **Exhibit-M**: The core of the *voir dire* of M. Douglas Reed, Ph.D.; The Ohio Attorney General's Leading Expert: (4 pages)
- Exhibit-N: 2017 Medina County Court of Common Pleas docket printout for <u>State of Ohio vs.</u>

 <u>Frank P. Wood, Medina County Case No. 05CR0365</u>: (4 pages)
- Exhibit-O: Calendar for October 2004: (1 page)

Exhibit-P: Affidavit of Attorney Ronald R. Stanley; June 6, 2017: (1 page)

Exhibit-Q: Medina County Gazette article; Tuesday, May 16, 2006: (2 pages)

Exhibit-R: My Pre-Trial History With Robyn Spencer-Speelman: (3 pages)

Exhibit-S: My Pre-Trial History With Danielle Sadowsky-Smith: (7 pages)

COMMON PLEAS COURT

06 MAY IS AN ILE 25

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO

DOC # 01

STEATHEACH CHECKEY MEDINA COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS Plaintiff,) CASE NO. 05-CR-0365) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER J. COLLIER
v .	}
FRANK P. WOOD,))
Defendant.) <u>Judgment entry</u>

On May 15, 2006, defendant's sentencing hearing was held pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 2929.19. The assistant prosecuting attorney, Anne Eisenhower, and defense attorneys, F. Harrison Green and Ronald Stanley, were present, as was defendant who was afforded all rights pursuant to Crim. R. 32. The Court has considered the record, oral statements, any victim impact statement and presentence report prepared, as well as the principles and purposes of sentencing under Ohio Revised Code section 2929.11.

The Court finds that the defendant has been convicted of "Rape", a violation of Revised Code section 2907.02(A)(1)(b)(B), a felony of the first degree, with a finding the child was under age 10, subject to a mandatory prison term under division (F) of section 2929.13 and 2907.03(A)(1)(b)(B) of the Ohio Revised Code; and of "Gross Sexual Imposition", a violation of Revised Code section 2907.05(A)(4), a felony of the third degree, with a finding the child was under age 13.

It is therefore ordered that the defendant serve a stated prison term of life in prison, of which life is a mandatory prison term pursuant to Revised Code section (F) of 2929.13 and 2907.03(A)(1)(b)(B) for the "Rape" under 10 and 3 years in prison for the "Gross Sexual Imposition", pursuant to R.C. 2929.13.

These sentences are to be served consecutively.

The Court has further notified the defendant that post release control is mandatory in this case up to a maximum of 5 years, as well as the consequences for violating conditions of post release control imposed by the Parole Board under Revised Code section 2967.28. As part of this sentence, the defendant is ordered to serve any term of post release control imposed by the Parole Board, and any prison term for violation of that post release control.

A1

The defendant is therefore ordered conveyed to the custody of the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. Credit for 110 days is granted as of this date, along with future custody days while the defendant awaits transportation to the appropriate state institution. All costs of prosecution, court appointed counsel costs, and any fees permitted pursuant to Revised Code section 2929 18(A)(4) are hereby waived.

The defendant shall submit to a DNA sample and a DNA sample shall be collected pursuant to R.C. 2901.07.

After a bearing, the defendant was found to be a sexual predator and a child victim predator and was advised of his duties to register under the law. As the defendant was convicted of "Rape" of a child under 10 pursuant to R.C. 2907.02(A)(1)(b)(B), the Court makes the finding that the defendant has been convicted of an aggravated sexual oriented offense pursuant to R.C. 2950.01 and 2919.19(B)(4).

The defendant was afforded all of his appellate rights.

THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER J. COLLIER

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO					COMMON PLEAS COURT
STATE OF OHIO		i)	CASE NO. 05-CR-0365	07 MAY 22 PM 3:18
	Plaintiff,	:	}		KATHY FORTNEY MEDINA COUNTY OLFRED COURTS
-v-		:)		CURIAR OF COURTS
FRANK P. WOOD,		•)	Marchae march	
	Defendant.	;)	<i>NUNC PRO TUNC</i> JUDGMENT / SENTENCIN	NG ENTRY

On May 15, 2006, Defendant's sentencing hearing was held pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2929.19. The Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Anne Eisenhower, and Defendant's attorneys, F. Harrison Green and Ronald Stanley, were present, as was Defendant who as afforded all rights pursuant to Crim.R. 32. The Court has considered the record, oral statements, any victim impact statements, and any pre-sentence investigation reports prepared, as well as the principles and purposes of sentencing under Ohio Revised Code Section 2929.11.

At arraignment, Defendant entered a plea of "not guilty" to the indictment filed in this case. On May 1, 2006, following a week-long trial by jury, the jury returned verdicts of "guilty" as to both counts of the indictment,

Pursuant to those jury verdicts of guilty, the Court hereby finds the Defendant guilty of the charged offense of "Rape" in violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 2907.02(A)(1)(b)(B), a felony of the first degree, with a finding that the first child was under the age of 10 years old, subject to the mandatory prison term under division (F) of Section 2929.13 and 2907.03(A)(1)(b)(B) of the Ohio Revised Code, and guilty of the charged offense of "Gross Sexual Imposition" in violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 2907.05(A)(4), a felony of the third degree, with a finding that the second child was under the age of 13 years old.

EXHIBIT

After a hearing conducted prior to imposition of sentence, the Defendant was found to be a sexual predator and a child victim predator, and he was advised of his duties to register under Chapter 2950 of the Ohio Revised Code. As the Defendant was convicted of "Rape" of a child under 10 years old pursuant Ohio Revised Code Section 2907.02(AX1)(b)(B), the Court makes the finding that the Defendant has been convicted of an aggravated sexually oriented offense pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2950.01 and 2919.19(B)(4).

It is therefore Ordered that the Defendant serve a stated prison term of life in prison, of which life is a mandatory prison term pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2929.13 and 2907.03(A)(1)(b)(B) for the offense of "Rape" where the victim is under the age of 10 years old, and an additional prison term of three (3) years for the offense of "Gross Sexual Imposition" pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2929.13. Those sentences are to be served consecutively.

The Court has further notified the Defendant that post-release control is mandatory in this case up to a maximum of five (5) years, as well as the consequences for violating conditions of post-release control imposed by the Parole Board under Ohio Revised Code Section 2867.28. As part of this sentence, the Defendant is ordered to serve any term of post-release control imposed by the Parole Board, and any prison term for violation of that post-release control.

The Defendant is therefore Ordered conveyed to the custody of the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. Jail time credit for One Hundred Ten (110) days is granted as of May 15, 2006, along with future days served at the Medina County Jail while Defendant waits transportation to the appropriate state institution. All costs of prosecution, court-appointed counsel costs, and any fees permitted pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2929.18(A)(4) are hereby waived.

The Defendant shall submit a DNA sample and a DNA sample shall be collected from him pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 2901.07.

The Defendant was afforded all of his appellate rights.

IT IS SO ORDERED

THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER J. COLLIER

COMMON PLEAS COURT

05 AUG -3 PM 2: 46

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO COURT OF APPEALS
06 JUN 12 AM 9: 26

THE STATE OF COURTS

INDICTMENT FOR

RAPE (<10) 2907.02 (A)(1)(6)(B) GROSS SEXUAL IMPOSITION

2907.05(A)(4) (F-3)

MEDINA COUNTY) SS:

CASE NUMBER OSCRO365

In the Court of Common Pleas, Medina County, Ohio, of the Grand Jury Term Beginning July 6, in the year of Our Lord, Two Thousand and Five.

The Jurors of the Grand Jury of the State of Ohio, duly selected, impaneled, swom, and charged to inquire of crimes and offenses committed within the body of Medina County, in the State of Ohio, in late of Said County, in the name and by the authority of the State of Ohio, upon their oath, do find and present that on or about the 1st day of October, in the year of Our Lord, Two Thousand Four through the 31st day of October, in the year of Our Lord, within the County of Medina, aforesaid

FRANK P. WOOD

unlawfully and purposely did engage in sexual conduct with S.L. (D.O.B._________), and the said S.L.

(), being less than ten (10) years of age, in violation of Section 2907.02(A)(1)(b)(B)

of the Ohio Revised Code, a felony of the first degree (F-1), contrary to the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Ohio.

COUNT II



said FRANK P. WOOD knows the age of "K.S." (D.O.B.), in violation of Section 2907.05(A)(4) of the Ohio Revised Code, a felony of the third degree (F-3), contrary to the statute in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Ohio.

DEAN HOLMAN

Prosecuting Attorney Medina County

mitetell A atouts

A TRUE BILL

Foreperson, Grand Jury