# LESSON LEARNED IN RINDGE

THE IMPACT FEE STORY



#### **TOPICS**

- BACKGROUND ON IMPACT FEES
- RINDGE'S IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE
- CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS
- RECISSION OF THE IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE
- POST-2017 DEVELOPMENTS
- LESSONS LEARNED
- LOOKING FORWARD

#### BACKGROUND ON IMPACT FEES

- DEFINITION: IMPACT FEES ARE CHARGES IMPOSED ON DEVELOPERS TO HELP FUND PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES REQUIRED BY NEW DEVELOPMENTS.
- PURPOSE: DESIGNED TO ENSURE NEW GROWTH CONTRIBUTES TO NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS IN:
  - ROADS
  - SCHOOLS
  - EMERGENCY SERVICES
  - WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS
- LEGAL FRAMEWORK: IN NEW HAMPSHIRE, IMPACT FEES ARE AUTHORIZED UNDER RSA 674:21, V.

# RINDGE'S IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE

ADOPTED IN 2003 TO MANAGE GROWTH RESPONSIBLY.

 AIMED TO ADDRESS INFRASTRUCTURE CONCERNS AS RINDGE EXPERIENCED INCREASED DEVELOPMENT PRESSURE.

FOCUSED ON ENSURING GROWTH PAID FOR ITS SHARE OF IMPACTS.

### CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS

- 1. ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN:
  - **a.** Managing impact fees requires detailed accounting and documentation.
  - D. FUNDS MUST BE USED WITHIN SIX YEARS FOR DESIGNATED PURPOSES, OR THEY MUST BE REFUNDED TO DEVELOPERS.
- 2. LEGAL RISKS:
  - **a.** Misallocation or failure to meet the six-year deadline could result in costly refunds and legal challenges.
- 3. ECONOMIC GROWTH CONCERNS:
  - **a.** Some argued that impact fees discouraged development by raising costs for builders and businesses.
  - NEIGHBORING TOWNS WITHOUT IMPACT FEES CREATED A COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE FOR RINDGE.
- 4. EFFECTIVENESS DEBATE:
  - **a.** Some residents questioned whether the fees collected were significant enough to fund meaningful infrastructure projects.

#### RECISSION OF THE IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE

IN 2017, RINDGE VOTERS CHOSE TO ELIMINATE THE IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE.

- REASONS CITED INCLUDED:
  - ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLEXITY.
  - CONCERNS ABOUT STIFLING ECONOMIC GROWTH.
  - DOUBTS ABOUT THE ORDINANCE'S OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS IN FUNDING CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE.

# POST-2017 DEVELOPMENTS

 DISCUSSIONS EMERGED IN 2020 REGARDING WHETHER TO REINSTATE AN UPDATED IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE.

 THE PLANNING BOARD EXPLORED ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES FOR FUNDING INFRASTRUCTURE AND BALANCING GROWTH.

## LESSONS LEARNED

- 1. TRANSPARENCY AND EDUCATION MATTER:
  - **a.** Residents need clear explanations on how impact fees are collected, tracked, and spent.
- **2.**BALANCING GROWTH AND INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS:
  - **a.** While impact fees can address infrastructure needs, they must be calibrated carefully to avoid discouraging responsible development.
- **3.**LONG-TERM PLANNING IS KEY:
  - **1.** EFFECTIVE IMPACT FEE SYSTEMS REQUIRE A CLEAR PLAN THAT ALIGNS WITH RINDGE'S MASTER PLAN AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GOALS.
- **4.**COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT IS ESSENTIAL:
  - **a.** Involving residents, businesses, and developers in these discussions leads to better-informed decisions.

## LOOKING FORWARD

- THE STORY OF RINDGE'S IMPACT FEES IS A VALUABLE LESSON IN BALANCING GROWTH, FUNDING INFRASTRUCTURE, AND ENGAGING THE COMMUNITY.
- BY UNDERSTANDING THIS HISTORY, RINDGE CAN SHAPE FUTURE STRATEGIES THAT SUPPORT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT UNDUE BURDENS.

RINDGE'S GROWTH IS INEVITABLE; ENSURING IT'S RESPONSIBLE IS OUR SHARED RESPONSIBILITY.