COPPERTON TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

April 16, 2025, 6:30 PM
BINGHAM CANYON LIONS CLUB
8725 HILLCREST STREET, COPPERTON, UTAH 84006

COPPERTON TOWN COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

****DRAFT MINUTES – UNAPPROVED****

Council Members Present:
Sean Clayton, Mayor
Tessa Stitzer, Mayor Pro Tempore
Kathleen Bailey, Council Member
Linda McCalmon, Council Member
Kevin Severson, Council Member

Council Members Excused: None

Staff Present:
Nathan Bracken, Legal Counsel
Diana Baun, Town Clerk
Dan Torres, Economic Development Manager
Chief Nathan Bogenschutz, UFA
Lt. Shane Manwaring, UPD

Others Present:

1. REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

Mayor Clayton, presiding, called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM and noted a quorum was present.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. COMMUNITY INPUT

a. Recognize Visiting Officials – None

b. Unified Fire Authority (UFA)

Chief Nathan Bogenschutz reported on fire department activity for the month of March. He noted that out of the total calls responded to, six were medical in nature. The remaining seven

calls involved deployment of the department's air light truck, also referred to as the update truck. Chief Bogenschutz informed the council that the department had received a new truck, which had been on-site for a couple of weeks. At the time of the report, technicians were in the process of installing radios and other necessary equipment. He anticipated that the vehicle would be ready for service within the following one to two weeks.

d. Unified Police Department (UPD)

Lt. Shane Manwaring addressed the council to provide an update from the police department, beginning with a reference to the "broken windows theory" of policing. He mentioned he had been reading about the theory and intended to present more detailed information at a future meeting. He highlighted a key insight from his reading—that effective policing tends to result in fewer calls for service and fewer arrests, which some may interpret as a sign of reduced activity, when in fact it reflects successful preventative measures. He proceeded to share comparative data for the month of March. In March 2024, there were 28 calls for service that led to the creation of 11 cases. This was a decrease from March of the previous year, during which there were 34 calls for service and 19 resulting cases. Lt. Manwaring interpreted this decline as an indication that the department's proactive strategies were yielding positive outcomes.

3. <u>CONSENT AGENDA</u>

a. Approve Council Meeting Minutes – ***None***

b. Fiscal Items - Mayor Clayton

i) Approval of expenditures

Mayor Clayton asked for approval of $8,110 in legal fees, which was within their budget, and higher than normal as a result of the legislative session and the work done on the Town's behalf.

Council Member Stitzer moved to approve the expenditures listed above as stated. Council Member Bailey seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

4. <u>PRESENTATION ITEMS</u> - None

5. <u>COUNCIL BUSINESS</u>

a. Discussion Regarding Copperton City's Ideas in the Wasatch Choice Vision Update

Council Member Tessa Stitzer provided a follow-up report regarding a recent request for council input. It was noted that all council members had received an email outlining the need to submit their responses by April 18. Council Member Stitzer confirmed that Dan Torres had submitted the necessary response to the Wasatch Front Regional Council. Additionally, the city's long-range planner had attended the corresponding meeting to verify the accuracy of the materials. It was confirmed during that meeting that the map directives accurately reflected Copperton's long-term vision. Council Member Stitzer concluded by stating that the input was submitted on time and that all elements were in alignment.

DRAFT

b. Consider **Resolution R2025-06**, Adopting the Town of Copperton's 2025-2026 Tentative Budget

Mayor Sean Clayton introduced Resolution R2025-06, which pertained to the adoption of Copperton's 2025–2026 Tentative Budget. He reminded the council that the proposed budget had been under review for several months. One notable update was the inclusion of additional funding to support the town's upcoming 100-year anniversary celebration next year, which had been approved. The budget had also undergone review by the Municipal Services District (MSD). Mayor Clayton noted that the capital budget included allocations for improvements to the town's sprinkling system and the planting of additional trees. He opened the floor for questions, emphasizing that the budget remained largely consistent with previous years and had not been increased.

Council Member Bailey moved to approve Resolution R2025-06, Adopting the Town of Copperton's Tentative Budget. Council Member McCalmon seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

Nathan Bracken provided a clarification regarding Resolution R2025-06, emphasizing that the resolution included a provision to hold a public hearing at the May Council Meeting. He noted this detail for the official record, explaining that the public hearing was a required component of the budget process. He reminded the council that the final budget must be adopted by the end of June. He expressed a preference for finalizing the budget in May to allow time for adjustments, should any significant public input arise during the hearing. This approach would permit the council to revise and adopt the final budget in June without the need for an additional public hearing.

c. Consider **Resolution R2025-07**, Amendment of County Election Contract

Town Clerk Diana Baun provided background, explaining that the county had previously classified Copperton's council seats as at-large without distinction, despite the town designating its seats individually as Seat A, Seat B, etc. This misclassification affected the election cost estimates. After clarification, the county updated their records and confirmed that the election calculations now align with the method used in Copperton's most recent election.

Nathan Bracken reviewed the cost differences between the previous and updated contracts.

Diana Baun clarified that for the general election, the change resulted in an approximate $700 increase under low voter participation scenarios. In higher turnout scenarios, the difference was about $1,300. She also noted that the primary election, which is only required if three or more candidates file for the same seat, could result in additional costs. However, if only two candidates file, or a seat goes uncontested, no primary is needed.

Nathan Bracken then introduced a new legislative update that ties into the upcoming election process. A newly passed bill, HB 444, mandates that all candidates must complete a conflict of interest disclosure form prior to submitting a Declaration of Candidacy. The law takes effect on May 7. He emphasized the importance of educating potential candidates early, noting the form is lengthy and requires detailed information, including disclosure of any felony or misdemeanor convictions. Although current council members who have already completed a similar form do

DRAFT

not need to file again, new candidates will be subject to this requirement. He stressed that Diana Baun, as the Recorder, will be legally unable to accept a Declaration of Candidacy without a completed disclosure form.

Diana Baun confirmed that the Lieutenant Governor's Office has not yet provided the official form, but she intends to make it available in advance through the city and MSD websites. She also offered to send it directly to anyone who contacts her.

Nathan Bracken recommended adding the topic to the agenda for the next council meeting and committed to drafting a temporary version of the form if the state does not provide one by then. He further explained that under the new law, each submitted disclosure will be posted publicly online and will remain available throughout the election period unless a candidate withdraws. He expressed concern that this level of disclosure might deter individuals from running.

Diana Baun added that the new law no longer requires candidates to report employment information for spouses or other household members unless it is directly relevant to the candidacy. She reminded the council that three positions—two council seats and the mayoral seat—will be on the ballot, each serving four-year terms. She expressed hope that at least one candidate would file for each seat and noted the unique nature of this election cycle, as it will be the first time Copperton voters directly elect a mayor. The change, she said, may be unfamiliar to residents but is expected to encourage increased engagement.

Mayor Clayton moved to approve Resolution R2025-07, Amending the county election contract. Council Member Stitzer seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

d. Review Proposed FY2026 Copperton Fee Schedule

Mayor Sean Clayton opened a discussion regarding the town's fee schedule, noting that it remained largely unchanged from the previous year. He shared that he had been involved in developing the original fee schedule alongside a consulting group, with the primary objective of ensuring it was revenue neutral. He explained that fees were calculated to cover only the actual cost of services—such as plan reviews or credit card transaction fees—without generating profit. In particular, he highlighted that credit card fees alone amounted to approximately $120,000 annually, and the fee schedule was structured to recover those costs without burdening the town or favoring any particular group. He announced that a public hearing on the fee schedule would be held during the next council meeting in May and invited questions from the council.

Nathan Bracken followed by noting that while public hearings on fees are not legally required, the town has historically held them in the interest of transparency. He stated that the town would continue this practice and planned to hold the public hearing on the fee schedule in conjunction with the budget hearing next month. He then explained a procedural change brought about by recently passed legislation. Under the new law, fees related to development—such as building permit fees and planning or zoning fees—are now classified as land use regulations. This reclassification mandates that such fees be reviewed and approved

DRAFT

by the Planning Commission during a public hearing with a minimum of ten days' notice. To comply, Mr. Bracken outlined a plan being implemented by all MSD member entities to have their Planning Commissions review development-related fees in their May meetings. These recommendations would then be passed to the respective town and city councils for adoption. He emphasized the importance of this process to avoid future legal disputes from applicants challenging the legitimacy of development-related fees. However, he acknowledged a complication in Copperton: the town currently lacked a fully staffed Planning Commission. He proposed postponing the adoption of the full fee schedule until a commission member could be appointed, allowing the council to adopt the land use-related portions in June.

Dan Torres confirmed that no new Planning Commission members had been appointed, though Jonathan Pratt remained a viable candidate from a previous nomination.

Nathan Bracken recalled that the council had already authorized the mayor to appoint commission members with consultation, and suggested that the process could proceed quickly if the mayor made the appointment.

Diana Baun then raised a separate issue related to the town's fee schedule regarding candidate filing fees. She asked whether the town had previously charged a filing fee for Declarations of Candidacy, and confirmed that while such a fee existed, it was not explicitly listed on the fee schedule. She explained that this oversight could lead to complications similar to those experienced in other municipalities, such as Kearns.

Nathan Bracken responded that, since the fee schedule had been properly noticed for action at the current meeting, the council could authorize an immediate amendment to include a $50 filing fee. He recommended tabling the remainder of the fee schedule pending Planning Commission review but moving forward with this specific amendment to ensure the filing fee was clearly established and legally enforceable.

Diana Baun agreed to amend the current fee schedule to add the fee for declaring candidacy if approved.

Mayor Clayton moved to ament the current fee schedule as noted above, and to table the approval of an updated fee schedule to the May Town Council Meeting. Council Member Bailey seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous sin favor.

Mayor Clayton requested a brief pause in the meeting to recognize Representative Anthony Loubet's presence at the meeting and invited him forward to share any thoughts with the council and public.

Representative Anthony Loubet provided an update to the council following the conclusion of the recent legislative session. He acknowledged that it had taken some time to reconnect after the session but described it as a productive one, despite the fact that several bills caused considerable concern among constituents. He shared that he had received significant outreach from community members expressing a wide range of opinions on various legislative issues. He recounted an impactful moment during the session when he relayed a personal story from a teacher at Cyprus High School during a caucus meeting. The story highlighted challenges faced by educators in Utah, which surprised several of his legislative colleagues who were

unaware of such situations. He emphasized the value of being able to bring those local experiences into the policy-making arena, helping to inform discussions and shape understanding among lawmakers. He also noted that, fortunately, no legislation from this session directly targeted Copperton or its municipal functions.

Council Member Kathleen Bailey asked if those against the union negotiation bill had gathered all necessary signatures for a repeal effort.

Mr. Loubet responded that yes, enough signatures had been collected. However, while collecting signatures was a significant step, the real challenge would be in the validation process. He added that there is a lengthy window during which individuals who previously signed may be contacted and encouraged to withdraw their support—a tactic often used by those opposing a referendum. He mentioned he might be pursuing legislation in the future to reduce the length of that withdrawal period.

Mayor Sean Clayton concluded the exchange by thanking Representative Loubet for visiting and expressing appreciation for his efforts at the state level. He acknowledged the difficulty of the legislative process and the challenge of balancing competing interests

e. Rio Tinto Updates

Council Member Tessa Stitzer reported that she had received an update from Ryan Perry with Rio Tinto regarding a previous concern about dust emissions, believed to be coming from the concentrator. In response, she contacted the appropriate channels and learned that air quality filters are in place around Copperton, and data from those filters is collected monthly. While the data had already been pulled, it had not yet been analyzed. Ryan confirmed with her that a dust mitigation report was requested for May, and recommended scheduling a review of the findings during the May meeting.

Ryan Perry added that there had been a recent need to deploy manual water trucks for dust suppression, but the issue had since been resolved. He noted that dust complaints from the concentrator have become less frequent and tend to increase during certain times of day, particularly between 7:00 and 7:30 when lighting conditions make dust more visible. The team remained attentive to those concerns and was working on improved management strategies.

Council Member Stitzer then addressed her role as the council-appointed liaison to Rio Tinto, outlining a new delegation approach. She stated that moving forward, she would like to suggest all matters related to land use and annexation be delegated to the Planning and Zoning Commission, in coordination with Dan Torres and his long-range planning team. While she would remain available to participate in discussions and provide support, she felt those with more expertise should take the lead in those areas. She expressed a desire to maintain her liaison role for ongoing topics such as dust control, park projects, and general communications with Rio Tinto. As part of this new structure, Stitzer proposed improving communication transparency by implementing audio recordings or having designated recorders at meetings with Rio Tinto. This would help avoid miscommunications and ensure project timelines, expectations, and responsibilities were clearly documented. She suggested

DRAFT

centralizing communications through one point of contact, ideally Dan Torres, to prevent overlapping or contradictory exchanges.

Ryan Perry requested clarification on the Planning Commission's involvement, asking whether the Municipal Services District (MSD) or the town's Planning and Zoning Commission would be handling related matters.

Council Member Stitzer explained that Copperton's Planning and Zoning Commission—appointed by the council—was already actively engaged in rezoning efforts and had previously discussed their role in open meetings. She emphasized that Dan Torres and his team, along with Planning and Zoning, would be the primary contacts for annexation and land use issues, ensuring Rio Tinto had a clear avenue for input.

Nathan Bracken reminded the group that a quorum of Planning Commission members could not participate in meetings without triggering public meeting requirements.

Council Member Stitzer acknowledged this and clarified that the council had already agreed to have the Planning and Zoning Chair act as the representative in such meetings to remain compliant.

Laura Ingersoll asked if it was appropriate to direct communication primarily through Dan Torres, which Council Member Stitzer confirmed was the intended structure. Council Member Stitzer stressed the importance of streamlining communications to a single individual to reduce confusion and improve efficiency.

Mayor Sean Clayton formalized the proposal by making a motion to designate Tessa Stitzer as the continuing liaison for Rio Tinto on all matters not related to land use or annexation. For land use and annexation issues, Dan Torres would coordinate with the Planning and Zoning Commission and involved council members. He stated that meetings should be documented to ensure accountability and alignment.

Stitzer expressed appreciation for the shift, reflecting on her earlier involvement in land use matters when Copperton lacked staff, which had placed her in roles outside her expertise. She welcomed the redistribution of responsibilities to individuals better suited for them.

Ryan Perry noted that informal or undocumented conversations were likely inevitable but emphasized that the company preferred the town to handle official recordkeeping.

Mayor Clayton agreed and shared that in his professional practice, he sends follow-up emails summarizing meetings, offering a chance to clarify any misunderstandings. He recommended adopting a similar approach for town-related communications, keeping things simple but accurate. He then asked to jump forward to quickly discuss Item 5G, requesting the council's approval to draft a letter for Matt at Rock N' Yard summarizing the council's previous meeting discussions for submission to Rio Tinto.

Council Member Stitzer asked whether such a letter was necessary, pointing out that the town already has legal audio and written records.

DRAFT

Mayor Clayton responded that the minutes had not yet been formally approved and, while he intended the letter to be brief, it would serve as an interim record with the council's approval.

Mayor Clayton moved to approve the designation of assignments above as they relate to communications between the Town of Copperton and Rio Tinto representatives. Council Member Severson seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

f. UPHEAD – Emergency Text and Council Messaging Policy

Council Member Tessa Stitzer provided updates related to the UPHEAD system and the Bingham Cemetery Board. She began by informing the council that Maridene had participated in the onboarding session for UPHEAD. Council Member Stitzer noted that residents could now register to receive updates via a large QR code prominently displayed on the front page of the most recent MSD newsletter. She explained that scanning the code allows residents to opt in or out of receiving notifications, and subscriptions can be canceled at any time. At present, the system provides general information. For example, if a resident inquires about storm drains, the system will direct them to the appropriate contact. In the future, the platform will expand to include templates tailored to emergency situations, local activities, and other categories. She emphasized that any outgoing messages will be reviewed by the council to ensure alignment with town policies, particularly those related to public communications and the established social media policy.

Mayor Sean Clayton added that he had tested the system by scanning the QR code and found it to be user-friendly, functioning primarily through text prompts. While no messages had been pushed out yet, he suggested the system could be useful for promoting upcoming town events.

Council Member Stitzer confirmed that the platform could be segmented to allow residents to choose the types of updates they wish to receive—such as emergency alerts, utility updates, or community activities. She praised its flexibility and ease of use.

g. Letter for Rock N' Yard

Council Member Bailey moved to approve the drafting of a letter from Mayor Clayton on behalf of the council summarizing the council's previous meetings discussions regarding Rock N' Yard and Rio Tinto. Council Member McCalmon seconded the motion.

Roll Call Vote

Council Member Bailey – Yes

Council Member McCalmon – Yes

Council Member Clayton – Yes

Council Member Severson – Yes

Council Member Stitzer – Abstain

Motion passes 4-0, with Council Member Stitzer abstaining from the vote.

DRAFT

6. STRATEGIC SESSION - None

7. COMMITTEE/BOARD UPDATES

a. Bingham Cemetery Board – ***Council Member Tessa Stitzer***

Regarding the Bingham Cemetery Board, Stitzer reported that a cleanup event was still in planning stages. Although the town had encountered difficulty securing the same equipment used in past cleanups, several volunteer groups had expressed interest in assisting. Even if a full-scale cleanup could not take place as traditionally done, efforts would be made to at least tidy the grounds, including clearing garbage and maintaining a respectful environment for visitors. She added that she was actively pursuing updated quotes for needed equipment and would keep the council informed as more information became available.

Council Member Kathleen Bailey inquired about the terms of appointment for the Cemetery Board—specifically whether board members are appointed for a set number of years or if this is something that needs to be reviewed.

Council Member Stitzer responded that the existing resolution likely outlines a term limit but agreed it could be reviewed if necessary.

Diana Baun confirmed that the matter would be added to the agenda for the next meeting. She committed to including any relevant ordinances, resolutions, or other supporting documents to facilitate a thorough discussion and potential updates regarding the Cemetery Board's structure and membership.

b. Copperton Community Council – ***Council Member Tessa Stitzer***

No updates

c. Planning Commission – ***Council Member Kevin Severson***

No updates

8. COPPERTON COUNCIL REPORTS

a. Mayor Sean Clayton

i) Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (GSLMSD)

ii) Council of Governments (COG)

Mayor Sean Clayton provided an update on the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (MSD), noting that the district is now fully operational in its new building located in Taylorsville. He commented that the new location is advantageous for Copperton due to its closer proximity, although he advised patience due to ongoing construction on 4700 South, which has

made access challenging. Despite the inconvenience, he described the new space as very nice and more functional for operations. He also highlighted the strategic benefit of the move, explaining that it creates a greater degree of separation between the MSD and Salt Lake County, helping to clarify that the MSD operates independently from the county government. This, he noted, may help correct the common misconception that the MSD is simply an extension of the county. He concluded by mentioning that recent budget discussions at the MSD were routine and that the MSD had approved its tentative budget without issue. He explained that Copperton's budget process aligns with the MSD's timeline, with tentative adoption in May to stay coordinated, as the MSD adopts its final budget in June for all member entities.

b. Council Member Linda McCalmon

i) Unified Police Department (UPD)

ii) Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service area (SLVLESA)

Council Member Linda McCalmon provided an update on recent meetings involving the Unified Police Department (UPD) and the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area (SLVLESA). She summarized the primary focus of the discussions as being centered on budget matters. She expressed appreciation for the dedication and effort of the Chief Financial Officer, noting that extensive late-night work had gone into analyzing financial data and identifying savings across various areas. She emphasized that while some cost increases were inevitable, the budgeting team had worked diligently to minimize financial impact without compromising services. Council Member McCalmon acknowledged that some board members had initially voiced concerns that the depth of cost-cutting could result in a reduction in services, but reassurances were provided that no such reductions would occur. She conveyed her respect for the work being done and praised the team's commitment to maintaining service levels while being fiscally responsible. Ultimately, the board approved the budget proposal, and she concluded that the team had done their best to balance financial constraints with community needs.

c. Council Member Kathleen Bailey

i) Unified Fire Authority (UFA)

ii) Unified Fire Service Area (UFSA)

Council Member Bailey shared UFA is working on their budget as well, it can be read online and that's where she usually reads it. She was out of town for the meeting so wasn't able to catch up on anything else. She will be listening to the minutes before the next council meeting.

d. Deputy Mayor Tessa Stitzer

i) Wasatch Front Waste and Recycle (WFWRD)

Council Member Tessa Stitzer provided an update on the latest developments from the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District (WFWRD). She reported that the board is continuing discussions about transitioning to a monthly billing cycle. While no final decision

had been made, it appeared likely that the change would be implemented, with the earliest possible start date being in 2026. She also shared information about the SCRAP Program, noting that postcards were already being distributed to some communities to facilitate dumpster reservations. She confirmed that Copperton would have its own designated reservation and would not be required to share with other communities. Residents planning to request a dumpster rental can also request for it to be placed in their driveway if preferred. Additionally, there was discussion surrounding the evaluation and compensation process for WFWRD's CEO. A change was proposed that would have the board of trustees conduct the CEO's annual performance review, rather than handling it internally. This adjustment is intended to increase transparency. Any discussions regarding the CEO's pay or benefits would also be conducted by the board moving forward.

e. Council Member Kevin Severson

i) Salt Lake County Animal Services

No updates

9. <u>OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS</u>

a. Public Comment

Eric Shawstead addressed the council with concerns about the lack of an urban deer management program in Copperton. He noted that he had spoken with Mayor Sean Clayton last August and followed up with Council Member Kevin Severson more recently, but had not heard about any progress. He inquired whether there had been any movement on implementing a program.

Council Member Severson responded that he had attempted to return Shawstead's call and had spoken with Rob Nelson of the Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR). He reported that Copperton does not currently qualify for the Urban Deer Management Program due to unmet requirements, including a population threshold of at least 1,000 residents and the need for a $1 million bond. While Copperton does not meet these criteria, DWR provided alternative recommendations for individual residents, such as using motion-activated sprinkler systems to deter deer. He added that residents could use paintball guns to startle the deer and modify their behavior, as long as it was non-lethal and approved by the Town Council.

Council Member Linda McCalmon remarked that she had tried the water deterrent system herself and found it ineffective.

Mr. Shawstead then asked if there was a path the town could take to eventually qualify for the official program.

Mayor Clayton expressed interest in understanding the full list of criteria and suggested the town might work toward meeting them, noting that 1,000 residents seemed arbitrary.

Council Member Severson explained that aside from eligibility, DWR also advised that municipalities could hire contractors to remove deer, but warned that doing so could make the town liable for any resulting issues.

DRAFT

Council Member Kathleen Bailey cautioned that such an approach could spark strong public opposition, as many residents view the local deer as pets.

Mr. Shawstead added that, currently, the only “management” occurring was through vehicle collisions, with deer carcasses being left to decompose along roads. He emphasized the seriousness of the issue, suggesting that it might take a severe incident to trigger action.

Mayor Clayton acknowledged the dangers, referencing past incidents in which deer had entered homes by breaking windows or falling into private pools. He asked if the town could still act even without DWR program approval, and again raised the idea of hiring professionals, as is done in other towns like Alpine.

Mr. Shawstead shared that, based on his conversation with DWR, there had been an option where the town could work with DWR to set up deer traps, euthanize the animals, and have the meat donated, with DWR conducting population studies as part of the management process.

Council Member Bailey noted that someone in town holds a meat permit and regularly asks to be notified of deer collisions.

Mayor Clayton asked Council Member Severson to invite a DWR representative to the next council meeting for a public discussion to explore options and clarify what can and cannot be done.

Council Member Severson noted that some programs previously used in other towns had been discontinued due to backlash, but Mayor Clayton emphasized the importance of having an open conversation directly with DWR

Mr. Shawstead also reported that a stop sign on Freeman Gulch Way had been defaced with graffiti and another nearby stop sign was so faded it was nearly unreadable.

Mayor Clayton asked Dan Torres if the town could address the signage issues.

Dan Torres responded that a sign inventory was already underway as part of the transportation master plan.

Lastly, Nathan Bracken provided a procedural clarification regarding Planning Commission appointments discussed earlier in the meeting. After reviewing the town code, he confirmed that the mayor has authority to appoint Planning Commission members with the advice and consent of the council. Since the council had previously provided consent, Mayor Clayton could move forward with finalizing the appointment of Jonathan Pratt.

b. Announcements - None

10. CLOSED SESSIONS IF NEEDED AS ALLOWED PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE §52-4-205

DRAFT

a. Discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual (§ 52-4-205(1)(a))

b. Discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation (§ 52-4-205(1)(c))

c. Discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property (§ 52-4-205(1)(d))

d. Discuss the deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems (§ 52-4-205(1)(f))

11. ADJOURN

Council Member Bailey moved to adjourn the City Council Meeting. Mayor Clayton seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

The April 16, 2025 Town Council Meeting adjourned at 8:04 P.M.

This is a true and correct copy of the April 16, 2025 Town Council Meeting Minutes, which were approved on May 21, 2025.

Attest:

_____

Sean Clayton, Mayor

_____

Diana Baun, Town Clerk

DRAFT