THE OWNER BOTTLENECK

HOW FOUNDER DEPENDENCY LIMITS GROWTH, CAPACITY, AND FREEDOM

- SLOWS GROWTH
- LIMITS TEAM CAPACITY
- INCREASES RISK
- REDUCES FREEDOM

A PRACTICAL ROADMAP TO BUILD A BUSINESS THAT CAN RUN WITHOUT YOU

MELISSA DUNKLEY, CCP
CERTIFIED COACH | SUCCESSION SPECIALIST | AUTHOR
FOUNDER & CEO, RESULTSNOW

ResultsNOW® Business Readiness System™

THE OWNER BOTTLENECK

How Founder Dependency Limits Growth, Capacity, and Freedom

Published by

ResultsNOW Publishing

Alberta, Canada

First published July 6, 2026

Written by Melissa Dunkley, CCP

Creator of the ResultsNOW® Business Readiness System™ | Succession Specialist | Author | Founder & CEO, ResultsNOW

For permissions requests, speaking engagements, or bulk orders, contact the publisher at mdunkley@resultsnowinc.com.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Is This You? The Business That Cannot Run Without You

Your phone rings before 8am. A client needs an answer only you can give. Your inbox has fifteen items flagged urgent, and at least twelve of them will require your personal attention before noon. You have a meeting at two o'clock that you already know will run long, because without you in the room, nothing gets decided. You will eat lunch at your desk. Again.

You did not build this business to feel this trapped. And yet here you are.

If that scene sounds uncomfortably familiar, this book was written for you. Not because you have done anything wrong. But because the way you are currently operating is costing you far more than you realize, and the longer it continues, the harder it becomes to change.

This is not a book about working less or caring less. It is about understanding why every critical decision still lands on your desk, what that pattern is costing your business in real, measurable terms, and what has to change before you can step back with confidence - whether your goal is to scale, to transition leadership, or to eventually exit on your own terms.

Before you read further, take a moment and answer these three questions honestly.

Quick Diagnostic: Does This Describe You? Answer these three questions honestly before reading further:
1. In the last 30 days, how many decisions did you make that someone on your team should have made?
2. What is the longest your business has operated without your direct involvement, and what broke while you were away?
3. If you were unavailable for the next 90 days, which parts of your business would stall, and which clients would notice first?

There are no right answers here. But honest answers will tell you exactly where you are.

60% of leaders identify decision-making and prioritization as critical skills, yet only 39% have received any training in them. DDI, Global Leadership Forecast 2025

This is not a small or isolated problem. It is a defining economic pattern of this decade. An estimated 73% of privately held companies in the U.S. plan to transition ownership within the next ten years, representing roughly $14 trillion in business value that will change hands. Most of those transitions will be made harder, slower, or less valuable than they needed to be, not because the underlying businesses lack potential, but because too much of what makes them valuable still lives inside one person.

73% of privately held companies in the U.S. plan to transition ownership within the next 10 years — representing an estimated $14 trillion in business value transfer. Exit Planning Institute, National State of Owner Readiness Report, 2023

CHAPTER 1

How You Got Here: The Habits That Built Your Business Are Now Holding It Back

Nobody becomes a bottleneck on purpose. It happens gradually, phase by phase, as the business grows in one direction while the owner's operating style stays firmly rooted in the one that worked at the start.

Understanding how you got here is not about blame. It is about recognizing that the behaviours that built your business were exactly right for the phase in which you were using them. The problem is not that you used them. The problem is that you never consciously replaced them as the business moved into a new phase of growth.

Every privately held business moves through recognizable phases, and each one demands a different set of behaviours from its owner.

The Four Business Phases and the Behaviours They Demand

Start-Up Phase The owner is the business. High personal involvement, fast decisions held by one person, direct client relationships, and hands-on quality control. These behaviours are not just appropriate in this phase, they are essential. You could not have built the business any other way.

Growth Phase Revenue is climbing, clients are multiplying, and the owner is beginning to hire. The behaviours that worked in start-up begin to strain the business. The owner starts to become a constraint on speed, capacity, and people development, even while still doing the right things for where they think the business is.

Stabilization Phase The business has a track record, a team, and repeating revenue. This is where the shift becomes critical and where most owners stall. The owner needs to move from doing to directing, and from deciding to developing others to decide. Most do not make this transition consciously. They remain in growth-phase mode while the business is asking for something different.

Transition and Exit Readiness Phase The owner needs to function as a strategic overseer. Business intelligence must live in systems and people, not in one person. The business must generate its full value without the owner's daily presence. This phase requires the owner to engineer their own exit from the operational centre of the business.

Most owners reading this e-book are using start-up or growth-phase behaviours in a business that is firmly in the stabilization or transition phase. That is not a character flaw. It is an adaptation that was never made, because no one flagged the moment when it needed to happen.

The good news is that recognizing the phase gap is the first step toward closing it.

"Hub-and-spoke is a business that's dependent on the owner. If the hub goes down, the business essentially fails." **— John Warrillow, Built to Sell**

Gallup research shows managers account for 70% of the variance in team engagement - yet global manager engagement dropped to 22% in 2025, the lowest level ever recorded. The leadership gap is not a future problem. It is an active one.
Gallup, State of the Global Workplace, 2025

Pause and Reflect Which business phase are your current behaviours most aligned with? Be honest — not where you think you should be, but where your daily actions, decisions, and habits actually place you right now.

Try This: Ask your leadership team, this week, which phase they think the business is in right now — and which phase they think you are still operating from. The gap between those two answers is often the real conversation.

CHAPTER 2

What It Is Actually Costing You

Staying at the centre of every decision in your business feels responsible. It can even feel like leadership. But there is a financial reality underneath that feeling that most owners have never calculated, and once you see the numbers, the problem becomes impossible to ignore.

Three costs stack up quietly and compound over time in every owner-dependent business.

Three Costs That Stack Up Quietly

Cost 1: Your Time Spent on the Wrong Work For illustration, if you value your strategic time — the thinking, visioning, relationship building, and decision-making that only an owner can provide — at $250 per hour, the math becomes revealing. If you are spending 60% of your working week on operational tasks, approvals, and decisions that a capable manager should own, you are misallocating the majority of your most valuable resource. Across a 45-hour working week, that misalignment costs your business more than $175,000 per year in strategic capacity that was never applied. This is a leadership architecture problem, not a staffing one.

Cost 2: The Price of a Decision That Waits Every decision sitting in your inbox waiting for your attention has a downstream cost. Projects stall. Staff wait for direction and produce nothing in the meantime. Opportunities move on. Clients begin to sense hesitation in your responsiveness. Even modest delays can materially reduce productive capacity. In a business generating $2 million in revenue, even a modest capacity loss can represent hundreds of thousands of dollars sitting on paper but never converting to output. The bottleneck does not just slow things down. It quietly erodes the financial potential of your business every single year.

Cost 3: The People You Are Losing or Already Lost When capable people are never given real authority, they do not simply stay and wait. They disengage first, then burnout, then leave. And the cost of that departure is not just emotional. It is financial. The average cost of replacing an employee who leaves has climbed to $45,236, up nearly $10,000 in a single year. Research from Gallup and SHRM puts the fuller range at 50% to 200% of a departing employee's annual salary once you account for recruitment, onboarding, lost institutional knowledge, and the productivity gap during transition, so for a manager earning $90,000, that can mean a replacement cost of $45,000 to $180,000. For a business that loses two or three capable people in the same year because they felt perpetually underutilized, those costs are not theoretical. They are appearing on your income statement right now.

CEOs who excel at delegating generate 33% higher revenue than those who retain decision-making authority. Harvard Business Review, September 2024

The decline in employee engagement now costs the global economy $438 billion in lost productivity annually. Gallup, State of the Global Workplace, 2025

The compounding effect of these three costs is what makes them dangerous. A delayed decision slows a project, which frustrates a capable manager, who disengages and eventually leaves, which means the owner steps back into the gap and makes even more decisions personally. Each cost feeds the next.

Pause and Reflect Estimate the number of hours per week you spend on operational decisions that a manager should own. Multiply that by your personal hourly value to the business. Now multiply by 52. What does that number tell you about where your leadership capacity has been going?

Try This: Before your next leadership meeting, price out one decision you personally handled last week that a manager should have owned.

(Hours you spent) × (your hourly value) − (same hours) × (manager's hourly rate) = the cost of that one decision.

For example: you spent 3 hours on it. At $250/hour, that's $750 of your time. A manager earning $90,000 a year — roughly $43/hour — could have handled the same task for $129. The other $621 is what that single decision cost you in misallocated leadership capacity. Now bring that number into the room, instead of a general statement about wanting to delegate more.

CHAPTER 3

Why Everything You Have Tried Has Not Fixed It

If you have recognized yourself in the first two chapters, there is a good chance you have already tried to fix this. Most owners have. They have had the delegation conversation, reorganized the management structure, hired someone to take pressure off, or simply told their team to step up and take more ownership.

And yet the decisions keep landing on the same desk.

This is not a failure of effort. It is a failure of sequence. The most common attempts to break the bottleneck fail for a predictable reason: they address the symptom without changing the root conditions that create it.

Why Common Attempts Fall Short

The Delegation Conversation Most owners have told their team to bring solutions, not problems, to make more decisions independently, to stop escalating everything. The conversation happens. Things change briefly. Then drift back. Telling someone to delegate differently does not give them the capability to do it. It just creates pressure without support.

The Reorganization Moving boxes on an org chart changes reporting lines. It does not change how people think or how much authority they actually believe they have. Without the internal development to match the new structure, the reorganization is cosmetic. People fill the new boxes and then operate exactly as they did in the old ones.

The Senior Hire Bringing in an experienced external leader can accelerate things, but it frequently creates new tensions. An incoming leader without the owner's trust or institutional knowledge often finds their authority undermined - consciously or not - by the owner who still steps in when outcomes are uncertain. The bottleneck shifts slightly but does not disappear.

The Step-Back Experiment Some owners simply remove themselves from day-to-day decisions for a period to force the team to develop. Without the right preparation, this approach tends to create confusion, reactive decisions, and client disruption, after which the owner concludes the team is not ready. The team was not given the conditions they needed to become ready.

The pattern across all these attempts is the same: they target the visible skill without building the foundational behaviours that those skills depend on. And that is the real problem underneath the problem.

Roughly 70% of organizational transformations fail and the barriers are rarely technical. They are behavioural and cultural. McKinsey research, cited in Forbes, July 2026

That statistic explains why the delegation conversation, the reorganization, and the senior hire so often disappoint. Each one changes something structural; a policy, a reporting line, a name on an org chart, while leaving the underlying behaviours of the people involved completely untouched.

"The enemy of accountability is ambiguity." **— Patrick Lencioni, The Five Dysfunctions of a Team**

"Failing to hold someone accountable is ultimately an act of selfishness." **— Patrick Lencioni, The Five Dysfunctions of a Team**

Pause and Reflect Which of these approaches have you already tried? What happened? More importantly, what did you assume would automatically follow - that did not?

Try This: Ask your leadership team, without defensiveness, what they think actually happened the last time you tried to delegate more. Their answer will tell you more than your own assessment will.

CHAPTER 4

The Real Problem Underneath the Problem

Here is where the leadership development industry consistently gets it wrong, and why the approaches in the previous chapter do not work.

Delegation is not a skill you develop by deciding to use it. Strategic thinking is not a competency you acquire in a workshop. Accountability is not a value you install in someone by telling them it matters. These are lagging indicators. They are visible results from a set of foundational behaviours that either exist or do not.

This distinction matters more than almost anything else in this book. A lagging indicator is what you see when development has already happened. A leading indicator is the behaviour that causes the development in the first place.

Organizations spend an estimated $90 billion annually worldwide on leadership development, yet only 10% to 15% of that training content transfers into sustained behavioural change on the job. Leadership development research (Baldwin & Ford research tradition), cited by Emergent Consultants, 2026

That gap comes down to sequence, not training quality. Most programs teach the lagging indicator directly and hope the underlying behaviour follows. It rarely does.

The Behavioural ROI Distinction: Leading vs. Lagging Indicators Most leadership development programs train for the lagging indicator. They teach delegation, strategic thinking, and accountability as if they are skills to be practised directly. The industry then wonders why people attend the training and return to work doing exactly what they did before.

You cannot teach delegation. You must build the behaviours underneath it first: time blocking, clear prioritization, structured questioning that develops the thinking of others, explicit role boundaries, and the discipline to evaluate outcomes rather than supervise every process step. Build those leading behaviours consistently, and delegation becomes possible. Skip them, and delegation remains an aspiration.

Strategic thinking is also a lagging indicator. The leading behaviours underneath it are: sitting with uncertainty long enough to ask better questions before reaching for an answer, using defined criteria to filter signal from noise, reflecting on what happened and why, before deciding what to do next, and thinking across the whole organization rather than within a single function. Strategic thinking does not arrive in a seminar. It emerges over time from the consistent practice of those and other foundational behaviours.

"You must have the discipline to confront the most brutal facts of your current reality, whatever they might be." **— Jim Collins, Good to Great**

That distinction between leading and lagging indicators is foundational: leading indicators are the behaviours themselves, and lagging indicators are the skills and results those behaviours produce. Once you see leadership, accountability, and strategic thinking this way, it changes how you think about developing the leaders around you. The question is no longer why your team will not step up. It is which foundational behaviours are missing in the people you are asking to lead at a higher level, and whether you are stepping in because of a real gap or because you are still doing the work for them.

The owner who is the bottleneck is almost always, at some level, the person filling the leading indicator gaps for everyone around them. They are doing the prioritization. They are providing the criteria. They are filtering the noise. They are holding the strategic perspective. And because they are doing it, no one else has had to learn how.

Pause and Reflect Think about a leader on your team you believe should be making more decisions independently. Name one specific foundational behaviour they would need to do that skill well. Have you ever deliberately built that behaviour in them, or have you been filling that gap yourself?

Try This: In your next one-on-one with a leader you want to develop, say: "I want you to own this decision going forward. Here is the specific criteria to use, and here is exactly when to escalate to me." Then follow through — do not take the decision back the first time it gets uncomfortable.

CHAPTER 5

What Business-Ready Leadership Actually Looks Like

There is an important distinction between a leader who is good at their job and a leader who is ready to carry business-level responsibility. Many owners have capable, dedicated managers around them and still feel like they cannot step back. The gap is not the quality of the people. It is the level they have been developed to operate at.

General leadership competencies: communication, conflict management, team motivation, and performance conversations, are well understood and reasonably well trained in most organizations. What is almost entirely absent from standard leadership development is the upper tier of thinking that business-level responsibility demands: strategic perspective, organizational thinking that crosses functions and departments, criteria-based decision-making under uncertainty, and the ability to lead through change rather than simply manage within stability.

A business-ready leader demonstrates a specific set of behaviours that a tactically strong manager does not yet have.

> **Only about half of middle managers can name any of their company's top five priorities.** Sull, Homkes & Sull, "Why Strategy Execution Unravels," Harvard Business Review, 2015

It is not that these managers lack the knowledge. They lack the visibility. Most managers were never given the strategic context that Condition 4 below requires; they were promoted for tactical strength and then expected to develop altitude thinking on their own.

> **The Four Conditions of a Business-Ready Leader**
> **1. Change Readiness, Not Change Resistance** They do not need certainty before they can act. They can hold the discomfort of not knowing and continue making sound decisions while the picture becomes clearer.

2. Genuine Self-Awareness They understand their strengths, their blind spots, and the habits that get in their way. They use that awareness to grow rather than to defend.
3. The Capacity to Empower Others They are not just capable of leading their own function. They actively develop the thinking and authority of the people around them, because they understand that their own capacity depends on the capacity of those they lead.
4. The Ability to Think at Altitude They see the business as a whole, not just their department. They understand how their decisions affect the organization upstream and downstream. They can move between strategic, visionary, and operational thinking as the situation demands, without losing the thread between all three.

"Great vision without great people is irrelevant." **— Jim Collins, Good to Great**

"The moment you feel the need to tightly manage someone; you've made a hiring mistake."
— Jim Collins, Good to Great

Most managers sitting in your business right now are strong in one or two of these conditions and underdeveloped in the others. That is not a criticism of them. It is a reflection of what they have been developed for. The question worth sitting with is whether you have ever deliberately developed them for business-level responsibility, or whether you have been hoping that operational excellence would eventually produce strategic readiness on its own.

It does not. The jump from tactical strength to business-ready leadership is not a natural progression. It is a deliberate development journey that requires structure, mentoring, and progressive increases in real authority over time.

Pause and Reflect Look at the four conditions above. For each key leader in your business, rate honestly on a scale of 1 to 5 how developed they are in each area.
Where are the consistent gaps?
Who of your managers has never been given the opportunity to develop in the areas where they scored the lowest?

Try This: With one key leader this week, walk through the Four Conditions out loud, and ask them to rate themselves honestly before you rate them. The gap between your assessment and theirs is usually where the real development conversation begins.

CHAPTER 6

Where You Are on the Succession Journey

The bottleneck problem does not exist in isolation. It sits within a larger succession journey that every privately held business owner will eventually need to navigate, whether the goal is to sell, to step back into a strategic role, or to hand the business to the next generation of leadership.

Understanding where you are on that journey changes how you interpret the problem you are currently experiencing. What feels like a management problem is often a succession planning problem that has not been named yet.

A structured succession roadmap has ten steps, and most owners arrive at the conversation far later than is ideal. Here is the critical insight: Step 1 of any succession roadmap is strategic planning - not selling, not valuation, not leadership succession. Strategic planning. Because without a clear, integrated direction for growth and transition, every other step is built on an unclear and unstable foundation.

The 10-Step Succession Roadmap: The Four Foundational Steps

Step 1: Strategic Plan — Direction for Growth and Transition This is where the bottleneck is first exposed. A business whose strategic direction lives in the owner's head rather than in a documented, communicated plan has already created a single point of failure.

Step 2: Think Like a Buyer — Transparency and Reporting This is where business value is either visible or hidden. A buyer evaluating your business will look for clarity: clean financial reporting, predictable revenue, documented leadership capability, and systems that do not depend on the owner to explain them. Step 2 is about ensuring your business can tell its own story credibly, without you in the room. When it cannot, it signals risk — and risk discounts value. Every owner planning to exit or step back must understand what a buyer sees, because that lens reveals the gaps that cost you the most at the negotiating table.

Step 3: Leadership Bench Strength — Succession for Every Key Role This is where the absence of business-ready leadership becomes a measurable risk. Without a structured leadership succession plan, a deliberate development pipeline, and real authority assigned to key roles, the owner or key senior leaders remain the default successors for every critical function.

Step 4: Scalable Infrastructure — Systems, Processes and Tools This is where business intelligence either transfers or stays trapped. If the knowledge of how the business runs lives primarily in the owner, no system or process has been built to carry it forward. Every departure of a key person — including the owner — takes irreplaceable knowledge with them.

For context, here is the full 10-Step Strategic Succession Roadmap: (1) Strategic Plan, (2) Think Like a Buyer, (3) Leadership Bench Strength, (4) Scalable Infrastructure, (5) Sales Resilience and Client Continuity, (6) Brand Strength and Market Positioning, (7) Financial and Risk Preparedness, (8) Transition-Ready Business, (9) Buyer Fit and Deal Structuring, and (10) Life After Exit. This chapter focuses on the four foundational steps below, because they are the steps most directly responsible for the bottleneck problem this book addresses — everything else on the roadmap depends on getting these four right first.

40% of small business owners plan to retire within the next decade. 70% are still in early-stage planning or have no formal succession plan. Only 8% report being fully prepared to transition ownership. Chase, small-business succession survey, May 2026

80% of a business owner's net worth is typically tied up in the business they are planning to exit. Exit Planning Institute, State of Owner Readiness, 2023

Most owners experiencing the bottleneck problem are operating with gaps in these four foundational steps simultaneously, which is why addressing only one of them rarely produces lasting change.

Knowing where you are on the succession journey is not meant to overwhelm you. It is meant to give you perspective on why the problem persists despite your efforts, and why the solution requires more than a better delegation strategy.

Pause and Reflect Do you have a written strategic plan that your leadership team can articulate? Could a buyer understand your business value without you in the room? Is there a documented leadership succession plan for every critical role? Are your core processes documented and accessible without your personal involvement? The gaps between where you are and where you need to be start to form your roadmap.

Try This: Pick one of the ten roadmap steps you have never formally discussed with your leadership team or advisors, and put it on the agenda for twenty minutes. Open with a single question: "What do we actually know about where we stand on this?" Then listen more than you talk, and be open to whatever clarity, insight, or suggestions come out of it.

CHAPTER 7

The Conditions That Have to Exist Before Authority Can Transfer

Before you can move a single decision off your desk in a way that sticks, three conditions need to exist. Not partially. Not in theory. Concretely, in the day-to-day reality of how your business operates.

This chapter is still in awareness territory. It is about knowing clearly what you are building toward, so that when you do take action, you are building the right things in the right sequence.

60% of first-time managers receive zero training when they step into their first leadership role and 60% of those managers fail within 24 months. Center for Creative Leadership; Gartner, cited in Inc., June 2026

That is exactly what Condition 2 below describes. Authority is being handed to people before the conditions for carrying it have been built, and then everyone involved is surprised when it does not go well.

The Three Conditions for Transferring Authority

Condition 1: Clarity of Scope and Criteria The person taking on authority needs to know exactly what decisions belong to them, what the boundaries of that authority are, and what criteria they should use to make good decisions within those boundaries. Without this, they default to escalating everything upward, because the cost of a wrong decision feels greater than the cost of asking. Ambiguity about scope is one of the most common reasons capable managers stay dependent on their owners or leaders.

Condition 2: Developed Capability at the Right Level Authority transferred to someone who has not yet developed the foundational behaviours to carry it is not empowerment. It is exposure. The recipient feels the weight of the responsibility without the internal capacity to manage it, which produces anxiety, over-caution, or decisions that reinforce the owner’s conclusion that the team is not ready. Capability has to be built deliberately before authority is transferred, not as a consequence of transferring it.

Condition 3: The Owner's Willingness to Hold the Line This is the condition most often underestimated. Even when scope is clear and capability has been developed, authority transfer fails when the owner steps back in at the first sign of difficulty. Every time an owner overrides a manager's decision, absorbs a problem the manager should have solved, or simply handles something faster themselves because it is quicker, they send one unmistakable message: your authority is conditional. And conditional authority produces conditional confidence.

"The more you can systematize the delivery of your product or service, the more valuable your company will become." **— John Warrillow, Built to Sell**

"Organizational health is the single greatest competitive advantage in any business." **— Patrick Lencioni, The Five Dysfunctions of a Team**

These three conditions are not quick wins. They are built over months, through consistent behaviour on both sides. The owner has to hold boundaries they have never held before. The manager has to develop comfort with a level of accountability they have never carried before. And both have to move through that discomfort together, with enough structure and support to prevent the process from collapsing back into old patterns the moment something goes wrong.

Pause and Reflect Of the three conditions above, which one is currently least present in your business? What has been the cost of that absence — in terms of decisions that bounced back to you, capability that did not develop, or authority that was given and then quietly taken back?

Try This: The next time a manager brings you a decision they are capable of making, don't answer it. Ask what they think. If they don't explain their reasoning on their own, follow up by asking, "How did you get there?" Now you know exactly what they'd decide if they had the authority, and why. Tell them these decisions are theirs from now on, and mean it. Make clear on any hard decision, they are always encouraged to think it through together with you.

CHAPTER 8

Your 90-Day Starting Point

You have spent the previous seven chapters in awareness. You have named the phase gap, measured the costs, understood why your previous attempts stalled, learned the leading and lagging indicator distinction, seen what business-ready leadership actually requires, located yourself on the succession journey, and identified the three conditions that have to exist before authority can genuinely transfer.

That awareness is not passive. It is the foundation that makes action meaningful rather than reactive.

Now it is time for action.

A 90-day starting point is not a full succession plan. It is a focused, deliberate first quarter of work that begins to shift the conditions in your business without trying to change everything at once. The owners who make the most progress are those who pick one clear priority and build real traction on it before expanding their focus.

This is the roadmap this book promised at the very start: not a single event, but a 90-day cycle you return to deliberately and consistently, each rotation moving your business a little further from dependence on you and a little closer to true succession readiness.

DAYS 1–30 Clarify & Delegate

- Diagnose before you act. Audit which decisions are landing on your desk that should not be there.
- Identify which leaders have potential for more authority but have never had the opportunity scope clearly defined for them.
- Document where your most critical business intelligence still lives only in your head.
- Choose one clear, highest-leverage priority to pursue for the next 90 days.

DAYS 31–60 Strengthen & Align

- Build your chosen priority with deliberate structure.
- Define clear outcomes and specific milestones.
- Create regular moments of reflection, evaluation, and adjustments - rather than assigning and checking in monthly.
- Stay present in the development process while intentionally reducing your own operational involvement.

DAYS 61–90 Measure & Build Momentum

- Assess honestly what changed, and where old patterns reasserted themselves.
- Capture what you learned about your own behaviours as much as your team's.
- Use the results of this evaluation to set the priorities for your next 90-day roadmap cycle.

"Efficiency is doing things right. Effectiveness is doing the right things." **— Peter Drucker, The Effective Executive**

86% of organizations say leadership development is a priority. Only 14% believe they are actually prepared to address future leadership gaps. Deloitte, Global Human Capital Trends, 2024

Pause and Reflect If you could move one decision permanently off your desk in the next 90 days, and have complete confidence that it was in the right hands, which decision would it be? Start there.

Try This: Name the one decision you identified in the Pause and Reflect above. State it out loud to the person who is in the role that should own it. Assign it to them before today ends. Not next week. Today. Use Chapter 7 to guide you when transferring the authority.

Where You Go From Here

The Business You Build Today Determines the Exit You Have Tomorrow

Every decision you allow to land on your desk is a decision that did not develop anyone around you. Every problem you solve personally is a problem your team did not learn to solve. Every year you remain at the operational centre of your business is a year your succession readiness stays exactly where it was.

This is simply how the bottleneck compounds when it goes unaddressed, not a judgement.

But here is what is also true. Every boundary you hold, every leader you develop, every process you document, and every decision you genuinely transfer builds something that compounds in the opposite direction. It builds a business that knows how to run itself. A business that retains its best people because those people have real authority and real growth. A business that a buyer will look at and see low risk and high confidence. A business that you can step back from, on your terms, when the time is right.

You did not build what you have built to be trapped by it. The work of the next two to five years is not about letting go of what you have created. It is about building it into something that no longer depends on you to be its best version.

The owners who exit well, whether through a sale, a leadership transition, or simply the freedom of stepping back, all have one thing in common. They started earlier than they thought they needed to, and they were more honest about where they were than was comfortable at the time.

This e-book was the beginning of that honesty. What you do next is up to you.

> “It is not that people want the processes for their own sake. What they want is a business that can thrive without the owner.” **— John Warrillow, Built to Sell**

Ready to Take the Next Step? If this e-book has prompted questions, you are not sure how to answer, that is exactly where this work begins. Melissa Dunkley works directly with privately held business owners and senior leaders who are ready to build the leadership conditions, succession strategy, and operational independence their business needs — before the pressure of an exit forces the conversation. Whether you are 18 months from a planned sale or five years from stepping back, the time to start is earlier than feels necessary, and the cost of waiting is higher than most owners expect.
Book a Complimentary Business Readiness Conversation One focused conversation to identify where your greatest succession risks are, and what to address first.

To book a call: https://calendly.com/mdunkley-resultsnowinc/60min | or email Melissa at mdunkley@resultsnowinc.com

Written by Melissa Dunkley, CCP

Creator of the ResultsNOW® Business Readiness System™ | Succession Specialist | Author | Founder & CEO, ResultsNOW

Sources

The following sources are cited in this e-book. All research is drawn from publicly available reports and published works as of 2024–2026.

Exit Planning Institute

National State of Owner Readiness Report, 2023. Exit Planning Institute. exit-planning-institute.org

Gallup

State of the Global Workplace Report, 2025. Gallup, Inc. gallup.com/workplace/349484/state-of-the-global-workplace.aspx

Harvard Business Review

Jachimowicz, J., et al. “Research: How to Delegate Decision-Making Strategically.” Harvard Business Review, September 2024. hbr.org

Sull, D., Homkes, R., & Sull, C. “Why Strategy Execution Unravels — and What to Do About It.” Harvard Business Review, March 2015. hbr.org

Deloitte

Global Human Capital Trends Report, 2024. Deloitte Insights. deloitte.com/insights

DDI (Development Dimensions International)

Global Leadership Forecast 2025. DDI. ddi.com

Express Employment Professionals / The Harris Poll

Job Insights Survey, 2026. Average cost of employee turnover. Express Employment Professionals, in partnership with The Harris Poll. expresspros.com

McKinsey & Company

Research on organizational transformation failure rates, cited in Lawrence, T. “Change-Ready Leadership: Why 70% Of Transformations Fail On Behavior.” Forbes, July 2026. forbes.com

Emergent Consultants

“Why Leadership Training Doesn’t Change Behavior.” Emergent Consultants, 2026, drawing on the Baldwin & Ford leadership-transfer research tradition. emergentconsultants.com

Chase / JPMorgan Chase & Co.

“Local Snapshot: Most Small Business Owners Aren’t Prepared for Succession.” Chase small-business succession survey, May 2026. media.chase.com

Center for Creative Leadership / Gartner

First-time manager training and failure-rate statistics, cited in Kaplan, S. “Companies Spend Billions on Training the Wrong Leaders. Here’s What Actually Works.” Inc., June 2026. inc.com

John Warrillow

Warrillow, J. Built to Sell: Creating a Business That Can Thrive Without You. Portfolio/Penguin, 2011. builttosell.com

Patrick Lencioni

Lencioni, P. The Five Dysfunctions of a Team: A Leadership Fable. Jossey-Bass, 2002.

Jim Collins

Collins, J. Good to Great: Why Some Companies Make the Leap… and Others Don't. HarperBusiness, 2001.

Peter Drucker

Drucker, P.F. The Effective Executive: The Definitive Guide to Getting the Right Things Done. HarperBusiness, 1967 (revised 2006).

Disclaimer

The information in this book is intended for general educational purposes only. Readers are encouraged to consult qualified legal, tax, accounting, and financial professionals in their local jurisdiction before making any decisions related to the sale, valuation, or transition of their business.

What Clients Say

Melissa developed the entire management team in leadership competencies to address our five-year succession plan. The managing partner and other members of that team, developed by Melissa, are leading the organization today.

— Angus Watt Advisory Group, National Bank Financial

Melissa was my executive leadership coach for approximately five years. I was a senior executive at a financial institution in Alberta at the time, and I found her very easy to work with. She helped me immensely, and I would have no hesitation recommending her.

— Jim McKillop, Retired CFO, Alberta-based bank

We've been spinning our wheels for years. This is the first time we've stepped back and looked at the business strategically. We can't achieve 15% growth this year without fixing the bottlenecks we already know exist.

— Founders of $30M Industrial Construction Company

I've had the privilege of working with Melissa Dunkley. She is insightful, deeply experienced, and accomplished, offering practical, actionable advice that truly works. Her development style is calm, confident, and non-judgmental, creating a safe space to explore challenges and develop solutions.

— Barbara Bredemann, MBA, CPA, CMA & CFO of Alberta-based NFP

As a leader, I am very proud and confident that we are "crossing the goal line" in our overall development process.

— Don J. Kasian, President, Kasian Architecture Interior Design and Planning

Also by Melissa Dunkley

Built to Perform

The Trust Transfer

Founder Freedom Score™

Founder Freedom Blueprint™

Business Behaviours ROI Assessment™

Leadership Capability Development Method™

About the Author

Melissa Dunkley, CCP

Creator of the ResultsNOW® Business Readiness System™ | Succession Specialist | Author | Founder & CEO, ResultsNOW

Melissa Dunkley is the Founder and CEO of ResultsNOW, a Canadian leadership development and business consulting firm dedicated to helping privately held businesses strengthen leadership capability, improve productivity, build organizational capacity, and reduce dependency on founders and key individuals.

For more than 26 years, Melissa has worked alongside business owners, executives, and leadership teams to develop stronger managers, prepare future leaders, and build organizations that can sustain growth beyond the owner. Her clients span engineering, construction, architecture, information technology, financial services, wealth management, law, manufacturing, energy, and other professional service organizations throughout Canada.

Melissa is the creator of the Founder Freedom Score™, Founder Freedom Blueprint™, Leadership Capability Development Method™, and other proprietary leadership and business readiness frameworks. She is also the author of Built to Perform, The Owner Bottleneck, The Trust Transfer, and several leadership guides and articles designed to help business owners build stronger organizations while creating greater freedom, capacity, and long-term business value.