

BREAKING: MI Supreme Court Authorizes MI SoS Jocelyn Benson to Break the Law During 2022 Election
November 3, 2022

On the second anniversary of what many assert to be the most fraudulent election in American history, the MI Supreme Court issued a partisan ruling that authorizes MI SoS Jocelyn Benson to break the law during the 2022 Election. In a 5-2 decision, Supreme Court granted a stay on Court of Claims Judge Brock Swartzle order requiring compliance with the law. Justices McCormack, Bernstein, Clement, Cavanaugh, and Welsh were in favor of this decision. Justices Zahra and Viviano both issued dissenting opinions. Notably, the Court did not rule on the core opinion issued by Judge Swartzle which cited Benson for failure to comply with Michigan law in her May 2022 manual governing poll challengers. Benson has been ruled in violation of established law by courts in at least five decisions. She has yet to be held accountable for her serial lawbreaking.

Coincident with the release of the MI Supreme Court Ruling, the MI Court of Appeals issued the exact opposite ruling denying the stay requested by Benson and her legal team. The Court of Appeals denied the motion by the Benson defense team to stay the execution of Judge Swartzle's order. In their decision, they cited that the May 2022 Manual constituted a body of rules that were not promulgated and therefore not enforceable. In the wake of the ruling issued by the Michigan Supreme Court today, the Court of Appeals was obligated to issue an order that complied with the ruling of the higher court.

MI Court of Claims Judge Brock Swartzle had previously ordered Benson and her legal team to respond to a motion by Plaintiff Attorney Ann Howard by noon today. Howard had submitted a motion to hold the defendants in contempt of court for failing to comply with Judge Swartzle's October 20, 2022 order to update her May 2022 Manual to comply with the law. In the wake of the ruling issued by the Michigan Supreme Court today, Judge Swartzle was obligated to issue an order that complied with the ruling of the higher court.

The plaintiffs had hoped to avoid conflict between poll challengers trained to follow Michigan election law and election officials trained to follow Benson's unlawful poll challenger guidelines. Today's ruling from the Michigan Supreme Court has prevented any clarifications that would have mitigated such risk of conflict. Against this backdrop, the MI SoS has pushed a narrative that accuses Poll Challengers of being violent extremists rather than common citizens seeking to ensure the integrity of our elections. It is reasonable to conclude from her actions to date that the Michigan Secretary of State is seeking to intimidate Poll Challengers and prevent them from conducting effective oversight of our elections. Today's ruling from the Michigan Supreme Court demonstrates that the majority of justices support this behavior.

Regardless of the outcome of the 2022 election, election integrity advocates will be demanding a transparent audit of entire election record chain of custody. Under Article II Section 4 of the Michigan Constitution, every citizen has to right to an audit of statewide election results.