On appeals from: [2019] EWHC 2381 (QB)

and [2019] CSIH 49

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM

R (on the application of Miller) (Appellant) v
The Prime Minister (Respondent)
Cherry and others (Respondents) v Advocate
General for Scotland (Appellant) (Scotland)

EXHIBIT UKSC/JFB/17 OF JULIAN BRENNAN, RE CONTEMPT OF COURT



Julian Brennan < julianfraserbrennan@gmail.com>

Document for the urgent attention of the Cabinet Secretary (to be read by all recipients)

1 message

Julian Brennan <julianfraserbrennan@gmail.com>

24 December 2020 at 10:25

To: ministerial.correspondence@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

Cc: psmichaelgove@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, psamandamilling@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, psleaderofthelords@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, commonsleader@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, pschloesmith@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, pressoffice@cabinetoffice.gov.uk, ago.privateoffice@attorneygeneral.gov.uk

Please ensure the attached is passed on to Simon Case personally and as a matter of the utmost urgency. Thank you. Julian Brennan



E-mail to Ursula von der Leyen, 24 December 2020.pdf



Julian Brennan <julianfraserbrennan@gmail.com>

Tort of Deceit - Personal Liability

1 message

Julian Brennan <julianfraserbrennan@gmail.com>

24 December 2020 at 10:10

To: ec-president-vdl@ec.europa.eu

Cc: mairead.mcguinness@europarl.europa.eu, Bjoern.SEIBERT@ec.europa.eu, Stephanie.Riso@ec.europa.eu, ago.privateoffice@attorneygeneral.gov.uk, psmichaelgove@cabinetoffice.gov.uk

Dear Ms von der Leven

I refer to the correspondence I sent you yesterday afternoon (see Exhibit UKSC/JFB/16 attached) and to what I said about you seeking legal advice from your personal lawyer about the terms and conditions of your contract of employment.

At present no trade deal between the EU and the UK had been agreed. According to Ireland's Foreign Minister, Simon Coveney, speaking on RTE at 8.30 this morning, there has been "some sort of last minute hitch" in reaching a final agreement. However, it is reported that an agreement will be announced simultaneously in London and Brussels later this morning.

You should pasue everything.

You cannot agree to such an agreement/treaty unless you first disclose essential information to the Members of the European Council (EU-27), and they give you the "go-ahead". If you do not disclose available information about Boris Johnson's legal status regarding fraud, and you and Mr Johnson enter into an agreement that has been reached between you both, you will knowingly act unlawfully and in your own personal interests - and not in the general interest of the EU, its Member States or the people and businesses of Europe. Any agreement will be void ab initio due to Bois Johnson's continuing fraud. I refer you to documents at https://constitutionallaw.uk/.

This means for you personally that you could possibly be stripped of your legal immunities and, if you make an announcement about a supposed "deal", and people then rely on what you say when taking finance related decisions, and their reliance then causes them detriment, it is possible you could be vulnerable personally to Claims for damages for the Tort of Deceit. I refer you to Exhibit UKSC/JFB/13 attached; though I emphasise that you should consult your personal lawyer about all such matters. You must take legal advice before you decide what you do.

It is clear from a comment made on BBC Radio 4 this morning by Sir Ian Cheshire, Chairman of Barclays UK, that his company expects "clarity" to come from a EU-UK trade deal, and that he thinks that it will allow business to plan for the future. Signs of upward market movements suggest support for that thinking. That shows the effect of Boris Johnson's dishonesty and the depth of his fraud; not that it does not exist and that he is acting in good faith. He is not. I emphasise to you: It would be foolhardy and reckless for you to believe Boris Johnson has acted and is acting honestly and in accordance with his legal duties. That is why I believe you must examine the facts and act to protect the European continent from calamity.

The "default" position in law is that the UK has not actually ceased to be a Member of the European Union and remains a party to the EEA Agreement (as does Gibraltar). You should resign and make the position clear. That should allow for a boost in the markets as it will be a signal that (for the forseeable future at least) all existing EU membership rules and regulations which exist due to the Treaties remain intact and still apply. That, together with a managed plan/programme to resolve the various legal problems in a way that permits a lawful and orderly withdrawal by the UK, is the certainty that would reflect the real position. The entire matter should have been referred to the ECJ. I think that should still happen as a result of the Commission acting directly, and ASAD

and non.	
The "ball" is in your court.	
Yours sincerely	
Julian Brennan	
2 attachments	
Exhibit 16.pdf 372K	
Exhibit 13.pdf 785K	