### VILLAGE OF NEW BERLIN

### ZONING BOARD MEETING

## **JUNE 19, 1995**

The New Berlin Zoning Board held a meeting on June 19, 1995 at 8:00 p.m. to consider Mr. Steve Juer's petition for a side yard variance at this property located at 303 W. Elm.

Don Prince, Chairman, opened the meeting with all members answering roll call but John Frank. Minutes of the last meeting were waived by a unanimous vote of the Board.

The requested variance is for an existing building located 2 1/2 feet from the east proposed property line. There was introduced a signed agreement between Mr. Juers and Mr. Bunting regarding this proposed east property line. However, at this time, Mr. Juers has not signed the deed for this 5 1/2 feet over to Mr. Bunting.

Mr. Bunting was present and stated he does not object to the variance for this existing building. He does not, however, agree with the measurements as marked on the building permit.

After the Board's consideration, a motion was made by Roach and seconded by Wilson to not approve this variance. Roll call was taken and passed with four Ayes, one Nay, one Absent. The Zoning Board's recommendation to the Village Board is to not approve this variance.

It should be noted that the hearing fee of \$50 has not been paid Mr. Juers. Also, the Board felt that building permits should always be acquired before actual construction is started.

The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Marsh J. Sweet

**Recording Secretary** 

| Date | 5-8-95   |  |
|------|--|--|
|      | The second secon |  |

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VILLAGE OF NEW BERLIN, ILLINCIS

| I (We) <u>578</u>     | EVEN NKKI JUERS and  |
|-----------------------|--|
| of RRI                | Box 44, BUFFALO, IL., 62515  |
|                       | (Address)  |
|                       | y request that a determination be made by the Zoning board of Appeals owing appeal:  |
| (ő <b>he</b> ck and e | complete the applicable appeal)  |
| <b>-</b> 1            | • An interpretation of Section of the Zoning Ordinance.  |
| <b>□</b> 2            | • An interpretation of a District Boundary of the Zoning Map.  |
| <b>□</b> 3            | *  |
|                       | shall be permitted in a (Type of Use) Commercial District,   |
| <b>-</b> 4            | <ul> <li>A review by the Board of Appeals to interpret and recommend approval or disapproval of the use of a building or premise for an Industrial purpose in an Industrial District.</li> </ul> |
| <b></b> 5             | A request to amend (or re-zone) a Zoning District from a   |
| <b>□</b> 6            | . A request to establish, place or erect a (Type of Use or variance)   |
| <b>为</b> 7            | A variance to the (area) (height) (coverage) ( yard) () provisions of the Zoning Ordinance.  |
|                       | e appeal being requested more specifically. (i.e. Type of use, type ons cf structure, dimension of yard variance, etc.).   |
| REQUE                 | ST SIDE YARD VARIANCE TO ALLOW CONTINUED   |
| PLACEA                | MENT OF EXISTING SHEDTO WITHIN (S) FEET  |
| OF EAS                | T PROPERTY LINE, DRAWING ON BUILDING   |
|                       | #586 CODE 9.206 B.   |
| SETTLE                | MENT OF CASE # 93-CH-180   |
| Bood a                | (see reverse side) - 6-21-95   |
| Variane               | - 6-21-95  |

| in a KESMENT   | r/AC Dist   | rict.   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| Lot No. (s) <u>/38</u> -   | -068  | of ORIGINAL T   | oωN<br>ivision, etc)   |
| Total Property Dim   | nensions: 6166  | wide 101.67   | _deep.   |
| Does applicant own   | the property?   | 5   |  |
| What is the approx   | imate cost of the work  | involved? \$  | -  |
| Present Property U   | se <u>RESIDENTIA</u>  | L RENTAL PRO  | PERTY  |
| (check one)  | g course person or a track of the course of | •   | The state of the s |
| □ I herel<br>schedu<br>-(NO FE)                                  | led hearing of the Zon  | ppeal be considered at th<br>ing Board of Appeals.  | e next regularly   |
| /\ hearing<br>Attache<br>the Vi                                  | g can be arranged, due<br>ed is a check in the a<br>llage of New Berlin as  | ppeal be considered as pr<br>notice given and adverti<br>mount of \$50.00<br>payment of the necessary<br>riations and amendments-\$ | sement madepayable tofiling fee.   |
| I further state that construction within                         | at if this request is<br>n one yearfrom the dat   | granted, I will proceed w<br>e of approval of this app  | eal.   |
| Date <u>5-8-95</u>   |   | (Signature  | of Applicant)  |
|  | 1.5901  | (* (0   |  |
| Date <u>5-8-95</u> Telephone No. <u>36</u> TELE PHONE            |   |   | of Applicant)  |
| Telephone No. <u>36</u>  | REQUEST   |   | -  |
| Telephone No. 36 TELE PHONE ************************************ | **** FOR USE OF ZONING  | (Signature  BOARD OF APPEALS: ONLY **   | -  |
| Telephone No. 36   | **** FOR USE OF ZONING  | (Signature  BOARD OF APPEALS: ONLY **   | -  |
| Telephone No. 36 TELE HONE ************************************  | **** FOR USE OF ZONING  5-26., 199  | (Signature  BOARD OF APPEALS: ONLY **   |  |

n sed various

## VILLAGE OF NEW BERLIN, ILLINOIS

## APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

|     |   |   | / |  |
|-----|---|---|---|--|
| NO. | ) | 0 | B |  |

FOR NEW BUILDINGS, AND TO MAKE ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS REPAIRS, BASEMENTS, AND FOUNDATIONS

NEW BERLIN, ILL. 1-16 1995

| The benefit, the same of the s |
|--|
| BUILDING LOCATED EAST EIMST  |
| TYPE OF BUILDING STOR ALE SHED ATTACHED TO BACKOF GARAGE   |
| PROPOSED USE STORAGE   |
| USE DISTRICT RESIDENTIAL AREA DISTRICT   |
| LOT NO. AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION # 138-008 ORIGINAL TOWN  |
| LOT SIZE WIDE 61.66 101.67 DEEP  |
| BUILDING SIZE WIDE 26' LONG 20' FT. HIGH ONE STORIES   |
| OWNER'S NAME STEVE STEVES. JURIBORESS.   |
| ARCHITECTADDRESS   |
| CONTRACTOR SEIF ADDRESS ADDRESS  |
| VOLUME OF BUILDINGCUBIC FT. ESTIMATED COST \$  |
| ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL OF THIS APPLICATION IS A PLAT OR FLOOR PLAN OF THE PROPOSED CON- STRUCTION, SHOWING POSITION OF IMPROVEMENT ON PROPOSED BUILDING SITE, TOGETHER WITH DIS- TANCES AND DIMENSIONS. THIS APPLICATION APPROVED BY BUILDING INSPECTOR  |
| THE APPLICANT HEREBY AGREES THAT THE APPROVAL OF PLANS, OR THE ISSUING OF A PERMIT, PRO-   |
| CURED BY MISREPRESENTATION OF FACTS OR CONDITIONS, MISSTATEMENT IN APPLICATION, OR THROUGH   |
| IMPROPER ACTION OF ANY OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THIS DEPARTMENT, DOES NOT LEGALIZE AN ILLEGAL  |
| CONSTRUCTION, ARRANGEMENT OR CONDITION.  |
|  |
| OWNER OR AGENT   |
| Juilding Permit No. 586 FEE FOR BUILDING PERMIT \$ 10 000  |

THIS APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT IS NOT VALID UNLESS MARKED ''PAID'' BY VILLAGE TREAS.

61.66 62701 ALIEX WAS CLOSED. SXISTING TO THE COUNTY EXISTING KNOBII HOME 101.67

Ţ



### AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is by and between LAWRENCE BUNTING and CHARLOTTE BUNTING (hereinafter "Buntings") and STEVEN JUERS and NICKI JUERS (hereinafter "Juers"), as follows:

WHEREAS, the Buntings and the Juers are plaintiffs and defendants, respectively, of Sangamon County Case No. 93-CH-180, instituted by the Buntings to quiet title as to the boundary lines between the Buntings' and Juers' respective properties in New Berlin, Illinois; and

WHEREAS, the Buntings and the Juers wish to resolve the issues raised in said lawsuit, and other matters as addressed herein, by settlement.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Upon execution of this Agreement:
  - a. The Buntings and the Juers shall stipulate to the dismissal without prejudice of Case No. 93-CH-180, with each party to be responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees.
  - b. The Juers shall transfer to the Buntings by quit claim deed that certain strip of land between their properties which would lie east of a line drawn between their properties that, at the northernmost end, would be six (6) feet east of the easternmost entrance to the Juers' driveway off of Elm Street, and which would run from that point to the south, parallel to the surveyor's pins installed to mark the platted boundary between the two properties. The parties agree that an additional survey for the legal description of each property will not be necessary, but rather said property shall be described by a line determined to be a given distance west of the

- surveyor's pins, as determined by the foregoing description.
- c. The Buntings shall pay the Juers Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00).
- 2. On or before April 15, 1995:
- a. The Juers shall have removed the telephone poles on both sides of their driveway, or cut them level to the ground.
- b. The Juers shall have painted the carport/leanto white and shall have begun to secure the roof to said carport/lean-to.
- The Buntings shall begin building a six-foot C. privacy fence which shall be on the property deeded above to the Buntings, but which shall be on the westernmost border of said property. The start of the building of the fence may be delayed by not more than 10 days to ensure that the removal of poles and the garage work by the Juers shall not interfere with such building. Upon beginning the building of said fence within the aforesaid time period, the Buntings shall continue building thereof with due diligence until completed, thereafter shall maintain said fence.
- 3. The Buntings have removed the television antenna from the western side of their house.
- 4. The Buntings shall not object to the lack of any building permit on any now existing structure at 303 West Elm, and shall further not object to the Juers' application for a permit for any now existing structure thereon.
- 5. If the Buntings wish to secure a partial release of the Juers' mortgage with Warren Boynton State Bank, or any other financial institution, on the property to be deeded to the

0-H 1565 SCR:sdp7

Buntings, the Juers will assist and cooperate in such effort as reasonably requested.

6. This Agreement may be enforced by specific performance or any other remedy available at law or equity.

EXECUTED this 16th day of February, 1995.

Lowence L. Kunting STEVEN JUERS

CHARLOTTE BUNTING

NICKI JUERS