

# Non-examination Assessment Policy

Last approved: October 2024 Approved by:

Next review due: August 2025

### Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
College Principal	Nathan Potter
Quality assurance lead/Lead internal verifier	Nathan Potter
Senior leader(s)	Mark Crawford
ALS lead/SENCo	Stephanie Hayward
CAPA Programme Leader	Jodie Harrop
CMI Programme Leader	Ben Thomas

### **Contents**

- 1. Key staff involved in the policy
- 2. What does this policy affect?
- 3. Purpose of the policy
- 4. What are non-examination assessments?
- 5. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities
  - a. The basic principles
  - b. Task setting
  - c. Issuing of tasks
  - d. Task taking
- 6. Supervision
- 7. Advice and feedback
- 8. Resources
- 9. Word and time limits
- 10. Collaboration and group work
- 11. Authentication procedures
- 12. Presentation of work
- 13. Keeping materials secure

- a. Task marking externally assessed components
- 14. Conduct of externally assessed work
  - a. Task marking internally assessed components
- 15. Marking and annotation
- 16. Internal standardisation
- 17. Consortium arrangements (not applicable to BN1 Arts within this academic year)
- 18. Submission of marks and work for moderation
- 19. Storage and retention of work after submission of marks
- 20. External moderation the process
- 21. External moderation feedback
  - a. Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments
  - b. Special consideration and loss of work
  - c. Malpractice
  - d. Post-results services
  - e. Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
  - f. Private candidates
- 22. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
  - Is classified as a non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments**, Foreword.) This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

### Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

### What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- · task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

### The basic principles

### **College Principal**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update)
   to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types
  of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### **Programme Leaders**

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)

 Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
  awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any
  subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's
  website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the Academic Coordinator is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
  the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the
  qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

### Task setting

### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

### Issuing of tasks

### Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

### Task taking

### Supervision

### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it
  must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents

#### Advice and feedback

### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- If providing candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task, will ensure
  these are for example purposes or support specific to a learner's EHCP only, and that all
  subsequent answers are the learner's own
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

### Resources

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any
  preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is
  stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

### Collaboration and group work

### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes
  up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

### **Authentication procedures**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results
  has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed,
  whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)

- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### Presentation of work

#### Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

### Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation,
   securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means

- (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates Social Media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT department to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
  deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes,
  provided that the originals are stored securely as required

### IT department

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall
  protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

#### Task marking - externally assessed components

### Conduct of externally assessed work

### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the Assessment & Curriculum Lead regarding the arrangements for any externally
  assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates
  specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for*conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

#### Submission of work

### Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

### Task marking - internally assessed components

### Marking and annotation

#### College Principal

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a
  close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which
  includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their
  immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the
  relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is
  part of the moderation sample

### **Programme Leaders**

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body

- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the
  centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking
  to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the
  awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes
   place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

### Consortium arrangements (not applicable to BN1 Arts within this academic year)

### **Programme Leaders**

 Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)

- If the consortium lead, liaises with the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to ensure the relevant
  awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA
  Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

### Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
- submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed
  work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later
  than the published deadline for each exam series affected
- submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
- where relevant, liaises with the other Assessment & Curriculum Leads in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Where applicable, inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to the internal deadline. Alternatively, submits marks to the Assessment & Curriculum Lead who will input marks to the EQA via an agreed method.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the
  external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to
  the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
  relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the
  moderator in addition to the sample requested

- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the
   Assessment & Curriculum Lead with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site or where applicable the awarding body EQA, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place

 If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Academic Coordinator**

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

### External moderation - the process

### Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

### External moderation - feedback

### **Programme Leaders**

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

### Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

### Subject teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

### Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place

- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates
   requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

### Special consideration and loss of work

### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the Assessment & Curriculum Lead when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Assessment & Curriculum Lead to report loss of work to the awarding body

### **Academic Coordinator**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site
  is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed
  timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

### Malpractice

### **College Principal**

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged,
   suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be reported to the awarding body)

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
  producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures
  that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected
  malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the College Principal

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures to the College Principal
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to the Programme Leaders
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the College Principal in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### Post-results services

### College Principal

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

### **Programme Leaders**

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

### Subject teacher

 Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available  Provides the Assessment & Curriculum Lead with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

#### **Academic Coordinator**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication
   Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

## Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

### **College Principal**

Returns an online 'College Principal declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number
Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to
ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the
Spoken Language endorsement

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### **Programme Leaders**

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria

- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction
  or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Academic Coordinator**

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

### **Private candidates**

### **Programme Leaders**

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar	Assessment &
	with	Curriculum
	and follow:	Lead/Programme
	the current JCQ publication Instructions for	Leaders (for
	conducting non-examination assessments	vocational
	the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing	programmes)
	NEA material and candidates' work -	
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-a	
	ssessments	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and	Assessment &
	understand they must not:	Curriculum
	<ul> <li>submit work which is not their own</li> </ul>	Lead/Programme
	make available their work to other candidates	Leaders (for
	through any medium	vocational
	allow other candidates to have access to their	programmes)
	own independently sourced material	
	assist other candidates to produce work	
	use books, the internet or other sources without	
	acknowledgement or attribution	
	submit work that has been word processed by a	
	third party without acknowledgement	
	include inappropriate, offensive or obscene	
	material	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made aware	
	of the JCQ documents Information for candidates -	
	non-examination assessments and Information for	
	candidates – Social Media -	
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates	
	-documents and understand they must not post their	
	work on social media	
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	Assessment &
failure/corruption of task	task noted prior to start of course	Curriculum
details where set task details	IT systems checked prior to key date	

accessed from the awarding	Alternative IT system used to gain access	Lead/IT
body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task	department
	details	
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body	Programme
teacher fails to meet the	training information, practice materials etc.	Leaders
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand	
detailed in the specification	the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding	
	body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	Subject teachers
understand the marking	criteria described in the specification that is not specific	
criteria and what they need to	to the work of an individual candidate or group of	
do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking	
	criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking	
	criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	
absence during the task	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
setting stage		
	Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	Subject teachers
issued to candidates on time	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details	
	when set task will be issued and needs to be completed	
	by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	Subject teachers
candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task	
	will be issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	
absence during the issuing of	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
tasks stage		
	<u> </u>	

A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part	Programme
expresses concern about	of the sample which will be recorded	Leaders
safeguarding, confidentiality	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity	
or faith in undertaking a task	where unable to record the required number of	
such as a presentation that	candidates for the monitoring sample	
may be recorded		
	Task taking	
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Programme
with other centre or	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	Leaders
candidate activities	calendar	
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and	Assessment &
inadequate for candidates to	IT facilities for the start of the course	Curriculum Lead
take tasks under appropriate	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	
supervision	insufficient for number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam	
	venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the	Programme
candidates to enable work to	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting	Leaders
be authenticated	non-examination assessments and any other specific	
	instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification	
	in relation to the supervision of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's	
	non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Programme
malpractice prior to	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	Leaders
submitting their work for	assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	Acting SENCo
not put in place for an	guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to	
assessment where a	determine the process to be followed to apply for	
candidate is approved for	special consideration for the candidate	
arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
l		

Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Programme
advice and feedback not	teachers to record all information provided to candidates	Leaders
given by subject teacher prior	before work begins as part of the centre's quality	
to starting on their work	assurance procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Programme
and feedback given by	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to	Leaders
subject teacher during the	candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	
task-taking stage	centre's quality assurance procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given	
	to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject	Programme
assistance was given to	teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where	Leaders/College
candidates by the subject	relevant	Principal
teacher over and above that	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	
allowed in the regulations	assistance given	
and specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	Subject Teacher
information from published	information before work is submitted for formal	
source	assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	

	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and	Subject Teacher
references as required	re-draft the set out of references before work is	
	submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	Programme
late after formally supervised	candidate to catch up	Leaders
task taking has started		
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what	Programme
centre during the course	can be done depending on the stage at which the move	Leaders
	takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to	College Principal
complete a non-examination	determine if the specification is available to a candidate	
assessment(s)	outside mainstream education	
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and	
	marking are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are	Subject Teachers
and resources between	collected in and kept secure between formally	
formally supervised sessions	supervised sessions	
	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are	
	collected in and kept secure between formally	
	supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access	
	for candidates is restricted between formally supervised	
	sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	Subject Teachers
acknowledge sources on	planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the	
work that is submitted for	sources used, including books, websites and	
assessment	audio/visual resources	
L	!	i

	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work	
	of the candidate should be marked where candidate's	
	detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's	
	records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a	
	mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the	
	candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body specification has	Subject Teachers
the awarding body for	been checked to determine if word or time limits are	
exceeding word or time limits	mandatory	
	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	
	discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to	
	them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group wo	rk	
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has	Programme
groups where the awarding	been checked to determine if group work is permitted	Leaders
body specification states this	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
is not permitted	remains unresolved	
Authentication procedures	I	
A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of	Programme
the authenticity of the work	the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA	Leaders
submitted by a candidate for	material and candidates' work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	
	the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	
Candidate plagiarises other	non-examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what	
	they need to do to comply with the regulations for	
	non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	
	awarding body	
	<u> </u>	

Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	Programme
authentication	the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	Leaders
statement/declaration	non-examination assessments	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they	
	need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in	
	the JCQ document Information for candidates:	
	non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting	
	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Programme
to sign authentication forms	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of	Leaders
	marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality	
	assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	Programme
complete the awarding	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	Leaders
body's cover sheet that is	assessment	
attached to their worked		
submitted for formal		
assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	Programme
formal supervised sessions is	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	Leaders
not securely stored	conducting non-examination assessments	
	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject	
	teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is	Programme
available to subject teacher	available to subject teacher prior to the start of the	Leaders
	course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	IT department
electronically is not securely	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	
stored	conducting non-examination assessments	
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit	
	by IT department ensures:	
	access to this material is restricted by allowing	
	specific staff access to certain areas of the BN1	
	1	

	Arts Drive (online google Drive in which all	
	student work is stored)	
	appropriate security safeguards are in place,	
	including firewalls and password protection for	
	emails and Drive access	
	<ul> <li>using the automative back up system on the</li> </ul>	
	Drive, an effective back-up strategy is employed	
	so that an up to date archive of candidates'	
	evidence is maintained	
	any sensitive digital media is stored on a	
	separate Drive to ensure the security of the data	
	stored within it	
Т	ask marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	Programme
day of the examiner visit for	alternative assessment arrangements can be made for	Leaders
an acceptable reason	the candidate	
	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and	
	a request submitted to the awarding body where	
	appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance	Subject Teacher
day of the examiner visit for	register	
an unacceptable reason		
1	ask marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	Subject Teacher
no work	recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the	
	awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work	
	produced is assessed against the assessment criteria	
	and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	
	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
	zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	Programme
finish their work for	guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to	Leaders
unforeseen reason	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	
	shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	Programme
lost or damaged	Instructions for conducting non-examination	Leaders
		<u> </u>

	assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	College Principal
discovered	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	
	assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current	
	JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and	
	Procedures are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also	
	followed	
A teacher assesses the work	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing	College Principal
of a candidate with whom	the awarding body before the published deadline for	
they have a close personal	entries for each examination series	
relationship e.g. members of	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for	
their family (which includes	moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	
step-family, foster family and		
similar close relationships) or		
close friends and their		
immediate family (e.g.		
son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension	College Principal
for submission of marks is	can be granted	
required for a legitimate	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	
reason	guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to	
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	
	non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	College Principal
is discovered that the wrong	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	
task was given to candidates	guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to	
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed to	
	apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been	Programme
appeal/request a review of	awarded for their work prior to the marks being	Leaders/Assessm
the marks awarded for their	submitted to the awarding body	ent & Curriculum
work by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their	Lead
	marks	
		<u> </u>

	b	
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to	
	change through the awarding body's moderation	
	process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale	
	identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and	
	prior to the internal deadline set by the Assessment &	
	Curriculum Lead for the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are	
	made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures	
	and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a	
	review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of	
	marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by	Programme
for formal assessment not	candidates at the start of the course	Leaders
met by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and	
	understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body	
	guidance sought to determine if the work can be	
	accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's	
	deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the	
	work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of	Programme
marks and samples of	each academic year	Leaders
candidates work ignored by	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject	
subject teacher	heads as deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by	
	subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are	
	followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff	
absence during the marking	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
period		