### Appendix G

### **Cultural Survey Report**

## STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE SURVEY REPORT SUMMARY FORM

1

#### 1. REPORT TITLE

1a. Report Title: A Cultural Resource Survey for the Painted Desert Demonstration Project Food Hub, Leupp Road, Coconino County, Arizona

1b. Report Author(s): Andrew L. Christenson

1c. Date: 7/28/18 1d. Report No.:

#### 2. PROJECT REGISTRATION/PERMITS

2a. ASM Accession Number:

2b. AAA Permit Number:

2c. ASLD Lease Application Number(s):

2d. Other Permit Number(s).:

#### 3. ORGANIZATION/CONSULTING FIRM

3a. Name: Andrew L. Christenson

3b. Internal Project Number:

3c. Internal Project Name: PDDP Food Hub

3d. Contact Name: A. L. Christenson

3e. Contact Address: 746 Redondo Road, Prescott, AZ 86303

3f. Contact Phone: 928-308-5758

3g. Contact Email: alchristenson@cableone.net

#### 4. SPONSOR/LEAD AGENCY

4a. Sponsor: Painted Desert Demonstration Project

4b. Lead Agency: USDA - Rural Development

4c. Agency Project Number(s):

4d. Agency Project Name:

4e. Funding Source(s): Federal; private

4f. Other Involved Agencies:

4g. Applicable Regulations: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

January 2016 (Rev. 1)

# STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE SURVEY REPORT SUMMARY FORM

2

**5. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT OR UNDERTAKING:** Development of a food hub that will serve as a packing, aggregation and distribution center for local/regional producers.

6. PROJECT AREA/AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS: This is a 15.87 a parcel next to Leupp Road. The APE is the same as the project area.

#### 7. PROJECT LOCATION

7a. Address: 19722 Leupp Road

7b. Route: 7c. Mileposts Limits:

7d. Nearest City/Town: Flagstaff 7e. County: Coconino

7f. Project Locator UTM: 473043 Easting 3906546 Northing 7g. NAD 83 7h. Zone: 12

7i. Baseline & Meridian: G&S 7j. USGS Quadrangle(s): Merriam Crater

7k. Legal Description(s): T22N R11E S11, SE

#### 8. SURVEY AREA

8a. Total Acres: 15.87

8b. Survey Area.

1. Land Jurisdiction	2. Total Acres Surveyed	3. Total Acres Not Surveyed	4. Justification for Areas Not Surveyed
Private; Painted Desert Demonstration Project	12.4	3.5	built on or graded

### 9. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXTS

9a. Landform: Shallow basin between volcanic craters

9b. Elevation: 5590

9c. Surrounding Topographic Features: Saddle Crater, South and North Sheba craters

9d. Nearest Drainage: San Francisco Wash 2.5 mi. south

9e. Local Geology: volcanic

9f. Vegetation: Great Basin Grassland - grass, Russian thistle, a few junipers

9g. Soils/Deposition: volcanic cinders

January 2016 (Rev. 1)

	STATE HISTORIC PRESERV. SURVEY REPORT SUMM		3
9h. Buried Depos	its: not likely		
9i. Justification:	plowing and surface modifications h	ave not turned up any art	tifacts
Well), pump, water	ONMENT: Property has a mobile hon r delivery infrastructure, a warehou: er. Star Charter School is across Leup	se, sheds, and a parking l	ot. All of the above
11. INVENTORY	CLASS COMPLETED		
11a. Class I Inven	tory:		
11b. Researcher(	s):		
11c. Class II Surve	ey:		
11d Sampling Str	ategy:		
11e. Class III Inve	entory: 🖂		
12. BACKGROUNI	D RESEARCH SOURCES		
12a. AZSITE: 🖂			
12b. ASM Archae	ological Records Office:		
12c. SHPO Invent	ories and/or SHPO Library:		
12d. NRHP Datab	ase: 🖂		
12e. ADOT Portal	: <u> </u>		
12f. GLO Maps: 19	932 map shows Flagstaff to Leupp ro	ad in S11	
12g. Land- Manag	ging Agency Files:		
12h. Tribal Cultu	ral Resources Files:		
12i. Local Govern	ment Websites: Coconino County p	arcel information	
12j. Other:			
13. BACKGROUNI	D RESEARCH RESULTS		
	jects Within Study Area.		
1. Project Reference	2. Project Name	3. Author(s)	4. Year
Number			
1993-079	Willow Springs Ranch fence	Williams, S. M.	1993
1995-20	San Juan Line & Loop Line	DeMaagd, H. S.	1995
1997-441	Flagstaff Pipeline Survey	no information	
	January 2016 (R	ev. 1)	

# STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE SURVEY REPORT SUMMARY FORM

4

2003-349	Kolb Materials Pit	Hammack, L	2003
2005-763	Miller Mine Archaeological	E. Lane	2005
	Inventory		

13b. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources Within Study Area.

1. Site	2. Affiliation	3. Site Type	4. Eligibility	5. Associated
Number/Name			Status	Reference(s)
AZ:I:11:33	Sinagua, post 1066	ceramic scatter	considered	Hammack 2003
(ASM)			elibible by	
			recorder	
AZ:I:11:36	и	ceramic scatter	и	и
(ASM)				

13c. Historic Buildings/Districts/Neighborhoods.

1. Property Name or Address	2. Year	3. Eligibility Status
NONE		

#### 14. CULTURAL CONTEXTS

14a. Prehistoric Culture: Northern Sinagua

14b. Protohistoric Culture: Hopi

14c. Indigenous Historic Culture: Hopi; Navajo

14d. Euro-American Culture: Use for grazing; transportation (Flagstaff to Leupp); pipeline

corridor; subdivision in the 1980s?

#### 15. FIELD SURVEY PERSONNEL

15a. Principal Investigator: Andrew L. Christenson

15b. Field Supervisor: same

15c. Crew: none

15d. Fieldwork Date(s): 7/16/18

#### 16. SURVEY METHODS

16a. Transect Intervals: 17 m apart

16b. Coverage (%): 100


January 2016 (Rev. 1)


16c. Site Recording Criteria: ASM  16d. Ground Surface Visibility: 95%  16e. Observed Disturbances: southeast quarter of project area has buildings, a parking area, and has been extensively disturbed  17. FIELD SURVEY RESULTS  17a. No Cultural Resources Identified: □  17b. Isolated Occurrences [IOs] Only: ☑  17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1  17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO 2. Description 3. Date Range 4. UTMs  Range 1  1 flattened food can (roadside trash) 20th century 473174E 3906833N  18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.	16d. Grou 16e. Obse	and Surface Visibility: 95%  rved Disturbances: southeast quarter of project extensively disturbed	area has buildings, a	
16e. Observed Disturbances: southeast quarter of project area has buildings, a parking area, and has been extensively disturbed  17. FIELD SURVEY RESULTS  17a. No Cultural Resources Identified: □  17b. Isolated Occurrences (IOs) Only: ☑  17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1  17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO 2. Description 3. Date Range 4. UTMs Range  1 flattened food can (roadside trash) 20th century 473174E 3906833N	16e. Obse	rved Disturbances: southeast quarter of project extensively disturbed	area has buildings, a	
has been extensively disturbed  17. FIELD SURVEY RESULTS  17a. No Cultural Resources Identified:   17b. Isolated Occurrences (IOs) Only:   17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1  17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO  2. Description  3. Date Range  1 flattened food can (roadside trash)  20th century  473174E 3906833N		extensively disturbed	area has buildings, a	
17a. No Cultural Resources Identified: ☐  17b. Isolated Occurrences (IOs) Only: ☑  17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1  17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO 2. Description 3. Date Range  1 flattened food can (roadside trash) 20th century 473174E 3906833N				parking area, and
17b. Isolated Occurrences (IOs) Only:   17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1  17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO	17. FIELD	SURVEY RESULTS		
17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1 17d. Table of IOs.  1. IO	17a. No Cı	ultural Resources Identified:		
1. IO Sumber 2. Description 3. Date Range 4. UTMs Range 1 flattened food can (roadside trash) 20th century 3906833N	17b. Isola	ted Occurrences (IOs) Only: 🛛		
1. IO Number  1 flattened food can (roadside trash)  2. Description  3. Date Range  4. UTMs  473174E 3906833N	17c. Numl	ber of IOs Recorded: 1		
Number  flattened food can (roadside trash)  Range  20th century  473174E 3906833N	17d. Table	e of IOs.		
3906833N		2. Description		4. UTMs
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.	1	flattened food can (roadside trash)	20th century	
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
18. COMMENTS: No further archaeological work is recommended for this project.				
	18. COMM	IENTS: No further archaeological work is recomn	nended for this projec	t.


STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE 6 SURVEY REPORT SUMMARY FORM
SECTION 19. ATTACHMENTS  19a. Project Location Map: ⊠  19b. Land Jurisdiction Map: ⊠  19c. Background Research Map(s): □  19d. GLO Map(s): □  19e. References: □
SECTION 20. CONSULTANT CERTIFICATION
I certify the information provided herein has been reviewed for content and accuracy and all work meets applicable agency standards. $ \\$
As Oth
Signature
Owner/PI Title
SECTION 21. DISCOVERY CLAUSE  In the event that previously unreported cultural resources are encountered during ground

In the event that previously unreported cultural resources are encountered during ground disturbing activities, all work must immediately cease within 30 meters (100 feet) until a qualified archaeologist has documented the discovery and evaluated its eligibility for the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places in consultation with the lead agency, the SHPO, and Tribes, as appropriate. Work must not resume in this area without approval of the lead agency.

If human remains are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, all work must immediately cease within 30 meters (100 feet) of the discovery and the area must be secured. The Arizona State Museum, lead agency, SHPO, and appropriate Tribes must be notified of the discovery. All discoveries will be treated in accordance with NAGPRA (Public Law 101-601; 25 U.S.C. 3001-3013) or Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S. § 41-844 and A.R.S. § 41-865), as appropriate, and work must not resume in this area without authorization from ASM and the lead agency.







~End of Report~