

4
people
-
PEOPLE

fIDELiTY



FIDELITY



ISBN : 978-981-08-5762-2

PRODUCE OF IN10CITY

Good heaving, listeners. Glad you could be part of our vessel known as 33.3 IM Radio once again. Today we engage in matters of the human heart.

WHY IS

FIDELITY

SO IMPORTANT TO US?

Do keep your tissue boxes ready and your eyes and ears peeled as it will be an emotional ride.

Society has long coupled human expectations with human emotions. As time goes on we begin to attach certain modes of behaviour with certain emotions. This of course leads to labelling and judgmentalist mentality.

What is it that truly separates human beings from animals? Why do human beings believe that they are the most highly evolved species simply because of the behaviours and rituals that they engage in? We must therefore question if our evolution is liberating or oppressive.

Fidelity is a fundamental brick in the wall that human beings have created to contain and maintain their life code. Society heralds the ability to give without expecting any returns and to be generous unconditionally. The bleak truth however is that we do demand and expect that all the positivity we donate to society will eventually return to us. When we are loyal to our spouse, we expect loyalty in return. We offer remunerations and other benefits to employees in hope of gaining their loyalty and devotion. Societal institutions such as marriage, divorce and even bank loans herald and advocate fidelity in some form or another.

The question of whether fidelity is a social convention, merely a construct created by society or whether it is human nature comes to

mind. If fidelity is just another bad habit we have become accustomed to then is it really reasonable to expect it to be returned in the name of human nature?

Why then should we except or bestow fidelity? Is fidelity beneficial or just another bad habit of political correctness and synthetic pleasantries that is constantly imposed on us?

Stay tuned as our RJ's detangle the dead knots of human expectations and attempt to answer the unasked.





Thanks for the suggestion, RJ Phlox. Let's discuss and analyse "Fidelity". What is "Fidelity"? Is it in the virtue of Humans?

Can it be demanded or expected? If so, who can demand and expect it?

Which is the one demanding Fidelity?

A terrorist or gangster demands fidelity from his subordinate.

How should the future go about this?

Prior to this, I did not know that there is a word called "fidelity", but now I know, thanks to 33.3 IM Radio. According to Wiktionary.com, "fidelity" is the faithfulness in one's duties especially to one's spouse. Yes, I think that fidelity exists and is in the virtue of humans.

It can be expected but it certainly cannot be forced. If someone doesn't want to give it, we cannot force fidelity out of him or her. Anyone can demand or expect fidelity from everyone, but the question is, how many will give and provide fidelity freely?

The future should continue the state of fidelity, for without it, there would be no security and no promises in the world at all. An employee's lack of faithfulness to his or her own employer could mean that he or she can leave the company whenever just because the quality of faithfulness and fidelity is not present.





Rj Phlox

In my opinion, fidelity cannot be demanded but can be expected. If a person loves something enough, there is no doubt that there will be faithfulness and commitment in that relationship. The problem creeps in when one demands fidelity and thinks that he or she can just get it. However, it is something that is unspoken and a gift of love. I think fidelity disappears the moment it is talked about. The most beautiful thing about fidelity is that it comes about with our own need to be faithful to someone who does not demand it.

People give too much importance to cheating and betrayal, but I think it is best to walk away from such relationships and look for more lasting relationships, and yes, the truth remains that fidelity is not impossible and it can be achieved.

I agree that Fidelity is something that cannot be demanded though it can be expected. This comes in someone's nature automatically. If a person is true and trusts someone, it is obvious he or she would be loyal to that person. Fidelity is always two sided. If the person you are with gives you equal respect and rights, you tend to be loyal, and Fidelity goes along with this.




However, if it is the opposite case, you may never know when the Betrayal creeps into both personal and employee-employer relationship. Trust, satisfaction and mutual understanding are a few adjectives which fidelity will consist of.



What is this Fidelity? Why is it still construed and understood but never spelt out? There are many employees who wish to remain in fidelity without working or producing or creating and they just wait for the salary at the end of the month. Is remaining in the job considered as fidelity and is the salary paid for that fidelity?

What about women who, after marriage, never allow intercourse or are unwilling to give birth and just remain as wife with fidelity? How then is one of the spouses seeing another person considered an infidel?



Fidelity means different things to many, from loyalty to responsibility in terms of fulfilling obligatory duties. I believe that fidelity stems from the need to be in control of someone and their actions. We have invested a certain amount of emotions, trust or faith in them. Therefore, like any good shares, we expect the returns to be magnificent or at least not loss-generating in any way.

Fidelity may be expected, but most of the time, it is lost when it is demanded. Fidelity may be in the virtue of humans but predominantly because of the guilt that most human beings would feel and the belief in Karma (What goes around comes around). What I am saying here is that humans are not naturally born faithful, and it is certainly not in human nature to be faithful. They are simply acting on the pressure to behave in a certain way.

From the time that we are children, we are thought fidelity. In religion and in school, we are always told to give back whether it is to the community, to our parents or to our country. It is because of this that many of us believe in blind faithfulness and loyalty at times.

The government, for example, demands fidelity from its soldiers who are told that they should lay down their lives for the country that feeds and clothes them. However, the truth is that war is nothing but a

prissy fight started by politicians for which the people have to die for, and a country is merely just a piece of land in which we were born and raised. We did not ask to be born on that piece of land. Our parents would demand fidelity, claiming that they love us and raised us when they did so only because of fidelity (obligations and responsibility). I never asked them to give birth to me, and my conception could have been the result of failed birth control.

Fidelity, while possessing admirable qualities on the surface, can also be used to control and tighten the reins on society and its individuals. Fidelity is nothing but the need human beings feel to collect returns on their human investments. We demand loyalty in return for our time and efforts spent on the individual.

In the future, if we are seeking authenticity instead of obligatory faithfulness, then what we should be able to do is to give or spend time with someone without expecting anything in return and simply allowing them to be. If we do get our returns and the individual truly does not feel obligated in any way, then we will know that they are truly loyal and faithful.



Fidelity to me is being faithful in the presence or even in the absence of the partner, in a relationship or employer—employee relationship. I have always found that people have given their best when they are appreciated and given freedom.

I think this is how everyone feels deep down inside, but there are also some who dictate, demand and dominate others. Maybe their partners may appear to be outwardly faithful, but I am sure their thoughts have wavered in such circumstances. The government is a classic example of such, as RJ Melancholetta has stated. Fidelity in such cases is only one-sided and hence can never be long-lasting. Given half a chance, I am sure every soldier in a war would want to quit!

RJ Fox, can we also include fidelity in thoughts because what is the difference anyway? They say divorce happens in the mind long before the papers for divorce appears on table.



What is fidelity in the physique? It is always in the thought. I can quite simply lock up something and put my name on it. It is like a notebook with just my name on it, and it has to wait until I find something to write about or it has to remain in my table forever. I may also buy newer notebooks in case the cover, bind or the rules in it are better. But if someone else scribbles on it, then notebook becomes an infidel.

Since it was physically impossible to lock humans in cages, they started using their mind so they don't masturbate and remain a virgin for their spouse to operate.

In fact, in my observation, I would say that women were given education and made to advance not because of the understanding, but because men who started advancing, found their partners to be way behind, and thus started deserting. This prompted parents to send girls to

get education.

In my finding, fidelity is a highly misunderstood and misapplied word. It is good to hear that you have learnt a vital word now, RJ Rose. There are many in this world who remain with fidelity without knowing what it is, and there are also many who claim to be one but are the worst infidels. RJ Phlox brought in the right tone to this thread which is "Thoughts".

I am sure you would have come across the word Hi-Fi, which stands for High Fidelity in music systems. This is the problem with abbreviations where we tend to use the word without knowing why it came about, and slowly the entire world becomes infidels to life. The stupidity can be well seen in the way the word Hi-Fi gets pronounced, for I see now that there are two different pronunciations for that.

The British pronunciation is appropriate to me as the "Fi" pronunciation is consistent with that of "fidelity". The American way or the most popular now is "Hi-Fy". All the more stupidity occurs when the person asks clarification whether I meant "Hi-Fy" when I pronounce as "Hi-Fi". I will instantly know that this person does not know the real word and just uses it as a fashioned word atypical of the Modern, educated and high-fashion Bimbo.

There is the same confusion in pronouncing word "divorce" as "dyvorce". Who can throw light on the pronunciation of the word?



Thank you, RJ Fox. Indeed you have pointed it out correctly! I am certain that no matter what people claim, as you say "Since it was physically impossible to lock humans in cages, they started using their mind."

I absolutely agree that everything is in the mind and that no human can claim that he or she is better than the others. That is one reason I hate people who judge others.

This brings another big question: "Can anyone actually claim they are virgins?" If so, on what basis do they say they are virgins?


You are bringing another important aspect, one that is very related. There is absolutely no point in claiming physical virginity after letting the thoughts go astray and imagining sex with someone. Nature has also proven that an orgasm can be achieved perfectly with absolutely no physical contact.



From what I know, women who give birth through artificial insemination and never have an intercourse with a real penis claim to be virgins. This is another one of the marriage institution's victimization, and it makes a surrogate out of the young and poor. There is another phenomenon of an older sister convincing the younger sister or cousin to submit to bear a baby due to her inability to bear one of her own, but they are never given a share in the property so the wife enjoys the estates of her husband's family by just peeing some money or by using loving words.

On the whole, this observation has to end, at least for In10city. Those who remain one are those who chide the most, spoil the innocent and divert the word from abstinence to intercourse. In my comprehension, virginity is absolutely not where it is being observed now and it is something that returns in periods for you become a virgin again for the next baby. Jobless or vacant in mind is not virginity but the ability to conceive, sustain and deliver it as it is.

A distorted and preconceived mind only leads to an impotency which can be viewed as noncooperation or uselessness in another way.



Virginity means different things to different people, and I will be the first to admit that though I may be a virgin in flesh, I am certainly a harlot in mind. If we base virginity on the mind as opposed to the flesh, then we have all lost our cherries a long time ago, considering that children

begin wondering about sex and engaging in fantasies as well at an early age.

In reality, I do not believe that sex is unclean, and I truly believe that we should be free to explore it as long as both parties are consenting. Children begin seeking sexual pleasure at a young age, which is why they explore masturbation even without knowing that they are masturbating. Therefore, this is a natural and biological process just like eating, scratching or laughing. We can then claim that even in a physical sense, no one is a virgin as they have had sex with themselves.

I, too, agree that everything begins in the mind. We think the thoughts before they materialize into actions, and we decide in our minds before we seal the envelope with our physical actions. When a couple end a relationship, they are only officiating it with a divorce or a separation, but in reality, they severed the ties that bonded them together in their minds a long time ago.

This is the same reason why we often hear that emotional and mental cheating is worse than physical cheating, in which one has a one-night stand, fling or physical affair with someone else. What originates in the mind is far more fatal than what ends in the hotel room.


When we cheat emotionally and mentally, we begin longing and yearning for the persons. We imagine ourselves with them, and these thoughts accumulate layer upon layer. In the long run, this mental yearning is much more severe than a one-time physical affair or a brief physical fling.



This is a good assertion that needs to be explored further. A similar expression is what RJ Fox and I found in Con Fessori's upcoming book that we are editing. He has observed that we were misled by the past to believe that we have sex with another, but in reality, we only have sex with ourselves through another.

This means that for one gender, the other just becomes a tool or utility or device. He jokingly states that women's liberation must have come in on time or else society would have progressed to associate masculinity even with her dildo and married her to it so that she did not change to a better model that unveiled later.

Another related word that just struck me is the word "Bona-Fide" meaning "good faith", the presence of Fide is what we see in Fidelity.



Yes, indeed, we are fully capable of bringing ourselves to an orgasm, but we do use another person's genitals as a tool to provide ourselves the very same pleasure. This is why I have heard women say that vibrators are better than men because they are not selfish during sex and that you don't have to cook for them or clean up after them later. A vibrator offers the same benefits that a male genital does except its better because it can be used anytime and it never complains.

Yes, the way society was going, it may very well have married women off to their dildos for having sex with it before marriage (LOL) and god forbid if they replaced their battery-operated husbands with a better model as soon as the motor started to slow down.



Right, women marrying their toys. What about men then?

Men are more fortunate as they don't have to marry their "toys". They have the upper hand in achieving orgasm. It's a matter of using the right way or the left way.



You mean get them married to their hand? Won't that be incest?

Women's liberation never got anywhere for this reason of tit for tat application. Start thinking how you were made a woman in the first place by men. Start becoming husbands and demand having males as Wives. That is true women's liberation.



Men do not even need to get married to their hands because they are already inborn with them and therefore already one in flesh and blood. This is a very good plan for men because, pardon me if I am wrong, I asked around and realized that most men actually do not want to get married but if the woman they so-called love wants to, they will do it for her. This gave me quite a shock! They make it sound as though they are being forced to get married. They make it sound as though marriage would be their greatest gift to a woman. This is really degrading. Maybe this is the new era of men, women and marriage.



This is very true, Men really make it sound like women are dying to get married and they are doing a great favor by getting married. However, the reverse is also very much real where many women get married because they feel pity for the men.

I know many may not agree but there are people out there in the world who get married out of pity and not love. Perhaps you are right, RJ Rose. Maybe it is a new era of men, women and marriage.


I suppose that if men were to marry their toys, they could marry those life-size love dolls or even the silicon vagina moulds. In Japan, there are men who prefer life-size sex dolls to real women and even take these dolls out on dates. There is also the bolster covers with the image of a young girl printed on them that Japanese men date and, yes, even marry.



I feel that men do not have the upper hand in climaxing as if done the proper way and women could achieve one easily with their fingers as well. Men, however, do have a more simplistic technique as an outer organ is much easier to pleasure than an inner one.



I have watched a documentary about these life-size dolls called "Guys and Dolls". It is really interesting, and it happens not only in Japan. This lifestyle is creeping into America as well. There is a factory producing these dolls, and men can choose the kind of body shape, breast size, eye color, lips contour, and so on, to take home as a companion and to make love to. Some men buy not only one but three or four at one go to have multiple companions.



In my opinion, Women's Lib, as with all fundamental feminism, is very rigid. Feminism claims to fight for a woman's right, but women have the right to choose, and if a woman is happy being a homemaker and adhering to traditional gender choices, then that is her right. The same goes for a woman's choice to use her sexuality freely and her right to have an abortion as well. Fundamental feminist groups, however, argue that a woman is being exploited even if it is her choice to be submissive, engage in prostitution, have an abortion or even be promiscuous. When women's groups claim that these things are a result of women being exploited, even when it is the woman's choice, then they are being just as misogynistic as the male chauvinist pigs.

Yes, I once watched a film about prostitution in which a prostitute was quoted as saying:

"The more successful men become in life and the more they accomplish, the more they need a woman to kiss, hold and love them just like their mothers once did."

I know this from personal experience, as I date older men in their 50s who are simply so lonely inside that they are just longing for a woman to kiss them tenderly and listen to them speak about how their

day went. They crave attention and affection so badly, and I feel that this relates to the love dolls in a way. Maybe these men purchase these dolls because they long for someone who will listen to them and never talk back. In the Japanese news clip I watched about these love dolls, a man who owned hundreds of dolls claimed that he preferred dolls because they would never break his heart, cheat on him or leave him.

I think it all stems from loneliness. If we use Japanese society as an example, we see that Japanese women are more career-oriented and moving away from traditional roles, which the men seem to crave so much. It could also mean that modern women and men want different things out of life, and this is causing some to find affection and love in very different ways.



What we don't realize is how we have been orphaned after being brought into the world, without us asking for it.

It takes a lot of experience, maturity and empathy to even come to this understanding that we are a society ruined by weddings of the past. The society ruthlessly provides for sex through wedding, and people have been getting wedded without knowing what marriage is and then struggling in wrongful wedlock without an alternative. Therefore, all are being deprived of true love in the name of providence.

There are many males who are continually pregnant in their mind and never get relief or a proper gynecologist to assist them in the delivery. This society, however, knows only to be kind to those bloated tummies but has no eye to notice those bloated in their mind. They read everything in advance and learn everything else, but patience and empathy to someone who is trying to express something new.

It is easy to brand someone as a "Successful" man but never to see them as "Tired souls" that need to be nursed. Their body may be aged, but their souls are young. It is at that time that they need a playmate, not for the body but for the soul. It is at that time that they like silly jokes, comments or silly games that a child engages in, for these are what distract them and rest their souls.

A geisha or prostitute is a gynecologist for the soul. Alas, this society did not even spare the profession of prostitute and

has disdained it to a whore. I think MONEY is the prime culprit of the society and has gotten everywhere and ruined everything. You live just to pay back, and you are loved because you have installments to pay.

The word Prostitute only means “to stand and tutor”, which must have been the word for “teacher” in Latin. Fidelity is nothing but being responsible for whatever the person is engaged in. It does not matter how many different things one does but doing just and giving a hand where it is most needed is fidelity.

It is very difficult to explain this in a world that presumes (pre-assumes) that male is man and that man has to be a husband and a father. Just investigate these words and we will know how the meanings for these words are inaccurate.



Metaphorically speaking, fidelity is the conceiving, developing, sustaining and delivering of what one gets inspired with. Inspiration always comes out of an intercourse with something.




Fidelity is nothing but getting our own priorities right and reminding ourselves of them as often as possible. Dr Crooks once told me that the true spelling of the word “prayers” should be “Priors”. I hope you see how beautiful the significance is when the line reads “Please get your priors right” and “do not offer your priors for someone else to recite as Prayers”.

In a few ways, we have set ourselves like in a Game of Cards, where we give a lead to another to see what card they drop and our TOPIC wall is a consequence of all the cards laid out in a particular order. The trump card is not easily identifiable but is always withheld. The art of playing is in the way we incite and bring out the trump card, which is what is making nature spill out the truth to us. It's a good learning curve where we are unable to draft a neat figure even for the word “Fidelity”.



I have seen a documentary on these life-size sex dolls that are so popular abroad especially in Japan and how men collect them and keep them. In fact, some of them prefer dolls over women and prefer to date the dolls instead because they feel that these dolls are faithful and will not hurt them, unlike human beings. They take this very seriously and make a commitment to the dolls. I really think this is crazy. Do we call this fidelity as well, Con Fessori?



"Their body may be aged, but their souls are young. It is at that time that they need a playmate, not for the body but for the soul. It is at that time that they like silly jokes, comments or silly games that a child engages in, for these are what distract them and rest their souls."

This would certainly explain why certain old men and, these days women too, are drawn to younger partners. Maybe they have been so completely worn out by the need to behave as an adult on the surface but have always been a child inside. Therefore, they are nurturing their souls when they engage in forming relationships with those who are in possession of youth on the outside even. It is interesting to note that many sex workers have remarked that they feel aged on the inside. I wonder if this contrast in body and soul age with their partners assists in balancing their collective age between them so they both gain pleasure from their union.

In our society, the main goal of living is often perceived to stem from the desire to get money, keep it and be able to make more money. When any individual is idolized to the point of fanaticism, it is mainly because of the jet set life that they are able to lead because of money. Society has set certain rules about what can be traded in return for money and still conform to its moral code, and prostitution does not because it involves an individual engaging in an act that is deemed to be "taboo" in order to obtain cash or material benefits. The tragedy of society truly lies in its need to judge and set boundaries based on a dominant group's moral code.

I feel that even if our society was run on the barter trade instead

of money as it now is, people would still find a way to attach their own morality to any and every deed that makes them feel uncomfortable, or guilty such as prostitution, and we would be back at square one in terms of out casting certain groups and individuals.

Yes, I believe that fidelity is faithfulness and loyalty, as defined by the individual practicing it. This may vary from person to person, but it is our right to redefine any value or virtue according to our own needs. I, for example, view a man who tolerates and provides for a wife who breaks him down emotionally as being the epitome of fidelity, but others may disagree with me. I view fidelity as being loyal emotionally but being able to explore my inclinations in private with others. This may seem highly controversial for most who believe in traditional relationships but it is my way of being faithful. The most important thing to remember is that as long as a person feels that he is being faithful and practicing fidelity, then he is.

I really do not feel like it is crazy to be committed to sex dolls as I understand how disappointing a human being can be. I watched the Documentary that RJ Rose mentioned yesterday, and if we examine the characteristics of these men, we see that their needs in collecting these dolls are equal to the needs that we all have in seeking a relationship with a human being, which include companionship, attention, affection and loyalty.


It is society that claims that we cannot share an intimate bond with an inanimate object, and yet we see the obsession that men have with their cars, children share with their teddy bears and women have with their bags and shoes. It seems that all of these are fine and dandy, but it becomes “weird” to many when someone chooses to view an inanimate object as a “wife” or “girlfriend”.

At the end of the day, regardless of whoever, or in this case whatever, we show loyalty and faithfulness to, it is still fidelity because we feel that it is.



Rj Phlox

I feel that objects cannot reciprocate our feelings, and it is unfair to treat them as something that is equivalent to us. If we see this, we know that it is only one-sided and the object does not care or feel an iota of any such feeling as love or loyalty towards someone, no matter what. It is only a make-believe situation here, and the person who commits to object is only the loser here. What say you?



Rj Melancholeft

I understand where you are coming from, RJ Phlox. I feel, however, that sometimes in life even with human beings we love, we never receive affection or faithfulness in return. Is true fidelity then faithfulness without receiving anything in return or faithfulness in spite of the consequences or the returns or lack of it?



Perversion has no limits. I can only relate to how they make a dummy calf using straw and place it next to the cow so it yields the milk, thinking that it is the calf that is drinking the milk. The newborn calf is not allowed to breast-feed. Where else would we humans go for milk?

It is the same as someone living with a beloved's photo and taking solace from it, having a photo in the wallet, on the table or even using a god's picture for the matter. Some just have the image or idol of the god everywhere. Aren't those crazy about God?

Sure you would have come across people giving commitments to GOD, too, right?

The problem is in the process of "trying to word" everything, which becomes a habit. Any commitment only gets the respect of what "terms of agreement" gets nowadays. Simply tick and get it out of the way, and if it is no good, just uninstall or unsubscribe. This has become the way of life.



I agree with RJ Melancholetta that fidelity is lost when it becomes more of a demand, rather than an expectation. As humans, we're usually programmed to believe that in relationships, loyalty is the most important thing.

In long-distance romances (LDR), however, it becomes pretty hard to check on the other half so the entire relationship is based on trust and trust alone. I know of few people in LDRs who do spy on their partners in every way possible even if they live in a different continent. When they assume that something is amiss because of hearsay on the Internet (thanks to Twitter, forums and such), they question their partners' day-to-day activities and end up in a bitter argument.

When this becomes a pattern, we all know that the relationship is headed for doom. I've been in the situation where I went on a date with someone else, although I'm in a serious LDR. I enjoyed my date's friendly company but kept thinking of my significant other throughout. My guilty conscience kept pricking me somehow. The reason I even agreed was because I was lonely and not in my right mind, so I thought meeting someone new would give me a new perspective. Knowing how possessive and insecure my boyfriend is, I decided not to tell him. I love him too much to hurt him. As long as you know for yourself that you are being faithful, no one can question you.

As for sex toys, I've never tried them out personally, but I don't think that each person who uses them is perverted. I'm sure that there are a million reasons people rely on these. For some, it's

probably because they're vexed with human attachments and feel jaded so they need some form of solace. For others, they're probably sexually adventurous and believe in trying everything once. As for commitment, I think there are many souls in this world who have been let down by the ups and downs of human relationships, so they have to seek comfort elsewhere. There's nothing wrong with that. Yes, the doll may not reciprocate the person's feelings, but at least he knows he won't be (emotionally) hurt by it. Also, many people do find happiness in the make-believe, as opposed to reality, which is often harsh.



That is the male maternal instincts in a highly deprived state when it's deprived of the care and compassion from the past and the future. Society brands them as male, considering them as not lovable since they are to become MEN and just throw them outside of home to fend for themselves.

When they don't find real-life objects to nurture, they start giving life to cars, games, computers, dolls and what not.

Tell me who is not a loser in this world? How about those who end up without even finding a doll?



After some deep, long thinking, I would equate "fidelity" to transparency between the inside and outside. What the person projects is what one proves to be.

The "Push Up" bra can be a good analogy here, for it is the males who get deceived once they learn what was projected had absolutely nothing to coincide with inside reality. By projecting habitually, it does not occur to the one who projects that the other person is gaining an attachment to the figure projected and assumes the real and commits based on it. Once the reality is known, the person inside experiences a collapse.

It may be easy for another to argue, "you mean you committed based on this projection?" but what they may not understand or relate to is the fact that it is not the figure turning to be false but the act put up by the person, turning out to be unreal.

The woman having a beard is guilty of suppressing it with a veil when for she knows that it is not in their cultural etiquette for the man to peep inside or doubt the inner making of a person for even the act of doing so can be considered an unchaste one. It is as insulting as asking someone "Are you a virgin?" or asserting that "I don't think you are a virgin since most girls nowadays aren't".

There are many who end up living a life of torture, unable to express the shame they have been put through on the inside and in some cases it takes many years for the truth to be uncovered, making one account for the entire happy living as a deception.



Rj Phlox

Well said, RJ Fox! I am in total agreement here, as I also feel exactly what you wrote here though I couldn't figure how to word it earlier. The examples you have mentioned are also very relevant. You are right. People don't marry because of the figure, but what the person projects which is really important. When there is no transparency, the other person is in for a rude shock! This is also true of men who marry and then the wife finds out that he is impotent after marriage.

In my opinion, both partners should forget about the veil, the push-up bra, also discuss their physical issues if any and decide if they want to make a commitment at all.



Absolutely! We can see that we confer to pre-existing norms and without our knowledge, start projecting since others do it. We bring about a standard of living artificially and suffer the consequences of it all throughout life.

However, if we progress our thought, we can see that it is the practice of demanding commitment into a dotted line that restricts people. Without our knowledge, we design ourselves a JAIL and ask for a suitable companion to lock ourselves into it. Soon, we find that we have self-locked ourselves and then try to blame the companion when the other, too, does nothing but follow the convention.

If nature wanted to lock us up, it could have done it in numerous ways. We have to also reason with nature about why it did not lock us up that way. It could have also created various pairs of penises and vaginas instead of making a universal model that fits and suits all. Therefore, the first thing we need to learn from nature is to take inspiration of the freedom that it has given where we can explore as many humans as we can.

It is because of past confining system that we can very well see that as Consequential Generation, most of our youth age goes in prospecting a suitable partner and only ending up living a life all alone without being able to find even one. All we do is deprive ourselves and then deprive the one near and do nothing but comment as to how people get crazy or addicted to video games or sex dolls.

LET US HOPE THAT AT LEAST THE FUTURE GENERATION
LEARNS TO LIVE LIFE WITH FREEDOM.



Yes, it makes me think of my friend who got locked into a "jail" without her knowledge, just as you have said RJ Fox!

It began like this. She was single but got friendly with a married man who had five daughters. To cut a long story short, she got pregnant and gave birth to a son. The man was overjoyed, but the family was furious. My friend, on the other hand, was bombarded by both sides — her family as well as his family. The man who promised to marry her took away the son and left her with no child and no marriage. To make her gain her so-called respect, her family quickly arranged a marriage between her and another man. Well, she did not have a choice to say "no" at that time because there was too much talk and anger going on. She gave

in, got married and to her horror found out that the man was impotent. She lived with him for nearly 15 years till his death last year in October from alcoholism. She is a very close friend of mine and she tells me that the number of times they tried to get intimate is countable and every time it failed.

What a life! Her entire youth just passed her by. This is what society does, and I most definitely want a new system that will not put anybody through such pitiable lives.

WE HAVE REACHED
THE END OF OUR
TRANSMISSION. WE
HOPE THAT IT SERVED
ITS PURPOSE AND THAT
ALL YOU BROKEN SOULS
HAVE FOUND SOME
SORT OF COMFORT (OR
VENGEANCE).

BE SURE TO JOIN US
IN THE FUTURE, FOR THERE
WILL BE MUCH MORE
MAYHEM ON OUR NEXT
CRUISE!