

It is clear that a reunification plan is needed. Although the attempts at reunification during the course of this litigation have not been successful, the Court is hopeful that the parties have a fuller understand of the work that it will take to re-

6 of 10

---

establish a relationship between the child and the Father. The immediate goal of the reunification plan is to re-establish healthy communication between the child and the Father, and the ultimate goal is for the child and the Father to engage in liberal, unsupervised visitation.

indicates that the child is under the impression that the Father does not really want to visit with him because the Father was told what to do in order to have visitation but refused to do any of it. Whether someone told the child directly, or whether the child indirectly pieced this belief together from overhearing adult conversation is beside the point. Clearly, the child is experiencing pressure from more than just the Father.

Each party will encourage a feeling of affection and respect between the child and the other parent. Neither parent shall involve the child in actions or communications which

7 of 10

would endanger the child's opinion of the other parent. Neither parent shall vilify the other parent, or allow third parties to do so, in the presence of the child.

needs. As such, the parties shall hire either a therapist specializing in reunification or a parenting coordinator to help develop a reunification plan. The parties will use email as the primary method of communication. If the parties cannot agree on a therapist/coordinator, then the therapist/coordinator that each prefers shall confer and provide the name of a third therapist/coordinator who shall meet with the parties to develop a reunification plan. If the services are not covered by insurance, the parties shall equally split the costs.