TEMPLATE: NOTICE OF VOID ORDERS, JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, AND CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

How to use this template.

- Go to FILE MAKE A COPY AND RENAME FOR YOU YOU WILL
 BE ABLE TO EDIT THE COPY YOU CANNOT EDIT THIS DOCUMENT
- 2. ANY HIGHLIGHTED PARTS NEED TO BE CHANGED TO BE YOUR INFO/CASE INFO/DETAILS
- 3. iF you have built an AI clone of your case, you could ask your clone to use this template and put in all of your OWN info. You can call in this AI GPT on CHAT and it will write the notice with all SOVEREIGN CONSTITUTIONAL STANDING and LANGUAGE for you @

 https://chatgpt.com/g/g-6847927ebec48191bb0195f0e193d19d-sovereign-justice-guardian-against-the-gavel
- 4. If you dont want it to be AS SOVEREIGN ask theAI GPT to tone it down a bit to only CONSTITUTIONAL standing on your rights... its up to you.
- 5. Add ANY SECTIONS that fit your case get the AI to write as MUCH about it as possible but sticking with ALL THE WAYS the orders are VOID
- 6. DELETE THIS SECTION ON YOUR COPY!

You are welcome to read my NOTICE for full and complete example and see if there is anything in it that you also need to use for yours.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/12g6-MIxBDNWB5CJpZWCwz71iUzLbwDxJjKX7k 5gOqLA/edit?usp=sharing

<3 Phoenix Kai Rising - Children's Court - For the Children We Rise</p>

NOTICE OF VOID ORDERS, JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, AND CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

CAUSE NO. [INSERT CAUSE NO.]

IN THE INTEREST OF:

[INSERT NAME OF CHILD], a living child, not a ward
IN THE [INSERT DISTRICT COURT], [INSERT COUNTY AND STATE]

[OR - TITLE IT WHATEVER YOUR CASE IS TITLED - YOU CAN JUST COPY AND PASTE FROM YOUR OTHER DOCUMENTS AND KEEP FORMATTING THE SAME. MAKE SURE YOU KEEP THE TITLE ON THE TOP AND USE THE HEADING BELOW:

NOTICE TO CLERK AND COURT:

This filing is submitted by a living being sui juris, in propria persona, not as a statutory motion or request for relief under state procedural rules, but as a judicial notice to preserve constitutional objections, challenge void orders, and rebut presumptions of jurisdiction. This Notice is distinct from any active appeal and is entered solely to preserve federal and fundamental rights under the Supremacy Clause, due process, and 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

This party rebuts all presumptions of consent, contract, jurisdiction, legal fiction identity, and trust law application. The undersigned is a living woman, sui juris, not a 'person,' 'individual,' or legal ward. No joinder is accepted. Silence shall not be construed as agreement

JUDICIAL NOTICE AND SPECIAL APPEARANCE TO REBUT PRESUMPTIONS, ASSERT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AND DEMAND VACATUR OF VOID ORDERS

I, [Insert Full Name], a living being, sui juris, appear in propria persona to assert rights secured under the Constitution, divine law, and natural law. I do not consent to joinder with any legal fiction, wardship designation, trust entity, or administrative jurisdiction not grounded in due process and lawful authority. I appear specially, not generally, under explicit reservation of all unalienable rights.

Pursuant to UCC 1-308, all rights are reserved without prejudice. Nothing herein shall be construed as consent to contract, waiver, agency, or submission to foreign jurisdiction. All actions are taken under threat, duress, and coercion for the preservation of liberty, life, and the offspring of the affiant.

Affiant gives judicial notice that the orders issued in this matter are void ab initio for lack of jurisdiction, denial of due process, and constitutional violations. Affiant rebuts all presumptions of state interest absent strict scrutiny and demands this record reflect the truth of the harm done and the void nature of all derivative acts.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BASIS FOR NOTICE

This case arises from an order of the court issued without lawful jurisdiction and in violation of constitutional guarantees. [A temporary restraining order was issued without a bond as required by law,] and subsequent proceedings ignored the lack of

jurisdiction, violated due process, and deprived the affiant of parental rights without findings of unfitness or harm. All subsequent actions and orders stemming from that original void act are also void. [EDIT THIS SECTION TO TALK ABOUT YOUR PERSONAL ORIGINAL ORDER THAT FIRST VIOLATED YOUR RIGHTS OR TOOK AWAY YOUR CHILDREN].

II. VOID NATURE OF ORIGINAL ORDERS AND ALL DERIVATIVE ORDERS

The originating order failed to comply with jurisdictional prerequisites. The issuance of a temporary restraining order without a bond is fatal to jurisdiction. The state's actions proceeded without a lawful foundation, rendering all subsequent orders—including those concerning custody, visitation, and protective restrictions—void ab initio. - EXAMPLE

III. DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Affiant was subjected to deprivation of liberty and contact with offspring without notice, opportunity to be heard, or a constitutionally sufficient hearing. Despite complying with all imposed evaluations or court requests, affiant was denied basic rights to communication, decision-making, and familial integrity. This evidences retaliatory animus and abuse of discretion. - EXAMPLE

IV. REBUTTAL OF COMPELLING STATE INTEREST PRESUMPTION

There has been no finding, by clear and convincing evidence, that affiant is an unfit [parent/guardian]. Mere allegations or "best interest" assessments cannot satisfy the strict scrutiny required to overcome constitutional protections. The burden is on the

state to demonstrate a compelling interest and narrow tailoring, which has not occurred.

Rebuttal of "Best Interest" Doctrine:

The Court has repeatedly relied upon the phrase "best interest of the child" to justify infringements on Affiant's rights. However, this standard is unconstitutional when applied absent a finding of parental unfitness. The U.S. Supreme Court in Troxel v. Granville held that a fit parent's right to the care, custody, and control of their child is a fundamental liberty interest. The state may not override this right merely by asserting a better policy or preference. No finding of unfitness was made, thus all orders based on "best interest" are void and violate due process.

V. SUPREMACY CLAUSE AND CONSTITUTIONAL OVERRULE

Supremacy Clause — U.S. Constitution, Article VI, Clause 2:

"This Constitution... shall be the supreme Law of the Land... any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."

Application: Any state statute, court rule, or administrative order that conflicts with federally protected rights is void. Courts are bound to the Constitution first and foremost.

VI. ALL ORDERS STEMMING FROM VOID ACT ARE VOID AB INITIO

An unlawful act cannot create lawful consequences. As the original TRO and its lack of bond rendered the proceeding void, every order and action following it—including custody decisions, restraining orders, and procedural penalties—are likewise void. See *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425 (1886).

VII. NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS PREVIOUSLY IGNORED BY COURT

Affiant has previously filed numerous notices, motions, and constitutional challenges which were ignored by the presiding judge and clerks, denying remedy and obstructing access to redress. This pattern demonstrates systemic bias and denial of due process.

VIII. NOTICE OF LOSS OF IMMUNITY AND INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY

When judges, attorneys, state agents, or private actors operate without jurisdiction or violate clearly established rights, they lose immunity protections. Such individuals may be held liable under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3), and 1986 for violating due process and acting under color of law.

IX. NOTICE TO PRIVATE ACTORS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

The following categories of actors are placed on notice: attorneys, GALs, visitation supervisors, school personnel, and military officials who aided or ignored the violation of affiant's rights. Their actions constitute affirmative violations under color of law.

Black's Law Dictionary (6th Ed.) defines "act" as:

"A voluntary manifestation of will. A thing done or established. A result of public deliberation, decision, or determination."

Application: Enforcement of void orders is a willful act and subjects the actor to liability. Silence and complicity are also actionable.

Also:

"A decision or determination of a sovereign, legislature, court, or other authority; a decree, edict, law, judgment, resolve, or award."

Application: An unconstitutional act is not law and carries no force. Per *Norton v. Shelby County*, 118 U.S. 425 (1886):

"An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; it affords no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed."

(YOU SHOULD NAME EVERY SINGLE PERSON BY NAME)

X. FOUNDATIONAL SUPREME COURT CASE LAW AFFIRMING PARENTAL RIGHTS

- Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923): affirms the right of parents to direct the education and upbringing of their children.
- Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925): affirms parental liberty to raise children free from state compulsion.
- Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972): upholds religious and educational rights of parents.
- Stanley v. Illinois, 405 U.S. 645 (1972): states that unfitness must be proven before parental rights can be restricted.

Application: These cases establish that parental rights are fundamental and must be protected by strict scrutiny. They cannot be overridden by "best interest" language absent a finding of unfitness.

XI. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Affiant demands that all orders arising from the original void act be declared null and void ab initio, that all parental rights and liberties be restored immediately, and that all actors involved be held individually accountable for constitutional violations.

Respectfully and lawfully submitted, with all rights reserved,
Without prejudice, UCC 1-308, in full capacity under divine and constitutional law,
under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(1):

[INSERT FULL NAME]

A living being sui juris

[INSERT MAILING ADDRESS]

Email: [INSERT EMAIL]
Phone: [INSERT PHONE]

Date: _____

BE SURE TO INCLUDE A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND SERVE EVERY SINGLE ACTOR EFILE OR CERTIFIED MAIL IF THEY ARE NOT REGISTERED

Also - if you have not filed a NOTICE in your case previously, you will want to attach ALL EVIDENCE or make sure you put JUDICIAL NOTICE and you can attach the FIRST ORDER that violated your rights and is VOID and write VOID AB IBITIO (and for whatever reason) In BIG RED LETTERS ACROSS IT and SHOW THE RULES AS TO WHY or HOW - AKA PROOF OF HOW ITS VOID.