

LIMBINIC  
—CONSULTING—

# COURTROOM COGNITION KIT

V1.0

**PSYCHOLOGICAL STRATEGY TO WIN  
MINDS, SHIFT JURIES, AND SHAPE  
VERDICTS - BEFORE OPENING**





LIMBINIC  
—CONSULTING—

# COURTROOM COGNITION KIT

The **Courtroom Cognition Kit** equips trial lawyers with tools to:

- Frame juror perception early
- Bias-check argument structures
- Win trust with cognitive precision

Strengthen your courtroom strategies with this specialized kit.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Trial Prep Cognitive Checklist                         | 3  |
| The Litigation Language Refinement Sheet               | 5  |
| The Verdict Influence Map                              | 7  |
| Persuasive Metaphor Library                            | 9  |
| Jury Bias Briefing Sheet                               | 11 |
| Voir Dire Psychology Prompts                           | 14 |
| The Opening Statement Structure: Behavioural Blueprint | 16 |
| Witness Framing Scripts & Priming Cues                 | 18 |
| Cross-Examination Framing Sheet                        | 20 |
| Closing Argument Persuasion Framework                  | 22 |



# TRIAL PREP COGNITIVE CHECKLIST

## A STRUCTURED MENTAL PREP TOOL TO PRIME BEHAVIOURAL CLARITY, STRATEGIC MINDSET, AND NARRATIVE CONTROL BEFORE TRIAL BEGINS

**Audience:** Trial attorneys, litigation support teams, jury consultants

**Use Case:** Use 24–48 hours before trial to align strategy, reduce blind spots, and sharpen psychological framing

### Core Insight:

Success in trial isn't just prep, it's *perceptual readiness*. This checklist focuses not just on facts, but on how you'll show up, sound, and influence under pressure.

### SECTION 1: NARRATIVE CLARITY

- ☐ I can summarize the case in 1 sentence that includes emotion and tension
- ☐ I've mapped the jury's likely emotional arc from opening to verdict
- ☐ I know what "truth" feels like, not just what it is

### SECTION 2: FRAMING READINESS

- ☐ I've selected my core metaphor or emotional hook
- ☐ I've tested my language for *processing fluency and stickiness*
- ☐ I've planned a 60-second reframe if things go off-script



### SECTION 3: JURY BIAS ANTICIPATION

- ☐ I've noted the 2–3 most *likely cognitive biases* that could derail understanding
- ☐ I've structured my case to neutralize or redirect those biases early
- ☐ I've identified which juror profiles need the most persuasion, and how

### SECTION 4: EMOTIONAL REGULATION STRATEGY

- ☐ I've rehearsed my *tone under pressure*
- ☐ I've planned 3 *reset moves* for tension moments  
e.g., Pause → Reframe → Redirect
- ☐ I've visualized the worst-case moment, and my composed response

#### **Pro Tip:**

Run this with your second chair or trial partner. Don't just check boxes, talk it out loud. Certainty is contagious.



# THE LITIGATION LANGUAGE REFINEMENT SHEET

## A REFERENCE GUIDE TO UPGRADE LEGAL LANGUAGE FOR CLARITY, PERSUASION, AND JUROR PROCESSING FLUENCY

**Audience:** Trial attorneys, legal writers, litigation strategists

**Use Case:** Use when writing or reviewing openings, closings, cross, or key filings that jurors will interpret

### Core Insight:




Jurors don't reward legal brilliance, they reward clarity. Legal terms must be emotionally resonant, cognitively simple, and strategically framed to shape how decisions *feel*, not just what they mean.

## REPLACE THESE LEGAL DEFAULTS WITH BEHAVIOURAL ALTERNATIVES

| Legal Phrase (Common)              | Behavioural Upgrade                                   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| "The burden of proof lies with..." | "They must <i>prove</i> this beyond doubt, we don't." |
| "Preponderance of the evidence"    | "More likely than not. Think 51% vs. 49%."            |
| "Material misrepresentation"       | "They twisted the facts, intentionally."              |
| "Failure to disclose"              | "They kept something important <i>from you</i> ."     |
| "Negligence"                       | "They ignored risk, and someone paid the price."      |
| "The plaintiff alleges..."         | "Here's what really happened..."                      |



## FRAMING MOVES THAT STICK

-  Use **“you” language** to activate juror ownership  
“You’ll see the choices they made, and what those choices say.”
-  Use **short, rhythmic sentences** to increase memory retention  
“He knew. He had a duty. He ignored it.”
-  Replace **technical with tangible**  
Instead of “breach of duty,” say:  
“They had one job. And they didn’t do it.”

## QUICK REFRAMING TIPS

- Open each paragraph or argument with an *emotional anchor*, not just a fact.
- Rewrite *for the ear*, not just the eye, jurors remember what feels spoken, not written.
- Always test: Could a 9th grader understand this and feel something?

### **Pro Tip:**

Before trial, run your key arguments through this sheet. Highlight every line that sounds like it came from a contract, not a person. Rewrite those first.



# THE VERDICT INFLUENCE MAP

## A COGNITIVE MAPPING TOOL TO TRACK JUROR PERCEPTION, ARGUMENT RESONANCE, AND INFLUENCE MOMENTUM THROUGHOUT TRIAL

**Audience:** Trial attorneys, jury consultants, second chair strategists

**Use Case:** Use during trial to monitor juror cues, adjust framing, and strengthen closing alignment

**Core Insight:**

Jurors don't wait for the end, they make micro-decisions all trial long. This tool helps you track and shape the *perception timeline* before the verdict is even written.

### PART 1: JUROR CUE GRID

Create a chart with each juror's seat #. Then track behaviour after key events:

| Juror # | Eye Contact | Head Nods  | Visible Tension | Notes / Triggers            |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| #2      | Direct      | Occasional | Crossed arms    | Leaned in during witness #3 |
| #5      | Avoidant    | None       | Neutral         | Smiled at defence joke      |

☒ Use this after:

- Opening
- Emotional witness
- Key evidence moments
- Cross-exam pivot
- Closing arguments



## PART 2: INFLUENCE SCORECARD (1-5 SCALE)

| Touchpoint             | Score | Notes / Action                                   |
|------------------------|-------|--|
| Opening Statement      | 4     | Strong moral framing, one juror visibly reactive |
| Expert Testimony       | 2     | Too technical, needs simplification in closing   |
| Cross-Ex of Witness 2  | 5     | Major tone shift, jury clearly engaged           |
| Visual Evidence Moment | 3     | Mild impact, reinforce in closing                |

✅ Track resonance, not just delivery. A weak close can be salvaged if a prior moment built trust.

## PART 3: FINAL FRAMING TARGETS

Use this to tighten your **closing**:

🧩 Which phrase did the jury respond to emotionally?

🔄 What doubt still lingers (and how can we reverse it)?

🧠 What metaphor or emotional frame do I want ringing in their head during deliberation?

### ✳️ Final Tip:

Bring this map to your *closing prep session*. Every insight = one less assumption, and one more layer of behavioural precision.



# PERSUASIVE METAPHOR LIBRARY

## A CURATED VAULT OF COURTROOM-TESTED METAPHORS DESIGNED TO SIMPLIFY COMPLEXITY, CREATE EMOTIONAL STICKINESS, AND SHAPE JUROR PERCEPTION

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation writers, persuasion consultants

**Use Case:** Use in openings, closings, or witness examination to make abstract concepts land fast and stay lodged in jurors' memory

**Core Insight:**

Metaphors are decision short-cuts. When the brain struggles to understand, it grabs the first vivid image it can *feel*. Use these to guide that choice.

## METAPHOR CATEGORIES AND EXAMPLES

### Negligence / Safety Cases

- “They treated that warning like a speed bump, something to roll over, not stop for.”
- “This wasn’t a fluke. It was a crack in the foundation they chose to ignore.”

### Credibility Battles

- “Their story changes like a play with rotating actors. Same set, new version every scene.”
- “If the truth were a puzzle, they’ve hidden half the pieces.”

### Omissions / Cover-Ups

- “This isn’t a missing file, it’s a missing fingerprint.”
- “What they didn’t say is louder than what they did.”





### **Delays / Inaction**

- “They didn’t act. They waited until silence turned into consequence.”
- “They watched the clock tick and called it strategy.”



### **Moral Framing / Duty**

- “The rules don’t bend just because you wear a suit.”
- “If we allow this to pass, we don’t just let them win, we move the line for everyone else.”



### **Quick Use Tip:**

Test your closing metaphor by saying it out loud with no context. If it makes the listener pause and say, “Oof,” it’s sticky enough for court.





# JURY BIAS BRIEFING SHEET

## A REFERENCE GUIDE TO THE TOP DECISION-MAKING BIASES JURORS BRING INTO THE COURTROOM, AND HOW TO ETHICALLY LEVERAGE THEM

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation teams, jury consultants

**Use Case:** Review before voir dire, jury selection, or case framing



**Core Insight:**

Jurors don't evaluate facts, they interpret *narratives through bias filters*. This cheat sheet identifies the most common courtroom biases and how to account for them.

## 7 PSYCHOLOGICAL BIASES THAT SHAPE VERDICTS



### 1. Halo Effect

Attractive or confident people seem more credible, even if they're not.

-  Strategy: Position your client (or witnesses) with warmth and authority early
-  Frame First Impressions Intentionally

### 2. Story Bias



Jurors believe stories more than facts, especially ones with emotion or moral arcs.

-  Strategy: Frame your case as a *coherent moral narrative*
-  Begin with “what this case is really about”





### 3. Anchoring Bias

The first number or concept introduced becomes the reference point.

-  Strategy: Be first to anchor damages, timeline, or emotional impact
-  Set the baseline or lose control of it



### 4. Similarity Bias

Jurors favour those who feel like “us” in background, values, or language.

-  Strategy: Use language and rhythm that mirrors jury composition
-  Relatability > Rhetoric



### 5. Availability Bias

Jurors give more weight to information that’s vivid, recent, or emotional.

-  Strategy: Use clear, emotionally sticky examples
-  Make your closing replay in their head later

### 6. Loss Aversion



Jurors are more motivated to prevent harm than enable gain.

-  Strategy: Frame verdicts as protecting community, not rewarding a person
-  Defensive framing wins more than aspirational framing



## 7. Confirmation Bias

Jurors will look for evidence that supports the impression they formed early.

-  Strategy: Prime your key themes in voir dire and opening
-  Early framing sticks the hardest

### Pro Tip:

Use this sheet during case prep to refine your opening statement, cross-examination tone, and closing metaphor. Small framing shifts = massive verdict differences.





# VOIR DIRE PSYCHOLOGY PROMPTS

## A BANK OF STRATEGIC QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO SURFACE COGNITIVE BIAS, EMOTIONAL TRIGGERS, AND DECISION-STYLE TENDENCIES DURING JURY SELECTION

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, jury consultants, litigators

**Use Case:** Use during jury selection to detect potential bias, prime case themes, and shape the psychological field


### Core Insight:

Voir dire isn't just about disqualification, it's about *mental conditioning*. The questions you ask shape jurors' perception of what matters, even before opening statements.

## STRATEGIC VOIR DIRE PROMPT TYPES


### Type 1: Bias Surfacing Prompts

"Tell me about a time when you felt someone got away with something they shouldn't have."  
"Have you ever had to make a judgment call with limited information?"

-  Purpose: Reveal distrust of systems, risk aversion, or moral rigidity


### Type 2: Decision-Style Diagnostics

"When making big decisions, do you rely more on gut instinct or facts?"  
"Do you usually speak up in groups, or reflect quietly before forming opinions?"

-  Purpose: Identify dominant processing style (emotional vs. analytical)

### Type 3: Empathy Calibration Questions

"How do you react when someone shows strong emotion in public?"  
"What does fairness mean to you in a courtroom setting?"


-  Purpose: Gauge emotional reactivity and moral framing



#### Type 4: Case-Theme Primers (Subtle Framing)

“What do you think makes someone a reliable storyteller?”

“When you hear someone say, ‘It’s not what it seems’, what’s your first reaction?”

-  Purpose: Start seeding key ideas of doubt, credibility, complexity

#### Quick Tip:

Ask fewer yes/no questions. Use prompts that invite *stories*, that’s where bias lives. And always take notes on emotional language, not just logic.





# THE OPENING STATEMENT STRUCTURE: BEHAVIOURAL BLUEPRINT

## A PSYCHOLOGY-BACKED FRAMEWORK FOR CRAFTING OPENING ARGUMENTS THAT PRIME JURORS FOR YOUR VERSION OF THE TRUTH

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation strategists, jury consultants

**Use Case:** Use to shape opening statements that anchor emotion, credibility, and narrative logic in high-stakes cases



### **Core Insight:**

Opening statements shape *perception, not just awareness*. Jurors don't wait until closing to form opinions. They begin making verdicts *within minutes*. This blueprint helps you win the frame early.

## 5-PART BEHAVIOURAL OPENING STATEMENT STRUCTURE



### **1. Frame the Moral Arc (First 30 seconds)**

“This case is about more than just [fact], it’s about what we expect of people when no one’s watching.”

-  Why it works: Taps story bias and moral priming
-  Goal: Establish *what's at stake* in human terms

### **2. Control the Villain/Victim Narrative**



“You’ll hear about [opposing party], but what matters is not what they say, but what they *chose to ignore*.”

-  Why it works: Cognitive simplicity → clear moral cast
-  Goal: Set narrative roles early





### 3. Use Behavioural Signposts

“We’ll walk through three critical moments, each one shows how this wasn’t a mistake. It was a pattern.”

-  Why it works: Helps jurors organize the story with memory anchors
-  Goal: Enhance recall and emotional rhythm



### 4. Reframe Weakness as Intention

“They may say my client didn’t respond fast enough. But that’s because they were prioritizing safety, not optics.”

-  Why it works: Disarms objections *before* they’re planted
-  Goal: Reduce reactance, build cognitive safety

### 5. Close with an Emotional Preview

“By the end of this trial, you’ll understand why this verdict matters, not just to [client], but to anyone who’s ever trusted that truth would win.”

-  Why it works: Emotional forecasting increases commitment
-  Goal: Set emotional tone for decision-making

#### Pro Tip:

Use simple, emotional words in the first 30 seconds. Research shows the first emotional impression can override even strong counter-evidence later.



# WITNESS FRAMING SCRIPTS & PRIMING CUES

## A TOOLKIT FOR COACHING AND CUEING WITNESSES TO ENHANCE CREDIBILITY, REDUCE COGNITIVE FRICTION, AND ALIGN WITH JURY PSYCHOLOGY

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation teams, expert witnesses

**Use Case:** Use to prepare key witnesses before trial and to guide testimony presentation with psychological precision

**Core Insight:**

Jurors don't evaluate truth in a vacuum. They evaluate *credibility through cognitive shortcuts*. This guide equips you to shape how witnesses are *received*, not just what they say.

### SECTION 1: CREDIBILITY PRIMING CUES

#### Cue 1: "Permission to Pause"

"If something's unclear, take a moment. Your credibility is tied to clarity, not speed."



Bias Countered: *Cognitive fluency = honesty*



Goal: Reduce filler speech, boost perceived integrity

#### Cue 2: "Own What You Don't Know"

"If you're not sure, say: 'I don't want to guess, but here's what I can say confidently.'"



Bias Countered: *Overconfidence bias backfires*



Goal: Increase trust through selective certainty



### Cue 3: “The Strategic Pause”

“When asked something emotionally loaded, pause. Then respond slowly and calmly.”



Bias Countered: *Emotional escalation = guilt perception*



Goal: Project composure, not defence

## SECTION 2: FRAMING PHRASES FOR WITNESSES

### To express limited scope:

“To the best of my understanding from my role at that time...”

### To preempt attack:

“That’s a fair question. I want to answer it clearly.”

### To signal confidence without arrogance:

“Yes, and I’d be happy to explain why that mattered.”

### To neutralize ambiguity:

“Let me clarify what I meant. Language matters here.”



### Pro Tip:

Use mock cross-examinations to *condition* these phrases into reflexes. Under pressure, witnesses default to rehearsed framing, not raw memory.



# CROSS-EXAMINATION FRAMING SHEET

## A BEHAVIOURAL CHECKLIST FOR SHAPING WITNESS CREDIBILITY, REFRAMING FACTS, AND MANAGING JURY PERCEPTION DURING CROSS

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation strategists, legal cross prep teams

**Use Case:** Use during prep or in court to maximize perception control without sounding aggressive or rehearsed

**Core Insight:**

Cross isn't about the "gotcha." It's about *subtly reshaping the story in the jurors' minds*. This sheet helps you frame, contain, and redirect testimony without signalling hostility.

### STRATEGIC FRAMING MOVES DURING CROSS

#### 1. Reframe Certainty as Rigidity

"You're saying there's *no* chance this was a mistake?"

"So, nothing at all could've changed your interpretation?"

✓ Goal: Make overconfidence seem unreasonable

🧠 Bias: *Overconfidence undermines credibility*

#### 2. Time Anchoring for Inconsistency

"And that was on April 6th... right after [key event], correct?"

"You had 3 hours between X and Y. What did you do in that time?"

✓ Goal: Build temporal contrast and highlight narrative gaps

🧠 Bias: *Recency and availability*



### 3. Language Loopback

“Earlier you said, ‘It was chaotic’. That’s your word, right?”

“Why describe it that way?”

✅ Goal: Make witness own their emotional phrasing

🧠 Bias: *Framing effect*

### 4. Controlled Concession Setup

“You wouldn’t say you’re perfect... just someone who *tries* to get it right, correct?”

“Fair to say even smart people miss things sometimes?”

✅ Goal: Build witness into your frame while they agree

🧠 Bias: *Commitment and consistency*

### 5. Question Stack for Cognitive Load

“Yes or no: You saw the light turn green, you accelerated, and then swerved, correct?”

✅ Goal: Increase stress without raising tone

🧠 Bias: *Cognitive overload breaks fluency*

#### \* Pro Tip:

Cross is theatre. Silence after a short, sharp question creates *suspense and pressure*. Don’t rush to fill it.



# CLOSING ARGUMENT PERSUASION FRAMEWORK

## A STRUCTURED BEHAVIOURAL TEMPLATE FOR CRAFTING EMOTIONALLY RESONANT, VERDICT-DRIVING CLOSINGS

**Audience:** Trial lawyers, litigation teams, persuasion consultants

**Use Case:** Use to write, rehearse, or refine your final case narrative to embed lasting emotional and cognitive impact

### Core Insight:

The closing isn't a summary. It's a verdict rehearsal. Jurors are subconsciously testing the emotional *fit* of a decision more than its logical outcome. This framework helps you deliver the close they can say "yes" to.

## THE 5-ELEMENT FRAMEWORK

### 1. Reinforce the Moral Frame

"This case was never just about facts. It's about what we tolerate when we let people bend the truth."

✓ Use moral clarity to justify action

🧠 Bias leveraged: Moral anchoring / narrative justice

### 2. Preview the Emotional Consequence

"What message does it send if this verdict goes the other way?"

✓ Creates internal emotional stakes

🧠 Bias leveraged: Loss aversion / social responsibility



### 3. Repetition of Key Narrative Phrase

"This wasn't a mistake. It was a pattern." (Repeat 2–3x)

✅ Embeds linguistic memory

🧠 Bias leveraged: Fluency and familiarity = truth

### 4. Personal Juror Ownership

"You are the only safeguard between that choice and what happens next. Not the lawyers. Not the system. You."

✅ Makes verdict feel *protective*, not punitive

🧠 Bias leveraged: Identity and accountability

### 5. High-Emotion, Low-Risk Close

"You're not being asked to gamble. You're being asked to stand for something that matters."

✅ Removes doubt without overselling

🧠 Bias leveraged: Risk reframing / confidence priming

### \* Bonus Tip:

Record and listen to your closing out loud. Then cut 15% of the words, but keep 100% of the emotion. Shorter, clearer, stronger.



## WHAT'S NEXT?

If you found this toolkit valuable, here are three ways to go further:

### 1. Book a Strategy Call:

Let's map these frameworks directly to your current challenge.

[Book Your Call Here](#)

### 2. Download Another Toolkit:

Explore toolkits for sales, leadership, persuasion, and negotiation.


 [See our Tool Kit Library HERE](#)


### 3. Subscribe to the 5-Part Enablement Series:

Get deeper behavioural strategies, templates, and case studies delivered in 5-minute reads. Sign up [HERE](#).

### Questions? Feedback?

Reach out directly: Tom Reilly

 [tom@limbinic.com](mailto:tom@limbinic.com)

 [limbinic.com](https://limbinic.com) | [reillysalesconsulting.com](https://reillysalesconsulting.com)



LIMBINIC  
—CONSULTING—



### Limbinic Consulting

"The Science of Influence for High-Stakes Professionals."

Limbinic empowers leaders in law, consulting, financial services, and other high-stakes industries to master the art and science of influence.

Our frameworks combine cognitive science, courtroom persuasion tactics, and elite-level strategic communication to help you shape outcomes where stakes, and scrutiny, are highest.

From closing multi-million-dollar contracts to winning high-risk negotiations, Limbinic gives you the tools to influence like a superpower.

**REILLY**  
SALES CONSULTING

### Reilly Sales Consulting (RSC)

"Where closing psychology meets bulletproof sales systems."

Reilly Sales Consulting helps B2B sales teams close faster, with less friction, and greater predictability.

We install frameworks rooted in behavioural science that unlock complex buying groups, surface hidden objections early, and engineer decision-making confidence without the pressure tactics that buyers resist.

Whether you're scaling SaaS, professional services, industrial manufacturing, or founder-led growth, RSC gives your team the psychology-driven edge to win faster, bigger, and more often.