How to Measure and Improve Wrench Time Without Alienating Your Crews

reliamag.com/articles/how-to-measure-and-improve-wrench-time

Ricky Smith, CMRP, CMRT

April 27, 2026

Every maintenance leader has a gut feeling about how much productive work their crews actually accomplish in a shift. Wrench time, the percentage of the day a technician spends physically performing maintenance tasks, puts a number on that instinct.

The problem? Most organizations either measure it badly or avoid measuring it altogether. Both approaches leave enormous improvement opportunities buried in the daily grind of travel time, parts hunting, and waiting for permits.

When measured thoughtfully, wrench time becomes the single most revealing diagnostic of your work management system's health. It exposes planning gaps, scheduling failures, material procurement weaknesses, and shop layout problems that no CMMS report will surface on its own.

What Counts as Wrench Time (and What Doesn’t)

Wrench time measures direct, hands-on work: turning bolts, pulling bearings, aligning shafts, wiring connections, calibrating instruments. If a technician’s hands are on the equipment or the tool required to repair it, that’s wrench time.

Everything else falls into supporting categories. Some of those categories are necessary (safety briefings, for example), and some represent pure waste (walking across the plant because nobody staged materials at the job site).

- Direct work: hands-on repair, installation, inspection, testing, and calibration performed at the equipment
- Necessary support: job planning review, safety meetings, toolbox talks, travel between geographically separated units, obtaining permits
- Avoidable delay: waiting for parts, waiting for operations clearance, searching for tools, redundant trips to the shop, excessive paperwork at the job site

The distinction between necessary support and avoidable delay is where the real value lives. You won’t eliminate safety briefings (nor should you want to). But you can absolutely eliminate the 20 minutes a tech spends walking to the warehouse because the planner didn’t kit the job.

> Wrench time doesn’t measure how hard people work. It measures how well the system around them works.

Industry benchmarks for wrench time typically land between 25% and 35% at most industrial facilities. World-class organizations push above 55%. That gap represents the difference between a crew that spends three hours per shift with tools in hand and one that hits five or more.

Why Most Wrench Time Studies Go Wrong

The most common failure mode for wrench time studies is treating them as a surveillance exercise. Crews that feel watched will change their behavior, and the data becomes useless. Technicians who suspect management is looking for reasons to cut headcount will suddenly look very busy during observation periods.

The second failure mode is poor study design. A one-day snapshot taken during a turnaround week tells you nothing about normal operations. A study that only covers day shift misses the reality of evening and weekend crews who often work with fewer support resources.

Sampling methodology matters too. Random moment observations, where an observer records what each technician is doing at predetermined random times throughout the shift, produce far more reliable data than continuous observation. They're also less intrusive.

- Sample at least 300 to 400 observations per crew over multiple weeks to achieve statistical significance
- Vary observation times across all shifts, including weekends if your facility runs weekend maintenance
- Use trained observers who understand the activity categories and can classify quickly without disrupting work
- Keep observation categories to 8 to 12 maximum to ensure consistency across observers
- Run the study for a minimum of two weeks during normal (non-outage) operations

Perhaps the biggest mistake: launching a study without explaining the purpose to the crews first. Technicians deserve to know that wrench time measures the system, not the individual. If your maintenance manager can't stand in front of the crew and say that with a straight face, you've got a trust problem that no study will fix.

> If your crews think wrench time is about catching slackers, you've already lost. The metric measures your planning and scheduling system, full stop.

One more pitfall worth flagging: organizations that measure wrench time once, declare victory or defeat, and never measure again. A single study gives you a baseline. Repeated studies, conducted quarterly or semiannually, show whether your improvement efforts are actually moving the needle.

Where the Lost Hours Actually Go

When wrench time comes in at 30%, the natural question is: where did the other 70% go? The answer usually surprises people. It rarely points to laziness or incompetence. It points to systemic friction in the work management process.

A typical breakdown at a facility running 30% wrench time reveals a pattern that repeats across industries, from petrochemical plants to food processing to mining operations.

Activity Category	Typical Range (%)
Direct wrench time (hands on equipment)	25 - 35
Travel and transit	10 - 18
Waiting for parts / materials	8 - 12
Waiting for permits / clearance	5 - 10
Job preparation and cleanup	5 - 8
Coordination and instructions	5 - 8
Personal time and breaks	5 - 8
Administrative / paperwork	3 - 6

Table 1: Illustrative wrench time activity breakdown at a typical industrial facility

The travel and transit category often draws the most attention because it feels fixable. And it is. Satellite tool cribs, strategically placed parts staging areas, and better sequencing of geographically clustered jobs can shave 5 to 10 percentage points off travel time alone.

But the waiting categories are where the biggest gains hide. Waiting for permits, waiting for operations to clear equipment, waiting for parts that should have been kitted: these delays compound across every job, every shift, every week.

> The typical maintenance technician spends more time walking and waiting than actually turning wrenches. That’s a process failure, not a people failure.

Coordination delays between maintenance and operations deserve special attention. At many facilities, the handoff between “equipment is cleared” and “technician begins work” consumes 30 to 45 minutes per job. Multiply that by four or five jobs per crew per shift and you’ve burned two to three hours of productive time before anyone picks up a wrench.

Practical Improvements That Move the Number

Planning Quality

Effective job planning is the single largest lever for improving wrench time. A well-planned job includes a clear scope, a step-by-step procedure, a complete parts list with confirmation of availability, tool requirements, permit prerequisites, and an accurate labor estimate.

When planners do their jobs well, technicians arrive at the work site with everything they need. When planning is weak or nonexistent, the technician becomes the planner, the parts chaser, and the permit coordinator in addition to being the mechanic. That's where wrench time dies.

- Require planners to physically walk down every first-time job before writing the plan
- Build parts kits for recurring PMs and stage them 24 hours before the scheduled start
- Include permit requirements in the job plan so operations can begin clearance procedures before the crew arrives
- Estimate job duration based on historical actuals, not optimistic guesses
- Maintain a planner-to-technician ratio between 1:15 and 1:25 depending on work complexity

Scheduling Discipline

A weekly schedule that's 60% compliant means 40% of your crew's time was spent reacting to work that wasn't planned. Reactive work almost always has lower wrench time because nobody prepped for it: no parts staged, no permits ready, no procedures pulled.

Improving schedule compliance to 85% or above creates a compounding effect. More planned work means better material availability, fewer delays, higher wrench time, and faster job completion, which in turn frees capacity for even more planned work.

Material Staging and Tool Access

Every trip to the warehouse is a trip away from the job. The round trip at a large facility can consume 20 to 40 minutes, and it's common for technicians to make two or three warehouse trips per shift.

Satellite staging areas positioned near high-activity equipment reduce travel time dramatically. Some facilities use dedicated material coordinators who deliver kitted parts to the job site, keeping technicians focused on turning wrenches.

Operations Coordination

The maintenance-operations handoff is a persistent bottleneck at nearly every facility. Lock-out/tag-out procedures, equipment clearance, and process isolation all require coordination that can stall a job for hours if not managed proactively.

The fix involves scheduling coordination, where operations receives the weekly maintenance schedule in advance and commits to having equipment cleared by specific times. Daily scheduling meetings between the maintenance supervisor and the operations shift lead prevent the “we didn’t know you needed that unit” conversations that derail half a dozen jobs every week.

Making Wrench Time a Leading Indicator

Wrench time is most powerful when you stop treating it as a scorecard and start treating it as a diagnostic tool. A drop from 38% to 32% over two quarters tells you something changed in your work management process. The wrench time study won’t tell you exactly what, but it will tell you where to look.

Correlating wrench time with other work management metrics creates a diagnostic picture that’s hard to argue with. When wrench time drops and schedule compliance drops at the same time, you’ve likely got an increase in reactive work pulling crews off the plan. When wrench time drops but schedule compliance holds steady, your planning quality may have degraded.

Track wrench time by crew, by shift, and by work type (PM vs. corrective vs. project). The variations between groups often reveal localized problems. One crew's wrench time might lag because their assigned area has the plant's worst equipment access. Another might suffer because their planner covers too many assets to plan thoroughly.

The most important thing you can do with wrench time data is share it openly with the crews. Post the results. Explain what the numbers mean. Ask technicians where they see the biggest time sinks. They know. They've been living it every shift.

When crews see that wrench time improvements lead to less frustration (fewer parts hunts, fewer wasted trips, fewer jobs that stall midway), they become advocates for the metric. The maintenance technician who used to view wrench time studies with suspicion starts pointing out staging problems and scheduling conflicts because they've seen the connection between better planning and a less aggravating workday.

Building that feedback loop, where measurement drives improvement and improvement builds trust, is what separates organizations that measure wrench time once from those that use it to continuously refine their work management systems. The metric itself is simple. The discipline to act on what it reveals is the hard part.

Connect wrench time trends to your maintenance spending. When wrench time rises from 30% to 40%, you've effectively gained 10% more productive labor capacity without hiring a single person. At a facility with 40 maintenance technicians earning an average loaded cost of $45 per hour, that improvement represents roughly $375,000 in additional productive value per year.

That kind of math gets attention in budget conversations. It also reframes maintenance labor from a cost line to a capacity question: you don't need more people, you need fewer barriers between the people you have and the work they're trained to do.

Start with a baseline study. Identify your top three time wasters. Fix them. Measure again in 90 days. Repeat. Wrench time won't tell you everything about your maintenance organization, but it will tell you exactly where your work management process is leaking hours that your crews can't afford to lose.

Author

Ricky Smith, CMRP, CMRT

Ricky Smith, CMRP, CMRT is the Vice President of World Class Maintenance and a leading Maintenance Reliability Consultant with over 35 years of experience. He holds certifications such as Certified Maintenance and Reliability Professional (CMRP) and Certified Maintenance and Reliability Technician (CMRT). Ricky has worked with global companies like Coca-Cola, Honda, and Georgia Pacific, delivering expert maintenance solutions across 30 countries. His career began in the U.S. Army, advancing to leadership roles, including a position at the Pentagon as Facility Investigator for the Secretary of Defense. Ricky is also the co-author of *Rules of Thumb for Maintenance and Reliability Engineers* and *Lean Maintenance: Reduce Costs, Improve Quality, and Increase Market Share.*

View all posts