GRACE LAKE BATHTUB MODELING PROJECT



JULIE BLACKBURN May 2020





GRACE LAKE MODELING RESULTS

PROJECT PURPOSE AND GOALS DATA REVIEW AND USE IN THE MODEL WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS LAND USE AND SOURCES OF PHOSPHORUS RESULTS SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS

MODELING PROJECT BACKGROUND



- Grace Lake water quality and management has been the subject of several reports, but only one that is publicly available that specifically relates to water quality; the 2017 lake screening report that Beltrami County Soil and Water Conservation District sponsored. This report contained some key findings such as:
 - **1.** The lake is currently eutrophic.
 - 2. One inlet is from a large wetland that conveys runoff from a significant drainage area.
 - **3.** The lake has some signature indications of internal loading.

GRACE LAKE CHARACTERISTICS

- Surface Area: 860 acres
- Littoral area (0-15 ft): 340 acres
- > % littoral area: 41%
- Maximum depth: 42 feet
- Inlets: 2
- > Outlets: 1 (but not always running)
- Public Accesses: 1
- Lake data availability: TP, Chl-a, and Secchi good
- Inlet/Outlet: no data
- Lake mixing: polymictic (well mixed)

MODELING PROJECT PURPOSE AND GOALS



- Need to understand if external or internal phosphorus is driving water quality changes.
- We use Bathtub to:
 - / Diagnose current condition
 - / Evaluate existing information and get a better sense of information gaps
 - / Conduct a rapid/rough assessment
 - / Establish baseline information
 - / Identify problem sources
 - / Evaluate potential for correction/management



DATA REVIEW AND USE IN THE Model

BATHTUB MODEL



- Bathtub model software was developed by Dr. William W. Walker for the US Army Corps of Engineers. Version 6.1 was used for this project.
- Widely used in MN for ~ 30 years for lakes and reservoirs (Gold Standard)
- Extensively peer reviewed and used for effluent limits, basin management.
- **Withstood legal challenges.**
- > Satisfies vast majority of lake/reservoir management issues encountered in MN (e.g. phosphorus management).

DATA USED IN THE MODEL



- Physical characteristics of the lake (morphometry)
- Literature Values
 - / Atmospheric deposition of phosphorus
- Calculated Estimates
 - / Septic system loading
- Monitoring information
 - / Total Phosphorus (TP)
 - / Chlorophyll a (Chl-a)
 - / Secchi Disk Transparency
- Inputs from the Hydrological Simulation Program Fortran (HSPF) watershed model
 - / Lakeshed loading
 - / Internal loading (from lake sediment)



WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

ECOREGION WATER QUALITY

| Parameter | Northern Lakes and Forests | North Central Hardwood Forests | Western Corn Belt Plains | Northern Glaciated Plains | NORTHERN MINNESOTA WETLANDS |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Total Phosphorus (ug/l or ppb) | 14 - 27 | 23 - 50 | 65 -150 | 130 -250 | RED RIVER VALLEY |
| Chlorophyll a mean (ug/l or ppb) | 4 -10 | 5 - 22 | 30 - 80 | 30 - 55 | LAKES AND FORESTS |
| Chlorophyll max (ug/l or ppb) | < 15 | 7 - 37 | 60 -140 | 40 - 90 | NORTHERN GLACIATED PLAINS |
| Secchi Disk f m | 8 -15 2.4 - 4.6 | 4.9 - 10.5 1.5 – 3.2 | 1.6 – 3.3 0.5 – 1.0 | 1.0 – 3.3 0.3 – 1.0 | WESTERN CORN BELT PLAINS |

Summer average based on 25-75 percentile reference lakes

MONTHLY AVERAGE TOTAL PHOSPHORUS DURING GROWING SEASON

Exceedances of the WQ standards for TP typically occur in August and September.



GROWING SEASON AVERAGE TP 2004-2019

RESPEC

 Average TP during growing season exceeded TP standards in 7 of 15 years.



MONTHLY AVERAGE CHLOROPHYLL A DURING GROWING SEASON

Exceedances of WQ standards for Chlorophyll *a* typically occur in August and September.



MONTHLY AVERAGE SECCHI DISK DEPTH (SDD - TRANSPARENCY) During the growing season

R E S P

On average, Secchi transparency has remained within standard.





LAND USE AND SOURCES OF Phosphorus

SOURCES OF LAKE PHOSPHORUS LOADING

RESPEC



LAND USE

- 4,957 acre watershed
- Forest: 31%
- **Agriculture: 24%**
- Wetlands: 24%
- **Developed: 12%**
- **Pasture/Hay/Grass** land: 8%
- Feedlots: 1%

Note: there are minor discrepancies with pie chart on next slide due to rounding.



 \sim

R

LAND USE COMPARED TO THE SOURCES OF PHOSPHORUS

Grace Lake Lakeshed Area Land Use



Land use in the Grace Lake watershed.

Grace Lake Total Phosphorus Loading by Source

ß



ECOREGION WATER QUALITY

| Parameter | Northern Lakes and Forests | North Central Hardwood Forests | Western Corn Belt Plains | Northern Glaciated Plains | NORTHERN MINNESOTA WETLANDS |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Total Phosphorus (ug/l or ppb) | 14 - 27 | 23 - 50 | 65 -150 | 130 -250 | RED RIVER VALLEY |
| Chlorophyll a mean (ug/l or ppb) | 4 -10 | 5 - 22 | 30 - 80 | 30 - 55 | LAKES AND FORESTS |
| Chlorophyll max (ug/l or ppb) | < 15 | 7 - 37 | 60 -140 | 40 - 90 | NORTHERN GLACIATED PLAINS |
| Secchi Disk f m | 8 -15 2.4 - 4.6 | 4.9 - 10.5 1.5 – 3.2 | 1.6 – 3.3 0.5 – 1.0 | 1.0 – 3.3 0.3 – 1.0 | WESTERN CORN BELT PLAINS |

Summer average based on 25-75 percentile reference lakes



RESULTS

GRACE LAKE BATHTUB TOTAL PHOSPHORUS LOADING RESULTS

External load

- / 60% from the lakeshed/watershed
- / 2% from septic systems
- / 12% from the atmosphere
- Internal load accounts for 26% of the source of phosphorus in the lake.
- Controlling external loading is critical to:
 - / Prevent algal blooms
 - / Decrease the likelihood of increased internal loading, which is more difficult to manage and reduce.

| Grace Lake Bathtub Model Results | | TP (lbs/yr) | TP (lbs/day) | Percent of Total TP Load (%) | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Load | Lakeshed/watershed | 986.3 | 2.70 | 60 | | | | |
| | SSTS | 32.9 | 0.09 | 2 | | | | |
| | Internal Loading | 420.3 | 1.15 | 26 | | | | |
| | Atmospheric Deposition | 205.6 | 0.56 | 12 | | | | |
| | TOTAL LOAD | 1,645.2 | 4.51 | 100 | | | | |
| lbs/yr = pounds per year | | | | | | | | |
| lbs/day = pounds per day | | | | | | | | |
| SSTS = Subsurface Sewage Treatment System. | | | | | | | | |



SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS



Watershed loading

- / According to the model results, agriculture accounts for 36% of the TP load to Grace Lake and wetlands account for 6% of the TP loading to Grace Lake.
- / The functionality of the wetland that is located at the inlet should be evaluated further. Wetlands can be a large source of TP loading if they do not have stable water levels and experience anoxic conditions (a lack of oxygen throughout the water column). In theory the wetland should be filtering out the high phosphorus loads coming from the watershed, but that should be verified by monitoring the water coming into the wetland and out of the wetland for one entire season.
- Reduce erosion and sediment resuspension by keeping shorelines and especially aquatic vegetation intact.
- Use phosphorus free fertilizer only as necessary.

GRACE LAKE BATHTUB MODELING PROJECT



JULIE BLACKBURN May 2020

