STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS	}	
COUNTY OF COLLETON	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 11-CP-15- 764	-	
MANUEL SANTIZ-CRISTIAN,	)			
Plaintiff,	)	CHRANCONC		
v.	)	<u>SUMMONS</u> (Jury Trial Demanded) (Product Liability)	2011	COA
HILARIO RUIZ GARCIA, FORD	í	(2.000.00)	AUG	美丽器
MOTOR CO., AND MICHELIN NORTH	)		30	호리를
AMERICA, INC.,	)			TO CO
Defendants.	)		7	MOS.
			11-6	SZ
TO THE DEFENDANTS ABOVE-NAMI	ED:		25	-4

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the complaint herein, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to this complaint upon the subscriber, at Peters, Murdaugh, Parker, Eltzroth, & Detrick, P.A., 101 Mulberry Street East, Post Office Box 457, Hampton, SC 29924, within thirty (30) days after service hereof, exclusive of the day of such service. If you fail to answer the complaint, judgment by default will be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

PETERS, MURDAUGH, PARKER, ELTZROTH & DETRICK, P.A.

BY:

R. Alexander Murdaugh Ronnie L. Crosby William F. Barnes, III Post Office Box 457 101 Mulberry Street East Hampton, SC 29924 (803) 943-2111

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

August 25, 2011 Hampton, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS			
COUNTY OF COLLETON	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 11-CP-15-764			
MANUEL SANTIZ-CRISTIAN,				
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT			
v.	(Jury Trial Demanded) (Product Liability)			
HILARIO RUIZ GARCIA, FORD MOTOR CO., MICHELIN NORTH AMERICA, INC.,  Defendants.	COLLETON COMMON 2011 AUG 30			
The Plaintiff alleges:	G 30 AM			
1. The Plaintiff, Manuel Santiz-Cristian, is a citizen and resident of Chiapas, Mexico.				

- 2. The Defendant, Hilario Ruiz Garcia ("Garcia"), is a citizen and resident of Oaxaca, Mexico. At the time of the events giving rise to this action, Defendant Garcia was the driver of the Subject Vehicle in which Plaintiff was a passenger.
- 3. The Defendant, Ford Motor Company ("Ford") is a foreign corporation organized, existing, and maintaining its principal place of business in a state other than South Carolina. Ford is registered with the South Carolina Secretary of State and maintains and office and agent and transacts business in South Carolina.
- Ford manufactured a 1995 Ford Explorer bearing VIN Number 1FMDU32XXSUB02611
   ("Subject Vehicle"), which it placed into the stream of commerce.
- 5. The Defendant, Michelin North America, Inc. ("Michelin") is a foreign corporation that maintains its principal place of business in the State of South Carolina. Michelin is engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling tires.



- 6. Michelin manufactured and placed a Michelin LTX tire bearing DOT number B7LB EVUX 3001 ("Subject Tire") into the stream of commerce. At the time of the events giving rise to this action, the Subject Tire was installed on the right rear of the Subject Vehicle.
- 7. On or about November 4, 2009, the Subject Vehicle and Subject Tire were both in the same or substantially same condition as when placed into the stream of commerce by Defendants Ford and Michelin, and were being used in a manner consistent with their intended purpose.
- 8. On or about November 4, 2009, the Plaintiff was a passenger in the Subject Vehicle, driven by Defendant Garcia, which was traveling in a southerly direction along Interstate 95 near mile marker 47 in Colleton County, South Carolina when the tread separated from the right rear tire of the vehicle.
- 9. After the tread separation, Defendant Garcia failed to keep the vehicle under proper control and the vehicle left the travel portion of the highway where the vehicle began to overturn, causing Plaintiff to suffer severe and permanent injuries.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

10. The damages Plaintiff sustained were due to and proximately caused by the negligent, careless, reckless, willful, and wanton conduct of the Defendants wherein they breached the duty to use due care in one or more of the following particulars:

#### (AS TO HILARIO RUIZ GARCIA)

- (a) In failing to keep his vehicle under proper control;
- (b) In traveling too fast for conditions existing at that time;
- (c) In operating a vehicle in disregard for the safety of others;
- (d) In failing to use the degree of care and caution that a reasonably prudent person would have used under the circumstances then

WEB

and there existing;

- (e) In failing to keep a proper lookout; and
- (f) In failing to exercise due care pursuant to S.C. Code Ann § 56-5-3230.

#### (AS TO DEFENDANT FORD)

- a) In failing to implement design standards to ensure the stability and controllability of its vehicles during a tire failure;
- b) In adopting an inadequate design to ensure controllability during a tire failure;
- In failing to warn of the likelihood of a rollover following a tread separation and in failing to warn and instruct with regard to dangers of tire degradation;
- d) In failing to act in a reasonable and prudent manner.

#### (AS TO DEFENDANT MICHELIN)

- a) In designing, manufacturing, and selling the subject tire when it knew or should have known that it was dangerously defective because of its propensity for a tread belt separation which was inherent in the design of the tire;
- b) In failing to use a nylon overlay or cap ply in the construction of the tire to reduce the propensity for tread belt separation;
- In failing to use proper manufacturing and quality control techniques at its plant to ensure that tires meet applicable manufacturing standards;
- d) In failing to warn of the dangers associated with tire aging and in failing to instruct owners of its products on the proper method to determine the age of a tire; and
- e) In failing to inform tire dealers and tire service technicians of the dangers associated with tire aging.
- f) In failing to act in a reasonably prudent manner.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct, the Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries from which he has suffered and will continue to suffer great physical

WEB

pain and mental anguish, has expended, and will in the future expend, monies for medical care and treatment, and caused to lose the enjoyment of life.

12. By reason of and in consequence of the aforesaid negligence, carelessness, recklessness, willfulness, wantonness, and grossly negligent conduct of the Defendants, the Plaintiff suffered the injuries and losses complained of for which Defendants are liable.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Warranty as to Ford and Michelin)

- 13. The above allegations are repeated as if verbatim.
- 14. Ford and Michelin impliedly warranted that the Subject Vehicle and Subject Tire, which were defective, were of merchantable quality and was fit for use on the road.
- 15. The subject vehicle and subject tire were defective and unreasonably dangerous, rendering them unfit for their intended purpose.
- 16. Ford and Michelin's warranty breaches in the sale of the subject vehicle and subject tire in a defective condition was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Liability as to Ford and Michelin pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 15-73-10 et. seq)

- 17. The above allegations are repeated as if verbatim.
- 18. Ford and Michelin are engaged in a business that encompasses the sale of vehicles and tires.
- 19. The subject vehicle and subject tire were defective and unreasonably dangerous to the Plaintiff and similarly situated consumers in the condition in which they were released for sale to the public.



- 20. The subject vehicle and subject tire had not been altered and were being used in a reasonably foreseeable manner at the time of the events alleged in this Complaint.
- 21. Ford and Michelin sold the subject vehicle and subject tire, in an unreasonably dangerous and defective condition and as a result Ford and Michelin are strictly liable, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 15-73-10, to Plaintiff in an amount to be ascertained by the jury at the trial of this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendant for actual damages, together with punitive damages in an appropriate amount, for the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

PETERS, MURDAUGH, PARKER, ELTZROTH & DETRICK, P.A.

R. Alexander Murdaugh

Ronnie L. Crosby William F. Barnes, III

Post Office Box 457 101 Mulberry Street East

Hampton, SC 29924

(803) 943-2111

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

August 25, 2011 Hampton, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	) IN THE OURT OF COMMON PLEAS			
COUNTY OF COLLETON	)			
MANUEL SANTIZ-CRISTIAN  Plaintiff(s)	CIVIL ACTION COVERSHEET			
vs.	) 11-CP-15- <u>764</u>			
HILARIO RUIZ GARCIA, FORD MOTOR CO., AND MICHELIN NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	) ) )			
	SC Bar #: 78220 Telephone #: (803) 943-2111 Fax #: (803) 942-2014 Other: E-mail: wbarnes@pmped.com accs nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers			
signed, and dated. A copy of this cover sheet must be served on the def	ourt for the purpose of docketing. It must be filled out of pletely, of cendant(s) along with the Summons and Complaint.  ATION (Check all that apply)  Settlement do not complete			
*If Action is Judgment/Settlement do not complete  JURY TRIAL demanded in complaint.				
Contracts  Constructions (100) Debt Collection (110) Employment (120) General (130) Breach of Contract (140) Other (199)  Constructions (100) Dental Malpractice (200) Dental Malpractice (210) Medical Malpractice (220) Previous Notice of Intent Case #  20CP	Torts - Personal Injury   Real Property     Assault/Slander/Libel (300)   Claim & Delivery (400)     Conversion (310)   Condemnation (410)     Motor Vehicle Accident (320)   Foreclosure (420)     Premises Liability (330)   Mechanic's Lien (430)     Products Liability (340)   Partition (440)     Personal Injury (350)   Possession (450)			
Inmate Petitions  PCR (500) Death Settlement (700) Mandamus (520) Habeas Corpus (530) Minor Settlement (730) Transcript Judgment (740) Lis Pendens (750) Transfer of Structured Settlement Payment Rights Application (760)	Administrative Law/Relief Reinstate Driver's License (800)  Judicial Review (810)  Relief (820)  Permanent Injunction (830)  Forfeiture-Petition (840)  Forfeiture—Consent Order (850)  Other (899)  Appeals  Arbitration (900)  Magistrate-Civil (910)  Magistrate-Criminal (920)  Municipal (930)  Probate Court (940)  SCDOT (950)  Worker's Comp (960)  Zoning Board (970)			
Other (799)  Special/Complex /Other  Environmental (600) Pharmaceuticals (630)  Automobile Arb. (610) Unfair Trade Practices (640)  Medical (620) Out-of State Depositions (650)	(990)  Employment Security Comm (991)  Other (999)			
Other (699)	Date: 8/25/2011			

**Note:** Frivolous civil proceedings may be subject to sanctions pursuant to SCRCP, Rule 11, and the South Carolina Frivolous Civil Proceedings Sanctions Act, S.C. Code Ann. §15-36-10 et. seq.