

The Economics of Animal Cruelty in the Food Industry: A Hawai‘i Perspective

The meat industry is often examined through ethical and environmental lenses, but its economic consequences—especially in small island economies like Hawai‘i—deserve equal attention.

Through independent research conducted in Waimea and an examination of O‘ahu’s slaughterhouses, this paper explores how the economics of animal cruelty in the food industry intersect with local economies. The hidden costs of industrial meat production, from subsidies to environmental damage, reveal how these systems are both economically inefficient and ethically questionable.

In Waimea, cattle ranching has long been a staple of rural life and local economy. However, most meat consumed in Hawai‘i is still imported, despite the presence of processing facilities like Hawaii Meat Company and Wong’s Meat Market on O‘ahu. This paradox creates economic inefficiencies: local ranchers often must ship cattle to O‘ahu for slaughter, adding transportation costs while reducing local food sovereignty. At the same time, small-scale slaughterhouses face pressure to remain cost-effective in a system dominated by mainland meat giants (Fukumoto and Rieu).

Animal cruelty—often invisible to consumers—has real economic consequences. Industrial practices designed to maximize profit often compromise animal welfare, leading to overcrowding, unsanitary conditions, and excessive antibiotic use. These practices incur public health costs and environmental degradation, particularly through water and soil contamination near slaughterhouses (Sexton). Though such costs are rarely reflected in grocery prices, they burden taxpayers and ecosystems alike.

In communities like Waimea and urban centers like Honolulu, the presence of slaughterhouses also generates tension. Residents express concern over odor, waste runoff, and the proximity of such facilities to homes and schools. While the meat industry brings employment, it also limits more sustainable alternatives like regenerative agriculture or plant-based production, which may offer better long-term returns with less ethical baggage (Ulu pono Initiative).

In conclusion, the economic impact of animal cruelty in Hawai‘i’s meat industry extends far beyond the treatment of animals—it shapes how resources are allocated, how communities develop, and how food systems evolve. For Hawai‘i to build a more resilient, ethical economy, it must question whether continuing to support industrial meat production truly serves its people—or merely its profit margins.

Works Cited

Fukumoto, Glen K., and Ranson Rieu. *Hawai‘i Beef Industry Production Capacity Study*.

University of

Hawai‘i at Mānoa, College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources (CTAHR), 2020.

<https://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/>

Sexton, Joe.

“The Economics of Animal Cruelty.

” *Sentience Institute*, 2021.

<https://www.sentienceinstitute.org/animal-cruelty-economics>

Ulupono Initiative. *Hawai‘i’*

s Food Future: Building a More Resilient Food System. Ulupono Initiative,

2022. <https://ulupono.com/newsletters/hawaiis-food-future/>

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. *Animal Products Sector at a Glance*. USDA

ERS, 2023. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/animal-products/>

ASPCA.

“What Is Factory Farming?” *ASPCA Farm Animal Welfare*, 2022.

<https://www.asPCA.org/animal-cruelty/farm-animal-welfare>