

A	B	C	Comment
1			This proposal will directly affect my shoreline with a negative impact. If approved to move the buoys back into the bay will the Association be prepared to manage my shoreline knowing in advance that the moving of the buoys will cause a negative impact on my shoreline? I'm for placing buoys at point A
1			
1			We live in the effected area and would prefer that the buoy remain where is now.
1			Leave as is. The Idea of moving to position C would be very dangerous at skiing speed. Some daredevil would kill himself that close to our shore line!!!
	1		Gave our reply to Randy Smith when he was pooling our cove which was what was to be done.
			see other
	1		We have studied the proposed 'bouy relocation' and feel very comfortable with option 'B'. Thank you for giving us a chance to voice our opinion!
1			
			see other
	1		already voted through a personal visit with a board member. My neighbor, evelyn Weller, who is in her late 80's, and does not use email also voted in person.
	1		we voted through a personal visit with a board member. My neighbor, does not use email also voted in person.
	1		
1			
1			
	1		
1			The cove we are in has many larger type overhead boat docks . . . not to mention all the pontoon boats everyone has in this particular cove. I think it would be a mistake waiting to happen if you move the buoy. In our particular cove there are many families that have young children or grand-children that swim and use rafts, kayacks, etc. Moving the buoy from where it currently is would not be good. Sorry -- I hope everyone in our cove realizes what you are asking. Our vote stands at A
		1	
1			
	1		

1			I think if they move one buoy they should move all of them to make the lake bigger
1			Responding to the "Bouy" straw poll, we are strongly in favor of A – No change. We believe that the bouy currently in position A gives boaters the same ample space for turnaround as they get currently at the assigned bouy areas of the east and west arms of the lake. If you allow a 100 foot move back in the Big Bay then you should also readjust to allow for a 100 foot move back of the east & west no wake bouys. Why should they be exempt at this time from cove encroachment? Moving any or all bouys to save 30-60 seconds to get into/out of the bay is hardly worth compromising the ultimate safety of our passive recreation including swimmers, non-motorized floatations, fishing & recreation boats, and existing shorelines that consist of beaches/designated swimming entrances.
	1		
1			Leave bouy where it is!!
1			
1			
	1		I may be dating myself, but back in the '70's, the buoy was in position B. I skied on the lake from what is now Jeff Hendricks' home and it was very convenient to start and drop a skier off. I personally feel a move back to position B would be a good idea. That being said, I think the people back in that area should have all or most of the 'say' on this question.
1			My preference is alternate A - no change. Based on my e1perience with shore erosion at lot 24. If y'all proceed with either B or C, suggest making the changes conditional on measured losses over a five(?) year period. If unacceptable, revert to A.
1			
1			
1			
			I first would defer to those residents that live in and along the bay. I am fine with either A or B.
1			
	1		
1			
1			
1			Leave the bouys alone, that area is too shallow to have the speeders running like crazy in there.

1			<p>I am not in favor of moving buoys back into the bay. If you move buoys in one bay, others will follow.</p> <p>In my bay, we have children playing and swimming in front of their parent's or grandparent's homes.</p> <p>We also have a significant flow of kayak and fishing activity.</p> <p>Our bay is not wide enough to accommodate all that activity to be restricted to the end of the bay.</p> <p>It is not fair or safe to provide conveniences for one activity over the safety and rights of others.</p> <p>I am not personally familiar with the "big bay" or the reasoning for this change, but I would not like to see it happen in my bay.</p> <p>I have seen a few close calls with the turnarounds in recent years and water action resulting. There is more activity now than there use to be and I'm glad you are addressing this.</p>
1			<p>In the interest of lake safety and the environment I feel option "A" no change is my response. Thanks for the straw poll I like knowing all members are able to give their thoughts on the matter.</p>
1			<p>I strongly oppose making any changes to the buoy locations in this cove. There is no reason to open up more of the lake to "high speed" access. Plenty of water currently to run to your heart's content. Further, think you can make a case that allowing higher speed back in this cove runs a potential risk as boats "merge" upon entry on the main lake. Also, in regards to "less idle time", my guess is that the total time by the change might be 30 seconds.</p>
			see other
1			
			see other
1			
	1		
	1		
1			<p>For all of the reasons stated to not move the buoys it appears obvious to me that they should stay where they are (do not move) or even move out a bit to protect the interiors of those primary points. Accommodating one leasehold to the detriment of everyone else is puzzling at best.</p>
1			
		1	
1			<p>This lake is not that big! Some boaters do not think they should slow down at our end of the lake either.</p>

			I don't understand why after all these months this isn't already done.. At the last workshop, just like last fall, it was decided the people who live on the bays would be asked. Has that happened? If not, why not? If it has do what the majority of those people want done. It shouldn't take this much time and analyzing to get it done. This BOD talks about a lot but doesn't seem to accomplish a lot. If there are no wake signs on the shoreline, line up the no wake bouys with them. If you have asked the people on those bays, do what the majority want done. Please work toward promoting a positive pleasant place to live we used to have here.
1			Even though I'm not living on or near the "Big Bay", I feel there is no need to move the bouy. IE keep it at point "A"
			See other
1			I believe that the people who live in the area should make this decision, they are the ones who live there and whose shoreline and enjoyment of the lake will be affected
1			We want to preserve the quiet, fishing lake atmosphere of Sunset Lake. We prefer LOCATION A
		1	
1			
1			
		1	
			see other
			see other
1			please keep it the same
1			
		1	
			see other
			see other
			see other
	1		with reservations
	1		
1			
1			
	1		
1			

1			when kayaking in that very bay. I was with other kayakers inside of the no wake area marked by the buoys, when a boat pulling a man skiing came in off the main body of the lake right into the no wake area. He drove past us and nearly swamped us. The man on the ski came very close to me. We just are too small of a lake to take away from us one of the few larger areas to play in without large boat traffic. I know it is not an option probably, but an option D may have been in order, to move the buoys out farther toward the main body in order to enlarge the no wake area. Thank you for your consideration. Thank you to the BOD for paying attention to all of us on the lake and not just a few. We all use the lake for differing purposes.
1			
1			
1			Leave it alone
1			
A	B	C	
45	15	5	All- (65 members responded)
69%	23%	8%	
11	8	1	Big Bay Members Only (20 of the 35 lots)
55%	40%	0.5%	