

How do We Power Texas with Renewables

Based on the latest data, here's what it would take to power Texas entirely with wind, solar, and storage:

Current Texas Electricity Demand

Texas consumed around 372 TWh of electricity in the first nine months of 2025, representing a 5% increase from 2024 [U.S. Energy Information Administration](#). Demand is projected to reach approximately 425 TWh in the first nine months of 2026 [U.S. Energy Information Administration](#), suggesting annual consumption approaching 500+ TWh when extrapolated for the full year.

Current Renewable Infrastructure (as of 2025)

- **Wind capacity:** ~42 GW installed
- **Solar capacity:** ~25 GW installed
- **Battery storage:** Growing rapidly, with batteries supplying an average of 4 GW around 8 pm during summer 2025 [Electrek](#)
- **Current renewable share:** Wind and solar provided 37.7% of ERCOT's electricity through the first eight months of 2025 [IEEEFA](#)

What's Needed for 100% Renewable

While there's no single definitive study for Texas specifically, research on 100% renewable grids indicates several key requirements:

Massive capacity buildout: To account for intermittency (wind and solar don't generate 24/7), you need significantly more nameplate capacity than peak demand. Wind typically achieves a 34% capacity factor and solar around 23% in Texas. This means you'd need roughly:

- **150-200 GW of wind** (about 4-5x current capacity)
- **100-150 GW of solar** (about 4-6x current capacity)

Enormous storage requirements: This is the biggest challenge. Research shows costs increase nonlinearly as systems approach 100% renewable, largely because of seasonal mismatches [ScienceDirect](#). You'd likely need:

- **Multi-day to seasonal storage:** Tens to hundreds of GWh of battery storage for daily/weekly cycles
- **Long-duration storage:** For periods of low sun and wind, potentially including hydrogen production or other technologies

Transmission upgrades: Without transmission system upgrades, increasing curtailments will occur as renewable capacity doubles [U.S. Energy Information Administration](#), wasting potential clean energy.

The Cost Challenge

Studies of 100% renewable systems for the U.S. show incremental abatement costs from 99% to 100% renewable reach \$930 per ton of CO2 [ScienceDirect](#), indicating the last few percentage points are extremely expensive due to the need for firm backup capacity.

The good news: Texas is already demonstrating that high renewable penetration works well. The practical path forward likely involves getting to 80-90% renewable (which is far more economical) while using some natural gas for reliable backup during challenging weather periods.

[Claude is AI and can make mistakes.](#)