J. TONY SERRA, SBN 32639 1 CURTIS L. BRIGGS, SBN 284190 3330 Geary Blvd, 3rd Floor East San Francisco, CA 94118 Tel 415-986-5591 3 FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR CO Fax 415-421-1331 4 Attorneys for Petitioner DOUGLAS R. STANKEWITZ 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO 7 CENTRAL DIVISION 8 DOUGLAS R. STANKEWITZ, Case No. 21CRWR685993 9 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION Petitioner, TO ACCESS COURT EXHIBITS FOR 10 **EXAMINATION AND TESTING;** On Habeas Corpus. PROPOSED ORDER 11 Date: To be determined 12 Time: 9 am Dept: 62 13 (Related Case: Fresno Superior Court 14 Case No. CF78227015) 15 TO THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO AND TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO: 16 YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant DOUGLAS R. STANKEWITZ, 17 18 through counsel, hereby files through counsel his Motion to Access Court Exhibits for 19 Examination and Testing, to be heard on date to be determined. 20 Such motion is predicated on the Points and Authorities and Declaration of Counsel 21 herewith provided. 22 Oral argument for this motion is requested. Dated: September 16, 2022 23 Respectfully Submitted, 24 J. TONY SERRA CURTIS L. BRIGGS 25 Attorneys for Petitioner 26 DOUGLAS RAY STANKEWITZ 27 28 By Curtis L. Briggs

REQUESTED COURT EXHIBITS

The court has the following firearm court exhibits in its possession: 2 Exhibit #5-A Titan .25 cal firearm & Holster

Exhibit #5-B Orange box (and all contents)

Exhibit #5-C Fired cartridge casing

Exhibit #5-d Ammunition magazine containing 2 unfired cartridges

Exhibit #5-e Magazine with 2 unfired cartridges

loose unfired cartridge, does not have a court labeled exhibit number, herein designated as Exhibit 5x

For reference, a photo of each Exhibit is attached. The defense needs its expert to examine and test the firearm evidence. Further, the defense needs to rebut the inspection report filed by the Fresno District Attorney, attached to its Informal Response to the Amended Emergency Petition. The inspection report, which excluding exculpatory information, was filed on September 1, 2021.

The inspection and testing will be performed by Chris Coleman, Forensic Analytical Crime Lab (FACL), Hayward, CA. The qualifications of FACL for forensic firearm analysis are found at their website: https://www.facrimelab.com/general-criminalistics/. There is also a copy of the relevant web page attached hereto (Exhibit 5aa). The qualifications of Chris Coleman are attached hereto (Exhibit 5bb). To ensure the integrity of the exhibits, the defense requests that a District Attorney Investigator transport the exhibits to the FACL, using chain of custody procedures. FACL will observe its Evidence Handling (Exhibit 5cc hereto) and Evidence Submission procedures (Exhibit 5dd), attached hereto.

The examination and testing will be nondestructive:

1. Examination and testing of the holster, including determination of all internal contact patterns and whether all parts of each internal contact pattern were applied using the same firearm; and examination and evaluation of all handwritten and/or stamped identification markings.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 2. Examination and testing of the holster using porous paper and fingerprint powder to determine whether Court Exhibit #5-A fits the holster and could have been responsible for internal contact patterns present.
- 3. Examination and testing of the Court Exhibits #5A, 5-B, 5-C, 5-d, 5-e and 5x loose unfired cartridge. The examiner will fire the gun, using his own ammunition, namely Winchester .25 auto rounds. Because the Court Exhibits have been stored at the Fresno County Superior Court, the firearm should be in stable condition, such that it can be successfully test-fired.
- 4. Comparison of #5-C, the fired cartridge casing allegedly found at the crime scene, with #5-A, Titan .25 cal., to determine whether it was fired in #5-A.
- 5. Examination and testing of Court Exhibit #5-e, ammunition magazine and cartridges to determine how many cartridges #5-A would hold.
- 6. Examination of #5-A, #5-B, #5-C, #5d, #5e and 5x to determine whether any of the items contain Criminalist Boudreau's identification marks or any other law enforcement identification marks.
- 7. Examination and testing of Court Exhibit #5-A for blood and tissue.
- 8. Comparison testing of the test fires in evidence at FCSD to Court Exhibit #5-A. (The testing of the test fires is covered in a separate Motion to Compel Discovery, Including Evidence Examination and Testing, described as Envelope labeled as "3 Test Fired Cases from Titan 25 Auto #146425", described in a report dated 7-20-2017 by DA Investigator Mike Garcia, Item #26, at Bates page 4265, paragraph 5, Habeas Exhibit 7h.

MEMO OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A California Supreme Court Case, *Satele v Superior Court*, (2019) 7 Cal. 5th 852, is on all fours and on point. The case held that in <u>preparation for filing a habeas corpus petition</u>, a defendant was entitled to access to ballistics evidence in court exhibits. The purpose of the production of the evidence was for testing by an expert.

Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 2.550(c) provides that trial exhibits are included in the definition 2.550(b)(1). Satele, supra at 861. Specifically, the California Rules of Court authorize the court to permit an exhibit's release for examination outside of a court facility. Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 2.400(c). The court retains inherent authority to consider such factors as the need for testing, the administrative burden attendant to testing, any conditions necessary to maintain the integrity of the exhibit and chain of custody, as well as other equitable factors. Satele, supra, sets out the requirements for the court in granting access to the court exhibits. The only responsibility of the court is to ensure the integrity of the exhibits. No good cause is required because the good cause requirement applies only to physical evidence in the possession of the prosecution and law enforcement authorities. Satele, supra at 855. [Emphasis added]

All of the requested exhibits are in the court's possession. The qualifications of the lab and the forensic firearm examiner mean that the integrity of the exhibits will be maintained. DA Investigator transporting of the exhibits insures chain of custody. Therefore, the court should grant this motion.

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

Counsel, predicated on his information and belief, avers the following:

1. Court Exhibits #5-A, 5-B, 5c, 5d, 5e and 5x (one unfired cartridge) are in the possession of the Fresno Superior Court. The Court Exhibits have been stored at the Fresno County courthouse and kept clean and dry.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 2. The firearm evidence testing is necessary because the defense has never previously tested it.
- 3. As detailed in Exhibit 5bb hereto, firearms expert Chris Coleman has the requisite qualifications to test the firearms evidence.
- 4. The FACL cost for the examination and testing is \$3900.00.
- 5. Petitioner is indigent and therefore asks the court to order the County to bear the costs. If needed, defense counsel will pay for the reasonable administrative cost of packaging and transportation of the exhibits to the testing lab, as well as the cost of testing and examination.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct based on my information and belief. Executed on September 6, 2022, at San Francisco, California.

CURTIS L. BRIGGS

PROOF OF SERVICE The undersigned declares: I am a citizen of the United States. My business address is P. O Box 7225, Cotati, CA 94931. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. On the date set forth below, I caused a true copy of the within NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ACCESS COURT FOR EXAMINATION AND TESTING; ORDER PERMITTING ACCESS TO COURT EXHIBITS to be served on the following parties in the following manner: Mail _X___ Overnight mail ____ Personal service ____ Fax ____ Office of District Attorney 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed on September (6, 2022, at Cotati, California.

-6-

2. The exhibits will be transported from the court to FACL by a Fresno DA Investigator.

3. The examination and testing will be performed as described in the Motion to Access Court Exhibits on pgs. 2 and 3.

4. Fresno County will bear the costs of packaging, transportation, examination and testing.

Dated this of September, 2022

Arlan J. Harrell
Judge of the Superior Court