IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH				
,	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY REGARDING STATE COURT PROCEEDING			
Plaintiff, v.	Case No.			
, Defendant.	District Judge			

Plaintiff Brandon Michael Jeanpierre, pro se, respectfully submits this Notice of Supplemental Authority to inform the Court of recent procedural developments in the parallel state court proceedings that may bear on the Court's consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on May 6, 2025.

RECENT PROCEDURAL DEVELOPMENTS

- 1. On April 16, 2025, the Third Judicial District Court of Utah (Judge Amber M. Mettler) granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in Case No. 240910075, characterizing Plaintiff's religious liberty arguments as "frivolous" without substantive analysis. A true and correct copy of this Ruling and Order is attached as Exhibit A1.
- 2. On April 17, 2025, Plaintiff filed a timely Notice of Appeal with the Utah Court of Appeals.
- 3. On April 22, 2025, the Utah Court of Appeals issued a Notice in Case No. 20250413-CA, informing Plaintiff that his Notice of Appeal has not conferred jurisdiction upon that court because the dismissal order does not comply with Rule 58A of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. A true and correct copy of this Notice is attached as Exhibit B1.
- 4. The Utah Court of Appeals has advised that Plaintiff must either obtain a separate Rule 58A judgment document from the district court or wait 150

days for the appeal to become effective, creating significant additional delay in the state court proceedings.

RELEVANCE TO PENDING FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS

These recent developments bear directly on matters currently before this Court, specifically:

- 1. The procedural difficulties encountered in state court further demonstrate the inadequacy of state remedies to address Plaintiff's religious liberty claims, as argued in Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 2. The dismissal of Plaintiff's religious arguments as "frivolous" without substantive analysis underscores the immediate need for federal protection of fundamental First Amendment rights.
- 3. The additional procedural barriers to appeal imposed by the Utah Court of Appeals illustrate the compounding nature of the irreparable harm detailed in Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 4. These developments further support Plaintiff's position regarding the "state action" doctrine, as they demonstrate the systematic involvement of state judicial mechanisms in the underlying religious liberty violations.

Plaintiff provides this information solely to ensure the Court has a complete and current understanding of all proceedings related to the religious liberty claims at issue in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Brandon Michael Jeanpierre

Pro Se Plaintiff

Executed on
Signature:
Printed Name:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a co	opy of the foregoing			
	(Name of pleading)			
was mailed/delivered to		_ at		
	(choose one) (Name of defendants) or defendant's attorney)		(Address)	
on(Date)	-			