In Episode 9 of *The Backlash*, the hosts continue weaving their narrative that Zionist and Jewish influence is deeply embedded in global finance, Western politics, and media power structures. Although the episode is framed around economic instability, wildfires, and political developments in Canada, frequent references to Jewish individuals and institutions underpin the broader conspiratorial tone.

The discussion zeroes in on figures like **Paul Singer**, a Jewish hedge fund billionaire, whom they accuse of destroying American communities through "vulture capitalism." They claim Singer and other Jewish elites use debt, privatization, and international finance to plunder nations—targeting both developing countries like Argentina and institutions like Twitter. They link Singer to a broader Zionist agenda and allege he has used his power to oust Jack Dorsey from Twitter for not supporting censorship.

**Donald Trump** is repeatedly critiqued as a failed populist. The hosts argue that Trump talks about bold reforms—like Schedule F, birthright citizenship repeal, and mass deportations—but never acts. While some express hope that Trump once understood the need to challenge Zionist-aligned interests, most suggest he’s either unwilling or incapable. He is described as a “populist stooge” and accused of promoting cosmetic reforms to pacify his base without threatening the system of global debt and elite control.

Canada’s political shift is also viewed through this lens. The hosts mock Conservative leader **Pierre Poilievre** for wearing a kippah and supporting pro-immigration policies, framing him as another politician under Zionist influence. They claim that even supposed “nationalist” figures like Poilievre and Argentina’s Javier Milei are backed by **Chabad** and **Netanyahu**, suggesting that global Zionism co-opts all sides of the political spectrum.

By the end of the episode, the hosts reinforce their belief that meaningful reform cannot come through conventional politics. They claim Jewish power is the central obstacle to economic sovereignty, national identity, and cultural survival—and that figures like Trump have failed to confront it.