

- 1).A large slab of aluminium, 25 cm thick, is poured in a sand mould with no superheat.
- Fut_1:PROBLEM:1
• 1). A large slab of aluminium, 25 cm thick, is poured in a sand
mould with no superheat.
• a)How long will it take the slab to solidify? (Assume negligible
resistance to heat flow at the mould-metal inter resistance to heat flow at the mould-metal interface and within the solidified metal.)
- 1). A large slab of aluminium, 25 cm thick, is poured in a sand
mould with no superheat.
• a)How long will it take the slab to solidify? (Assume negligible
resistance to heat flow at the mould-metal interface and within
 cm from the surface and one at the centre of the casting. 1). A large slab of aluminium, 25 cm thick, is poured in a sand
mould with no superheat.
a)How long will it take the slab to solidify? (Assume negligible
resistance to heat flow at the mould-metal interface and within
the close to T_M until the casing is completely solid.)

T:1,P:1:SOLUTION

$$
S \!=\! \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\!\!\left[\!\frac{T_M\!-\!T_o}{\rho_S\,H}\!\right]\!\!\sqrt{K_m\,\rho_m\,c_m}\quad \sqrt{t}\qquad \, t\!=\!\!\left[S\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\!\left(\!\frac{\rho_S\,H}{T_M\!-\!T_o}\!\right)\!\!\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_m\,\rho_m\,c_m}}\!\right]
$$

 $S =$ thickness $/2 = 12.5$ mm $T_M = 660 °C$, To = 20 °C, $\rho_s = 2.7$ g/cc $H = 95$ cal/g

$$
t = \left[12.5\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\left[\frac{2.7\times95}{640}\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{14.5\times10^{-4}\times1.5\times0.27}}\right]^2
$$

2

 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$

ľ

 \rfloor

= 33031 seconds = 9.17 hours

MOULD CONSTANTS FOR SAND:

 $K_m = 14.5 \times 10^{-4}$ cal/cm ^oC s

 $p_m = 1.5$ g/cc, $c_m = 0.27$ cal/g ^oC

2.An approximate method of calculation of solidification time of a sand casting poured with superheat is to add the heat content associated with the superheat to the heat of fusion.

How long would it take the slab casting of Problem 1 to solidify if it were poured with 100 C superheat?

TS:1,P:2:SOLUTION

100 °C SUPERHEAT

 $H = 95 + (100 \times 0.26) = 121$

$14.5\times10^{-4}\times0.27\times1.5$ 1 640 $\bm{2.7}{\times}\bm{121}]$ $\overline{\bf 4}$ $t = (12.5)^{2} \frac{\pi}{4}$ $\times 10^{-4} \times$ 0.27 \times $=[12.5]^2 \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{2.7 \times 121}{640}$ $\overline{}$ J $\overline{}$ L $\overline{}$ L L \vert J ['] $\overline{}$ \setminus $\sqrt{2}$

 $t = 54453$ s \rightarrow ANSWER

 $t = 15$ hours

TS:1,P:3

3.Aluminium is splat cooled on a copper substrate with a mould-metal interface heat-transfer coefficient of 1 cal/cm2 C s.

> (a)Assuming heat transfer is interfacecontrolled, how thin must the sample be to achieve a cooling rate (in the liquid at just above the melting point) of 106 C/s ?

> (b)For the thickness calculated above, is it reasonable to assume interface-controlled heat transfer?

TS:1,P:3:SOLUTION

Q/A = h (TM – To) THE RATE AT WHICH THE SPLAT LOSES HEAT IS GIVEN BY: $Q/A = \rho C$ (dT/dt) d

d = THICKNESS OF THE SPLAT $H = 1$ cal/m².C.s ; $T_M = 660 C$; $T_o = 20 C$ $p = 2.7$; $c = 0.26$; $dT/dt = 10^6$ C/s

$$
d = \frac{1 \times 640}{2.7 \times 0.26 \times 10^6} = 9.11 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{cm}
$$

= Answer

4.One of the advantages claimed for magnesium die-casting compared with aluminium is a shorter solidification time.

Show that this is true for processes where heat transfer is controlled at the mould-metal interface.

IN INTERFACE CONTROLLED SOLIDIFICATION:

$$
t_f\!=\!\frac{\rho_S\,H}{h\!\left[T_M\!-\!T_O\right]\!A}
$$

COMPARING RELATIVE SOLIDIFICATION TIMES, WE HAVE THE RATIO:

$$
\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{f}\right)_{Mg}}{\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{f}\right)_{A1}}=\frac{\left[\boldsymbol{\rho_{s}}\boldsymbol{H}\right]_{Mg}}{\left[\boldsymbol{T_{M}}-\boldsymbol{T_{o}}\right]_{Mg}}\quad\frac{\left[\boldsymbol{T_{M}}-\boldsymbol{T_{o}}\right]_{A1}}{\left[\boldsymbol{\rho_{s}}\boldsymbol{H}\right]_{A1}}\quad=\frac{1.7\times89}{630}\times\frac{640}{2.7\times95}
$$

 $= 0.59$ $(t_f)_{\text{Mg}} = 0.59 \text{ X } (t_f)_{\text{Al}}$

THUS MAGNESIUM DIE CASTINGS SOLIDIFY IN 60 % OF THE TIME FOR ALUMINIUM CASTINGS.

5. You are to help design a vertical continuouscasting machine to make 8-in thick low-carbon steel slabs.

Casting speed to be aimed for is 100 in/min. What is the minimum height casting tower you must plan to build?

Note: Heat flow in the longitudinal direction can be neglected. You may use the data for pure iron.

TS:1,P:5:SOLUTION

THUS WE NEED TO HAVE A MINIMUM HEIGHT OF THE TOWER EQUAL TO 52 ft. THICKNESS REQUIRED TO $SOLUTION = 4 IN$

FOR A WATER COOLED **CHILL** $S = 1.6$ x \sqrt{t}

FOR $S = 4$ in, $t = 6.25$ minutes IN 6.25 MINUTES, THE SLAB WOLD TRAVEL 6.2 x 100 = 625 in = 52 ft

6. Give possible reasons for the good mouldfilling characteristics which are exhibited by pure metals and eutectic alloys during the casting of small sections.

Discuss them with regard to the interface morphology.

S:1,P:6:SOLUTION

(A) .The superior mould-filling characteristics of

pure metals and eutectics during casting,

especially of small sections, can be attributed to **Pure metals and euterballs and entity of small sections**
 EXECUTION
 EXECUTE:
 **Pure metals and eutectics during casting,

EXECUTE:**
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE:
 EXECUTE: especially of small sections, can be attributed to the fact that both materials exhibit smooth
interface morphologies when solidifying in a
interface morphologies when solidifying in a S:1,P:6:SOLUTION

(A) The superior mould-filling characteristics of

pure metals and eutectics during casting,

especially of small sections, can be attributed to

the fact that both materials exhibit smooth

interface mor $\sum_{i=1, P: 6: \text{SOLUTION}}$

(A) The superior mould-filling characteristics of

pure metals and eutectics during casting,

especially of small sections, can be attributed to

the fact that both materials exhibit smooth

interfac (A) The superior mould-filling characteristics of
pure metals and eutectics during casting,
especially of small sections, can be attributed to
the fact that both materials exhibit smooth
interface morphologies when solidif (A) .The superior mould-filling characteristics of
pure metals and eutectics during casting,
especially of small sections, can be attributed to
the fact that both materials exhibit smooth
interface morphologies when solidi (A) .The superior mould-filling characteristics of pure metals and eutectics during casting especially of small sections, can be attributed to the fact that both materials exhibit smooth interface morphologies when solidif

P:6:SOLUTION

(B).In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification sange, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct P:6:SOLUTION

(B).In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification range, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct

the channels As a result small PE SOLUTION

(B). In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification cange, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct

the channels. As a result, small

sections may not be fed with the liquid PESSOLUTION

(B). In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification range, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct

the channels. As a result, small

sections may not be fed with the liquid

metal leading either **PEGESOLUTION**

(B). In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification range, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct

the channels. As a result, small

sections may not be fed with the liquid

metal, leading eit PEGSOLUTION

(B). In the case of alloys, which have a

solidification range, dendritic

interfaces form and rapidly obstruct

the channels. As a result, small

sections may not be fed with the liquid

metal, leading either (B). In the case of alloys, which have a
solidification range, dendritic
interfaces form and rapidly obstruct
the channels. As a result, small
sections may not be fed with the liquid
metal, leading either to widespread
por (B).In the case of alloys, whic
solidification range,
interfaces form and rapidly
the channels. As a resul
sections may not be fed with t
metal, leading either to wic
porosity or complete absence
of the casting.

TS:2, P:1

TS:2, P:1

A plate casting of dimension 200 mm \times 15 mm \times 25 mm

was separately cast in two moulds having the properties

as shown in Table. TS:2, P:1

A plate casting of dimension 200 mm \times 15 mm \times 25 mm

was separately cast in two moulds having the properties

as shown in Table.

(i)Determine the solidification times. TS:2, P:1
 A plate casting of dimension 200 mm ×
 was separately cast in two moulds having
 as shown in Table.

(i)Determine the solidification time

(ii)Rank the dendritic arm spacing. (iii) Rank the mechanical properties

(iii) Rank the mechanical properties

(iii) Rank the dendritic arm spacing.

(iii) Rank the mechanical properties

(iii) Rank the mechanical properties (iii) Rank the mechanical properties

(iii)Rank the dendritic arm spacing.

(iii)Rank the dendritic arm spacing.

(iii)Rank the dendritic arm spacing.

(iii) Rank the mechanical properties

(iiii) Rank the mechanical prop

(iii) Rank the mechanical properties

variation amongst the two castings.

TS:2, P:1

(i) RATIO OF SOLIDIFICATION TIMES =

 $=$ (solidification time) $_{\text{sand}}$ ||/(solidification time) $_{\text{sand}}$ $=(k_{m} X \rho_{m} X c_{m})$ sand I $/(k_{m} \rho_{m} c_{m})$ sand II

 $(1100 \times 930 \times 0.71)_{\text{sand}}$ (3400 X 600 X 1.7) $_{\text{sand}}$

716100/3468000 = 0.21

(ii) DENDRITE ARM SPACING (DAS) IS **PROPORTIONAL TO** \sqrt{t} $(DAS)_{sand II}/(DAS)_{sand I}$ = [(solidification time)_{sand II}/(solidification time) sand I^{[1/2}

 $= (0.21)^{0.5} = 0.447$

(iii) MECHANICAL PROPERTIES (ULTIMATE TENSILE STRENGTH AND % ELONGATION) ARE INVERSELY PROPRTIONAL TO DAS.

(MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CASTING CAST IN SAND II)/ (MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THE CASTING CAST IN SAND I)

- $= (1/DAS)_{\text{sand II}} / (1/DAS)_{\text{sand II}}$
- $= 1/0.447 = 2.24$

CASTING POURED IN SAND II SOLDIFIES FASTER, SMALLER DAS AND HIGHER MECHANICAL PROPERTIES THAN CASTING POURED IN SAND I