











# Regional Housing & Growth Issues Partnership

Meeting 6: September 27, 2021

## Idaho Housing Trust Fund

- The Legislature established this funding mechanism in 1992
- It has never been funded or a board appointed
- Allows local solutions to housing affordability problems
- Secures a portion of funds through a small fee collected upon the sale and transfer of new and existing properties (scalable based on value of property)
- Allows for matching funds for local housing projects land banking, opportunity zones, financial incentives to affordable housing developers, etc.
- Would exempt agricultural/timber properties and transfer of property between family
- What is needed? Legislature needs to provide a consistent funding mechanism and the Governor needs to appoint the seven Commission members to govern the Idaho Housing Trust Fund

## CHDO's and Housing Authorities being researched by the Working Group

#### CHDO's in Idaho that work with IHFA

- Bonner Community Housing Agency
- Moscow Affordable Housing Trust
- LEAP Charities / Housing Trust
- NeighborWorks Boise
- ARCH Community Housing Trust
- NeighborWorks Pocatello

#### **Housing Authorities and other Management Structures**

- Boise City/Ada County Housing Authority
- City of McCall
- Spokane Housing Authority, WA
- Boulder County, CO

## Housing Management Structures being explored by the Working Group

- 1. Expanding and supporting existing entities (CHDOs/CBDOs)
- 2. Forming a Regional Housing Advisory Board/Housing Alliance
- 3. Forming a Housing Authority

## Housing Management Structures

#### **Expanding and supporting existing entities (CHDOs & CBDOs)**

- Panhandle Affordable Housing Alliance (PAHA)
- Habitat for Humanity (housing/land trust model)
- United Way (housing/land trust model)
- CDAIDE

#### Forming a Regional Housing Advisory Board/Housing Alliance

- Use existing entities and expand under one umbrella
- Partnership with jurisdictions, funders, and CHDOs/CBDOs
- Manage and develop different levels of affordable housing

#### **Forming a Housing Authority**

- Authority provided through state code
- Can develop, own and/or manage housing
- Can do affordable and market rate housing
- Can be structured and managed similar to urban renewal boards
- Can be specific to one city or regional
- Similar to advisory board/alliance model, but has the authority to bond for funding

### Survey Questions

- 1. Should the Working Group explore expanding and supporting existing entities (CHDOs/CBDOs)?
- 2. Should the Working Group explore forming a Regional Housing Advisory Board/Housing Alliance?
- 3. Should the Working Group explore forming a Housing Authority?

## Live Polling – Question #1

What is the best method for getting direction on the Housing Management Structures that should be explored further by the Working Group?

- The Advisory Committee member and/or Executive Director should answer on behalf of the organization
- The Executive Team/Board of Directors should answer on behalf of the organization
- The entire membership/network associated with the organization should be surveyed

#### **Poll Results**

#### Live Polling Question #1

1. What is the best method for getting direction on the Housing Management Structures that should be explored further by the Working Group? (Single Choice) \*

The Advisory Committee member and/or Executive Director should answer on behalf of the organization

(10/21) 48%

The Executive Team/Board of Directors should answer on behalf of the organization

(3/21) 14%

The entire membership/network associated with the organization should be surveyed

(8/21) 38%

## Live Polling – Question #2

Should the KMPO page be updated to include the rosters of the Subcommittees (e.g., Schools and Open Space)?

- Yes, the information would be helpful
- No, the information is unnecessary
- Neutral

Close

Poll Results

#### **Live Polling Question #2**

1. Should the KMPO page be updated to include the rosters of the Subcommittees (e.g., Schools and Open Space)? (Single Choice) \*

Yes, the information would be helpful

(14/22) 64%

No, the information is unnecessary

(2/22)9%

Neutral

(6/22) 27%