



March 15th, 2025

To: Faisal D'Souza, NCO
Office of Science and Technology Policy
2415 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22314

From: Dr. Ali (AI) Naqvi
CEO, American Institute of Artificial Intelligence
Ashburn, VA
www.americanai.com

This document is approved for public dissemination. The document contains no business-proprietary or confidential information. Document contents may be reused by the government in developing the AI Action Plan and associated documents without attribution.

This document is respectfully submitted in response to the AI Action Plan related RFI.

SECTION 1

AIAI Fully Supports President Trump's AI Initiative and VP Vance's Vision for AI Leadership

The American Institute of Artificial Intelligence (AIAI) welcomes President Trump's new AI initiative and Vice President Vance's stated position at the Paris AI Action Summit. AIAI has long advocated for a strategic, dominance-driven AI policy, making it the only AI institute that has consistently maintained the same position as now undertaken by the administration - since 2020.

President Trump's Executive Order 14179, issued on January 23, 2025, affirms that: *"It is the policy of the United States to sustain and enhance America's global dominance in order to promote human flourishing, economic competitiveness, and national security."*

As the only AI institute to have consistently championed this position since 2020, AIAI stands ready to support, advise, and help implement the administration's AI policies to ensure that AI remains a driver of American strength, security, and global competitiveness. The United States cannot afford to fall behind in AI development and deployment - dominance is not optional; it is necessary.

This directive aligns with AIAI's longstanding position - that AI is not just an industry or a technological advancement, but a foundational force that will define national power, economic strength, and geopolitical influence in the coming decades. AI leadership is synonymous with global leadership, and securing American supremacy in AI is imperative for both national security and economic prosperity.



Vice President J.D. Vance further reinforced this vision at the Paris AI Action Summit, stating the need to maintain American leadership in AI and use AI to: *“Make people more productive, more prosperous, and more free.”*

AIAI has long argued that AI should be viewed as a force multiplier - one that enhances human potential, fuels economic growth, and fortifies national security. However, maintaining American AI leadership requires more than just investment - it demands a clear, aggressive, and coordinated national strategy that integrates AI across defense, industry, and governance.

SECTION 2

AIAI has long been concerned about the state of American AI strategy and its implications

The American Institute of Artificial Intelligence (AIAI) has long been concerned about the state of American AI strategy and its implications for national security, economic competitiveness, and global leadership. Despite early leadership in AI research, the United States has failed to develop a comprehensive, systematic approach to AI deployment and adoption. In contrast, China has executed a highly coordinated and deliberate AI strategy, resulting in faster AI scaling, greater national integration, and broader economic and security advantages.

The Divergent Paths of AI Development: United States vs. China

AIAI’s research has highlighted fundamental differences between how the U.S. and China introduced and integrated AI into their national frameworks. These differences have contributed to America’s relative decline in AI capabilities while China continues to make strategic advances.

Factor	United States	China
Initial AI Adoption Mindset	Marked by trepidation, fear, and concern	Met with enthusiasm and excitement
Definition of AI	Initially mistaken as rudimentary robotic process automation (RPA)	Recognized early on as machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL)
AI Project Success Rate	Failure rates as high as 80%	Higher success rates due to better integration and strategic deployment

AI Strategic View	Primarily a research funding strategy	Recognized as a full-spectrum national strategy integrating AI into governance, military, industry, and daily life
AI Integration Model	Disjointed efforts across industries, often haphazard and unsystematic	Unified strategy aligning AI with economic and geopolitical goals
Scaling Approach	Isolated AI deployments with slow scaling across industries	Coordinated, top-down approach ensuring AI scales rapidly across sectors
Impact on National Strategy	AI is often treated as a standalone initiative, disconnected from larger national goals	AI is deeply embedded in China's broader vision for economic, military, and technological dominance

The Strategic Shortcomings of the United States AI Plans: Insights from AIAI's Research

AIAI has analyzed previous U.S. AI strategic plans and national AI initiatives and has found them to be deeply underdeveloped. While American AI research remains strong, the failure to implement a unified, scalable, and strategically aligned AI deployment model has led to stagnation and inefficiency. As a result:

1. China has outpaced the U.S. in AI scaling and integration – leveraging AI for economic growth, surveillance, military applications, and governance.
2. U.S. AI initiatives remain fragmented – with no overarching national AI doctrine that connects military, industry, and public-sector AI adoption.
3. Declining AI capabilities threaten broader national achievements – including economic competitiveness, military strength, and global technological leadership.

Dr. Al Naqvi, CEO of AIAI, along with Intel's Mani Jankiram, highlighted these failures in their book, *At the Speed of Irrelevance: How America Blew Its AI Leadership Position and How to Regain It*. The book provides a strategic analysis of the flaws in America's AI trajectory, urging an immediate recalibration of U.S. AI policy to prevent further erosion of national capabilities.

AIAI has consistently warned that if the U.S. does not rectify its AI strategy, the decline in AI capabilities will have cascading effects on every aspect of national achievement. AI is not an isolated field - it is the backbone of modern economic, military, and geopolitical power. Without a decisive national AI strategy, the U.S. risks:

- Losing technological supremacy to China and other adversaries.

- Weakening military and defense capabilities, as AI-enabled autonomous systems, cybersecurity, and electronic warfare capabilities fall behind.
- Falling behind in economic growth, as AI-powered industries dominate global markets.
- Failing to influence global AI governance, allowing authoritarian regimes to set AI norms and ethics.

The window for the United States to reassert its AI leadership is closing rapidly. China's rapid AI progress is not accidental - it is the result of deliberate, structured, and long-term planning. If the U.S. does not adopt a similarly strategic and systemic approach, it risks not only technological stagnation but also a decline in global influence and national security.

SECTION 3

Typical AI National Plans Will Fail Without True Strategic Orientation

As AI reshapes global power, nearly every nation has drafted an AI strategy. However, these plans often follow a predictable, ineffective pattern, offering aspirational rhetoric rather than operational execution. They frame AI as both an opportunity and a threat, yet spend more time on ethics and regulation than on concrete deployment frameworks. While responsible AI is essential, overemphasizing governance without a strategy for dominance leads to stagnation.

Most AI strategies focus on data accessibility, research funding, workforce training, and business incentives. While these elements are important, they often lack integration into national security, economic, and industrial policies. Governments pour money into AI research and startups, yet fail to embed AI into critical infrastructure, defense, and intelligence operations. Training programs, though necessary, often do not align with real-world AI adoption, leading to a workforce without clear industry pathways.

Public-private collaboration is another common theme, yet these partnerships rarely have structured execution models. Similarly, AI adoption in government tends to focus on bureaucratic automation rather than national security applications. Instead of leveraging AI for military defense, intelligence operations, and geopolitical influence, governments emphasize administrative efficiency - missing AI's true potential.

Beyond these structural weaknesses, many AI strategies lack depth and clarity. In some cases, they are driven by political pressure rather than technological necessity. Governments use high-profile AI projects as status symbols, showcasing robotics and entertainment AI instead of building real capability in economic, military, and strategic domains. This performative approach does not lead to AI leadership - it leads to dependency on nations that take AI seriously.

What True AI Leadership Requires

To lead in AI, nations must go beyond fragmented strategies and take a bold, integrated approach:

- AI must be embedded into economic, military, and political power structures - not treated as a niche research initiative.
- AI investment must extend beyond research grants and startup funding to full-scale national deployment.
- National security and intelligence must integrate AI-driven defense, cybersecurity, and intelligence operations.
- Economic strategy must prioritize AI-driven supply chains, manufacturing, and industry-wide transformation.
- Governments must shift from funding discussions to execution roadmaps, ensuring AI adoption at scale.

AI is not just another technology - it is the defining force of global competition. Nations that embed AI into their strategic foundations will dominate, while those that treat it as a policy discussion will fall behind. AI leadership will not be won through ethics frameworks or research funding alone - it will be won through execution.

SECTION 4

Action 1: Develop Proper Strategic Orientation

A strategy is not a statement of intent or a collection of tasks arranged in a predictable cause-and-effect sequence. It requires clarity of purpose, alignment with objectives, and a deep understanding of the forces shaping outcomes. The failure to distinguish between policy and strategy results in weak planning, and when strategic thinking does not match national objectives, frameworks become aspirational rather than actionable.

Nowhere is this more evident than in AI, which represents not just a technological shift but a fundamental transformation in how humans think, generate knowledge, and perform work. Knowledge production is critical to overcoming survival challenges, as demonstrated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Nations with structured biomedical research frameworks developed vaccines swiftly, while those without such infrastructure remained dependent on external solutions. Strategic thinking identifies gaps in knowledge, but solutions emerge only when a systematic process of discovery follows.

AI enhances and accelerates each step in this process - expanding strategic thinking, revolutionizing knowledge production, and transforming work output. AI enables multi-dimensional exploration, uncovering solutions beyond human cognitive limits. It also decouples

discovery from traditional scientific methods, allowing breakthroughs where answers emerge before theories are fully understood. This redefines knowledge creation and productivity, far beyond what conventional research methods can achieve.

Beyond discovery, AI is driving exponential productivity gains through automation in both digital and physical environments. It is not just accelerating work - it is redefining what work is. Therefore, an AI strategy cannot be limited to research funding or policy directives; it must orchestrate a transformation across strategic thinking, knowledge production, and work execution to ensure an order-of-magnitude leap in productivity and national capability.

The goal is not incremental improvement but radical reinvention. Nations that fail to embed AI into how they think, create knowledge, and produce value will struggle to compete in the next era of global power.

Action 2: Build the Context

The United States faces unprecedented domestic and international challenges. Global power dynamics are shifting, with emerging rivals challenging the U.S.-led world order. Major wars in Europe and West Asia, economic instability, climate change, trade wars, and supply chain disruptions are straining national resilience. At home, political instability, economic inequality, and the rise of transnational criminal networks add further complexity. In this volatile landscape, AI is not just another technological development - it is a force that will alter every dimension of global power.

AI is accelerating complexity on an unprecedented scale. The global system is already intricate, shaped by trade, diplomacy, and technological competition. The introduction of AI adds intelligent, non-human decision-makers into this system, fundamentally altering the nature of interactions. The speed, scale, and cognitive makeup of these AI-driven entities differ vastly from human actors, forcing nations to rethink traditional strategy. This is not a challenge that can be addressed with conventional military doctrines or standard economic policies. AI introduces variables never before encountered in strategy development, requiring a radical shift in national planning.

Unlike traditional grand strategies, AI strategy does not merely refine existing approaches - it transforms them. AI disrupts the foundations of knowledge, dramatically expands thinking power, and unlocks exponential productivity gains through automation. This is not an incremental shift; it is a fundamental restructuring of power dynamics, economic systems, and cognitive frameworks. The challenge is not just to integrate AI into existing strategies but to build entirely new strategic models that account for AI's capacity to redefine the rules of engagement.

Given AI's transformative nature, national strategy must move beyond tactical planning. AI is not just another instrument of national power - it amplifies all existing instruments of power and creates entirely new ones. Economic influence, military capabilities, political leverage, and cultural soft power are all subject to AI-driven magnification. A nation with weak technological infrastructure can use AI to revolutionize its industries, just as an underdeveloped entertainment sector can be supercharged into a global influence tool. AI does not just enhance existing power - it redefines what power means.

This shift introduces an extraordinary level of complexity. Power is no longer static or confined to traditional domains; it is fluid, scalable, and interdependent. AI allows for synchronization and integration of national strengths in ways previously unimaginable. Nations that fail to recognize this will remain trapped in outdated strategic models, unable to compete in an AI-dominated world. The challenge is not simply to use AI but to embed it into every aspect of national power in a synchronized, scalable, and integrated manner.

This is the essence of AI strategy. It is not a funding initiative or an isolated technological effort - it is the most comprehensive and consequential strategic undertaking in modern history. Nations that fail to grasp this reality will find themselves at the mercy of those that do.

Action 3: Not just Adopt, We Must Embed AI

A crucial distinction in AI strategy is the difference between adoption and embedding. Adoption refers to the successful implementation and utilization of AI in a way that is accepted and integrated with minimal resistance. It ensures AI is effectively used across sectors, but it does not inherently alter the fundamental structure of national power. Embedding AI, on the other hand, is a far deeper process - a structured, research-driven approach that determines how AI can amplify and integrate instruments of national power, both by enhancing existing capabilities and discovering entirely new ones.

Embedding AI requires a comprehensive evaluation of how AI can transform national strengths, ensuring that AI not only enhances power but also synchronizes various instruments - military, economic, social, political, informational, and psychological - into a unified strategic force. This is not a simple process, as AI itself is evolving rapidly. The shift from classical machine learning to deep learning, the emergence of transformer architectures, and the explosion of generative AI are reshaping both the possibilities and methodologies of AI deployment. This constant evolution means that AI strategy must remain agile and adaptable, addressing not only what AI can do, but how it is implemented and integrated over time.

Building upon the definition of strategy as defined by Department of Defense and captured in the Doctrine for the Armed Forces of the United States, Joint Publication 1, from 2017, Page I-7 JP1,

we define AI Strategy as:

AI strategy is a set of prudent ideas for deploying, discovering, embedding, and adopting AI to amplify and augment the overall effect of the instruments of national power in a synchronized and integrated fashion, to achieve theater, national, and/or multinational objectives.

This definition reflects AI's role not as an isolated tool but as a force multiplier - one that enhances decision-making, accelerates knowledge production, and revolutionizes work output across all domains of national power. Nations that successfully embed AI as an integral force across all instruments of power will not only maintain their strategic advantage but also redefine the very nature of power itself in the AI era.

Action 4: Clarify Objectives and Competition

An AI strategy must aim to achieve theater, national, and multinational objectives within a competitive environment where national interests clash with adversarial forces. AI is not just a tool for advancing national power - it is also a means to diminish adversaries' power, thereby increasing the relative power differential.

Many AI strategies fail by focusing solely on domestic advancements while ignoring the need to counter external threats. AI is a zero-sum game in key strategic areas, where gains for one nation often come at the expense of another. The U.S. restrictions on China's access to Nvidia AI chips illustrate this reality - China's AI development depends on advanced semiconductors, and limiting access deliberately slows its progress. Similarly, U.S. concerns over TikTok's data access highlight how AI-driven platforms can influence public opinion, shape societal trends, and manipulate economic and political forces. AI is not just about efficiency - it is a battleground for strategic influence.

Competition, however, is not limited to foreign threats. Domestic challenges - cultural inertia, political resistance, and bureaucratic inefficiencies - can hinder AI adoption. Adversarial actors can exploit these divisions, using AI to engineer opposition, disrupt adoption, or fuel political instability. A successful AI strategy must address both external and internal constraints, ensuring that adversarial forces - whether organic or intentionally constructed - are systematically countered.

Strategic objectives must translate into operational and tactical execution. AI-literate leadership is essential for turning strategy into action, requiring specialized training to ensure proper implementation. At the tactical level, AI must be integrated into specific, ordered activities that align with broader national goals.

To maintain strategic superiority, AI strategy must be continuously evaluated and recalibrated. AI is not static, nor is the geopolitical landscape in which it operates. Nations that embed adaptive evaluation into their AI strategies will sustain long-term dominance, while those relying on rigid, outdated plans will be outmaneuvered by more agile competitors.

In short, AI strategy is not just about technological progress - it is about shaping the competitive landscape in a nation's favor, neutralizing threats, and continuously refining execution. Nations that fail to embed strategic foresight into their AI plans will not lead the AI era - they will be shaped by those who do.

Action 5: Include Value Chains and Markets in the Planning Process

The reality of competition inevitably leads to competing value chains. AI is redefining both competitive and comparative advantages of nations, disrupting traditional market structures and forcing a reassessment of economic power. The long-standing foundations of domestic and international competition are being reshaped by AI, introducing new paradigms of economic rivalry that demand strategic adaptation.

Understanding how AI will restructure markets and supply chains is essential for any AI strategy. AI-driven automation, predictive analytics, and optimization algorithms will reconfigure supply chains, altering how goods, services, and capital move across borders. These shifts will, in turn, trigger a chain reaction of adjustments across other economic variables - from pricing models to logistics frameworks, from labor distribution to corporate strategy. As each variable realigns, supply chains will continuously adapt in ways never before possible in traditional market structures.

The financial sector will also undergo fundamental transformation. AI will not just attract capital flows into AI-driven industries - it will alter the core structure of financial markets themselves. As AI automates investment decisions, optimizes risk management, and increases trading efficiency, we can expect a surge in transaction velocity, higher trade volumes, and a shift in market frequency dynamics. Algorithmic trading and AI-powered market intelligence will lead to new forms of financial competition, intensifying volatility but also unlocking unparalleled efficiencies.

Beyond financial markets, AI will accelerate Schumpeterian creative destruction, where obsolete business models will collapse under the weight of AI-driven efficiency. Industries that fail to integrate AI will see their capital eroded and reallocated to AI-powered competitors. This destruction is not limited to businesses - entire national economies will be reshaped, as those that embrace AI will command superior economic structures, while those that resist will suffer stagnation.

Success in this AI-driven economic landscape depends not only on technical AI capabilities but also on social and political acceptance. Public understanding of AI's role in value creation, economic efficiency, and competitive advantage will be critical for adoption. Societies that resist AI-driven transformation will experience economic decline, while those that integrate AI seamlessly into markets, industries, and national strategies will dominate the future global economy.

AI is not just another technological wave - it is a seismic force that will dictate the winners and losers of the next economic era. Nations that fail to anticipate and shape AI's impact on value chains and markets will cede their economic sovereignty to those that do.

Action 6: AI Strategy and Action Plan Through the Lens of Complexity Theory

A national AI strategy must be built on complexity science, not linear policymaking. AI does not just improve efficiency - it transforms the very structures of competition, governance, and social order. A well-crafted strategy must:

- Recognize AI as a disruptive force that alters institutions, not just operations.
- Account for unpredictable emergent behaviors in human-AI interactions.
- Develop dynamic policy frameworks that evolve alongside AI's rapid transformation.
- Ensure that AI-driven optimization does not sacrifice resilience and adaptability.

A national AI strategy cannot be formulated in isolation from complexity theory. The fundamental challenge in designing such a strategy is that it must account for AI's transformative impact on complex systems - cities, firms, markets, political institutions, and societal norms - all of which emerge from the interactions of agents and the rules governing those interactions. AI is not merely an additional tool within existing structures; it is a force that reorients and restructures those very structures, placing traditional institutions in flux.

At the heart of this transformation are two core factors:

1. AI as a New Class of Intelligent Agents: For the first time in history, non-human intelligent decision-makers are becoming integral to national economies, security apparatuses, and governance systems. This is a radical departure from the past, where all systems - no matter how complex - were ultimately the result of human cognition, biases, and behavioral constraints. The introduction of AI as a knowledge-seeker and decision-maker breaks the previous equilibrium, raising fundamental questions about institutional robustness. Complex systems were already unpredictable and dynamic with just human actors. The presence of AI-driven agents - operating under different learning mechanisms, training data, and optimization functions - creates an entirely new layer of interactions. Whether in cybersecurity, financial markets, military strategy, or

economic planning, AI-driven decision-making alters the rules governing institutions and infrastructures. The consequences of this shift are not merely operational - they are systemic.

2. AI-Driven Evolution of Human-Machine Interactions: Human interactions are governed by biological, psychological, and evolutionary constraints that have shaped our economic, political, and social structures for centuries. These structures - democracy, justice, family, governance - are emergent properties of millennia of human-to-human interactions. AI introduces a new dynamic:

- Human-to-Human Interactions (traditional structures remain)
- Human-to-Machine Interactions (humans adjust to AI decision-making)
- Machine-to-Human Interactions (AI influences human behavior, shaping opinions, markets, and political choices)
- Machine-to-Machine Interactions (autonomous AI systems negotiate, trade, and compete without human input)

This shift challenges longstanding emergent structures and makes their future trajectories uncertain. It is not simply a matter of making AI ethical or removing biases - altering interaction rules without understanding how they propagate across complex layers can create unintended and undesirable emergent patterns. For example, AI governance often assumes that eliminating bias in datasets will make AI more ethical. While this is true at the individual decision level, it does not account for how large-scale social patterns might shift when biased or improvisational decision-making is removed. Just as traffic models predict smoother flows with autonomous vehicles but overlook the adaptability of human drivers, rigid AI-driven systems may reduce local inefficiencies but introduce fragility in unpredictable environments.

The Risk of Unintended Institutional Disruptions

If AI changes how individuals think, interact, and operate within a system, it inevitably changes the larger institutional structures that emerge from those interactions. This means AI will reshape democracy, liberty, justice, family structures, economic models, and governance frameworks - not necessarily by design, but as a consequence of aggregated AI-driven interactions.

The danger is not that AI will be misused, but that we simply do not yet understand how novelty will emerge from the structural transformations it causes. AI-driven automation may create economic efficiencies, but it may also undermine democratic institutions by altering political discourse. AI-led decision-making in financial markets may optimize transactions, but it could also exacerbate systemic risks by removing human improvisation and flexibility.

A Complexity-Based Approach to AI Strategy

Developing a national AI strategy without modeling these forces is reckless. AI does not operate in isolation - it is embedded within a web of evolving interactions, incentives, and counterforces. A complexity-based approach to AI strategy must:

- Map the interactions between human and AI-driven agents across economic, political, and social domains.
- Model AI-driven institutional shifts to anticipate emergent disruptions before they reach crisis levels.
- Identify weak points in existing governance, economic, and security structures that may become fragile under AI-driven transformations.
- Develop flexible and adaptive AI policy frameworks that evolve with AI's rapid advancements rather than remain static.

Action 7: Integrate Culture and Communications in AI Strategy

Culture profoundly influences the formulation, adoption, and execution of national strategies. Any AI strategy that fails to account for cultural dynamics risks encountering resistance, inefficiency, or outright failure. Technology adoption is not solely a technical or economic process - it is a cultural phenomenon, shaped by the values, ideologies, and societal norms of the country in which it is being implemented.

For example, the Chinese approach to national AI programs is structured around centralized state planning, collective societal goals, and top-down directives, leading to cohesive and large-scale execution. In contrast, the American approach is marked by market-driven innovation, decentralized governance, and individualistic enterprise, resulting in fragmented but dynamic advancements. These cultural differences mean that identical AI strategies would yield vastly different outcomes in each country.

Integrating Culture into AI Strategy

To design an AI strategy that is effective within a given nation, cultural factors must be embedded into the strategy itself. This includes:

- Recognizing how national values shape AI adoption (e.g., data privacy concerns in the U.S. vs. centralized data control in China).
- Understanding how citizens perceive technological change (e.g., AI as an enabler of progress vs. AI as a threat to jobs and autonomy).
- Tailoring policy and implementation methods to align with cultural attitudes toward governance, innovation, and labor markets.

The Role of Communication in AI Rollout

A national AI strategy is not just a technical or economic blueprint - it is a narrative that must inspire, mobilize, and unify a country. The success of AI adoption depends on how effectively the strategy is communicated to businesses, policymakers, institutions, and the public. Without a compelling national vision, even the most technically sound strategy will fail to generate momentum.

Effective communication requires:

- Framing AI as a national mission that resonates with cultural values.
- Engaging diverse stakeholders (businesses, universities, civil society) to ensure alignment.
- Addressing fears and uncertainties by demonstrating how AI benefits individuals and communities.
- Using culturally relevant messaging that connects AI to national identity and aspirations.

AI adoption is not just a technological transformation - it is a societal shift. The success of national AI programs depends on how well strategy aligns with culture and how effectively it is communicated. Nations that integrate cultural intelligence into their AI strategies will mobilize public support, accelerate adoption, and sustain long-term success, while those that ignore cultural dimensions risk facing resistance and stagnation.

Action 8: Separate Policies and Strategies in AI Planning

Distinguishing between strategy and policy is essential in AI strategic planning. While the two are often conflated, they serve distinct roles: strategy defines objectives and direction, while policy provides the tools to implement them. In the case of AI, there is no ambiguity - policy must follow strategy, not the other way around.

Policies should be designed as instruments to achieve strategic objectives, rather than restricting or dictating what those objectives should be. A strategy-first approach ensures that AI policies are purpose-driven and aligned with national interests, rather than being reactive or fragmented. Allowing policy concerns to dictate strategy leads to narrow, short-term decision-making, which is particularly dangerous in AI, where the long-term implications are profound and far-reaching.

In the United States, Congress has largely followed this model, with the House and Senate crafting AI policies in response to strategies provided by agencies and expert bodies. While greater transparency and interdisciplinary coordination could improve the process, the fundamental approach - letting strategy drive policymaking - is working. This places significant responsibility upon agencies that they must make the right recommendations.



Establishing the Strategy-Policy Link

For AI strategies to be effective, a structured channel between strategic planning and policymaking must be established. This means:

- Ensuring policymakers are informed by national AI strategies, not the other way around.
- Creating mechanisms for continuous feedback so that policies evolve in response to strategic execution.
- Developing AI governance frameworks that are adaptable, allowing strategy to drive long-term planning while policy handles execution.

AI is too transformative to be limited by pre-existing policies. A nation's AI trajectory must be determined by well-defined strategic objectives, with policies structured to support, amplify, and execute those objectives. Nations that fail to separate policy from strategy risk creating fragmented, ineffective AI programs, while those that get this right will ensure AI remains a powerful, integrated force in national development.

SECTION 5

The American Institute of Artificial Intelligence and Its Mission

The American Institute of Artificial Intelligence (AIAI) is a leading institution committed to advancing artificial intelligence (AI) for national security, governance, and economic competitiveness. Unlike traditional AI research organizations that focus on theoretical advancements or commercial applications, AIAI is dedicated to applying AI strategically to strengthen national security, enhance government operations, and ensure that the United States maintains its global leadership in an increasingly AI-driven geopolitical landscape.

As AI reshapes global power dynamics, it is imperative that the United States not only leads in AI research but also develops robust AI strategies that secure its national interests. AIAI has played a pivotal role in shaping AI policies and frameworks that integrate AI into defense, intelligence, cybersecurity, and strategic decision-making. The institute's work is driven by the understanding that AI is not merely a tool for efficiency - it is a force multiplier that can redefine security, diplomacy, and competitive advantage.

AIAI's Contributions to National Security AI

AIAI has been at the forefront of shaping AI strategies for government agencies, military branches, and intelligence organizations. Its work ensures that AI is deployed not only as an automation tool but as a transformative capability that enhances national security and geopolitical strength.



Among its key contributions, AIAI has played a critical role in AI National Strategy Development, consulting with the U.S. Senate office to contribute to the formulation of the U.S. AI national strategy. The institute has also led AI initiatives in defense and security education, developing AI frameworks for military decision-making, hybrid warfare modeling, and autonomous defense systems.

Recognizing the risks and vulnerabilities associated with AI, AIAI has designed ARMADA (Adaptive Risk Management for AI-Driven Applications) as an extension of the NIST AI Risk Management Framework, tailoring AI governance specifically for military and national security applications. The institute has also pioneered Generative Competitive Intelligence (GenCI) - a cutting-edge AI-driven intelligence framework that enables AI-powered geopolitical analysis, counter-adversarial intelligence, and influence operations.

AIAI's AI Workforce Development & Certification programs, including CGENAI™ (Certified Generative AI Professional Certification), focus on building an elite AI workforce capable of designing, implementing, and managing mission-critical AI systems. Additionally, AIAI has developed AI methodologies for diplomatic negotiations and international relations, integrating AI into strategic engagements between the U.S. and key global powers.

As cyber and electronic warfare evolve into critical national security concerns, AIAI continues to research and develop AI-enabled cybersecurity, electronic warfare, and AI-augmented intelligence systems. These efforts aim to counter emerging threats in the cyber and electromagnetic domains, ensuring the U.S. retains its strategic advantage.