

REPORT

Monitoring of the migration of birds through the territory of the Integrated System for Protection of Birds, Autumn 2021



Dr. Pavel Zehtindjiev Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: pavel.zehtindjiev@gmail.com

> Dr. D. Philip Whitfield Natural Research Ltd, Banchory, UK

> > November 2021

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. OBJECTIVES AND TASKS OF THE STUDY5
3. ORNITHOLOGISTS WHO CARRIED OUT THE SURVEY
4. MATERIAL AND METHODS6
5. RESULTS7
5.1. SPECIES COMPOSITION AND NUMBER OF BIRDS
5.2. FREQUENCY OF APPEARANCE11
5.3. DIRECTION OF MIGRATING BIRDS12
5.4. ALTITUDE OF BIRDS13
5.5. ORDERED WIND TURBINE STOPS DURING THE AUTUMN MIGRATION PERIOD.14
5.6. OBSERVED FLOCKS OF TARGET BIRD SPECIES FOR ISPB AS DOCUMENTED IN
AUTUMN MIGRATION 202114
5.7. ANALYSIS OF THE RECORDED ADDITIVE MORTALITY CAUSED BY WIND
TURBINES ON THE BIRD POPULATIONS PASSING THROUGH THE ISPB TERRITORY20
6. CONCLUSIONS
REFERENCES

1. INTRODUCTION

The present study was commissioned by AES Geo Energy Ltd., Kaliakra Wind Power, EVN Kavarna, Degrets OOD, Disib OOD, Windex OOD, Long Man Invest OOD, Long Man Energy OOD, Zevs Bonus OOD, Vertikal-Petkov & Sie SD, Wind Park Kavarna East EOOD, Wind Park Kavarna West EOOD, and Millennium Group OOD in order to collect and summarize the information about the performance of the Integrated System for Protection of Birds (ISPB) that includes 114 wind turbines, 95 of which are within the Kaliakra SPA BG0002051 and 19 are in the areas adjacent to the protected zone (Figure 1).

Detailed information on the scope, technical rules and monitoring procedures are publicly available at a dedicated website (<u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Methodology of ISPB</u>) as well as in three previous reports on autumn migration in 2018, 2019 and 2020 respectively (<u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Report Autumn Bird Migration, 2018;</u> <u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Report Autumn Bird Migration, 2019;</u> <u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Report Autumn Bird Migration, 2020</u>).</u>

Figure 1 presents the locations of all 114 wind turbines within the study area covered by the ISPB.



Figure 1. A satellite photo with the location of the wind turbines covered by the ISPB and the boundaries of Kaliakra SPA (shown by the red line), together with the scope of three radar systems.

The recent surveys of bird migration in Bulgaria show that SPA Kaliakra is in the region of the country to the east of a defined migratory route -Via Pontica: "... relatively big number of studied sites in the last years allows drawing a line, which connects the sites with most numerous soaring migrants along Via Pontica: VP20 Slaveevo, VP8 Izvorsko, VP4 Bryastovets and Burgas". (Michev et al., 2012 <u>http://acta-zoologica-bulgarica.eu/downloads/acta-zoologica-bulgarica/2012/64-1-033-041.pdf</u>) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Orientation of vantage points with strongest aggregations of birds during autumn migration along the core axis of Via Pontica according to data from Michev et al. (2012)

Over the past ten years, a series of studies have been carried out to study migratory, wintering and breeding birds in this area and specifically on the impact of a wind farm on birds: <u>http://www.aesgeoenergy.com/site/Studies.html</u>. These intensive surveys over several years have confirmed further that the study area on the Kailakra Cape is, indeed, away from the main migratory Via Pontica migration corridor. To date, moreover, these surveys found no evidence of significant impacts due to wind turbines on the populations of recorded species.

Under an agreement to establish and operate the ISPB, the ornithofauna was monitored during autumn migration in 2018, 2019, 2020 on the above-mentioned territory and reports are published at <u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/</u>.

This report covers the fourth autumn migration season (01.08 - 31.10.2021) in ISPB territory. The collected information was used to assess the effectiveness of the application of ISPB in Kaliakra in the Autumn of 2021.

Taking into account the geographical location of the site and previous research (monitoring reports from the Saint Nikola Wind Farm, <u>http://www.aesgeoenergy.com/site/Studies.html</u>), as well as a report published by the MoEW on Nature of the Migration of 42 Birds from the Bulgarian fauna according to the level of modern knowledge of migration <u>http://natura2000.moew.government.bg/PublicDownloads/Auto/OtherDoc/276296/276296_Bir ds_120.pdf</u>, we consider the period covered in our study as optimal and representative for autumn bird migration of all target for ISPB species (page1 point 2.2.Target Bird Species <u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Methodology of ISPB</u>).

The study is specifically focused on target species for ISPB which are diurnal migrants. The data for all bird species flying over the territory, deemed as vulnerable to direct collision with wind facilities are presented in this report.

2. OBJECTIVES AND TASKS OF THE STUDY

The main objectives of this monitoring study are to determine the quantitative characteristics of migratory birds in the area of ISPB during autumn migration, to assess the effectiveness of the Turbine Shutdown System (TSS) applied here, in order to reduce the risk of collision mortality for target birds, and to evaluate the impact of the wind farms on birds during autumn migration.

During the monitoring, the following characteristics of bird migration were identified for study:

1. Migration periods, species composition, changes in the number of birds during the season, daily activity, flight heights, as well as feeding, resting and roosting places of migrant birds passing through the area and observation points.

2. The significance of the study territory for feeding birds of prey.

3. Proportion of migrating birds in respect to the Western Black Sea migratory flyway - Via Pontica.

3. ORNITHOLOGISTS WHO CARRIED OUT THE SURVEY

Prof. Dr Pavel Zehtindjiev – Senior field ornithologist

More than 25 years of research experience in ornithology. Author of more than 85 scientific publications in international journals with an impact on the scientific field of bird biology, ecology and ecosystem conservation. Member of the European Ornithological Union and many nature conservation organizations. Winner of the Revolutionary Discovery Award for the Ornithology of the American Ornithological Society for 2016 - The Cooper Ornithological Society.

Over 10 years of experience in impact monitoring study of wind turbines in the study area.

> Dr Viktor Vasilev – Field ornithologist

Senior researcher in the Faculty of Biology, University of Shumen.

Member of BSPB and participant in number of conservation projects in Bulgaria.

Author of over 20 scientific publications in international journals. Member of BSPB.

Veselina Raikova - Field ornithologist

Natural History Museum of Varna. Member of BSPB. Author of more than 10 publications in international scientific journals. Over 10 years of experience in impact monitoring study of wind turbines in the study area.

Ivaylo Raykov - Field ornithologist

Museum of Natural History, Varna. Member of BSPB. Author of over 20 scientific publications in international journals.

Over Five years of experience in impact monitoring in the region of Kaliakra.

Kiril Bedev - Field ornithologist

Researcher in Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences.

Active member of conservation organization Green Balkans. Long term study on migrating birds and biodiversity of Burgas lakes. Author of three articles in Bulgarian Red Data Book. Expertise in biotechnology, conservation biology and environmental monitoring. Over seven years of experience in impact monitoring of wind parks in Bulgaria. Member of Balkani NGO for conservation of birds and nature.

Janko Jankov - Field ornithologist

Graduated ecologyst and conservation biology expert. Over seven years of experience in impact monitoring of birds in Wind Park projects in NE Bulgaria. Member of BSPB.

> Nikolay Velichkov - Field ornithologist

Field studies of the distribution and number of breeding bird species ENVEKO, Inspection of use of pesticides and pedigrees in the framework of the project "Urgent measures for the protection of the Egyptian Vulture (*Neophron percnopterus*) BSPB".

Monitoring the migration of birds species composition and the number of nesting fauna 2007-2012 "Ecotan" EOOD. Over 10 years of experience in impact monitoring study of wind turbines in the study area

> Svetoslav Stoianov - Field ornithologist

Bachelor in Biology diploma from Shumen University. Participant in numerouse conservation projects of BSPB – BirdLife Bulgaria. Midwinter counts of waterfowl birds in Bulgaria nad white stork census expert. Monitoring the migration of birds species composition and the number of nesting fauna 2007-2012 "Ecotan" EOOD. Over 10 years of experience in impact monitoring study of wind turbines in the study area

Jelyazko Dimitrov Dimitrov - Field ornitologist

Member of BSPB from 31.12.2006 to 31.12.2010. Trained to monitor the severity of collisions of birds with wind turbines.

> Vasil Panayotov Dimitrov - Field ornithologist

Trained to monitor the severity of collisions of birds with wind turbines. Representative of local conservation organization in Balgarevo, Kavrna.

Boyan Michev - Field ornitologist

PhD student at the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research - BAS. He works in Risk Assessment and Conservation Biology department. Expert in the use of radars to study bird migration. Member of the European Migration Tracking Network through meteorological radars.

4. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The methodology for ornithological monitoring has been developed in accordance with the methodological guidelines adopted by the National Council on Biological Diversity at the MOEW with Protocol No. 11 of 8 June 2010 and the Order of the Minister of Environment and Water of 15.02.2018

https://www.moew.government.bg/static/media/ups/tiny/filebase/Nature/Biodiversity/Preporyk i%20Rykowodstwa%20Dokladi/Metodika_VEP.pdf) for the implementation of TSS in the Protected territories of Natura 2000 network of Bulgaria. Field observation protocols being part of the technical rules followed Bibby et al. (1992) and Michev et al. (2010, 2011) and were used to study the autumn migration of birds in the territory covered by ISPB.

In addition, three radar systems were used in conjunction with real time observations by all field ornithologists. The range of the radar systems is presented in Figure 1.

The assessment of the effectiveness of the Turbine Shutdown System (TSS) utilizes the methodology developed in the USA (Morrison 1998) for monitoring bird collision with the turbines (and see methods described in <u>https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Methodology of ISPB</u>).

All details about the application of the radar systems in the ISPB, ornithological methods, generic protocol for visual observations, site-specific protocol for visual observations, bird data recording collation, and physical characteristics of the environment recorded are given already in the Methodology of ISPB as well as in previous reports dedicated to spring and autumn migration 2018, 2019 and 2020 are available from the web site of ISPB https://kaliakrabirdmonitoring.eu/Reports.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Species composition and number of birds

The monitoring from 1 August to 31 October 2021 recorded 22983 individual birds, assigned to 46 bird species. For comparison, the total number of observed birds of all species in 2018, 2019, 2020 were 16973, 11105 and 12079 respectively. Comparisons of the observed monthly number of birds in four consecutive migratory seasons are presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Number of registered birds by months during the autumn migration period in the territory of ISPB in 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

The number of birds in the ISPB study area apparently depended on the direction of the wind in autumn. The strong correlation of wind direction in the region and number of birds observed in the ISPB territory (Kalikara area) is supported by the direct comparison of days with westerly winds and number of birds registered for the whole season, in four consecutive years 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Dynamics of the autumn migration of soaring bird species in the ISPB territory according to visual observations during the autumn migration in 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. Letters above spikes indicate the direction of wind in days with increased numbers of migrating birds.

This pattern in the number of birds recorded in Kaliakra in respect to westerly wind directions in autumn is confirmed in many previous studies at the St. Nikola Wind Farm (SNWF) which forms a major part of the ISPB territory (see reports <u>http://www.aesgeoenergy.com/site/Studies.html</u>).

The numbers of individuals recorded by species during autumn migration in four autumn seasons are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Composition of species and number of registered birds over the period 01 August to 31 October 2018,2019, 2020 and 2021 in the ISPB territory

Species name	Autumn 2018	Autumn 2019	Autumn 2020	Autumn 2021
A. alba	0	0	0	5
A. brevipes	309	123	110	194
A. gentilis	1	5	8	1
A. nisus	242	185	244	150
A. cinerea	21	8	37	49
A. clanga	0	1	0	0
A. purpurea	2	0	0	1
A. pennata	30	15	40	17
A. pomarina	232	29	22	27
A. nipalensis	0	0	1	0
A. heliaca	0	0	2	1
A. melba	0	35	0	0
A. apus	0	100	0	0
B. buteo	2642	1980	2965	615
B. rufinus	58	13	45	8
B. lagopus	3	1	15	0
C. albus	0	8	3	0
C. aeruginosus	442	180	264	202
C. cyaneus	37	15	16	18
C. pygargus	88	28	60	27
C. macrourus	8	5	13	6
C. gallicus	94	50	59	63
C. ciconia	451	1557	1137	12859
C. nigra	54	7	13	17
C. garrulus	1	37	3	14
C. corax	15	27	21	13
C. cornix	6	8	0	0
C. coturnix	0	0	0	1
C. monedula	35	0	0	0
C. frugilegus	14	0	0	0
C. olor	0	0	0	5
C. oenas	44	14	0	0
C. crex	0	1	0	0
C. palumbus	1200	2	0	500
F. vespertinus	472	149	1215	397
F. subbuteo	48	46	38	34
F. peregrinus	4	0	1	3
F. tinnunculus	272	161	176	94
F. cherrug	2	0	0	0
F. columbarius	2	2	1	0
F. eleonorae	3	1	0	0
M. migrans	71	19	20	28
M. milvus	2	0	2	1
M. alba	414	0	0	0
M. apiaster	2963	4314	3737	2374
M. calandra	1430	0	0	0
G. grus	100	4	0	251
G. virgo	13	0	0	1
G. fulvus	0	0	1	1
L. michahellis	234	62	0	626
L. melanocephalus	0	0	0	450
L. cachinnans	0	0	1	0
L. excubitor	0	1	0	0

Species name	Autumn 2018	Autumn 2019	Autumn 2020	Autumn 2021
L. fuscus	1	0	0	1
L. ridibundus	0	0	0	35
N. nycticorax	0	12	0	0
H. minutus	0	0	0	45
H. albicilla	1	1	1	0
H. rustica	1000	86	1000	200
P. carbo	576	512	332	319
P. onocrotalus	2021	1243	0	1449
P. crispus	0	1	8	2
P. apivorus	801	9	96	1852
P. haliaetus	17	12	3	8
P. leucorodia	5	1	6	0
P. roseus	1	0	0	0
P. perdix	10	25	0	0
R. riparia	76	0	0	17
St. vulgaris	400	0	360	0
V. vanellus	4	0	2	0
U. epops	0	0	0	2
E. garzetta	1	0	0	0
T. ferruginea	0	8	0	0

The most numerous migrating birds recorded in autumn 2021 were white storks (*Ciconia ciconia*) with over 12,000 individuals registered. Within the other soaring birds the most numerous recorded birds involved honey buzzards (*P. apivorus*) and great white pelicans (*P. onocrotalus*) with over 1400 individuals of each species (Table 2). Seven new species were recorded in autumn 2021. The newly observed species were great egret (*Ardea alba*), common quail (*Coturnix coturnix*), mute swan (*Cygnus olor*), Mediterranean gull (*Larus melanocephalus*), black-headed gull (*Larus ridibundus*), little gull (*Hydrocoloeus minutus*), and Eurasian hoopoe (*Upupa epops*). The hoopoe is a common bird species and the fact it was not registered in previous autumn seasons is probably related to the habitats around the constant observation points which are located in agrarian fields.

In autumn 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021, 451, 1557, 1137 and 12859 white storks were recorded during ISPB studies, respectively. The European nesting population of the white stork is estimated to be between 180000 and 220000 pairs, with about 80 % of the species migrating along the western Black Sea flyway (Via Pontica), covering a region of northeastern Bulgaria. Our results confirm that white storks flying over the Kaliakra area have a negligible number (between 0.02 % and 5.45 % of the Via Pontica population) and the area still remains east of the main migratory route of white storks along the western Black Sea migration flyway. Strong fluctuations of white stork numbers have been observed in ISPB territory in over 10 years of our monitoring in a part of the same territory (see autumn migration reports from AES Geo Energy at at SNWF https://www.aesgeoenergy.com/Studies.html). In 2010 in two days at the end of August and beginning of September under westerly winds over 24 000 white storks were observed over the same territory. The coincidence of westerly winds in Kaliakra with the days of most intensive migration of white storks over the whole territory of Bulgaria (30 August – 2 September) was associated with an increased number of white storks over Kaliakra.

The remaining registered bird species were also observed in low numbers in respect to total numbers of these species passing along the Via Pontica flyway, as observed previously in typical bottleneck sites – e.g. Burgas Bay (Michev et al. 2018). For example, counts of black storks (*C. nigra*) in Kaliakra have varied between 7 and 54 in contrast to Burgas where over 5000 black storks were observed in autumn 2017. Marsh harriers (*Circus aeruginosus*) counts varied from 180 to 442 in Kaliakra compared to 1468 in Burgas. Lesser-spotted eagles (*Aquila pomarina*) in

Kaliakra varied between 22 and 232 in contrast to over 22000 in Burgas. Red-footed falcons (*Falco verspertinus*) counted in Burgas reached over 15000 in contrast to totals between 149 and 1215 in Kaliakra. The differing proportions of the most numerous birds of prey using the ISPB area during autumn migration is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 5. Proportional representations of the eight most numerous birds of prey recorded during autumn migration in 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

5.2. Frequency of appearance

The appearance of the observed species in different parts of the ISPB study area does not obviously indicate avoidance of the locations with operating wind turbines. This supposition is reached by virtue of the observed frequency of appearance of every species by observation points (OP), indicated in location by Figure 1, and on data presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Number of days with records of the most numerous soaring bird species, according to every observation point, during the period of monitoring in ISPB territory in autumns of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

Species		0	P1			0	P2			O	3			(DP4			0	P5	
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2018	2019	2020	2021	2018	2019	2020	2021	2018	2019	2020	2021	2018	2019	2020	2021
A. brevipes	11	13	16	15		5	20	89	10	21	9	7	13	4	4	21	16	3	61	62
A. nisus	34	21	10	1		11	107	67	36	39	7	4	95	7	23	63	28	39	97	15
A. pomarina	18	8	8	13		1	10	10	9	2	1	2	21			1	17	5	3	1
B. buteo	80	80	37	30	4	22	2313	230	75	72	37	17	78	23	188	322	80	87	384	16
B. lagopus							15		1	1			1				1			
B. rufinus	15		5		1		14	1	15	10			9	1	1	4	10	1	25	3
C. aeruginosus	83	20	43	47	4	14	112	34	70	27	31	15	99	31	4	80	116	32	67	26
C. ciconia	1	1	21	6389	4	1	1	1670	10	10		55	2		1	4167	3		1114	578
C. cyaneus	15	4					8	9	1	7			9			7	8	2	8	2
C. gallicus	10	4	5	15	3	4	7	8	17	11	5	11	16	11	7	16	24	6	35	13
C. garrulus	1									2					2				1	14
C. macrourus	3					3	5	2	1	1	1		2		4	2	2		3	2
C. nigra	5	3	1	9			7		3	1			5				3		5	8
F. columbarius							1			2			1				1			
F. eleonore		1											2				1			
F. subbuteo	13	11	14	6		1	11	5	21	9	6	1	4	11	1	16	6	3	6	6
F. tinnunculus	44	41	31	8	5	17	68	26	45	14	23	11	51	9	6	34	29	15	42	15
F. vespertinus	44	6	5	1		29	96	16	18	9	103	261	54	3	20	49	21	12	991	70
P. apivorus	15		5	584			84	2	27		1	7	17	4		1226	17	3	6	33
P. onocrotalus	7	1		95				568	12	2		1	9			785	2	3	0	n Second Star S

Activity of observed soaring birds in respect to wind turbines during the autumn migratory period did not indicate any avoidance of the area with turbines. The daily activity of autumn migratory birds from records collected in the ISPB study area is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. The dynamics of the presence of birds by hour of the day in the ISPB territory in the autumns of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

5.3. Direction of migrating birds

In order to examine a potential barrier effect of the study area's wind turbines on migrating birds we analysed deviation of the flight directions from the expected main migratory direction of autumn migration – southerly directions. An important parameter for determining the presence of a barrier effect is the degree of observed circumvention of the ISPB territory with its operating wind turbines. The recorded flight directions in autumn are presented in Table 3.

Direction	Proportion of records 2018	Proportion of records 2019	Proportion of records 2020	Proportion of records 2021
Ν	3,49%	1,51%	0,56%	1,73%
NE	8,73%	1,02%	1,40%	3,38%
NNE	0,02%		0,03%	
NNW	0,01%	0,02%	0,12%	0,01%
NW	4,76%	1,77%	3,21%	0,54%
Е	1,75%	5,83%	2,14%	2,05%
SEE	0,09%		0,05%	
SE	5,64%	7,01%	4,38%	3,74%
SSE	0,01%			
S	41,52%	49,57%	35,58%	12,72%
SSW	0,12%		0,03%	0,68%
SW	20,43%	19,35%	43,06%	38,63%
WSW	0,71%	0,01%	0,04%	21,56%
W	12,70%	13,91%	9,24%	14,62%
WNW	0,02%		0,08%	0,12%

Table 3. Proportions (expressed as %) of recorded birds by direction during autumn migration, in and approaching the territory of ISPB for the period 01 August -31 October 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. In grey are the observed proportions (%) as expected for autumn migration migratory directions.

The main direction of birds during autumn migration was towards the south to southwest, with over 70 % of observations in all four autumn seasons of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 (Table 3). Within this pattern of movement, the tendency of many migratory birds (around 20 %) to be on a south/southwesterly direction is also probably an indication that when winds came from the west more birds were observed in ISPB (as noted above), having been diverted from the major Via Pontica migratory route to the west. A southwesterly flight direction is indicative of birds attempting to return to that route. A trend in that southwesterly direction, around a general southerly path, is also likely to be related to the study area's geography, in that a persistent southerly flight path across ISPB and beyond would take birds over the Black Sea which would curtail any further migration through lack of supporting winds. Therefore, there was no observed marked deviation from the seasonal expectation of migratory flight directions, which were centered around the south in four consecutive years of monitoring. No changes were apparent in the migratory directions of the birds due to the presence of wind turbines.

5.4. Altitude of birds

Over 50 % of birds observed in the ISPB flew at a height of less than 200 m above ground level in four autumn seasons of 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. No changes in flight height due to the proximity of wind turbines were observed. The distribution of migratory birds in height is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Proportional (expressed as %) distributions of passing birds by altitude (metres) in ISBP as observed in autumn 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 monitoring periods.

5.5. Ordered wind turbine stops during the autumn migration period

As a result of the simultaneous observations at five constant observation points and three radar systems (Figure 1) during the whole period of the 2021 autumn migration, there were five stops of four groups of turbines and one complete wind farm (KWP) in the territory of the Kaliakra SPA and adjacent territories. The stop orders given to the engineers on duty were executed in a timely manner, thus avoiding any collision risk of bird passing through the territory. Detailed information on the duration of the ordered stops is given in Table 4.

Date	Wind Farm	Turbine code №/ Group	Species	Number of birds	Time stop	Time restart
02.10.2021	SNWF	A zone	P. onocratalus G. grus	450 13	15:42:00	15:48:00
10.10.2021	KWP	-	P. onocratalus	35	13:08:00	13:25:00
11.10.2021	SNWF	A zone	G. fulvus	1	10:28:00	10:33:00
11.10.2021	SNWF	F zone	G. fulvus	1	10:45:00	10:52:00

Table 4. Data for stops of wind turbines ordered by field observers during the autumn migration of birds 2021.

5.6. Observed flocks of target bird species for ISPB as documented in autumn migration 2021



Figure 8. Registered flock of great cormorants in August 2021: 26 birds (yellow), observed 09.08.2021.



Figure 9. Registered flock of 58 great white pelicans (yellow) observed 19.08.2021; flock of 1000 white storks (green) observed 20.08.2021; flock of 17 white storks (white) observed 22.08.2021.



Figure 10. A flock of 330 white storks (pink) observed 30.08.2021; flock of 85 white storks (blue) observed 31.08.2021



Figure 11. Registered flocks of white storks (white): 250 birds, 36 birds, 350 birds, 480 birds, 12 birds, 327 birds, 255 birds, 320 birds, 500 birds observed 01.09.2021; registered flocks of white storks (green): 700 birds, 270 birds, 270 birds, 75 birds, 313 birds, 250 birds observed 02.09.2021.



Figure 12. Registered flock of 37 great white pelicans (white) observed 01.09.2021; flock of 120 honey buzzards (green) observed 02.09.2021; flock of 48 grey heron (pink) observed 05.09.2021.



Figure 13. Registered flock of 28 levant sparrowhawks (white) observed 14.09.2021; flock of 12 levant sparrowhawks (yellow) observed 15.09.2021; flock of 26 levant sparrowhawks (green) observed 18.09.2021.



Figure 14. Registered flocks of red-footed falcons (pink): 33 birds, 250 birds and 63 bird observed 20.09.2021; flock of 34 white storks (white) observed 21.09.2021; flock of 180 great white pelicans (green) observed 23.09.2021.



Figure 15. Registered flocks of 450 great white pelicans (green) and 120 great white pelicans (green) observed 02.10.2021; flock of common cranes (purple) observed 02.10.2021; flocks ot 19 and 15 yellow-legged gullcs (white) observed 01.10.2021 and flock of 130 yellow-legged gullics (white) observed 02.10.2021; flock of 35 great cormorants (brown) observed 01.10.2021.



Figure 16. Registered flock of 35 great white pelicans (brown) observed 10.10.2021; flocks of 16 and 17 common cranes (pink) observed 09.10.2021; flocks of common craness (pink): 42 birds, 32 birds, 17 birds, 35 birds, 25 birds and 33 birds observed 10.10.2021; flock of 40 yellow-legged gullcs (blue) observed 05.10.2021; flock of 50 yellow-legged gullics (white) observed 08.10.2021; flock of 21 yellow-legged gullics (blue) observed 09.10.2021; flock of 20 yellow-legged gullics (blue) observed 10.10.2021; flock of 10.10.2021; flocks of common buzzards (white): 21 birds, 19 birds, 19 birds, 50 birds observed 10.10.2021; flock of 16 common buzzards (green) observed 09.10.2021; flock of 31 and 19 common buzzards (green) observed 10.10.2021.



Figure 17. Registered flocks of 22 and 55 great white pelicans (white) observed 11.10.2021; flock of 12 common cranes (green) observed 11.10.2021; flock of 1 griffon vulture (blue) observed 11.10.2021; flock of 41 common buzzards (brown) observed 17.10.2021.



Figure 18. Registered flock of 23 great white pelicans (blue) observed 18.10.2021; flock of 20 great white pelicans (green) observed 23.10.2021.

5.7. Analysis of the recorded additive mortality caused by wind turbines on the bird populations passing through the ISPB territory

In order to check the effectiveness of the ISPB to prevent collisions of autumn migrating birds, each of the 114 turbines covered by the ISPB programme was checked at least once a week for collision victims during the autumn migration monitoring period of 2021. It is well known that in the search for victims of collision with working wind turbines do not detect all dead birds for several reasons. The two main factors behind this are the effectiveness of the searcher (the searchers fail to find all the dead birds) and the removal / disappearance of the dead birds before they can eventually be discovered by the searcher. Reporting on these two potential parameters can substantially improve the assessment of mortality due to collision in operating wind farms. To foresee such corrections, field experiments were undertaken in ISPB territory in autumn 2018. According to additional previously performed carcass removal and searcher efficiency tests during autumn migration and in winter at SNWF, a weekly search regime provides for a costeffective method, which can also be calibrated, to discover any bird strike fatalities which may be of concern. Hence a frequency of four searches per month under every turbine allows estimation of the mortality of birds from collision with the turbines in the ISPB. This allows estimation of bird mortality from collision with the turbines in the Kaliakra SPA and others of the total 114 wind turbines included in the ISPB. For details of relevant previous studies at SNWF within the wider ISPB territory, see: http://www.aesgeoenergy.com/site/Studies.html

Turbine	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Total
ABBalgarevo	3	4	4	11
АВГ1	3	4	4	11
ΑΒΓ2	3	4	4	11
АВГ3	3	4	4	11
АВГ4	3	4	4	11
ABMillenium group	3	4	4	11
ABMillenium group	3	4	2	9
Micon				
AE10	3	4	4	11
AE11	3	4	4	11
AE12	4	4	4	12
AE13	4	6	4	14
AE14	3	4	4	11
AE15	3	4	4	11
AE16	3	4	4	11
AE17	3	4	4	11
AE18	4	4	4	12
AE19	4	4	4	12
AE20	3	4	4	11
AE21	3	4	4	11
AE22	3	4	4	11
AE23	3	4	4	11
AE24	3	4	4	11
AE25	3	4	4	11
AE26	3	4	4	11
AE27	3	4	3	10
AE28	3	4	3	10
AE29	3	4	4	11
AE31	4	6	4	14
AE32	4	6	4	14
AE33	4	6	4	14
AE34	4	6	4	14
AE35	4	6	4	14
AE36	3	4	4	11
AE30	4	4	4	12
ΔF38	3	4	4	11
AE30	3	4	4	11
AE37	3	4	4	11
AE40	3	4	4	11
AE41	3	4	4	11
AE42	3	4	4	11
AE43	3	- т Л	- т Л	11
AE44	2	- /	3	10
AE45	5	4	5	10

Table 5. Number of checks for victims of collision in the territory of	ISPB during the period 01 August 31 October
2021.	

Turbine	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Total
AE46	4	4	4	12
AE47	4	4	4	12
AE48	4	4	4	12
AE49	4	4	4	12
AE50	4	6	4	14
AE51	4	4	4	12
AE52	4	4	4	12
AE53	4	4	4	12
AE54	4	4	4	12
AE55	4	4	4	12
AE56	4	4	4	12
AE57	4	4	4	12
AE58	4	4	4	12
AE59	4	4	4	12
AE60	4	6	4	14
AE8	3	4	4	11
AE9	3	4	4	11
DBL1	3	4	4	11
DBF1HSW250	2	4	4	10
DBF2	3	4	4	11
DBГ2MN600	2	4	4	10
DBL3	3	4	4	11
DBF4	3	4	3	10
DBL5	3	4	3	10
DC1	3	4	3	10
DC2	3	4	3	10
E00	3	4	4	11
E01	2	4	4	10
E02	2	4	4	10
E04	2	4	4	10
E05	2	4	4	10
E07	2	4	4	10
E08	2	4	4	10
E09	3	4	4	11
M1	3	4	4	11
M10	3	5	3	11
M11	3	5	3	11
M12	2	4	3	9
M13	2	4	3	9
M14	2	4	3	9
M15	2	4	3	9
M16	2	4	3	9
M17	2	4	3	9

Turbine	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Total
M18	2	4	3	9
M19	2	4	3	9
M2	3	4	4	11
M20	2	5	4	11
M21	2	5	4	11
M22	2	5	4	11
M23	2	5	4	11
M24	2	5	4	11
M25	2	5	4	11
M26	2	5	4	11
M27	2	5	4	11
M28	4	4	4	12
M29	4	4	4	12
M3	3	4	4	11
M30	4	4	4	12

Turbine	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Total
M31	4	4	4	12
M32	4	4	4	12
M33	4	4	4	12
M34	4	4	4	12
M35	4	4	4	12
M4	3	5	3	11
M5	3	5	3	11
M6	3	5	3	11
M7	3	5	3	11
M8	3	5	3	11
M9	3	5	3	11
VP1	3	4	4	11
VP2	3	4	4	11
ABZevs	3	4	4	11
Grand Total	351	488	431	1270

As a result of 1270 single inspections of 114 individual turbines between 1 August and 31 October 2021, a total of 11 dead birds of nine species were identified. The number of identified collision victims by species are given in Table 6.

Table 6. Victims of collision with turbines during the autumn migration period in 2021 according to the Red Data Book for Bulgaria and IUCN conservation status classifications(LC = Least Concern).

Species name	Scientific name	Number	Red Data Book	IUCN
Calandra lark	Melanocorypha calandra	1	endangered	LC
Corn bunting	Emberiza calandra	2	not listed	LC
Domestic pigeon	Columba livia domestica	1	not listed	LC
Eurasian blackcap	Sylvia atricapilla	1	not listed	LC
European Bee-eater	Merops apiaster	1	not listed	LC
Lesser grey shrike	Lanius minor	1	not listed	LC
Red-backed shrike	Lanius collurio	2	not listed	LC
Thrush nightingale	Luscinia luscinia	1	not listed	LC
Yellow-legged gull	Larus michahellis	1	not listed	LC

Eight of the bird species identified as victims are not listed in the Red Data Book of Bulgaria. Calandra lark is listed in Bulgarian Red Data Book but in the period of autumn bird migration all birds found during carcass searches are migrants and have to be considered as immigrants into Bulgaria. Therefore, for the evaluation of the population level impact of the additive mortality of wind turbines included in the monitoring, the international bird species status must be applied. IUCN clasifications as Least Concern (LC) were appropriate to all species identified as collision victims. The category Least Concern indicates that the species has been evaluated against the Red List criteria and does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable or Near Threatened. Widespread and abundant taxa are included in this category. All recorded victims were not among the target ISPB species. In the case of collision mortality monitoring in the ISPB, no case of collision with turbines of target bird species was identified in autumn 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021.

6. CONCLUSIONS

1) During the monitoring of ISPB territory, there were no substantive differences in the main characteristics of the ornithofauna typical for the autumn migration in the whole country and the specific characteristics of species' composition and phenology of bird migration in NE Bulgaria.

2) The results of the monitoring confirmed the relatively low importance of the ISPB territory for the birds flying through or over it and no apparent negative influence of the operating wind farms on bird populations during their autumn migration.

3) The migration periods, the species composition, the dynamics in number of birds, the daily activity, the height of the flights, as well as the feeding, resting and roost sites of the flying birds passing through the area and the observation points indicated the absence of a barrier effect of the 114 wind turbines covered by ISPB in autumn migration period.

4) The data presented in this report confirmed the absence of impact on sensitive bird species using migratory upward airflows (thermals) to move (soaring) over long distances in autumn migration period.

5) All these species were found during the study to cross the site using suitable habitats without the need to increase their energy losses in their daily movements and to change their migratory strategy in the autumn period.

6) The quantitative characteristics of bird migration in the ISPB area during autumn 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 and the absence of mortality among the target bird species allows a continued conclusion that the studied wind farms do not present a risk of adverse impact to migratory birds. The application of the ISPB's safeguards potentially was and can be an ongoing contributory part of the minimal risk posed to birds from wind farms in the Kaliakra region.

REFERENCES

Michev T. M., Profirov L. A., Miche B.T., Hristov L. A., Ignatov A. L, Stoynov E. H. & Chipev N. H. 2018. Long-term Changes in Autumn Migration of Selected Soaring Bird Species at Burgas Bay, Bulgaria Acta zool. bulg., 70 (1), 2018: 57-68

Michev T., L. Profirov, K. 2010 Methods for ornirthological monitoring of Wind Farm Projects during the migratory seasons of birds. (Adopted by the national counsel of biodiversity at Bulgarian Minister of Environment and Waters). https://www.moew.government.bg/static/media/ups/tiny/filebase/Nature/Biodiversity/Prepory ki%20Rykowodstwa%20Dokladi/Metodika_VEP.pdf

Michev T., L. Profirov, K. Nyagolov, M. Dimitrov. 2011. The autumn migration of soaring birds at Bourgas Bay, Bulgaria. British Birds 104(1):16–37

Michev T., Profirov L.A., Karaivanov N. P., Michev B. T. 2012. Migration of Soaring Birds over Bulgaria. 2012 Acta zool. Bulg., 64 (1), 33-41

Morrison, M. 1998. Avian Risk and Fatality Protocol. Report NREL/SR-500-24997. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. U.S. Department of Energy. 29