

TO: Governor Gavin Newsom  
1021 O Street, Suite 900  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tele: 916 445 2841  
Fax: 916 558 3160

VIA: Fax, Certified mail, email

DATE: September 22, 2022

**RE: California Bill to Punish Doctors who spread false information and my disclosure of the FATAL FLAW with the COVID VACCINE HYPOTHESIS.**

Dear Governor Newsom,

I spoke with Emily, your assistant this past Friday (September 16) and delivered some of this information and she has agreed to forward the notes to you. She also requested that I fax you directly.

A little background on me, I am a LASIK surgeon in LA and have performed over 80,000 LASIK surgeries in the LA area over the past 24 years. I have one of the safest records for a LASIK surgeon in California, having performed 80,000 in my three offices, with NO LASIK lawsuits, settlements or claims even, since I started my three offices in 2020. My mentor, who trained me in refractive surgery (Fellowship, 1998) at USC is currently the Director of Ophthalmology at a top U.S. university. I have informed him of my findings (the hopelessly fatal flaw with the COVID vaccine hypothesis and the behavioral change that will end the COVID pandemic) and he felt that my findings were so startling and interesting, that we have had a dozen conversations about my findings. In our last conversation, he expressed half-jokingly, that the government would try to put me in prison and that he would have to fly out from the east coast, to bail me out if they did that. Incredibly, you might help make that come true.

So, please keep an open mind and don't write me off as another homeopathic "crazy anti vaxxer doc". I scored 97 percentile on my Part 1 Boards in medical school at the University of Michigan and a 100% on my Biochemistry final and I am all about the science.

I was also very proactive in protecting myself since there was talk about doctors possibly being punished for spreading misinformation about the COVID vaccine. Last year, I sent all my information (over 70 pages) to the California Medical Board and

asked the Board if my information detailing the fatal flaw with the COVID vaccine hypothesis was "misinformation". I sent the California Medical Board a 75 page pdf file, explaining in excruciating detail, the COVID vaccine hypothesis fatal flaw of a neutralizing antibody that can supposedly neutralize COVID before the virus can infect a lung cell, the flaw being that the antibody has NO viable path into the lung. They did NOT call it "misinformation". But, I knew that was not enough to prevent a problem for me later on, in the event the world went crazy and censorship became rampant. So, I called up one of the Board members, Dr. Harold Krauss. I quickly explained everything, as I did to your assistant, Emily. Within a few days, I received an email back from Dr. Krauss. In his email back to me, he replied, "Okay Dr. Lee, I will help you". A California Medical Board member emails ME back and says he will help me? Clearly HE didn't think my information was "misinformation" and my information calls into question the very basic nature of how the neutralizing antibody works IF it can't access the lung air space. Now, does it look like that it's "misinformation" that I am spreading? Clearly, Dr. Krauss did NOT think so. And guess what? If I am NOT the misinformation, the COVID vaccine hypothesis of a neutralizing antibody in the lung IS the misinformation.

**The COVID Vaccine hypothesis IS the misinformation.** It is fatally flawed. Here is how simple but gargantuan the problem is. When a patient receives the COVID vaccine, neutralizing antibodies are formed in the blood. **The ridiculous mistake is that this gargantuan COVID antibody molecule, weighing only 145,000 Daltons, has NO PATH INTO THE LUNG AIR SPACE.** Our lungs are basically a large air pocket inside our body and our body is 70% water. If our lungs weren't able to stop tiny water molecules (weighing only 18 Daltons) from filling our lungs, we would be dead. We aren't dead. I'm typing this letter to you. Our lungs are surrounded by an essentially waterproof barrier (aka, blood lung barrier). This waterproof barrier can STOP tiny little water molecules from filling our lung air space. This waterproof barrier is an impossibly formidable barrier for COVID antibody molecules in the blood, which are gargantuan, weighing 8000 times more than a water molecule. In relative terms, if a water molecule is the size of a diet coke can, a COVID antibody is the size of a sports car.

You see how stupid the mistake is? **THE COVID VACCINE HYPOTHESIS IS THE SINGLE BIGGEST MISTAKE IN THE HISTORY OF MODERN MEDICINE.** I'm not bringing to you stories of all these patients who had variable hard to define side effects, or patients who had clear severe side effects from the vaccine, but difficult to prove, since the patients had other pre-existing conditions, or children who developed bizarre illnesses such as thrombocytopenia. I'm bringing a simple sentence. **The COVID vaccine results in an antibody in the blood and this antibody has NO viable path into the lung air space.**

The burden of proof is NOT on me to show how the antibody can't pass the lung barrier to access the lung air space which is where COVID is decimating lung cells. **THE BURDEN OF PROOF IS ON THE POLITICAL LEADERS WHO ARE PRO VACCINE,**

SCIENTISTS, PHYSICIANS AND BIG PHARMA TO SHOW HOW THE ANTIBODY ENTERS THE LUNG AIR SPACE, THROUGH THE LUNG BARRIER.

In science, WE STATE OUR HYPOTHESIS. If the current hypothesis for the COVID vaccine is a neutralizing antibody that neutralizes the COVID virus in the lung before the virus can infect a lung cell, THAT hypothesis is broken. Now, if the scientists supporting the COVID vaccine STILL insist that it works, they better put down in writing what their NEW hypothesis is (I've already figured it out, they can ask me if they need a new hypothesis). But, HOW a drug or medication or vaccine works is CRITICAL. Isn't this pursuit of knowledge of "how" something works, an essential part of science? And I detail exactly the new alternate hypothesis for why the COVID vaccine "appears" to work for a few months in my 75 page pdf to Dr. Fauci. Regardless, the scientific community MUST state what their working hypothesis is. But, ultimately, that is for a later time because the *current* FDA approvals for COVID vaccines are based on a "neutralizing antibody" in the lung air space. So, even if the scientists come up with another hypothesis for how their COVID vaccine works, they must then re-submit that NEW HYPOTHESIS to the FDA and then see if they can receive approval with their NEW HYPOTHESIS. Because, their current hypothesis of a neutralizing antibody in the lung, is FATALLY FLAWED since there IS NO VIABLE path for the antibody through the lung barrier into the lung air space.

If the COVID vaccine works the way I think it does, THE GOOD RESULTS IN THE TRIAL ARE NOT FROM THE ANTIBODY. Then, is it STILL a vaccine? Then, researchers will have to find another hypothesis (it will be hard to argue my alternate hypothesis isn't correct), test it, learn the issues with it, see if the risk/benefit still holds, etc etc. Can you imagine trying to explain to a mother whose child had severe side effects from the vaccine that, "well, Dr. Lee pointed out to us that the antibody has no path into the lung, but our clinical studies had top notch scientists planning the study, reviewing the study, sharing with other top notch scientists and we had to go with that". **No, you don't. You have a choice.** You can use your common sense, once you are given this information that destroys the usefulness of any hypothesis which includes COVID neutralizing antibodies in the lung air space. And you still can decide to do the right thing.

There isn't a single peer reviewed paper ON EARTH that describes an active transport mechanism which can ferry these gargantuan COVID antibodies from the blood/lymph (where they are created), across the very tight blood lung barrier, into the lung air space. Then, the moment I bring this crazy ridiculous error to Dr. Fauci, the next step for ANY rational scientist is to say,

"hmmmmmmmm. We need to research this further. WOW, apparently we have NO idea what we're doing with this vaccine. Back to the lab bench. We definitely shouldn't let the FDA approve this without more study. And goes without saying that we aren't going to vaccinate children or pregnant women at this point, or ANYONE ELSE for that matter, unless we clarify the issues you raise." That is what an open-minded scientist would do with information like this, information that destroys the very nature of how a neutralizing antibody producing vaccine actually works.

THAT is the ONLY rational next step for any OPEN-MINDED scientist. Needless to say, Dr. Fauci is more old than open minded and continued to push forward while ignoring my continuing emails. I even hired an attorney to send out hundreds of letters, since I felt Dr. Fauci and others would pretend that they never saw my information. Because of this "fight the misinformation" campaign, I had to talk to dozens of attorneys before I could find just ONE that would JUST send letters for me. No one wanted to have anything to do with someone as "crazy" as me, who would be "anti covid vaccine", in this cancel culture political climate. Yes, my practice is a lot slower. I have been ridiculed, bullied, called a flat-earther among many other names, cancelled, even from my specialty lasik forums. Dr. Mark Mannis insists that I was not de-listed from Keranet because of my "science", but because of my "attitude". The attitude being, I was anti COVID vaccine, apparently. But with very legitimate questions.

Even YOU, Governor Newsom, don't have to agree with me. BUT, can you imagine having to explain to mothers whose children got the vaccine and had severe side effects, "Yes, it was brought to my attention that this COVID vaccine has a weird issue, this doctor told me the antibody can't get into the lung, but I thought all these other scientists vetted this, so I went with the numbers and didn't use my own head and common sense to analyze this and after all, this is the job of the FDA and CDC anyways, so why do I have to think more?" If you're wrong, that won't bode well for you wanting to become President, will it? The buck has to stop with someone. Wouldn't that be the President? Wouldn't we want a President that actually tries to understand the issues and is able to come away with the correct decision, after talking to the experts? The correct decision is always important is it not? When a wrong decision is made, if you can just blame it on the experts, then anyone can be a good President, yes? To be correct is the important thing because the buck stops with the President. That's what taking responsibility means, does it not? To make a decision and then everyone believes later that the correct decision was made? So, will you turf this one? And pretend that you'll go along with the experts (who may not have the people's interest, but maybe BIG PHARMA's interest)? Or will you make the experts respond in writing to the questions I raise and let me meet the "experts" so they can defend the COVID vaccine in light of what I draw attention to? That the antibody has no access to the lung air space? IT IS a significant issue for you, isn't it? It WILL reflect very badly on you when you run for President, will it not? Because, I promise you. I WILL GET THIS INFORMATION THAT IS LIFE SAVING BY NOT KILLING PEOPLE WITH SIDE EFFECTS AND ACTUALLY HELPING THEM RECOVER FASTER FROM COVID BY FASTING FOR A COUPLE OF DAYS, I WILL GET IT OUT.

Even YOU don't have to agree with me. But, you must agree as a rational person, that the COVID vaccine is not understood well enough to be FDA approved, given the information that I present here.

I told the FDA, you don't have a single scientist at the FDA that can find a peer reviewed published paper explaining how gargantuan antibodies cross from the blood, across the lung barrier, into the lung air space.

So, Governor Newsom. Just do the right thing. FIND A SINGLE SCIENTIST THAT CAN SHOW HOW THE COVID ANTIBODY ACCESSES THE LUNG AIR SPACE FROM THE BLOOD WHEN THIS ANTIBODY HAS NO CHANCE OF BREACHING THE LUNG BARRIER. HAVE THEM FIND ONE PEER REVIEWED STUDY EXPLAINING THE ACTUAL MECHANISM WHEREBY THE ANTIBODY IS ABLE TO TRAVERSE THE IMPOSSIBLY TIGHT BLOOD LUNG BARRIER.

My logic is extremely simple. The lung barrier can stop water molecules or drastically slow down the passage of water molecules across it. If a membrane can stop a smaller molecule, it can stop a much much bigger molecule. The reasoning I am using isn't profound. But, for comparison, the blood brain barrier which has also been extensively studied, has a molecular size limit for molecules that can pass through this blood BRAIN barrier and the limit is typically 500 Daltons. That means, a molecule that weighs more than 500 Daltons typically has difficulty crossing the blood BRAIN barrier. Well, the blood LUNG barrier has to be able to keep our lungs fairly dry. The lung barrier is NOT going to allow a molecule that is 145,000 Daltons in size, to cross through it, in ANY significant numbers.

Again, your precious COVID neutralizing antibody DOES have to actually touch the virus in order to "neutralize" the virus. There isn't a medication that humans have created that bypasses this need of having to actually interact with another molecule, in order to work. Antibiotics, such as penicillin, ciprofloxacin, they ALL work because these antibiotic molecules ACTUALLY touch another molecule inside a bacteria. "Neutralizing antibodies" is only mentioned a million times in reference to the antibody produced in response to the COVID vaccine and this antibody can ONLY neutralize a COVID virus in the lung IF the antibody can gain access to the lung air space. This is science we are discussing, is it not? It's not magic where you can just imbue this COVID antibody with magical powers, correct?

So, if your COVID vaccine induced neutralizing antibody NEEDS a "TELEPORTER" to cross the lung barrier and enter the lung air space, ISN'T THAT SCIENCE FICTION? Then, isn't your COVID vaccine hypothesis SCIENCE FICTION and MISINFORMATION??? Wouldn't it be more appropriate to punish the doctors and directors and researchers who brought this half baked vaccine to the world? Wouldn't it be more appropriate to sign a bill that "punishes" Dr. Anthony Fauci for refusing to "follow the science" when I informed him of the stupidity that is the COVID vaccine hypothesis of a "neutralizing antibody" in the lung air space?

The massive and relentless campaign against "misinformation" has incredibly prevented me from getting this critical information about the stupidity that is the COVID vaccine hypothesis out to the general public. I've made thousands of phone calls. Tens of thousands of comments that just get deleted on Facebook, Youtube, Doximity even LinkedIn, where I am apparently "restricted for life" because I challenged Dr. Peter Hotez, a foremost vaccine expert, to a debate.

In science, if you shut up the person with the opposing view, no matter how wrong your position, you will look like you're correct and you will look brilliant, because, you've just silenced the opposition. Well, if you're really correct about something, you don't need to silence the opposing party. For example, "Food is necessary for survival." You don't need to silence the opposition. They will drop like flies from not eating food. When one isn't absolutely sure one is correct, seems to be the times pushy power hungry people want to FORCE their position on others. Well, you better be damn sure you're correct. And that's hard to do. *Look at this situation.* The medical leadership was so SURE they were correct. And look at reality. THEY WERE AND ARE SOOOO WRONG. You should know better anyways. Censorship has no place in a democracy or in science. But, what a great example this COVID vaccine hypothesis mistake is, of why you shouldn't force your opinion on others by silencing the opposition. Come on. We are in America. Heard of free speech? We aren't in CHINA. OR NORTH KOREA.

Now, if you DO go ahead and sign that bill. You think I'll shut up? Just debate it. Put your scientists and physicians to work and have them prepare a nice written rebuttal to my information showing the stupidity of the COVID vaccine hypothesis. Please put that rebuttal in writing and with signatures, so there is a CLEAR papertrail of incompetency and power hungriness. I will clearly be able to destroy their counter arguments. I have debated this issue with thousands of people. And, then, what will happen to your possible run for the White House? Do you really want to look that stupid? You don't even have to agree with me. You have to agree that MORE RESEARCH needs to be done on the COVID vaccine and that the current vaccine should have a warning label on it that states, "Not a single scientist at the FDA can explain how this gargantuan COVID antibody enters the lung air space, but we STILL idiotically feel you should vaccinate your 6 month old infant." Trust me, I'm ahead of you. I told Dr. Fauci how to repeat the FDA COVID mRNA Clinical Trial to get the results that will tell you the objective truth. Remember, I wrote him 75 pages of this, almost two years ago. Yes, when all this information gets to the mainstream media, when the general public finds out everything I told Dr. Fauci and when I gave it to him, the public just might decide Dr. Fauci should be put in prison.

**THE LIFE SAVING SOLUTION THAT HAS MINIMAL SIDE EFFECTS, IS EXTREMELY COST EFFECTIVE, HAS BEEN TRIED BY ALMOST EVERYONE ON EARTH, AND HAS INCREDIBLY SIMPLE BUT SOLID SCIENCE BEHIND IT.**

Ah, the last but best piece of information. Not only did I find the fatal flaw and stupidity that is the COVID vaccine hypothesis, I found the behavioral change that will end the COVID pandemic all over the world, with NO new drug, NO side effect filled vaccine that doesn't have any real science behind it, but a simple behavioral change that is easily adopted with almost NO side effect and INCREDIBLE SCIENCE behind it.

Remember when the pandemic started? NO ONE in the US had a COVID antibody. 20 million people in the US contracted COVID. AND NOT ONE OF THEM had a COVID antibody in their blood the first week. But, at least 80% of those infected had resolved

their infection within a week to 10 days. CLEARLY, the COVID antibody had NOTHING to do with how any person in the US who healed from COVID in the year 2020 in under 10 days. The COVID antibody DID NOT help these people in the slightest, BECAUSE THE ANTIBODY WAS NOT THERE. To have helped these people, the COVID antibody would have needed a TIME MACHINE. Why does science fiction keep coming up in reference to the supposedly "rock solid science" that is the COVID vaccine? You see? The COVID vaccine having to use a "time machine" to help anyone in the year 2020, hmmmmm. Again, WHAT IS THE MISINFORMATION?????? And when the antibody finally arrives late, it needs a "teleporter" to get into the lung air space??? And you want to PUNISH me for getting this TRUTH out??

I'm calling this cohort of 20 million Americans who were infected with COVID in the year 2020, MY STUDY, because no one else seems to think it's important or claiming it. NOT ONE PERSON who was infected had any COVID antibodies to speak of, on day 1 of their illness or day 10 of their COVID illness. Doesn't that so clearly and shockingly demonstrate that the COVID antibody had NOTHING to do with how almost 20 million Americans recovered from COVID, in the year 2020?

Continuing. So, it wasn't the antibody that healed 99% of 20 million in the US in the year 2020. Have you ever heard of a scientist EXPLAINING EXACTLY WHAT MECHANISMS THE HUMAN BODY USED TO HEAL US AND HOW TO FACILITATE THOSE MECHANISMS? NO ONE HAS. Here it is. The COVID virus RNA is the enemy. Guess what? We have amazing enzymes that DESTROY RNA. The trick is, how we turn these enzymes ON. We turn these ribonuclease enzymes ON by fasting (that's right, not eating for a couple of days). Once contracting COVID, for the first two days, eating FOOD CAN KILL YOU, especially when you're older or have other risk factors. Every school kid knows that viruses do NOT grow on their own. Viruses grow within OUR cells. If OUR cells are growing slower during fasting, then OUR cells grow the virus slower, less of OUR cells are infected, we cough out LESS virus, less people around us are sick, and the pandemic is OVER.

My proof? I have discovered the biochemical pathways connecting fasting to viral control. And how big is my sample in my research? 7 billion. 7 billion of us, 99.99% of us, when we were toddlers and got sick, we all got fussy and DID NOT WANT TO EAT. Because, evolution has time on its side and gets it right a LOT.

Of course, paradigm shifts in medicine, as my mentor has told me several times, "happen one funeral at a time". Because physicians don't like change. Because large amounts of research funding are in play. Lots of because. But, I have helped hundreds of people around me. My parents are elderly and recently were infected with COVID. DID NOT BOTHER ME AT ALL. I just made SURE they didn't eat for a couple of days. About a year and a half ago, my 99 year old grandmother was in a COVID unit in Atlanta, with the flu. I called up the doctors and told them I would sue them if they gave her ANY calories. She was out of the hospital in 4 days. She passed away of natural causes in January of this year.

Look at the data. Men are worse off than women with COVID. Women are dieting a lot, clearly a kind of caloric restriction. Obese people are at more risk. Maybe they keep eating more than the average person or they can't trick their cells into thinking there aren't resources. Even YOU, when you are 85, you will remember reading this and when you get the flu or COVID, YOU WILL NOT EAT for a couple of days, and your chances of dying will be much less.

You know that many groups and researchers will repeat this fasting study and I predict that ALL of them will have to be cut short BECAUSE FASTING IS SO EFFECTIVE, it would be unethical to have a control group actually eat during those first two days, when fasting is so effective at reducing morbidity and mortality from COVID.

And this information that I have known for over two years? Why can't I get this information out???? After thousands of phone calls to NIH, CDC, FDA Directors, epidemiologists, scientists, physicians, science journalists? Why? **BECAUSE THE CAMPAIGNS AGAINST MISINFORMATION AND DISINFORMATION SENT A CHILL THROUGHOUT OUR SOCIETY AND EVERYONE WAS/IS AFRAID OF BEING CANCELLED.** Okay, not just your campaign. But, now you're educated on this issue and now you won't be able to avoid the repercussions of your actions from this point forward. I tried to spend \$50K of my own money and send out an infomercial in a conservative newspaper. THEY CHICKENED OUT TOO. I tried sending out PRESS RELEASES. Cancelled within 30 minutes of my order. I did interviews in my local area with reporters, who wrote up nice articles. THE NEWSPAPER CANCELLED AN HOUR BEFORE IT WENT TO PRESS. THE CAMPAIGNS AGAINST MISINFORMATION PREVENTED MY LIFE-SAVING INFORMATION FROM REACHING THE GENERAL PUBLIC. I have had idiot colleagues on my specialty forums tell me that I am wearing being cancelled "as a badge of honor". I can't even begin to explain how stupid this person is. And I usually don't have any difficulty expressing myself.

I tried to shame the Commissioner of the FDA into doing the right thing. Dr. Robert Califf received his medical degree from Duke Medicine. I even called up Duke Medicine Alumni and sent them all my information, so THEY could put pressure on the FDA Commissioner to DO THE RIGHT THING and NOT ONLY WHAT PROTECTS BIG PHARMA.

Do you see? Do you see what your "misinformation" attack campaigns resulted in? Sorry, not just your misinformation campaigns. But, this one, IS A TEST OF YOUR ABILITY TO DECIDE WHAT IS **NOW** THE BEST CORRECT COURSE OF ACTION. If my information had gotten out, the world would not be in this state and shut down for two years. China is still stupidly trying to maintain their ZERO COVID policy, like trying to keep Chinese from eating rice. WON'T HAPPEN. But, once the world realizes how easy it is to manage COVID, (fasting for a couple of days, if you have diabetes or haven't fasted before, under medical supervision of course, and of course drink water as needed).



So, please, for Californians AND for you. Do the right thing and let the people of California know that you won't sign the stupid bill punishing doctors for spreading "misinformation". Please let the people of California know that there are very serious questions with the COVID vaccine that have been brought to your attention from a local surgeon, that you are willing to share and discuss in an open way (transparency helps us get to the truth) and you need to vet these questions with independent scientists in an OPEN and TRANSPARENT way (Dr. Pan is pro vaccine, his staff got a lot of info from me over a year ago, at least twice, and of course Dr. Pan is not being open-minded because maybe he'll look dumb or even dumber?). Please let the people of California know that you have been informed of some new science from me that makes a LOT of sense to you, that when one contracts COVID or the FLU, FOOD CAN KILL YOU over the first couple of days. Of course, I applied for an FDA clinical study comparing fasting for a couple of days versus not fasting after the onset of COVID. Ridiculously, the FDA has emailed me informing me that my application for an FDA clinical trial is NOT reviewable. After many emails back and forth? Without a signature on who at the FDA actually decided that my clinical trial application was not reviewable?

The FDA seems so PRO vaccine and there might be too much of an incestuous relationship between the FDA and BIG PHARMA, so I gave the FDA a chance to do the right thing. But, they refuse to discuss my application for an FDA clinical trial comparing fasting versus non-fasting. I think maybe because I'll expose the stupidity that is the COVID vaccine hypothesis?

Now, I'm sending this letter to you AND I've already contacted the New York Times and let them know all this and told them I would also forward their editors a copy of this letter, including Steve Lee Myers and I will also forward this letter to Ron Desantis and a few other of your fellow Governors, to make sure you realize that others will know that you have received this information. Having other eyes watch, always seems to keep people more honest, such is the nature humans are born with. Of course, I'll send the California Medical Board a copy of this and every other state Medical Board, the NIH, the FDA, and Moderna and Pfizer and J and J.

**Now, a philosophical point on your censorship bill.** If you sign this bill, are questions also punishable? Let me illustrate this issue for you. Because, in science, progress is halted when questions are not allowed, or in the case of this bill, possibly punished.

Please clarify if all scientific questions are STILL allowed under this absurd bill that you by this point in the letter should have decided WILL NOT be signed. But, let's still clarify. Are all scientific questions excluded from this "punishment" as described in your bill? You see, I have a \$50K short position on Moderna because I haven't found answers to many questions I have regarding what they do (the FDA Commissioner apparently has millions of \$ invested in BIG PHARMA). I'll list some example scientific questions and please tell me how your bill will decide which questions are "misinformation" questions.

1. Do Moderna scientists know how their beloved COVID antibody enters the lung air space? Can you put that very relevant information on your website so we can discuss? And can I bring up relevant scientific facts during our discussion such as where you published your explanation?
2. Moderna, is it possible for your COVID vaccine mRNA to be cut in half by the body, once injected?
3. Moderna, which of your scientists understand why your mRNA vaccine is frozen during transport? Isn't it because your mRNA can be cut up by ribonuclease enzymes that can often survive autoclaving? And because ribonuclease enzymes (which Moderna believes to be a contaminant, whereas I know the ribonuclease enzymes are what saved humanity during this COVID pandemic) are so ubiquitous, everywhere there are humans there is ribonuclease enzyme contamination on all surfaces. Isn't that ironic?
4. The molecule (antibody) that you Moderna believes saved the world can't even do its job of neutralizing COVID viruses in the lung if it can't get INTO the lung. And, without question, this antibody molecule was NOT even present in the first week for every person on earth who contracted COVID for the first time in the year 2020, agreed? Moderna, you only knew the ribonuclease molecule as a contaminant in your mRNA vaccine vials, that would destroy your tediously produced mRNA, correct? Isn't there some irony there? The molecule that you thought saved the world, the antibody, SHOWED UP TOO LATE TO HELP ANYONE IN THE YEAR 2020, AND WHEN IT FINALLY ARRIVED, CAN'T EVEN GET INTO THE LUNG AIR SPACE! AND..... THE MOLECULE YOU CONSIDERED TO BE MERELY AN IRRITATING CONTAMINANT THAT FORCED YOU TO SHIP YOUR VACCINE VIALS AT SUB-ZERO TEMPERAURES IS THE TRUE HERO. Isn't this so typical? Someone does the hard work and someone else wants to take the credit?
5. If this mRNA for the COVID spike antigen can be cut once introduced into the body (by endonucleases), is it possible for a shorter protein to be translated?
6. If this shorter protein is produced, is it possible that this new shorter protein has a very different surface structure than the original spike protein, and then, will this smaller protein induce production of at least 4 different antibodies, since the surface shape/structure of the smaller protein will be different?
7. Moderna, how many varied lengths of cut mRNA can possibly be produced in the body once the COVID mRNA vaccine is injected? Maybe a 100? Then, does that mean that up to 400 different antibodies might be produced in the body? It is possible, yes?
8. Moderna, did you ever look for production of any other antibody in your human clinical trials, other than the COVID spike antigen neutralizing antibody? You

didn't? But, isn't it possible that you could have created hundreds of different antibodies? With different side effects for every unique antibody that you didn't look for? Should you be the one punished? Moderna, are you aware that the antibody against the streptococcus bacteria (strep throat) can sometimes cross react with a heart valve, causing "rheumatic fever" and that this "side effect" of the streptococcus neutralizing antibody causing heart issues took years, maybe decades to figure out? Didn't we just show how you could have possibly induced production of hundreds of different antibodies? Don't each of these unique antibodies have to be assessed for side effects? Did you do that? No? What the hell?? Did you explain any of this in the fine print somewhere? Did you even think of it?

9. Moderna, do you realize that your focus on the antibody is partly what helped mislead the whole scientific community? Everyone is debating "naturally acquired immunity", which clearly requires a prior COVID infection versus "vaccine acquired immunity", which clearly requires having had the COVID vaccine. But, in the year 2020, 99.9% of COVID patients had NEITHER a prior COVID infection NOR a COVID vaccine which didn't exist in the year 2020. So, is it absolutely clear to you that both "naturally acquired immunity" and "vaccine acquired immunity" were non-existent for 99.9% of COVID patients in the year 2020? So, do you have any idea of how infants were able to clear billions of COVID viruses from its infant body within a week, in the year 2020? So, if the antibody was NOT relevant in the year 2020, why do you suddenly think it was SOOOO necessary in the year 2021 and 2022 and onward? Didn't the human body do an amazing job of helping at least 95% of COVID patients survive in the year 2020? And do you know how the human body did this? What mechanisms were in play? Because, if you knew those mechanisms, you could HELP the human body do EVEN better what it already did pretty well, yes? And we are absolutely sure that this mechanism the human body used to enable us to recover from COVID in the year 2020 had NOTHING to do with antibodies, correct? Isn't it looking like the COVID antibody is the biggest "red herring"? And your lack of ability to engage in analytical thought made you just accept that it was the antibody? When I outline SO clearly that the COVID antibody was NOT THE PLAYER in the year 2020?
10. Moderna, you aren't looking good here, are you? So you have NO idea what saved us in the year 2020, because there WERE NO COVID antibodies in the first week of everyone's first COVID infection in the year 2020. But SOMETHING saved us, yes? At least 95% of us? Whatever it was that saved us, don't you think those mechanisms would be in play in 2021 and 2022 and into the future? And the people that survived in the year 2020, HAD this mechanism that saved us and this mechanism was NOT the antibody correct? And then, if it saved them in the year 2020, wouldn't this same mechanism that was NOT the antibody be in play in the year 2021 and 2022 and onward? Why would YOU take credit for saving the world, when it was this "mechanism unrelated to antibodies"? Aren't we ABSOLUTELY sure that what saved us in the year 2020 was NOT the

antibody, NOT naturally acquired immunity and NOT vaccine acquired immunity? Then, this mechanism which helped so many people in the year 2020 (and those who died in the year 2020, this mechanism wasn't efficient enough), so if you survived COVID, then this "mechanism" was functional in the year 2020, correct? Do you really believe this "mechanism" disappeared in the year 2021 and 2022? And that antibodies that can't even chase a virus into a cell is suddenly the hero in the year 2021 and 2022? When it wasn't even around in the year 2020 during the first week of everyone's COVID illness? Oh, you think you're antibody was successful because less people passed away from COVID in 2022? Really, that is the extent of your logic?

11. Moderna, if there is a village of a 100, and in the year 2020, COVID infects all 100 and 5 people die, then 95 didn't die, correct? Then, the following year, if the remaining 95 people all are infected with COVID again, how many people do you think will die? Take a wild guess. Probably none? If the villagers didn't die the first time they were infected, why would they die the second time? But, if you vaccinate everyone after the first year, the remaining 95 surviving villagers, then when these 95 villagers are infected with COVID again, then again, unlikely that any of these 95 villagers will die when infected with COVID, correct? But, these 95 villagers WERE NOT going to die anyways, correct? Now, when these 95 villagers do NOT die during the second COVID wave, why do YOU think YOU and YOUR stupid vaccine deserve the credit for these 95 villagers not dying? YOU DON'T DESERVE THE CREDIT DO YOU???

12. Moderna, it appears that you ONLY have a temporal association, yes? If you have a forest fire and a thousand homes burn, won't you always find ash at the site of a burned home? Do you really believe that taking this ash and sprinkling it on an unburnt home will prevent the home from burning? Is this the poor logic you were using when you found COVID antibodies in most people who had COVID? Doesn't a virus do MOST of its damage once INSIDE a cell? Isn't that how a virus actually kills a human cell? Almost every human lung cell that has ever died from a COVID infection, dies because there are a lot of virus particles within the cell, yes? Until of course, the lung infection is so severe that oxygen transport starts to fail and then of course lung cells can ALSO die from oxygen deprivation and white blood cells destroying infected cells and also causing collateral damage to uninfected cells. But, your antibody is a crappy police officer isn't it? Because once a virus goes INTO a cell, doesn't the antibody just give up? Imagine if the cops are chasing a burglar and this burglar's "sanctuary" from the cops are the homes the burglar is trying to rob. Ah, damn it. Says the cop, you made it into the home. I guess I have to give up. You still think it was your antibody that can barely go into cells via a tedious uptake process (less than 0.1% of all antibodies produced in the human body go back into some cell), you think this antibody that is almost always extracellular, once secreted from the b-lymphocyte, you think THIS antibody which seems very well designed to fight extracellular pathogens such as bacteria, you think THIS antibody is what saved

us, when it didn't save anyone in the year 2020? This molecule that has almost no access to the inside of infected cells, where the virus is doing all its damage?

13. Moderna, did you do the third grade math on how your antibody fights this virus? NO??? Let's see if I'm on the right track. Can we take an inoculation dose of 100 COVID viruses? Is it okay if I concede a 95% neutralization rate for your antibody? So, agreed that we have 5 viruses left? Each infects a cell. Okay, so most of the literature states that infected cells have between 1000 to 50,000 virus particles. Can we assume ONLY 500 virus particles come out and can infect other cells? I'm not using the low number of a 1000 viruses or the high number of 50,000 viruses. I'm using a number lower than your low number, to be fair in this hypothetical example. Then, didn't we go from a total of 100 to 2500 virus particles in the body? Isn't that a 25 times growth? Far beyond explosive growth? You do realize a penny doubling every day in 40 days is a lot of money? And that's only a 2x's growth? Didn't I use the numbers most favorable to you? Didn't I concede a 95% neutralization rate of the virus for your *killer* antibody? AND WE STILL END UP WITH A 25x's GROWTH RATE FOR THE VIRUS. Isn't 25x's growth an explosive growth rate? And that is with me graciously conceding a 95% kill rate for your *killer* antibody, this *killer* antibody that just GIVES UP once the virus goes into cells? (By the way, what idiotic police GIVES UP when the bad guy is at his MOST DANGEROUS, inside your home? NONE, these very brave police officers will chase the burglars and NOT stop just because the burglar enters YOUR home). Maybe these *killer* antibodies are *killer* antibodies for pathogens that don't go inside cells, like bacteria? Now, once you vaccinate someone, I will call your max concentration of antibody level a 100%, which a patient probably achieves at about 2 months? Then, doesn't the antibody level drop to around around 80% or less at month 4? Then, you are forced to agree that I should NOT concede a 95% virus neutralization rate for your antibody when there is only an 80% concentration of antibody in the blood at month 4? Should we calculate the explosive growth rate when only 80% of antibody level (month four) is present with a less than 80% kill rate? **Moderna, I'm sorry I'm laughing (at you), but you didn't do the third grade math on your antibody?** The fight against the virus IS A WAR, isn't it? Then, numbers are critical aren't they? And your numbers don't work, do they? So, tell me. Is it really true, you didn't do this third grade math on how your precious antibody fights this virus? In this hypothetical example, I even conceded that the blood level of antibody is the same as the LUNG level, which you must know by now isn't true, correct? And even with perfect matching of lung antibody to blood antibody level, your antibody doesn't work, does it? I mean, if I made a mistake in my mathematical modeling, you will tell me where I made a mistake? Cuz, didn't I try to pick the numbers MOST favorable to you?
14. Moderna, did you know that every review paper on mRNA vaccines describes the main side effect as induction of chemokines by the mRNA which acts as an adjuvant? And that the body produces interferons and interleukins as a result of your mRNA vaccines? Did you study whether the *side effect* had a role in

decreasing risk of COVID infection? Then, since there doesn't seem to be a path for your antibody into the lung, if your mRNA vaccine showed good clinical results *because* of its SIDE EFFECT of tricking the body into producing interferons (which are antiviral in thousands of papers), shouldn't you do a proper positive control in your FDA clinical trial and compare your beloved COVID mRNA vaccine with the FLU vaccine? And if the FLU vaccine shows equal effectiveness against COVID (yes, without producing COVID neutralizing antibodies since it IS the FLU vaccine), then your COVID mRNA vaccine is probably working *because* of its SIDE EFFECT? Did you know that a published study showed that *even* the TETANUS vaccine was effective against COVID? If in fact your positive clinical results are from the SIDE EFFECT of the vaccine, then, your "vaccine" IS NOT a vaccine, is it? It's a *medicine* then, isn't it? Then, in the history of medicine, do we give just anyone medicines when they don't have the illness yet? In anticipation of getting the illness? So, I might get meningitis next year, are you Moderna, advocating that I take antibiotics every day for that slight chance that I'll get meningitis in the future? Would you recommend mandating other medications for other possible illnesses that the patient hasn't yet been infected with? Or just this one? Why? Isn't that inconsistent on your part? Did you take your thinking cap off? Maybe?

15. Moderna, didn't you wonder why you got such amazing results in your clinical trial, when the FLU vaccine often had results as poor as 20% effectiveness some years (per Wikipedia)? Hmm, did you REALLY think you were THAT awesome? From now on, when you do something that seems incredible, like say, you win the lottery, can you remember to think that it MIGHT not be (more like 99.99% chance) because of your awesomeness?
16. Moderna, if I bring to your attention the fact that there isn't a single peer reviewed paper on earth that describes an active transport process that can ferry your beloved but gargantuan COVID neutralizing antibody across the very impervious almost water-proof barrier into the lung air space which is where COVID is decimating lung alveolar epithelial cells, isn't that a very relevant fact that may have a significant impact on your company's share price, and aren't you required to report this new information to the SEC? Should I report it for you? To the SEC? So you can be properly punished?
17. Moderna, you do know that the government gave all things COVID vaccine "legal immunity", with exceptions? Willful misconduct is the exception. Did you know that? So, if you are informed by me that you have no path for your beloved antibody into the lung air space, then isn't it willful misconduct to continue to sell your mRNA vaccine? Don't you think an infant harmed by your vaccine, when the mother takes you to court, and I'm called as an expert witness, and I explain that I informed you, don't you think the jury will be aghast at you? That you were INFORMED that YOU YOURSELF didn't realize that no one had vetted this last step of how your neutralizing antibody enters the lung air space and now that you were informed by me that there IS NO VIABLE PATH for your antibody into the

lung air space, isn't it more appropriate to put a warning label on your vaccine that states, "no one here at Moderna, *not even the janitor*, understands how the gigantic COVID neutralizing antibody passes through the lung barrier to enter the lung air space, but we still want you to give the vaccine to your infants, since we will make money off of it"? How many vaccines have you sold since I informed you of this huge oversight? It is how you got your FDA approvals, is it not? A neutralizing antibody in the lung hypothesis? Don't you think at some point, the MASSES will find out and believe your actions were unethical? Why do you think avoiding answering questions is the solution? I'm sorry, are my questions too difficult for you? Isn't this YOUR main business? Aren't you a multi-billion dollar company because this IS what you do??? Did you know that if there is **willful misconduct** on your part and there is a severe side effect, EVERY ONE OF THOSE PATIENTS CAN LEGALLY SUE YOU and don't you think I'm very persuasive and can convince the jury that you just tried to sweep the nightmare information I presented to you, under the rug?

18. Moderna, in your very large patent portfolio, for future medications from this mRNA technology, wasn't your main platform for drug delivery via aerosolized inhalation of the mRNA? Then, did you consider that the blood lung barrier works in reverse, and that your resulting proteins may be somewhat trapped within the lung alveoli? Then, how would this "new protein drug" that you make, how would it be delivered to the rest of the body?
19. Moderna, let's discuss your future theoretical insulin for example, that you will deliver via your mRNA technology. Is there a range of 10% for the viable mRNA once your mRNA is thawed? Since you have no idea of how many ribonuclease molecules you have in the vial? Is there a range of at least 10% plus or minus for the mRNA that is destroyed by extracellular ribonucleases? Is there a range of at least 10% plus or minus for the amount of mRNA that is actually able to enter cells? Is there a range of at least 10% for mRNA that is destroyed intracellularly by endonucleases within the cell? Is there a range of at least 10% plus or minus for the amount of mRNA that is translated into the insulin protein, in our hypothetical example? Is there a range of at least 10% plus or minus for the amount of produced insulin protein that is secreted? Since not all the protein produced will be secreted? With all these steps and the range for all these steps, is it possible that you make 100% of the insulin you need? Is it possible that you make 300% of the insulin that you need? Is it possible that you produce only 50% of the insulin you need? Is it possible that the time duration of insulin production can vary in length by more than a 100% plus or minus what you planned? Then, is it really a good way to deliver a medicine? If I need 5 units of insulin, is it okay if I get 20 units of insulin? Or if my body only produces 2 units in response to your mRNA, is that okay? And if I need the 5 units of insulin now, is it okay if I wait for a few weeks? Is it really a medicine if I don't get the amount I need at the time I need it? Isn't it, then, just a complicated way of taking a, say, vitamin? With much more side effects than a vitamin? Isn't a medicine something I need the right amount of at the right time?

20. Moderna, didn't your CEO say that if your mRNA COVID vaccine only lasts a year, that it's a nightmare for Moderna? Why did he say that? Does he recant that?

Governor Newsom, do you get it? I can go on and on and pump out hundreds of more pages of questions. I can turn almost any of my concerns into scientific questions, can I not? **I guess there is no such thing as a stupid question..... but, if you sign that bill, THERE WILL BE PUNISHABLE QUESTIONS.** I can't help but wonder which idiot physicians wrote that bill. If it wasn't drafted by physicians, was it some idiot that wants to exert their power hungriness against physicians who are smarter than them? Do you see the ridiculousness of your bill? Let's play this stupidity out. I've written at least a few hundred questions in my list of 20 above. Will you have a group of animals with a cumulative IQ no higher than a bunch of pigs on a farm, go through each of these hundreds of questions and decide which ones are "allowed"? Now, is a scientist "punished" if he "thinks" of a question but never actually "speaks" it? If the scientist jots it down but doesn't "speak" the question, is he innocent of any punishment worthy crime? What if some other scientist reads those jotted questions, is the other scientist also innocent AS LONG as he doesn't actually SPEAK the words? Will you also form a new department and name it "Governor Newsom's Thought Police Department"? Will this new department review every question a scientist ever wants to ask BEFORE a scientist ever thinks of it and give their hoof stamp of approval? Will those on the ANIMAL board of permissive scientific questions be required to at least have passed general chemistry? What will happen if the scientist came up with a question on his own? And answered it on his own? Will he be punished too? Jesus. That I even have to waste my time explaining the stupidity of this and the UN-Americanness of this in AMERICA is something I could never have imagined in my wildest nightmares.

My best innovations and inventions in life have ALWAYS come from asking questions. And you want to make asking questions "punishable"????????????? The essence of good science is asking hard questions. You want to STOP science? Science is PROGRESSIVE and you can't FOLLOW THE SCIENCE if you BAN QUESTIONING, correct?

All intelligent people throughout human history including when we were four legged creatures, have always had distrust for "leaders" since duplicity and deception is part of our animal nature. Our forefathers who wrote our constitution understood that leaders are by nature power hungry and this understanding was central to every aspect of how they drafted the constitution. Smart, right? Well, if they understood that you were even ONLY CONSIDERING criminalizing questions, they would have almost given up at the hopeless desire for power among leaders. Do you get it? You're being incredibly power hungry. Once we need permission to ask questions, power hungry leaders will be able to dictate every aspect of our lives and the already gathering dark clouds for the future of humanity will be on a path to ever increasing hopelessness.



I believe we can help the people of California and if you make the right decision, it will also help prevent you from possibly sabotaging your personal political ambitions. Let's do the right thing. Let's make the FDA behave properly and explain why they are not acting in the interests of the people. Let's save lives by informing them how simple the solution to COVID is. Let's take the dirty money away from BIG PHARMA.

You have already exposed your dirty power hungry ambitions, whether consciously or unconsciously, by even entertaining this bill. You're a government official and you didn't see the depravity of this bill? You're only path to redemption is to realize the gravity of your mistake and take strong action to reverse this "campaign against misinformation" and actively question the COVID vaccine hypothesis in a news conference, with the language I have provided you. You are not innocent even now. You're in damage control. If you pass this bill and you win, you can possibly shut me up. But, you'll probably have to have me killed to shut me up. Because, can't you tell? I like my freedom and my freedom of speech and fairness and truth and I LOVE SCIENCE to the point I gave up my religion and I can't be shut up very easily. Please call me and until I get an email or phone call from you personally, I will fax a version of this to you every day, along with many others, while I still have my freedom.

Sincerely,



Dr. Joseph Y. Lee

Buena Park, CA 90621

[www.lungvirus.com](http://www.lungvirus.com)

