

THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF ENGINEERING-BASED COST SEGREGATION

Many CPA's will accelerate line items on your depreciation schedule such as landscaping or parking lots into a 15 year life category.

Although this is a nice tax benefit, this is <u>not</u> engineering based Cost Segregation. Most Tax Professionals are equipped to segregate very few line items.

An engineering based study will place value on numerous systems and hyper accelerate such items as flooring, lighting, molding, specialty electrical, and so much more.

Ask your CSSI representative for a cost free analysis to see what deductions you may be missing.

Economic Comparison for \$7.3M Building		
	Potential CPA Method	Engineering- based Cost Segregation
39-year straight line method	\$118,096	
Alternative Method		
5-year depreciation expense	\$103,012	\$198,667
15-year depreciation expense	\$11,037	\$60,336
39-year depreciation expense	\$106,287	\$82,785
Total depreciation expense	\$220,336	\$341,788
Cash Flow Results		
Increased Accumulated Depreciation Expense	\$102,240	\$223,692
Estimated Tax Rate	37.0%	37.0%
Estimated Tax Savings Benefit	\$37,829	\$82,766
Increased Cash Savings		\$44,937



Let us be your calculation experts.

Taxpayers have used cost segregation studies for many years. Historically these studies have resulted in advantageous depreciation deductions for taxpayers. These serve additional studies now purposes. Not only do they reclassify a building's components into assets with shorter class lives but they also identify building systems for purposes of applying the improvement rules. With the issuance of the final regulations, the demand for cost segregation studies is on the rise.

~ Capitalization of Tangible Property Audit Technique Guide – September 2016

KF.CSSIstudy.com