

JUNIOR'S PENIS PARABLE # X

The Army officer's Uncle Jake was very candid and straightforward in talking to him about sex throughout puberty and adulthood, in part because he was a psychologist who dealt with marriage, money, drugs, and other "guy stuff" among professional football players. Yet, part of Uncle Jake's candor also was attributable to his having reconciled and having accepted his own **bisexuality**. As the youngest son, Uncle Jake is very familiar with the current psychological theories about **homosexuality** being more prevalent whenever an older brother grooms and conditions his younger brother(s) or male cousin(s) to fulfill his libido during puberty. Although the gay community will argue for a "genetic" link, this illustration clearly is demonstrative of a conditioned response. Although he did get married after college and fathered three kids, ultimately their marriage failed because Uncle Jake no longer wanted a sexual relationship with his wife or any other female. Since Uncle Jake was faithful for well over a decade, their marriage wasn't a lie. However, his wife's psychological penis complex emasculated him to the extent that he was weary of the competitive spirit she brought to their relationship. Like so many other professional men, Uncle Jake now [with the help of his **penile implant**] carefully and discreetly messes around only within a small circle of well-respected married or divorced men. Do you think that his being molested by his older brother as a child had any impact upon Uncle Jake's sexual orientation? Explain.

There are other sexual orientation theories speculating that boys who grow up either without fathers or under their mother's skirts are predisposed to becoming **sissies**— *less masculine*, if not de facto *feminine* — rather than being more like their virile peers who do hard physical work, play sports, and fight during puberty. As they fail to emulate men or to compete for the attention of girls in high school, most of these "sissies" internalize what everyone around them has declared to be their sexual role or sexual orientation. As psychologists and other social scientists have observed within the predator versus prey dyad— be it carnivores

seeking their next meal or prison inmates seeking their next "booty nutt" – the **predator** prototypically targets smaller, weaker, or otherwise vulnerable **prey**. Even among middle school and high school males who are not sexually attracted to other males, still they are *perceived* as sissies if they are very short or very thin [smaller], do not possess well-developed muscles [weaker], and prefer less manly pursuits like painting, playing piano, etc. [vulnerable prey]. Ergo, these boys and teenagers may be propositioned, seduced, and sexually molested in the male jungle by bigger and stronger predators according to the laws of nature. It is interesting to note that **sissies**, unlike their jock counterparts who also have had sex with other males, almost never develop a sexual interest in females or in getting married. Ergo, were these sissies *born* **homosexual** or merely *conditioned* as such to be sexually submissive to a stronger, more aggressive Alpha male? Is there any correlation between a teenager committing suicide or becoming a sissy and the *failure* of his **father** to actively breathe upon his son's masculinity and sexuality? Throughout Junior's **penis parables**, we see how his father's candor both protected him from molestation and affirmed his **virility**. Hypothetically, could a macho football coach or other jock become a sissy if a stronger Alpha male raped him repeatedly in prison? Hypothetically, what would happen IF a sissy in high school decided to "*man up*"? Brothers, stop laughing since this paragraph may help many of you whose nephews, uncles, cousins, brothers, and your own sons are sissies. What might happen IF such a teenage sissy that even wore makeup lifted weights, took nutritional supplements, did chin ups, ran two miles a day, ultimately developed the physique and strength of a prize fighter, and decisively beat up the bully for calling him a sissy? Would everyone at school take note once he opened a can of spinach like Popeye and beat that bully's "*azz the world turns*"? In the context of the approbation of others, can a sissy reclaim his manhood and his virility by learning to develop more masculine characteristics and behaviors? Doesn't joining the Navy, Army, or the Marine Corps help some sissies and momma's boys to "man up" during and after basic training? IF so, then should the men's ministry in your church try to rescue those effeminate or shy, young boys

who seem to be headed that way before they are seduced or molested by a **pedophile** or older peer? Unlike the Biblical character, most prodigal sons today don't know how to find – much less return to – the nurture of their fathers. As a virile father figure, how would you feel if you were able to coach a sissy son, nephew, or neighbor's son in football, boxing, karate, chess, etc., in order that he discovers and reclaims his manhood before the Gay Movement embraces him? Is such a goal **Quixotic** or can you not do all things through Christ? Unless you already are walking in virility and **victory in Christ**, your answer is moot. Obviously, **VICE** does not condone battery, street fights, domestic violence, rape, drive by homicides, stabbings, assault, etc. Yet, we are condoning short boys, boys with asthma, skinny momma's boys, piano playing boys, and countless other vulnerable males better *developing* their self-confidence, virility, and **manhood** just as much as their football and basketball jock counterparts. More perspicacious than most parents, Junior's dad did not want him to feel pressured into following in his footsteps, albeit he had been a jock throughout high school and college. Yet, as **Penis Parable IX** clearly illustrates, he also knew that playing football or basketball is not tantamount to any jock being **heterosexual**. Fathers, you know that not all boys are built for [or interested in] playing basketball or football. Thus, in the context of personality and *sexual orientation*, would your son learning to play chess, the violin, piano, or even golf necessarily make him a sissy? Let's ask Tiger Woods that question. I rest my case!

Now, let's shift our attention away from "*flamboyant sissies*" to those married and single "*all American jocks*" and "*real men*" who covertly engage in homosexuality with other detectives, policemen, FBI agents, GI's, teachers, preachers, firemen, lawyers, correctional officers, judges, doctors, sailors, longshoremen, traveling men, construction workers, boxers, bodybuilders, wrestlers, coaches, football players, etc., and other jocks like Junior's own teammates! In the previous essay question, notice that it was specifically stated that these

jocks did *not* associate any “**gay stigma**” to their fooling around in their hotel room. Why not? IF, via the *Jock’s Creed*, their head coach in track, football, or another sport gives a tacit nod of approval to his athletes messing around in their locker room, then is he not implying that Junior and other horny “*boys will be boys*” with their teammates in addition to engaging in sex with girls? In other words, because they have their coach’s *permission* to mess around, there is no internalized **shame** or **loss of manhood**. As long as what they do in the locker room stays in the locker room, then jocks prototypically do not process their **homosexuality** in the same manner as would feminine **sissies** who relentlessly are taunted. Ergo, what happened with Junior and his horny teammates after nearly winning the state championship is not an anomaly among athletes, correctional officers, police officers, or even among US soldiers. This specific form of *male bonding* is what made the **Sacred Band of Thebes** “sacred” in antiquity. Yet, IF Big Brother and Uncle Sam legalize overt **homosexuality** in the Armed Forces, then what message would that send to straight men who are **heterosexual**? Read about Thebes’ soldiers and draw your own conclusion about masculinity and male sexual orientation. Lamentably, Junior’s *homophobic* grandfather missed a great opportunity to strengthen his father son bond with Junior’s dad by beating him and shaming him. Their **father son dyad** seems to have suffered irreparably via the father’s silence on the subject. Rather than being **100% heterosexual**, Junior’s grandfather and most **homophobes** actually are guilt-ridden and *ashamed* of their own covert **bisexual** and **homosexual** experiences. For most of the twentieth century, “**fag bashing**” was a common expression of such shame among many soldiers who harassed and beat up **queers**. Albeit fictional, this Army officer’s resentment was exacerbated via the revelation that his own dad, indeed, had **messed around** during puberty too! Do you think that Junior’s father *overcompensated* for the approbation and the intimacy he both needed and missed as a teenager by being too candid and too graphic? Or should more fathers likewise keep it real with their pubescent sons about **bisexuality**? Explain.

Consider the role of **pederasty** within the structure of antiquity's system of formal education and military training for pubescent males and young men. Whether at an academy in ancient Rome or in a gymnasium in ancient Greece, students and athletes in training messed around just like Junior's teammates. Before Christ, history records no such "*Don't Ask Don't Tell*" shame associated with this erstwhile acceptable **bisexual** practice among brave soldiers and their **catamites**. In ancient Greece, formal learning typically was disseminated "at the foot" [**pedal**, **pedophile**, **pederast**, **pedestrian**, etc.] of sages and scholars such as Socrates, Aristotle, Plato, etc. Did not emperors like Hadrian, who built Rome's resplendent Pantheon, have a beloved catamite in addition to a wife and children? Would you consider Hadrian and other emperors in ancient Rome *punks*? Consider the heroic and celebrated "Sacred Band of Thebes". Were these gallant warriors *sissies*? Consider Alexander the Great, Mark Antony, Julius Caesar, and many other military heroes and emperors from antiquity. Were they not *convertibles*? A world away in Japan, where gallant and loyal **Samurai warriors** for centuries practiced **hari-kari**, would you consider these consummate military men *faggots*? Despite the now infamous **homosexual scandal** at **Camp Pendleton**, do the horny men in uniform who posed nude for Dirk Yates look like "*faggots*" to you or more like "*convertibles*"? Based upon what we know about this Army officer, is Junior's dad homosexual, heterosexual, bisexual, or a convertible? What about your dad? What about you? Don't say anything! Instead, just contemplate such a rhetorical question in terms of your father's sexual history vis-à-vis your father's sexual orientation. Anthropological and religious history clearly illustrate how **cultural attitudes** about the misnomer of **sexual orientation** can either ameliorate or truncate physical, emotional, intellectual, and spiritual intimacy among males in that particular construct. For example, non-Christian societies in Asia, Africa, Europe, and here in the Americas actually embraced pubescent **bisexuality** long before the Mayflower's arrival. Yet, prevailing *cultural attitudes* in this nation circumvented Junior's grandfather and millions like him from ever embracing this truth. Does such sophomoric and homophobic cowardice continue to compromise both

intimacy and affection within the father son dyad? Are you more like Junior's father or his grandfather? Explain. Rather than ever judging or stoning *anyone*, **VICE** merely cogitates what makes 1) one group of guys "outgrow" messing around long before they get married and become fathers while 2) another group of guys will enjoy male and female amours throughout adulthood – despite being married with children, and 3) one group of guys will proclaim that *only* another man can satisfy them sexually as they walk, talk, and even dress more like la cage aux folles! "Ms. Thang, you better work it...[snap, snap]!"

We already know what the guys at the gym or at the barbershop might say regarding this conundrum surrounding sissies and sexual orientation. However, as a ministry of sexual healing, **VICE** more intelligently examines the classic heredity versus environment debate. Are some men, indeed, born heterosexual? Homosexual? Bisexual? For example, had Uncle Jake *not* been molested by Junior's grandfather, might he now still be married and never have enjoyed oral or anal sex with another male? Why do some **heterosexual** men experiment with **bisexuality** for the first time in their mid-twenties or mid-thirties? Explain. Had some muscle bound rappers and thugs covered with tattoos never gone to prison, would they still "trade places" with their wives or girlfriends as they grunt and holler, "Ahhh! Take it EZ on me, baby!"? Explain. Had a **horny [II Samuel 11]** and cocksure King David **masturbated** when he beheld Bathsheba's beauty, then might he have gone to sleep sexually satisfied with a smile without having become a murderer and the baby's daddy? Had a horny Bill Cosby, Jesse Jackson, Willie Gary, your dad, or even your pastor **masturbated** rather than having cheated, then might all of them have more money in the bank and more contentment in their marriages? All of us, like David, have sinned. Yet, IF **masturbation** is not a sin, then why aren't more fathers, husbands, jocks, and juveniles grabbing and pulling their **joysticks** until they feel the joy rather than fathering unwanted children? Paradoxically, this very common "baby's daddy" *sexual mistake* is one of the least

discussed moral issues among teenagers and men who know each other and who watch Monday night football together. Often such men recite the mantra, "A man's going to be a man," as if the ubiquitous curse of **fatherlessness** is inevitable. Indeed, a man *is* going to be a man. The salient query is, "What kind of man will he be?" With the exception of **nocturnal emissions**, the pistol in our pocket shoots only after we have pointed it and subsequently pulled the trigger. Ergo, while most men at the gym or the barbershop publicly eschew **masturbation**, perhaps, privately they cry in the dark lamenting their baby's momma drama and child support payments! This is particularly true if the mother or baby's momma involved has a **psychological penis complex** and wants to stick it to the baby's daddy. Such a feminist's mantra possibly would be, "*You screwed me; now I'm going to screw you!*"

Fathers, would you rather that your son **masturbated** or made you a grandfather when he still was in high school? If you have fathered a child out of wedlock, then in retrospect, would **masturbation** – with or without Junior's sock – have been a more pragmatic means of sexual gratification and orgasm? Which of the two options is a *moral virtue*? Which of the two options is a *moral vice*? How often have you, like Junior's dad, had this candid fatherly discussion with your sons or even your nephews? Both spiritually and pragmatically, which of the two choices is the right thing to do IF we want stronger families and stronger fathers? I rest my case! What does the **Holy Bible** say, if anything at all, about **masturbation** being a sin [Book and chapter citation]? Please do not fallaciously cite **[Genesis 38]** because what Onan did there was *coitus interruptus*, not masturbation. What does the **Holy Bible** say, if anything at all, about men having a choice [**i.e., Genesis 19, Leviticus 18, Leviticus 20, Romans 1, I Corinthians 6, I Timothy 10**] to lie with mankind, womankind, or even beasts? Gentlemen, don't most straight men enjoy having a choice of which woman [blonde, black, Asian, Hispanic, tall, short, etc.] we want as well? The *only* time the New

Testament condones sexual intercourse is within **marriage** between a husband and his wife. Thus, horny men of all ages should marry rather than to burn. Yet, via its repeated admonitions against **perversions** such as copulating with beasts or lying with mankind as thou would with womankind, isn't the **Holy Bible** implying that hard and horny males are **convertibles** – capable of repeatedly letting the top down and back up again? Explain.