PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVENCES - 1 REDACTED

FDC SeaTac #46153-086 P.O. BOX 13900 Seattle, WA 98198

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death."

The Federal civil rights statute (18 USC §241), which makes conspiracy to interfer with a citizen's free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States a criminal offense, embraces all of the rights and privileges secured to citizens by all of the Constitution and all of the laws of the United States, including the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Fifteenth Amendments: the sweep of the Statute is not confined to rights that are conferred by of flow from the Federal Government, as distinguished from those secured or confirmed or guaranteed by the Constitution. 18 USC §241 must be accorded a sweep as broad as its language; this language includes rights under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

Many members of Federal and local law enforcement and my best friend(the CHS) did conspire to oppress me in the free exercise and enjoyment of my First, Second, Fourth, and Fifth Amendment rights, and they did go in disguise on the highway and on the premises of another with intent to prevent and hinder my free exercise and enjoyment of rights so secured, and for having so exercised the same for a period of time.

During the month of November, and many months before, of 2015, several members of Federal and local law enforcement mainly FBI Special Agents approached my best friend(who was already working as a CHS) secretly and conspired to create a ruse to disarm me or possibly to catch me at a time and place where I would not be

armed by my own choice - armed meaning the lawful keeping and bearing arms - so that I could be "safely" arrested and/or detained in order to prevent me from continuing to exercise my right to keep and bears arms and other rights. They thereby did deprive me of my First, Second, Fourth, and Fifth Amendment rights, or as they might say - to prevent me from continuing to alledgedly be a "threat" to the "United States". well known for a long time that I was not out to hurt anyone, as in criminally or immorally, but that I would defend myself against any unlawful actions to deprive me of my rights or the rights of others.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Fifth Amendment mandates that "no person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of The 10th Edition Black's Law Dictionary defines Due Process as: The conduct of legal proceedings according to established rules and principles for the protection and enforcement of private rights, including notice and right to a fair hearing before a tribunal with the power to decide the I have never received any due process where my liberty to make my own firearm and not have to register it has never taken place.

The FBI did not have a legitimate cause for depriving me of my rights and there must be a legal proceeding before the deprivation can take place. In Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314, 70 S. Ct. 652(1950), the Supreme Court said "An elementary and fundamental requirement in any proceeding which is to be afforded finality is notice 28 | reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise

interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an oppurtunity to present objections.... The notice must be of such nature as reasonably to convey the required information." I never received any notice of pendency of an action for deprivation of liberty, nor was I informed that my rights at any time in the past had been deprived, or that any legislation had been enacted would deprive me or that it would apply to me. In the instant case against me, no due process has ever taken place to apprise me that the statutes being applied to me where the due process of deprivation, though deprivation is supposed to be a judicial proceeding on an individual basis.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Government and CHS conspired to deprive me of my rights in order to lock me up in prison so that I could no longer be a "threat" to the Federal Government. I assert that I am an activist against Federal authorities who abuse their power against myself and citizens of the Union, which the First Amendment protects. I exercise my First Amendment rights to protest government actions and to speak out against it, and exercise my Second Amendment right to protect my other rights. The Declaration Of Independence states "That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive to these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or abolish it... But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object envices a design to reduce them under an absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security! I was an activist under that premise, exercising my "right and

duty" along with thousands of other Americans, but according to some Federal law enforcement, that makes us a "threat" of domestic terrorism. But that is just ridiculous, we Americans just want to live free and in peace. I was only exercising my rights and duties to alter the Government's unlawful actions in various situations in the past using the checks and balances listed in the Bill Of Rights that We The People Retain, and is protected by the Ninth and Tenth Amendments. The evidence of the conspiricy lies in the amount of time spent between the CHS and SA Bennett, the number of calls made between them, the number of emails between them, number of meetings, the reconissance intel gathering by the CHS and reporting to his handlers, and also emails back and forth between the ATF Firearms Specialist and AUSA Thomas Woods.

1.8

The CHS was paid to inform on me all my activities starting back on or about April 13th, 2015. All of my activities were lawful, therefore the FBI's hiring of the CHS was not necessary as I only ever talked about self defense. My activism, though, was probably viewed as a "threat of domestic terrorism" - if you ask them - to the "United States." The email evidence under protective order shows that the CHS and SA Bennett met numerous times, conspiring to figure out under what law they could find me in violation of so they could have cause to remove a "domestic terrorist" off the streets. The CHS even attempted to lure me to obtain explosives or materials to make the explosives to blow up a stump, but I said I didn't have any and that it is a Federal Offense to use off-the-shelf products inconsistant with its labeling - this was recorded in an ITACC

session that is in my discovery evidence. In that same recording, the CHS even attempted to lure me into purchasing illegal steriods from someone he met that "worked at a pharmacuetical manufacturer", but I wasn't interested. They tried hard.

1.8

My friend - the CHS - performed his informant duties for about eight months total and it took over six months to finally find something they could use to charge me with. The Agents.

Domestic Terrorism Squad ("The Squad") on the Joint Terrorism
Task Force, to lend perspective of what my case is really about.
It's like a story right out of the book "THE TERROR FACTORY INSIDE THE FBI'S MANUFACTURED WAR ON TERRORISM", by Trevor
Aaronson. To lend more perspective, I protested at the Bundy
Ranch Standoff in Nevada, 2014, and the FBI has been tracking
me ever since. There is an ongoing witch-hunt and prosecution
for everyone who participated. I am asking this Court for
relief from their political targeting.

At the suggestion of my informant-friend, I asked him to help me sell my personal firearm. As soon as I did, the FBI, Agents and important, jumped on the oppurtunity, with me being disarmed of that rifle, to be able to safely remove me from being able to exercise my right to protest in Oregon at what eventually turned into the "occupation" of the Malhuer National Wildlife Refuge near Burns, Oregon. I say that because at the same time I asked the CHS-friend to help me sell the rifle, I was feeding him information on my plans to deploy to Oregon. The FBI had already begun investigating and tracking

everyone who was talking about it. The FBI had to move quickly 2 They did not want me to get to Oregon to protest what 3 the Federal Government was doing to a ranching family there. They speculated about my intentions for going or what might 4 5 happen if I was there and law enforcement had to respond based on things I said and whatever the CHS was feeding them which 6 7 he may have been embellished or exaggerated.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

My rifle was the best The Squad could come up with since I wasn't breaking any other laws. The FBI should have turned over the investigation to the ATF since it was a firearms case and they would have better been able to hanle it. They possibly might have found there was no case because I was not violating any Federal firearms laws, but the FBI was itching - as they always are - for a good terrorism case to flaunt to the public which they did flaunt my arrest. They called me a domestic terrorist with possible terrorist ties and possessing explosives which none of that is true. Patriotism and terrorism is not the same thing.

To show you what I mean by the ATF might have found no case against me, after the FBI seized the rifle and delivered it to the ATF Firearms Specialist for examination, she produced a report of her examination and registration records check and found the rifle not to be registered and that it had certain characteristics that might have brought it under the law. report, she said the rifle was "therefore likely a National Firearms Act regulated weapon." (emphasis added). then sent an email to AUSA Thomas Woods, one of 28 | my four prosectors. Why so many on what Mr. Woods has said was

such a simple case? This email was sent to Mr. Woods on November 24, 2015, twelve days before I was arrested - meaning they had plenty of time to figure out their conspiracy - and it said: "I have examined the rifle and it appears to be an NFA regulated weapon." (emphasis added). One would think, out of all the people who would know if a firearm was regulated or not, it would be the Firearms Specialist with the ATF. A firearm either is or is not regulated. By my finding, she determined that the rifle is not regulated, but that doesn't get me Agent wrote his complaint saying "therefore requiring registration", see AFFIDAVIT OF FBI SA but wait, Agent did not determine that the rifle was regulated, she said so herself in her report and to AUSA Woods. This should be Franks evidence at a Franks hearing. lied or disregarded the truth in his affidavit so he could get his warrant.

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

B) 18 U.S.C. §242: DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW.

"Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, of fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section, or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggrivated

1

2 3 The Federal civil rights statute (18 USC §242), which makes it a criminal offense to deprive a citizen's rights under color of 4 5 law, was violated by all the Federal and local law enforcement, mainly SA's , and the CHS in his agent/ 6 and 7 informant capacity. FBI SA filed the COMPLAINT FOR 8 VIOLATION(Dkt 1). As the Affiant, SA made a Probabale 9 Cause Statement based on false information that was supplied 10 either deliberately or negligently by the CHS, or that SA 11 disregarded as truth in fact and failed to verify before 12 filing the Complaint. SA may have disregarded the 13 truth of the information, or the legality issue of my rifle, because of an alterior motive as described in the conspiracy 14 15 part of my claim above. Neither SA's 16 attempted to contact me to coduct an interview, either by phone 17 or in person which they could have done, in order to verify 1.8 anything the CHS was feeding them. Informants can never be 19 trusted to tell the truth, especially when they are incentivised 20 with money. Appearantly the FBI was too scared of me to make any kind of contact because of things I said on Facebook and in 21 my recorded calls. However, in one ITACC session, I explicitly 22 23 told the CHS that if the FBI ever want to talk to me, that they were welcome to, even that I was jealous of some of my friends 24 who have received visits from the FBI. I said "I invite them 25 to come talk to me", that way I could make sure they have all 26 their facts about me straight. But, they should not come to 27 deprive me of my rights because I would defend my self, which I

have the right to do. I believe the CHS was leading the FBI to believe that I was about to commit a terrorist act or something. I had told the CHS I was leaving soon to go down to Oregon to help set up the protest, which eventually turned into the "occupation" of Malhuer National Wildlife Refuge that was widely reported on in the media January, 2016. Maybe I was becoming more radical in my free speech against

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Maybe the FBI was seeing "signs" of a "lone wolf" government. about to commit an act, but I have never said anything about hurting innocent people, ever, only that I will defend myself and others, and our freedom and liberty. I swore an Oath to the Constitution and to the people of America when I joined the Marine Corps and referenced that constantly in my rantings on Facebook and talking with my informant-friend - the only two sources of information the FBI had. I will never go against my Oath or back on my Oath. My political views and exercising Freedom of Speech and Press to speak out against government oppression are not a legitimate, lawful excuse to deprive an American citizen of his unalienable rights to liberty and his property - my liberty and property - without due process of law. My views and expressions may have illiceted a distasteful judgement of me in the minds of the FBI Agents, enough for them to stand behind their badges and guns to cause emense undue emotional stress and destruction of my property, and deprivation of my rights.

These two Agents, and and allowed their emotions to cloud their judgement and they became vindictive. 28 | The closest they could come to legitimacy of violations of laws

was to acuse me of violating the Gun Control Act and National I made it clear on my Facebook(since I knew the Firearms Act. FBI would see it) as a public forum, and in my recorded conversations with the CHS(who could have informed Agent during their many conversations) that I had read the laws and knew I wasn't violating them. Had the FBI turned over the investigation to the propper agency - the ATF - they may have been better able to determine (and an interview would have really helped to figure things out) that I was not violating any laws. An interview would really have helped because we could figure out the legalities of the rifle. If they were to have proved to me that I was in violation, I would have had no problem remedying the situation by becoming compliant with the laws. I have no problem complying with the laws - I purchased a suppressor out of interstate commerce and got my tax stamp and registration, as required. My rights and liberties could have been saved from gross deprivations, and the Government and Court would have been saved valuable resources.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The ATF Firearms Specialist, Agent used misleading language in her reports and emails that Agent there twisted in his Probable Cause Statement in his Complaint to trick first an Eastern District Judge to issue warrants, and then Western District Judge to sign warrants. Agent committed perjury as the Affiant, being overly assured of himself that I was a "domestic terrorist" and that they were going to find explosives. I was a demolitions expert for the eight years I was in the military. Of course I have reference 28 cards with formulas, tables, and calculations for charges.

course I have notebooks full of calculations for different kinds of charges, and notes from classes. Of course I still have tools and things I used during my time in the Service. But that doesn't mean I was up to no good and the FBI and Explosives Detection Team found nothing. The CHS lied about me and the FBI wanted me in prison.

They decided to move forward with an arrest without any guarantee that I was in fact violating the law when they had ample time to make that determination with the help of the ATF - and she found that my rifle is not regulated. figured that "we'll let the court and prosecutors(4) figure it out." Thus they violated my Fifth Amendment right to due process. A citizen cannot be arrested because an agent thinks he might commit a crime in the future or because he doesn't want him to be able to exercise his First Amendment rights. America, it has a Constitution and its People have rights. I understand the FBI's concern in a world where terrorism is increasingly prevelant. I assert that I am on the FBI's side in certain circumstances. I even helped a Police Officer of Grass Valley, CA investigate a crime and served as his backup when he placed the man under arrest because all other officers were responding to another crime at that moment. We were both Marines and he trusted me to have his back. I support law enforcement, but not oppression and abuse of authority. Again, I swore an Oath. Patriotism is not terrorism.

C) RELIEF REQUESTED.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am respectfully requesting this Court to dismiss the

Indictment and to grant me leave to file a Tort Claim.

GRIEVENCE # 2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

With all due respect, I must state that I feel it unfair to have denied my request to reopen my detention. The Order states that there was no valid basis and the Government's response said that I provided no new information. When I made the oral motion during my Farretta Hearing to schedule a hearing so that I could be heard, during that hearing is when I planned to provide the "new information". I stated that I wanted an oppurtunity to be heard. I wanted to speak on my own behalf to try to convince the Court that I would comply with release conditions. I wanted to be asked questions and be able to respond. After the Order denying the Motion I filed, I spoke with my standby counsel and he said that I should have put all my arguements in the Motion. I asked him, well then, if I put all my arguments for why I should be released in the MOTION FOR HEARING, why would I need a hearing - to say all the same things I said in the Motion. Doesn't make sense to me. I don't understand this. When I asked for a hearing, you, Sir, said to file a motion for it. I understood that to mean make the request on paper, not argue it on paper.

So far, this Court has only heard the bad things about me from the prosecutors, some Facebook posts and comments, things that I regret saying. I have been wanting to share with the Court good things about me, other things I posted, like how I saved a woman's life on Mt. Rainier, or how I was a first 28 | responder to the Oso Mudslide and organized a bunch of my fellow

National Guardsmen from my platoon to come and help in the search and rescue operations even though my Unit received standdown orders, and how I helped an animal rescue organization when I co-drove all the way down to Los Angelas, CA to rescue three German Shepards from a kill shelter, one of them I adopted in the summer before I was arrested. The Government has made me look like a horrible person and a danger to the community which is completely false. I have never hurt anybody. to do neigborhood watch on Everett's notorious Casino Road when I lived there, and later on, when I lived with the CHS in my case's house for 42 months, I routinely patroled the neighborhood, sometimes twice a night in the middle of the night after several incidents of crime there. How is that a danger to the community, when I was protecting the community from the very criminals I'm currently locked up with. doesn't make any sense. But the Court doesn't seem to want to hear my side of things. You may say that my attorneys should have taken care of that. Well, I brought it up several times with two of them and they said - there's no way the Judge is going to let you out - among the many other things they refused to help me with.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Government has provided some evidence that I wouldn't meet the Court's scheduling requirements or that I wouldn't even show up. That is not true either. The Government thinks that because of things in the past, but not even the Government knows some of my extenuating circumstances. I would like to have been able to explain those circumstances and present evidence to support my explanations. I would like to have been

1 | able to tell the Court again that I knew I was going to be in the situation I am in now facing these accusations and was prepared legally for it. I want to go to trial over my accusations. If I'm convicted, or if I'm aquitted and the Government appeals, I want to go to the 9th Circuit. I want to challenge the precedent. Maybe I can finally turn around the losing fight for American's Second Amendment rights. have been fighting for Second Amendment rights for years. I am very passionate about that right. I am glad that I am in the courts now. Maybe I can make a difference. Some might say I'm doing it wrong as in facing criminal charges, but I don't have tens or hundreds of thousands of dollars to file a lawsuit against the Government. I just believe in exercising my rights that I believe I have. If I were out on pretrial release and fled, or just didn't show up to Court when I'm supposed to, how would that help me win? How would being a fugative help me win the fight for my rights and everyone else's rights? How would adding more charges help me? I'm not fighting for my own rights but for everyone's rights. If I win, everyone wins. I'm not interested in spending more time in prison, who would be. There is all kinds of violence and drugs and other bad

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

stuff going on in my unit, and in this detention center as a whole that I really don't like being around. I really should not have to be dealing with those things as a pre-trial detainee when there is supposed to be a presumtion of innocence in the American justice system. Why I am treated like a convict is something that I and all my family, friends, and supporters 28 | really don't understand. Now that I am representing myself, it

has and will continue being very difficult to prepare my defense and even before going pro se, life in this detention center is a hardship no person should have to endure unless they have been convicted of crime. All the prison politics that I have to deal and the drama between some groups of inmates creates a hostile environment that I and we are forced to live in. There is always a hidden danger of assault and even stabbings. best to maintain good relations with everyone, but all it takes is looking at someone the wrong way or unintentially cutting someone off in a line to offend another inmate and in prison politics, when you get "disrespected" you are supposed to teach the offender a lesson. I shouldn't, and no other person who is just accused of a crime, shouldn't have to deal with this. Many of the inmates in the pretrial units are there on violation so they come off the street, or halfway house, still high on drugs, or some new inmates are new detainees and come in off the street drugged out and they cause some problems in the unit for the rest of us. Some of them have mental issues and cause problems, usually fighting. Some are lifelong hardened criminals and are violent and aggressive, and they cause violence problems for those of us who are not violent. last four weeks there have been several fights and lockdowns. One can not always avoid violence because sometimes the violence comes to you. There is no difference between the detention center here and any medium or higher security prison. This is not a place for or environment for a pretrial detainee to prepare a defense, especially when I have become pro se. I have a much more difficult time than someone on pretrial release

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

one who has attorneys to do everything for them. Because my attorneys failed to adequately represent me by raising the questions of law and issues to preserve them on the record for After three attorneys refusing to do any work, I was forced to do it myself, but the last attorney, now standby counsel, waited till the last minute to make his final refusal and to tell me to represent myself, which was too late. Since they didn't want to work with me on any of my issues besides the Second Amendment and Commerce Clause arguments, I had to figure everything out myself, which took a substantial amount of time and effort. At the last minute, May 7th, I still had some issues to raise but ran out of time to write out the rough drafts, then the finals, then to get copies made. I could spend a more time to make my final motions but they will all be filed untimely and it will take away from my time to prepare for the trial. There is still a lot to do between now and June 5th and not enough time to do it. If my attorneys wouldn't have thrown me under the bus like they have or if I would have been granted a continuance as requested in my last Petition for Redress, I would have faired better.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

There are other problems as well that cause me a great deal BOP policies and staff do not make things even of stress. remotely easy for the pro se inmate. I have had to kick and scream(figuratively) every step of the way. Every step I take forward is only taken after I had to remove a roadblock. has wasted substantial amounts of time in preparing my defense. I had to get a court order to get more time in the law library. 28 | There is no policy or a very weak policy for legal calls, legal

mail, and legal visits. At the time of this typing, I am working to get legal visits with my defense team. I have had issues in the past with legal mail coming from my old attorneys that was being opened before I received it in violation of the BOP's policy on legal mail. I raised the issue with several unit counselors and emails to the mail department with no remedy. I have been waiting for three weeks to receive some affidavits from my paralegal and have still not received them. More than one set has been mailed. Mail that I send out is being received cut open and taped back up. I suspect the FBI is intercepting my incoming and outgoing mail and tampering with it because the Agents involved with my case know that I am representing myself now and intend to impede my preparing my defense. Ever since I got my court order for more time in the law library, every week I miss my commissary order because I am in the law library working when our orders are delivered to The rulebook states that we are to receive it the next day, but I am always in the law library. Lately, because of staffing constraints, commissary staff do the weeks catch-up on fridays, but its always in the morning when I'm in the law library. The money is deducted from my account but then I don't get my order until the next week. I had to send emails to staff and speak with different supervisors about my issue to get my orders. I order envelopes, stamps, typewriter ribbon and correction tape along with other items every week. I can't mail documents to the Court and the US Attorneys, and my stand-by counsel and paralegal if I can't get my stamps and I can't type my documents without the ribbon. envelopes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

order extra food as well which helps me to be more productive. I have had conversations with staff about the issue and the response has been that staff does not work around inmate's schedules. In other words, because I chose to exercise my rights to represent myself and fight my charges, I have to sacrifice my time preparing my defense just so I can be in the unit when commissary is delivered. The staff are not supposed to show favoritism either. I did not seek a court order for more time in the law library because I wanted, but because I needed it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Everything is treated as a "privilege" in this detention center. How can any accused person have presumtion of innocence and a right to prepare a meaningful defense and the actual means to prepare that defense when the environment and entire system is not designed to allow it and actually works against The Government responded to my motion to reopen my detention and said "he seeks to prepare his defense in a different environment than the Federal Detantion Center. This is not a factor that bears on his release status. See 18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(listing factors to be considered)." I ask - has any prosecutor ever attempted to prepare a prosecution from inside a Federal Detention Center as an inmate? They would learn real quick that it is nearly impossible and would complain endlessly, immediately. They would say it is not fair and would ask to prepare their prosecution in a better environment too.

America is supposed to have equal justice for all. How is it equal that a U.S. Attorney has unlimited resources and 28 | advantage while the pro se defendant has every single handicap

possible? Though the environment for preparing a defense is not listed as a factor to be considered in 18 U.S.C. §3142(g), it should be considered by the Court. Especially since I have no criminal record, no history of violence, no propensity for violence, never have used drugs or alchohol, never been a threat to the community but actually protected the communities I've lived in, and because I am pro se. I have already stated to the Court on the record that representing myself, originally, was dependent on whether I was going to be detained or not. attorneys have forced me to go pro se and now I need to be allowed pretrial release so I can have every advantage to prepare my defense. It is in the interest of equal justice and the public that I have the best environment and adequate time to prepare the best defense possible. My case has generated a lot of attention from the public and they want to see that I have a fair trail and a complimenting environment to work in. So far they have seen an unjust system of oppression that works in every way to prevent the accused from having any advantage. So far, they have only seen my mistreatment. I receive a lot of mail from people I do not know and these are the things they tell me.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The environment I am in now is a dark dungeon that breeds depression, hopelessness, and despair. Even the food is a factor because the poor nutrition affects the body which in turn affects the mind - negatively. BOP staff treats us like we are all less than human, when we are supposed to be innocent until proven guilty. We get very poor sleep because of the mattresses and being celled with another person who is usually incompatable

as a roommate, and for some people, the snoring. Why would anybody be motivated to fight for their freedom in such inconceivable conditions, especially when self representing? My prosecutors argue that is part of the disadvantages of self representation but how is that "justice for all"?

6 ||

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## RELIEF REQUESTED.

Title 18 U.S.C. §3142(f) says that at a hearing, "the person shall be afforded an oppurtunity to testify, to present witnesses, to cross examine witnesses who appear at the hearing, and to present information by proffer or otherwise." I never was afforded the oppurtunity to do any of those things listed above, nor did I know that I was supposed to be afforded all those oppurtunities. My public defender did not tell me about them and neither did the Magistrate Judge. §3142(f) also says "the facts the judicial officer uses to support a finding pursuant to subsection (e) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community shall be supported by clear and convincing evidence." Clear and convincing evidence has not be presented and the Court has only heard one side because I have not been given any oppurtunities to present anything on my behalf.

The Factors to be considered in 18 U.S.C. §3142(g) which include:

- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person;
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or

the community that would be posed by the person's release. None of these Factors from my side - the defense - have been 2 considered by the judical officer or this Court. 3 This is not fair and I respectfully request this Court to conduct a hearing 4 for me to testify, to present witnesses, cross-examine witnesses 5 6 and present information regarding the Factors to be considered. \$3142(j) says "nothing in this section shall be construed as 7 8 modifying or limiting the presumption of innocence, but I certainly feel like I only are presumed guilty by the way I 9 have had to suffer the many difficulties as outlined in this 10 Petition. 11 12 This Court should review this grievence under 18 U.S.C. 13 §3145(b), as a motion for revocation of the order. Dated this 17th day of May, 2017. 14 15 16 17 Respectfully submitted, 1.8 19 Schuyler Barbeau Defendant, pro se 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28