

14528-052

Daniel J Riley
14528-052
Federal Correctional Institute
33 1/2 Pembroke Road
Danbury, CT 06811
United States



14528-052

Ruddy Davis
PO BOX 2088
Forney, TX 75126
United States

#2

Andrew,

I am in receipt of your letter dated the 21st ultimo, which I enjoyed its euphonious content. Words cannot express the felicity that it blessed me with. It does my soul good to hear from like minded patriots such as yourself. I know it takes a degree of courage to write me, knowing the enemy has me under a microscope through their tyrannical "Counter Terrorism Unit," which is analogous to the Soviet's KGB, hence I thank you for demonstrating such mettle.

Your letter found me in a very melancholy state of affairs. I am in a vile place, in a small closed environment, where I must walk among the agents of my foe and my fellow prisoners as if they were briars and thorns; afraid to touch or be touched by them. Accordingly, when I receive correspondences such as your own, relating your amicable concern for my well-being, it tends to lighten the burden that has been placed on me. I am grateful for your letter. My situation is horrible, but, it is a jerkwater predicament by comparison to what my beloved country faces.

I see you converse with our mutual acquaintance in New Hampshire. I am curious to know if he shared my writings with the People; and is that what triggered you to write me? I ask that my writings be published online, so that they will help prepare the public's mind, to educate; and I will ask the same of you, but the decision to post, or not to post, this letter is ultimately your choice, but nonetheless I exhort you to "spread the word."

I find that one cannot grasp the dire situation my country is in unless they understand in some degree the history behind it. The constitution was not written to bless the People with liberty, but to the contrary, it was designed to set a plutocracy over the People. The revolution was stolen from the People, just the way the Russian Peoples' revolution was stolen from them by the Bolsheviks in 1917. The constitutional convention of 1787 was illegal, meaning the delegates who were sent to it, had a specific delegation of authority from their particular state. That authority was to amend the Articles of Confederation, which could only be done by the concurrence of all 13 states.

James Madison introduced the "Virginia Plan" to the delegates in early June 1787, which plan, turned the confederacy of states into a consolidated national government. To call the regime on the Potomac a "Federal" government is a misnomer. The proper term is "National" government. Americans should know the difference and why. The goal of the conspirators was to consolidate power and establish a central bank on the ruins of the confederacy. Two delegates from New York, John Lansing and Judge Robert Yates, quit the convention in disgust, knowing they were not empowered to institute a national rather than a confederate government, which would place them technically at odds with their constituents. They did not want to be part of the conspiracy. William Patterson of New Jersey also asserted the convention was unlawful if they were to ratify Madison's plan, so he submitted his plan, known as the "New Jersey" plan. A compromise was struck to appease the smaller states and the convention was held together, thus, as Patrick Henry called it, "... the most fatal plan, that possibly be conceived to enslave a free people" was put to paper.

Some of the characters at this convention were the same ones that were bent on chartering a national bank during the revolution. Men like Robert Morris and his business partners James Wilson, Thomas Fitzsimmons, Govenour Morris (no relation) and lets not forget that ever intriguing Alexander Hamilton. George Mason said either a "monarchy or tyrannical aristocracy" would result from the constitution and refused to sign it, stating he rather have his hand chopped off than sign that document. there were others too who didn't sign it. The point I am trying to make is that from the beginning the constitution

was to set a government over the states, not negotiation between the states, thus reducing the People and the states to subjects of the national government. The constitution was purposely written in ambiguous generalizations, knowing the judicial power, would lean in favor of the national government and would give an explanation to the constitution, as would favor an extension of the national government's power and jurisdiction. An honest man or woman must ask, if the constitution was written to insure freedom and equality, why didn't its authors include a Bill of Rights from the onset, like all the states governments had at the time? Why did a Bill of Rights have to be reluctantly added later? The first session of Congress (1789-90) would not pass the Bill of Rights. The conspirators against our liberty knew it would weaken the power of the national government. Just imagine how quickly the regime would have enslaved the People without the Bill of Rights. One last note on this vein of thought, William MaClay, one of the first senators from Pennsylvania, logged in his journal, that the constitution implies everything, as senators boasted having cheated the People by establishing a form of government over them which none of them expected, right under their nose.

The conspirators, with their ambition and intrigue went right to work for their own self-interest. The first secretary of the treasury, Alexander Hamilton, had virtual control of the Congress. Hamilton wanted the country to be put into immediate debt, claiming this would act like a bonding agent or glue to bind the states together. Thus, the states' revolution war debt was transferred to the national government, even though two of the states had completely paid their debt already, making the transfer unjust. Furthermore, the bills of credit that the Continental Congress issued, mostly to pay the army, to quell a possible revolt, the treasury was working on a plan to redeem them at full face value. As senator MaClay noted in his journal, when the treasury leaked this information to the Congress, they immediately took it upon themselves to start acquiring and accumulating these bills of credit, paying pennies on the dollar. Most members of the first Congress became millionaires from this intrigue. Such were the ways Hamilton got the Congress to do what he wanted.

The way Hamilton was using the government's power gave Madison second thoughts on the wisdom of reposing unlimited authority in the national government. In 1790, Madison, who was a member of the House of Representatives, commented that a sectional and oligarchial domination was being nourished by the treasury department. Hamilton and his coconspirators in Congress, namely Robert Morris, in 1791, established a 20 year charter for a national bank. The national government was a 50% shareholder, with the other 50% being mostly foreign interest. Hamilton even commented that if the bank ever became fully owned by foreign interests the country would be insecure.

Madison spoke how the bank was a monopoly that will reach every object of legislation, and within the whole compass of political economy. He went on to say, the bank gives special privileges by law, to private individuals, and is a device to corrupt Congress and bend it to the will of the secretary of the treasury, creating a chain of power that is dangerous: a privileged financial aristocracy. These words hold true today. Much blood and treasure was spent to remove the yoke of the English aristocracy, only to have these traitors artfully place it back again, making the national government a kleptocracy. Madison further noted: the government is being operated by corrupt influence; substituting the motive of private interest in place of public duty, depending on bribes, privileges, and selfishness; and thus the government has become an imposter. Hamilton has saddled the country with a financial system suited to speculation and intrigue...the constitution itself has become a dangerous instrument of centralization.

I will continue on this brief history summary so my reader can discern the fundamental root of our country's woes and who our mutual enemy is. On March 03, 1811 the rechartering of the national bank failed by one vote in the

House of Representatives and Vice President George Clinton cast the deciding vote against the bank in the senate. As we know, the history we are taught, is not the real history. The destruction of the national bank, I believe, was the real underlying reason for the War of 1812, even though we are told that the cause was the impressment of American sailors by the British Navy. Through my research on this war, I find neither side lost or won. A peace treaty was signed at Ghent to end the war in late 1815. I believe one of the concessions was the rechartering of the bank, even though you won't find this in any history book. Madison did an about face and rechartered the bank in April 1816, for another 20 years.

John Marshall was chief justice of the Supreme Court 1801-1835, where he expanded the national government's power and control over the People and the states. In *MCCULLOCH v MARYLAND* (1819), Marshall upheld the government's power to charter the bank, resting on the notion that such power was "implied." This ruling gave credence to Patrick Henry's portentous warning delivered at the Virginia ratifying convention, on June 07, 1788: "If they can use implication for us, they can also use implication against us. We are giving power, they are getting power, judge then, on which side the implication will be used. When we once put it in their option to assume constructive power, danger will follow." And further: " 'to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper to carry their power into execution." Implication is dangerous, because it is unbounded: if it be admitted at all, and no limits be prescribed, it admits of the utmost extension. They say that everything that is not given [to the national government] is retained. The reverse of the proposition is true by implication. They do not carry their implications so far when they speak of the general welfare. No implication when the sweeping clause {Art 1, Sec. 8, Cl. 18} comes. Implication is only necessary when the existence of privileges is in dispute. The existence of powers is sufficiently established. If we trust our dearest rights to implication, we shall be in a very unhappy situation...and that every implication should be done away."

Something else must be noted about Marshall. Madison marked John Marshall as the leading federalist. Since he borrowed money from the national bank or people connected with it, Madison reported, Marshall was in "absolute dependence on the monied interest, which will explain...the active character he is assuming." Marshall was in cahoots with the banking monopoly.

The point of history denoted above is to show my reader how the bankers have stealthily usurped the inherent power found in the People, from the beginning. Andrew Jackson, the 7th President, was aware of the role the bank was playing in corrupting the national government. In 1834, Jackson vetoed the recharter of the bank, exclaiming the bank has become more powerful than the government. A painter in the whitehouse, fired two pistols at President Jackson and he was poisoned, but he survived both assassination attempts. I believe the banking cabal was behind both attempts. Does my reader know, during this time when the bank was abolished, the national government was able to become 100% debt free? Being in the black, the government ran a monetary surplus. Jackson sent this money back to the states in block grants, meaning it did not have to be paid back.

Through the machinations of the banking cabal, such as wars and contrived banking crises, in 1913, they were able to reestablish the national bank. Learning from their past mistakes, they purposefully left the word "bank" out of the title, calling it the federal reserve, making it seem to the unsuspecting public it was part of the government. In the same year, the 16th Amendment was fraudulently (according to some experts) ratified, to overcome the Supreme Court's ruling that an [income] tax was unconstitutional. The banking cabal was behind this duo of liberty oppressing implementations. On close inspection we shall find this duo was designed to work hand in glove. The national bank would issue irredeemable paper money, that the national government would force the People to use by declaring it legal tender, while an increase in taxes would pump out enough money to prevent serious

inflation. This also made it possible for Congress to spend without the public knowing it was being taxed, meaning through inflation.

If my reader learns one thing from this letter, let it be that: inflation is tantamount to taxation without representation. To render this assertion perfectly evident, one only has to look at when the secretary of the treasury via the federal reserve adds more federal reserve notes into circulation, without a corresponding rise in goods and services of equal value. This is inflation. As a result, money depreciates in value. In other words, the effect is a reduction in the purchasing power of each monetary unit. In consequence, s/he that touches a federal reserve note is taxed by it.

Here are some quotes my reader may chew on: Alan Greenspan 1966: "Deficit spending is simply a scheme for the hidden confiscation of wealth. Gold stands in the way of this insidious process. It stands as a protector of property rights."; and William McAdoo (Wilson's sec. of treasury): " This is a sham republic with the real government in the hands of a small clique of enormously wealthy men, who speak through their money, and whose influence, even today, radiates to every corner of the United States."

For all liberty loving virtuous people, our mutual enemy is the international banking cabal and the governments who form a symbiotic relationship with their banks. The enemy's expedients are perpetual wars and perpetual debt with the real object being a totalitarian world government.

Men and women leave the state of nature and enter into societies, as rational creatures, for their mutual good. Have we not set rulers over us to guard and promote that good? It is for the People alone to decide whether or when their government trustees have acted contrary to their trust. Isn't it true, whenever government designs to establish absolute power over the lives, liberties and estates of the People, by this breach of trust, the government has forfeited power and put itself at a state of war with the People? Don't the People have an intrinsic right to revolution? Isn't it reasonable, that since government exists to nourish and insure freedom and equality; and indeed has no other ultimate purpose; when it betrays these obligations it lost its legitimate authority, and in a sense annulled itself, leaving the People free to replace it? The right to revolution, in fact, was inseparable from the instance that government was formed, being that it is far from being absolute or a divine institution that need not recognize a superior authority. The government was in fact subordinate to certain purposes and principles, which those being governed could discern and judge for themselves if a divergence between the acts of government and rationally discoverable natural rights, required that the government be changed or abolished. Besides, being our natural right, it is also our heritage as declared in the foundational document of our republic, i.e. The Declaration of Independence: " That whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness." We, my reader and I, and all those who agree, are on solid ground; our cause is superior to theirs, supported on the rocks of truth and reason, thus we have an advantage over them.

Someone who speaks well but reasons badly might say, the people still hold a check on the enemy's government by way of elections. This feeble barrier is just that, feeble or ineffective. The facts are, elections have become an elaborate charade, forming an illusion of popular control, that the enemy relies on to appease their slaves. Representation is merely in name only. Elections have also become a way for our enemy to justify our enslavement, while not providing us with our remedy or justice, but instead, a false hope.

The enemy knows that public opinion is the predominant authority. The enemy's ownership of the mass [not mainstream!] media, undertakes to supply a multitude of ready-made opinions for the use of individuals, who are thus relieved from the necessity of forming opinions with their own mind. That is why it is common for men and women to speak as if they thought they were

uttering their own sentiments, while they are only echoing the general sound. The human mind is dressed in a prevailing fashion just as much as our bodies are, and with stuff as little in agreement to one's feelings, as a piece of woolen fabric is to the human skin. I'm sure you are familiar with the type of person I am referring to. Our misinformed countrymen are blinded by the enemy's use of its mass media, puffing themselves up with the enemy's point of view, not realizing their minds have been cunningly deceived in the direction the enemy wants them to think, thus becoming tools of the cabal.

Another aspect of the mass media that is used by the enemy to destroy us, is to promote licentious behavior and thought. For no people will tamely surrender their liberties, nor can any be easily subdued when knowledge is diffused and virtue preserved. On the contrary, when people are universally ignorant and debauched in their manners they become easier prey for enslavement. The fact is, the public's liberty will not survive long with the total extinction of morals. The overall objective of the mass media is to assimilate the manners and opinions of the People to that of our enemy's.

It is important to keep in mind our enemy's national government has declared war against liberty. How can a man any more hinder himself from being persuaded in his own mind, which way things are going? The government rules by means of cunning and make-believe while at the same time exerting more and more force on the People. We the People are being subjected to terrorism by the government. The government has erected its own interest separate from the governed, it has become independent of the People and is bent on enslaving them. Right now we are being subjected to arbitrary power, mainly inflation, but also onerous taxation, regulation and the licensing of our guaranteed rights, where the constitution has been relegated to no meaning or force. The enemy is in a peaceful conquest of us, the People, because we do not fight back with the necessary force.

With that thought in mind, there can be no claim for force, which is only to be used, where man is intercepted from appealing to the law. The courts are nothing more than a substitute for force. The banking cabal's corrupt influence has infected the judicial institutions too. They have become the protectors of the hirelings who oppress the People. More importantly, the courts are dishonest, they have an agenda, they are partial, they force the People to beg for leave to assert their guaranteed rights, which they have a tendency to block, lending credence that the rights our venerable forefathers paid for in blood, are merely dispensed at will. Anybody that has used the courts knows what I am relating here. The courts manifestly pervert justice by the barefaced contorting of the laws, to protect and indemnify the works of tyranny, thus committing violence and injury against the People, no matter how colored with the names, pretenses or forms of law. Moreover, the laws are purposely kept a mysterious science and a profitable trade, denying millions access to it. The People have sought remedy in the courts regarding the usurpations of the Bill of Rights and U.S. Constitution, only to be rebuked, leaving the People without a peaceful redress, thus obliging the People to humble their trustees with lawful force. Where peaceful revolution is denied, violent revolution is inevitable.

There is a maxim of the law of nature: right lies in force. A right is nothing more than an abstract thought proved by nothing. To make a right tangible, or to have meaning and substance, it must be backed by force, the threat of force and the willingness to use such force. The Peoples' liberty lies in the enforcement of their rights. Hence, rights, not backed by force, are only a fictitious idea and are not actual rights; and a People without rights are slaves.

The time for patiently suffering the abuses by our enemy's government is over, the time has come for action-its 1775 again. We must defend ourselves against our common enemy, putting aside our petty jealousies, our self-interest and with calm reasoning homogenize ourselves into a unified People, using intelligence to execute with force, the restoration of our liberties. It is nothing more than following the lesson the generation of

1775 bestowed upon us. Like our courageous forefathers, we must burry our discord and unite, to successfully deal with our common foe. We are purposely being set one against the other to prevent our unity, we must not allow this, we must have concord in order to prevail against our enemy, or else end up perpetually enslaved. We need to stop concentrating on our insatiable greed for materialism, which paralyzes our initiative and instead concentrate on our need for liberty, keeping in mind our posterity. Besides, riches and plenty are the natural fruits of liberty; and poverty and want under slavery.

We the People must become a united collective force of individuals. Once gathered, and armed, an assembled nation cannot receive orders from the tyrant nor his hirelings. The true majority, will thus speak directly to their trustees or Congress people, which at that point will have no choice but to comply, commanding them to abolish the banking cabal's federal reserve bank, which is the fountain head from which all our ills flow from. And an amended constitution will be ratified eliminating all ambiguities, decentralizing power by reverting back to a confederacy, with all objectives bent on eliminating unnecessary government power and increasing liberty. With just vengeance the guilty mortals will perish and God will behold their punishment with pleasure.

By no means is our task an easy one, but be assured it is anchored on the result of a mature and dignified taste for freedom, and not a vague or ill-defined craving for independence. Our cause has not contracted an alliance with the turbulent passions of anarchy; but its course is marked, on the contrary, by an attachment to whatever is lawful and orderly. Unfortunately the enemy has forced our hand; the Second Amendment grounds our remedy in lawful pretense. Nothing is a more formidable foe than tyrannical power dissembled and commanded in the name of the People. This erroneous notion that the government is acting on behalf of the public good must be eradicated, by educating the public to whose interest the levers of power are really being pulled for; such as is attempted herein.

I cannot help thinking that the People have grown accustomed to bondage, as to forget they were ever free. That we may tamely bow down our necks, with all the stupid serenity of servitude, which I picture in my mind as a smooth stagnant lake reflecting idleness, as compared to the outcry for freedom as the foaming torrent of swift moving rapids. If this be the Peoples' folly let them reflect on it, for if they do not reflect on their own folly, their posterity will surely be cursing them, for entailing upon them the chains of slavery.

I pray the People heed the reasoning I have put forth to then herein and in my other correspondences out there; to take seriously the danger the aristocracy of money has planned for us. For if the multitudes wait to act upon the feeling of oppression instead of their reasoning, it will be too late. Our cause will be relegated to ineffectual violence, that which is generally attended by the outrages of despair, leaving our cause in odium and horror. Hence, it is that common mistake when the People fail to reflect and reason, leading to unsuccessful popular insurrections, which in turn have always been succeeded by a general disposition favorable to tyranny. The banking aristocracy grows stronger daily at the expense of the People, thus, dear prudence, tells us, its wiser to act sooner rather than later.

I appeal to my country's conscience and common sense, and ask them, is this tame relinquishment of our rights worthy of freemen? We are being plundered by inflation. Are honest men and women to sit back and let themselves be slowly destroyed, without a fight? What creature on earth does not resort to force when backed into a corner? Truth and what is just, cannot be defeated unless we let it be defeated. Let us show our enemy that we have zeal in our bosom for the love of liberty, knowing this will be obnoxious to those who are in on the plan to enslave us. But remember my fellow countrymen, we will garner more respect from posterity, because it is better to have our liberties wrested from us by force, than to have it said that we implicitly surrendered them. I pray it is the resolution of the whole People of America

and our Canadian counterparts, when called, to defend their liberties at the peril of their lives. Fear is not an option.

Plato said: there is nothing so delightful, as hearing or speaking the truth. I hope my reader enjoyed this correspondence as much as I did writing it, but more importantly to take heed of the lessons, warnings and principles therein advanced.

Andrew, the enemy has a despotic tendency to steal my mail, therefore I will not know if this correspondence has reached you unless you write me back. I do not know if my correspondence made it to our friend in New Hampshire. I know it takes hardihood to post this document online, but I most humbly implore you to do so, getting it to everyone possible. Millions is preferable. In the end, it is your correspondence, so do with it what you deem best.

I feel a disposition to hint many more things, but I am afraid you will think me a troublesome correspondent, so I will end it here.

Take Good Care