UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CR-04-127-S-RCT

VS.

DAVID ROLAND HINKSON,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD C. TALLMAN
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT
SITTING BY DESIGNATION
(Sitting with a Jury)

Boise, Idaho January 19, 2005 (wed)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDINGS RE: TRIAL TO A JURY
(VOLUME 7, PAGES 1,471 THROUGH 1,722)

Prepared for:
WESLEY W. HOYT
ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported by:

Lori A. Pulsifer, CSR, RMR, CRR

QNA Court Reporting

E-mail: realtimeqna@msn.com Telephone: (208) 484-6309 QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1 2

INDEX OF EXAMINATION Witness Page Number DONALD ALM Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1574 Cross Examination by Mr. Sullivan . . . 1577 Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1579 DELBERT R. HOUSER Direct Examination by Mr. Nolan. 1581 ROY A. FARMER II Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1601 Cross Examination by Mr. Sullivan . . . 1611 Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1614 PAY HINGSON Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1616 Cross Bomination by Mr. Taxay 1634 ROLAND CECTL HINKSON Direct Ecmination by Mr. Hoyt 1640 Cross Examination by Mr. Sullivan . . . 1684 JOHN VINCENT HARDING Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1696

14
INDEX OF EXAMINATION
Witness Page Number
JO ANN HOUGER
Cross Desmination by Mr. Taxay 1484
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1498
JERRY D. SMITH, JR.
Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1500
Cross Bosmination by Mr. Sullivan 1520
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1534
Recross Examination by Mr. Sullivan 1536
QUIDN DAVID RAFF
Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1542
Cross Ecomination by Mr. Sullivan 1548
JANETTE LYNN DOISON
Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1552
Cross Examination by Mr. Taxay 1556
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1558
DEBBIE EILEEN MORLEY
Direct Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1560
Cross Bramination by Mr. Sullivan 1566
Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1572
•••

CNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1		1475
2		
3	INDEX OF RECEIVED EXHIBITS	
4	Exhibit Number Page Received	
5	Defendant's Exhibit No. A-1 1621	
6	Defendant's Exhibit No. A-7 1622	
7	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-1 1582	
8	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-3 1503	
9	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-9 1584	
10	Defendant's Edubit No. C-11 1516	
11	Defendant's Edubit No. C-12 1586	
12	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-20 1512	
13	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-24 1502	
14	Defendant's Exhibit No. C-25 1502	
15	•••	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Cross Ecomination by Mr. Sullivan . . . 1703

Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1707 Recross Examination by Mr. Sullivan . . 1708

Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Hoyt 1709

3

s

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 11

25

1 2 3

10 11

16 17 18

24

25

(Wheretpon, the following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury:) THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, thank you. I did

receive the Borner case last night. I reviewed it this morning.

Quite frankly, it confirms what my understanding of the law is with regard to the generosity evidence.

Judge Woods' opinion, for the Seventh Circuit, in that case, cites to the general rule -- and I am referring to 302 F.3d at 781 -- that general evidence of the defendant's character is inadmissible in criminal cases unless the prosecution is trying to counter evidence where the defendant has introduced evidence aimed at portraying his own character in a positive light, which is not the situation we have here where the Government introduced evidence to establish motive and bias on the part of the immate witnesses; that their testimony was, essentially, paid.

So I am going to re-affirm my ruling of yesterday that the character evidence is inadmissible.

For the record, the defendant seeks to introduce at trial character evidence of defendant's generosity towards his employees to refute testimony elicited by the Government regarding defendant's offers

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1478

render truthful testimony. However, the connection is teniois.

Hinkson's own alleged disinterested intent behind any offers of employment or legal aid to his cellmates does not demonstrate that the witnesses themselves felt that they could testify to facts that might not be in their new benefactor's best interests.

On the other side of the balancing equation, the question of whether defendant's transactions with his WaterOz employees over the past ten years should be considered generous is a complicated issue and well beyond the scope of this trial.

The court heard substantial evidence of this nature while presiding over the defendant's tax case, United States vs. Hinkson, 02-CR-0142-C-RCT.

Defendant Hinkson was, there, found guilty of structuring transactions and failing to collect and pay federal employment withholding taxes.

The underlying conduct at the tax case trial showed that Mr. Hinkson had regularly engaged in transactions designed to avoid a paper trial for himself and WaterOz.

He also encouraged employees to use only their first names, did not collect W-2 forms from them, paid them in cash or by silver coin, and had a strong motive

or gifts of money or other things of value to cellmates who testified on his behalf yesterday.

The Government sought to impeach those cellmate witnesses on the grounds that they were offered money, jobs, legal help, and other things of value to purchase their favorable testimony on the defendant's behalf.

The defendant now seeks to introduce testimony of his own character by bringing in employees of his company, WaterOz, to testify as to his generosity. The court holds that allowing WaterOz employees to come in to testify on this sole matter would be confusing to the jury and a waste of time.

I have considered the balancing act under Federal Rule of Evidence 403, and I rule it inadmissible. I have in mind, particularly, United States vs. Ramirez, R-a-m-i-r-e-z, Robles, that's hyphen, R-o-b-1-e-s, at 368 F.3d 1243 and 1246, which is a 2004 Nirth Circuit coinion noting that Rule 403 requires the court to balance the probative value of evidence against its potential impact on the jury.

Initially, the court finds that the probative value of this evidence to the defendant is questionable. At best, the proffered evidence might tend to show that defendant's jail cellmates were more or less likely to

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1479

1477

to keep them happy so they would not turn State's evidence against him by reporting him or cooperating with IRS investigators.

Therefore, the fact that the defendant may have given free business products or things of value to other employees or their families and friends could be pure generosity but could, just as reasonably, be considered yet another form of disguised compensation or hush money to ensure their continued loyalty.

The tax court jury, by its verdict, necessarily rejected defendant's claim to beneficent motive underlying his generosity.

Furthermore, if the court allowed evidence from WaterOz employees regarding defendant's generosity, then the Government would have to be allowed to introduce evidence that Mr. Hinkson may have had other motivations behind his gifts to his employees.

We would then be relitigating the tax case before this jury. The court finds that the amount of evidence that would be required to determine defendant's intent behind his, quote, unquote, "gifts" would entail a substantial amount of time and would require expanding the scope of the evidence and testimony into tangential areas that would confuse and mislead this jury on what should be their central focus, the evidence establishing or not establishing the elements of the solicitation and threats charges.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the net effect would be to relitigate a substantial portion of the tax case for no other purpose than to show that the defendant may have acted in a generous manner towards his cellmates who may be testifying only because of their regard for the defendant and not as a result of any alleged gifts.

As such, the court holds that, given its nominal probative value, the value of the proffered WaterOz employee testimony as to defendant's generosity is substantially outweighed by the certain confusion to this jury and the waste of time the admission of such evidence would engender.

It is, therefore, ruled inadmissible under Rule 403.

The next issue I want to turn to is with regard to the Daubert hearing. I do think that we should conduct a hearing. The defendant seeks to introduce at trial the expert testimony of Dr. Jerry D. Doke, the psychologist who has recently evaluated Mr. Hirkson.

The court must conduct a two-step inquiry to determine whether scientific testimony is admissible. First, the court must determine whether the reasoning or

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1482

He may have to come back next week if you're not quite there. If we are at that point by the end of the week, I think we are moving along all right.

MR. HOYT: And if I understand the nature of the hearing correctly, it's an evidentiary hearing and Dr. Doke should be here?

THE COURT: Yes. Absolutely. I need to hear from Dr. Doke.

I will leave it up to the Government, after they have looked at Finley, if they want to call Dr. Engle, which the Ninth Circuit said was an option of the other side to aid the court in the Daubert hearing.

MR. NCIAN: I think Dr. Doke is available Friday afternoon. I am having my office work on that.

THE COURT: We will move testimony around if he is not available. We could do it Monday morning at 8:00 o'clock and have the jury come in at 10:00, if that works out. I will be flexible with regard to the scheduling.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Is there anything else we need to take up before we bring in the jury?

All right. Let's bring in the jury.

MR. HDYT: If I could make one quick call to have Dr. Doke contacted and notified about 3:00 o'clock?

methodology underlying the testimeny is scientifically valid; and, second, the court must ensure that the proposed expert testimeny is relevant and will serve to aid the trier of fact.

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The best case I can find to guide us in that hearing is United States vs. Finley, F-i-n-l-e-y, 301, F.3d 1000, 1008. It's a Ninth Circuit 2002 decision.

Having considered the defendant's proffer and the Government's opposition, the court has determined that a Daubert hearing is necessary to address the issue of the validity of Dr. Doke's methodology and reasoning and to determine specifically what opinions the defense will seek to elicit before the jury from Dr. Doke.

Let me ank you, as a matter of scheduling, when would be the most convenient time to do this? When are we likely to get to Dr. Doke?

MR. HOWT: Your Honor, thank you. It's possible that we could get to him as early as Friday afternoon -- it just depends upon how the evidence comes in -- or possibly early next week.

THE COURT: Why don't we do this then? Why don't we schedule the Daubert hearing, let's say, for 3:00 o'clock on Friday afternoon? I will excuse the jury a little early for the weekend. That will give us time to do that.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1483

THE COURT: That would be fine.

MR. NCLAN: By the way, the record should reflect that I have received copies of all of the writings of Mr. Nicolai from the Government.

THE COURT: Very well. These would be the writings that he was testifying about that he said were handwriting exemplars that the FBI requested?

MR. NCLAN: Right, and any other writings that he gave to the Government, as well. They are not marked; but it's Exhibit 9, 10, 11, and 12 plus the back pages and plus some other scribbles of notes.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's correct.

 $\mbox{MR. NZLAN:}\;\;\mbox{I just want the record to reflect}$ we did get that.

THE COURT: I appreciate your doing that so we have a complete record.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: And I do have them.

18 THE COURT: Bring in the jury.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: I believe we still have Ms. Houger; is that correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. I believe we are on the Government's -- I believe we are starting the Government's cross-examination.

1484 THE COURT: Cross-examination, yes. Ms. Houger, if you would, step forward and resume your place on the witness stand. JO ANN HOUGER, having been called, previously sworm, testified as follows: THE COURT: Good morning. I remind you that 8 you are still under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 10 11 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Taxay. MR. TAXAY: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. TAXAY: 15 Good morning, Ms. Houger. 16 Q. 17 Good morning. 18 Q. You work for WaterOz? 19 A. 20 Q. And you have been there since the year 2000? Yes. December 2000 so --21 And you earn a salary from WaterOz? 22 23 24 And have since December of 2000? Q. 25 A. Yes. QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	that you	went to college for a period of time?	1486
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	That was, I think you said, one year; is that	
4	right?		
5	A.	Yes.	
6	Q.	You don't have any specialized training in	
7	science,	do you?	
В	A.	No.	
9	Q.	No medical training?	
10	A.	No.	
11	Q.	Now, WaterOz, as I understand it, is a company	
12	that sell	s a range of products; isn't that correct?	
13	A.	Yes, sir.	
14	Q.	So mineral tablets and things like that?	
15	A.	No, sir. Mineral waters.	
16	Q.	Mineral waters?	
17	A.	Uh-lath.	
18	Q.	And certain equipment; is that right? You	
19	sell cert	ain equipment?	
20	A.	Yes.	
21	Q.	Ozone generator?	
22	A.	Air and water purifier, yes.	
23	Q.	Ism't it called the ozone generator?	
24	A.	Not now it is not. It's an air and water	
25	parifier.	,	

- 1			1 405
1	Q.	Your husband, is that Mr. Del Houger?	1485
2	A.	Yes, sir.	
3	Q.	And he also works for WaterOz?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Since the same period of time, 2000?	
6	A.	No, sir.	
7	Q.	Since when, ma'am?	
В	A.	Late 2003.	
9	Q.	And he still works at WaterOz?	
10	A.	Yes.	
11	Q.	And he, too, earns a salary from WaterOz?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	Your husband is very good friends with Greg	
14	Towerton;	isn't that right?	
15	A.	Yes, sir.	
16	Q.	Now, you are in the sales department at	
17	WaterOz?		
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Q.	And you are the head of the sales department?	
20	A.	Yes, as of November 2004.	
21	Q.	In that job, you sell WaterOz products?	
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	And you talk to customers?	
24	A.	Yes, sir.	
25	Q.	I think you testified on direct examination	

1	Q.	When was that name changed?	1487
2	Α.	I believe, just a year or two ago.	
3	Q.	And the company also sells a body suit?	
4	A.	No, sir, not any longer.	
5	Q.	It used to sell a body suit?	
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	That was called the czone body suit?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	And when did the company stop selling the	
10	ozone bod	y suit?	
11	A.	About a year or two, also. A year or two ago.	
12	Q.	Now, on your direct examination, counsel	
13	showed yo	u a catalog of products for WaterOz. That	
14	catalog t	hat was introduced includes the ozone generator	
LS	and the c	zone body suit; isn't that right?	
16	A.	Yes, sir.	
17	Q.	That's Exhibit No. E-1, the catalog?	
18	A.	I believe that was a catalog, yes.	
19	Q.	I believe you have a copy of the exhibits up	
20	there. I	f you could, just confirm.	
21		THE COURT: We will hand her the exhibit	
22	notebook.		
23		THE COURTROOM CLERK: Which book was it?	
24		THE COURT: Volume 1, Exhibit 9. I'm sorry.	
25	I misspok	e. It's Edubit E	

MR. TAXAY: E-1, Your Honor.

THE COURT: E-1.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I have it here.

BY MR. TAXAY:

Q. If you would, take a look at pages 24 and 25 of the catalog, Bates stamped number 66 and 67. That might help to focus you.

THE COURT: Ms. Houger, the Bates stamp numbers are the numbers stamped on the left-hand margins of the pages. That is what he is talking about, on the left-hand side.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: You are welcome.

BY MR. TAXAY:

Q. These are the products that we were just talking about. The ozone generator and the ozone body suit are included in this Exhibit E-1, which is the WaterOz retail/wholesale catalog; isn't that right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. These products were being sold while you were the sales manager; inn't that right?

A. No. While I was just in the sales department as a clerk.

Q. I understand. And the ozone body suit was advertised as curing things such as lymph-threatening

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

protocols, the testimonials. They moved for its admission, and it's part of this case because they have made it part of this case.

Now, the Government's view of this is they have also chosen to put on a witness who promoted all of these materials to the public. So it bears on, frankly, her willingness to be clear and tell the truth.

THE COURT: The court has the same concern that defense counsel has expressed, particularly in light of the ruling that I just made.

These exhibits were introduced without objection yesterday by the United States. I will allow you to establish the fact that you just made, in order to show that this witness may have a bias with regard to testifying on behalf of Mr. Hinkson, to the extent that she may be concerned about the fact that her assistance in selling these products might have rendered her potentially liable and that is the reason she is here today, to try to exculpate herself. I want it very limited.

MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, this is an option: If they were to withdraw the exhibits, they wouldn't be part of this case.

THE COURT: That would solve the problem.

MR. HOYT: We could redact those portions.

A. We reported to customers that we had feedback from other customers who had had more than satisfactory

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, may we approach?
THE COURT: Yes.

gangrene?

results in that area.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. HOYT: Just as the court has been concerned about relitigating the tax case, it sounds like coursel is trying to get into an area that may involve relitigating the tax case.

The catalog was provided because it was one seized by the Government. It was contemporaneous at the time.

It shows a range of products sold by WaterOz, although we freely admit that the ozone body suit is not an item that is being sold now.

The issue of whether or not they are selling products that are curataive of human disease, which was charged and part of the tax case, was resolved in that case. And unless we want to get into relitigating that whole area again, I think this is a problematic area.

MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, counsel chose to put all of this evidence in -- the catalog, the recommended

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. TAXAY: Ckay. That's fine. We will go forward as is.

THE COURT: But bear in mind that I want to keep this very limited because I do not want to re-open the entire FDA case.

MR. NCLAN: We have some people who have been really saved by this that are willing to walk in and tell us about it.

THE COURT: I understand that, but what that has to do with solicitation and threats is absolutely beyond the court.

MR. NCLAN: I was just adding scrething.

MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, so I can have a clear sense of the permissible scope here, there is another document, E-2, I believe it is, which are the protocols. It refers to a whole host of diseases, AIDS and other scots of diseases, lupus, you name it.

Will Your Honor give me some leeway to highlight that?

THE COURT: I will certainly allow you to establish the fact that those representations are made, but that is as far as I want to go into it.

MR. TAXAY: Okay, Your Honor. I understand.
THE COURT: Very well. That is my ruling.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1492 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) BY MR. TAXAY: Ms. Houger, just to finish up that point we were talking about with respect to the body suit, you had just said that certain people had said that they had positive results with the body suit? Yes, sir. This catalog that you authenticated doesn't say that? I mean, it says something different about the 11 body suit? 12 I will read it to you. "The benefits of using 13 the ozone suit with an ozone generator have been seen in cases of simple muscle fatigue to severe infections such 14 15 as lymph-threatening gangrene; " correct? Yes, sir. 16 17 This was the catalog that you would give to 18 people; correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Exhibit E-2 -- if you would, turn the page to what is Bates stamped 72. This is a document that is 21 titled "Recommended Protocols." You also authenticated 22 23 this exhibit on direct examination? Yes, sir. 25 This, too, is a document that you gave to

1	Q.	Carpal turnel syndrome?	1494
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Lugus?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Epilepsy?	
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	Epstein-Barr Virus?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Gulf War Syndrome?	
10	A.	Yes.	
11	Q.	Claucoma?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	Gangrene?	
14	A.	Yea.	
15	Q.	It's fair to say, isn't it, that I have just	
16	read a po	rtion of the diseases that WaterOz claimed	
17	that you	claimed would be assisted with would be	i
18	helped by	WaterOz products; right?	
19	A.	Yes. Just a small portion, yes.	
20	ō.	Now, you were unaware that to your	
21	knowledge	e, no medical testing was done on these products	
22	to verify	that, in fact, they helped with these	
23	diseases?		
24	A.	Correct, except for my personal experience.	
25	Q.	I am referring to medical testing.	

```
1493
    customers; correct?
        A.
               Yes, sir.
              And it identifies a range of very serious
    diseases that WaterOz products help to cure; correct?
               The word "cure" was never allowed to be used
5
    on the phone or in conversation with any customers.
б
7
               These recommended protocols, they were given
    to customers; correct?
               Yes.
         A.
               And there was discussion about how WaterOz
10
11
    products would help these conditions: correct?
               Yes. How they would assist, yes.
12
               And this was told to customers; correct?
12
         ο.
14
         A.
               Yes.
15
               And the diseases -- I won't go through all of
     them, but they include AIDS?
17
         A.
               Yes.
               Shingles?
18
         ο.
               Yes.
19
         A.
               Appendicitis?
20
         Q.
21
         A.
               Yes.
22
               Parkinson's Disease?
23
               Yes.
               Cancer?
24
         ο.
25
         A.
               Yes.
                QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309
```

```
1495
         A.
 1
 2
         ο.
              To your knowledge, there was no medical
    testing?
 3
              No, sir.
         A.
5
              Now, you testified on direct examination about
    your meeting J. C.; correct?
               Yes, sir.
               You talked about how he came into the office
     and you met him at that point?
10
              Yes, sir.
         A.
              And I will try to quote you, but I may miss a
11
12
     word or two. I think you said something pretty close to
    J.C. comes in, he introduces himself, and he says, "I'm
13
    here to get rid of David, and I'll be running this place
15
     scan*?
16
               Yes, that's the general -- yeah.
17
               You essentially responded, "Well, you have to
         Q.
     take on us girls first; right?
18
19
         A.
20
               So I assume that there was a bunch of women in
    the room at that point in time?
21
22
              Actually, I believe there was only one other
     woman in the office; but I was speaking in general of
23
24
     all of the ladies that work there, upstairs and
25
     downstairs.
```

1	Q.	J. C. is a pretty big guy?	1496
2	A.	I guess so.	
3	Q.	He looks pretty strong, to you?	
4	A.	Yeah.	
5	Q.	So you were joking with him?	
6	A.	Half-heartedly, yes.	
7	Q.	Now, you mentioned this Mr. Hilder?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Anthony Hilder, is that his name?	
10	A.	Yes.	
11	Q.	And he was there at WaterOz working on a	
12	document	ary, wasn't he?	
13	A.	Yes, a documentary or an info-mercial. I	
14	wasn't c	lear. I heard both.	
15	Q.	And you said that he was there with	
16	Mr. Hink	son; correct?	
17	A.	He was there with Mr. Harding or J. C. Steel.	
16	Q.	Mr. Hinkson knew he was there; right?	
19	A.	Yes.	
20	Ω.	And he was there with Mr. Hinkson's	
21	permissi	cn?	
22	A.	I believe so.	
23	Q.	You have no reason to believe well, okay.	
24	He had a	camera out?	
25	A.	Yes, a video camera.	
		CND CYCLET PERCEPTING (208) 484-6309	

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	Α.	Correct.	1498
2		You mentioned Mr. Swisher. You had seen him	
_	Q.		
3		ite several times; right?	
4	A.	Yes, sir.	
5	Q.	In fact, you saw him on the site before what	
6	we will d	call "the Bellon situation" with Mr. Hinkson?	
7	A.	Yes.	
В	Q.	You saw him with Mr. Hinkson; right?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10		MR. TAXAY: One moment, Your Honor.	
11		THE COURT: Sure.	
12		MR. TAXAY: Pass the witness, Your Honor.	
13		THE COURT: Very well.	
14		Mr. Hoyt?	
15		MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
16			
17	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. H	DYT:	
19	Q.	Good morning, Ms. Houger.	
20	A.	Good marning.	
21	Q.	This Mr. Hilder who came to WaterOz, do you	
22	know if I	he was escorted off of the property?	
23	A.	I'm not cure.	
24	Q.	And how about Mr. Bellon? Do you know what	
25	the term	s of the court order were, related to his	

			149
1	Q.	And he was walking around, taking pictures?	149
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	And he wasn't doing so surreptitiously?	
4	A.	No, he wasn't disguising what he was doing.	
5	Q.	Wide out, in the open?	
6	A.	Right.	
7	Q.	So it was your sense at that time that it was	
8	scnething	good for WaterOz, right, that he was there	
9	taking th	ese pictures?	
10	A.	No.	
11	Q.	You had no belief that Mr. Hinkson didn't want	
12	Mr. Hilde	er there at that time; imn't that true?	
13	A.	Correct.	
14	Q.	You spoke a bit about Mr. Bellon and the	
15	incident	where he was at the plant for a week or so?	
16	A.	Yes, sir.	
17	Q.	He had a court order authorizing him to come	
18	on the pl	ant; right?	
19	A.	Yes.	
20	Q.	Now, the dispute that Mr. Bellon had with	
21	Mr. Hinks	son, you don't have personal knowledge of the	
22	interacti	ons of that lawsuit; correct?	
23	A.	No. I mean, yes. Correct.	
24	Q.	You don't have personal knowledge of their	
25	legal dis	pute; correct?	

```
1499
    takeover?
              No, I do not.
        A.
              Did you appear in court and testify regarding
    that matter?
              MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the
    scope.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
    BY MR. HOYT:
              Do you know if that order was reversed by the
        Q.
10
    court?
11
              Yes.
              And what happened?
12
13
              MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of
    foundation.
14
15
              THE COURT: Sustained.
              MR. HOYT: No further questions.
16
              THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Taxay?
17
              MR. TAXAY: No, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Very well. May the witness be
19
20
    excused?
21
              MR. TAXAY: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Ms. Houger, you may step down.
22
23
    You are excused.
              Call your next witness.
24
              MR. HDYT: The defense would call Mr. Jerry
25
```

1500 Smith. THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Smith, would you step forward and be sworn, please? JERRY D. SMITH, JR., having been called, sworn, testified as follows: THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take the stand. If you would, state your name and spell your 9 last name for the record, please. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Jerry D. Smith, Jr., S-m-i-t-h. 12 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. HOYT: Good morning, Mr. Smith. 16 ο. Good morning. 17 A. Mr. Smith, where do you live? 18 ο. Grangeville, Idaho. 19 A. 20 Q. And by whom are you employed? 21 A. WaterOz. 22 And I would like to show you what has

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

That is warehouse number one, the shipping

previously been marked as Exhibit 25 and ask if you can

identify that building for me, please.

23

24

25

1502 department? 1 2 A. Five years. 3 And I would like to show you --THE COURT: Counsel, since you have already shown those to the jury, is there any objection to their admissibility from the Government? MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I would like the court to take it under advisement, admitting them, until 8 we see what relevance they may have. 9 10 THE COURT: Subject to a relevancy objection? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: With that stipulation, they will 12 13 be admitted for that limited purpose. (Whoreupon, Defendant's Exhibit Nos. C-24 and 14 C-25 were conditionally received in evidence.) 15 MR. HOYT: May I proceed with Exhibit C-3? 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. My ruling was with regard to C-24 and C-25. 18 MR. HOYT: Should I have the witness identify 19 it first, before we show the jury? 20 21 THE COURT: I prefer to do it that way, 22 counsel. Thank you. 23 BY MR. HOYT: 24 Very good. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit C-3. You will see it on your monitor 25

department, entrance to the shipping department. MR. HOYT: Exhibit C-25, Your Honor, is not coming up on counsel's monitor. Might we have a minute? 3 THE COURT: I don't have it on mine either. MR. HDYT: It's coming up on some of the monitors. 7 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Is yours turned on? THE COURT: Yes, mine is on now. MR. TAXAY: Ours is working now. THE COURT: I think we're all live, Mr. Hoyt. 10 BY MR. HOYT: 11 12 Very well. You have identified C-25 as a ο. photograph of warehouse number one of WaterOz? Yes, sir. And can you identify C-24? 16 That's the parking lot. A. And does that, also, give you another view of the same building? 18 19 A. No. It doesn't show the -- it shows the rest of the warehouse, the empty bay, and then the very end Q. All right. And then what is your job at WaterOz? I'm the manager of shipping. A. Q. And how long have you worked in the shipping

13

14

15

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there?

1501

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1503 there. What does Exhibit C-3 depict, Mr. Smith? That's warehouse number two and storage. A. And is that something that is within the scope of your shipping department? A. Q. Let me show you Exhibit C-2. And what is that? That's the rack where we pull our mineral A. water off to ship it out. And does Exhibit C-2 depict an area that is within the scope of your shipping department? Yes. A. MR. HOYT: I would move the admission of C-2 and ask for the right to show it to the jury. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SULLIVAN: Same objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I will admit it for limited purposes now, and it may be shown to the jury to illustrate Mr. Smith's testimony. Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit Nos. C-3 was conditionally received in evidence.) BY MR. HOYT: Thank you. All right. Referring to Exhibit C-2, Mr. Smith, can you tell the jury what is depicted

21

22 23 24

25

2

5

3

10 11 12

13

9

14 15 16

18 19 20

17

25

WaterOz? A. Yes. sir.

ο.

And as a part of your job responsibilities at WaterOz, do you have anybody working under you?

mineral water for later shipment.

A. I have one person working under me.

And when orders come into the factory, who handles the loading of the materials into boxes and shipping out?

Water on a rack that -- just mineral waters

And behind it? What are the objects behind?

That's where we store our major portions of

And is this the area where you work in

Mostly me. I mostly put them in the box, and then I have somebody else run it on the computer and ship them cut.

Now, Mr. Smith, how long is it you have worked at WaterOz?

A. Almost seven years. I have worked in shipping for five.

٥. And during that time period, have you gotten to know Mr. David Hinkson?

Yes. I have.

And is he somebody that you had some regular ο.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

He did not like Dennis Albers. He highly did not like him. Ammette Haseloff (phonetic) or Haselone or whatever her name is, he really did not like her. He just said that she was a problem and kind of a thorn in his back, in his side. They just had major problems. I never heard him say anything more than -- too much more than that, except he didn't really --

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. He is volunteering information not in response.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Mr. Smith, if you would, confine your answer just to the question that Mr. Hoyt asks you and then let him ask you another question.

BY MR. HOYT:

Where, physically, in the plant, were you when you observed these comments?

A. Shipping.

And what was the type of occasion that would occur that he would make these comments to you in shipping?

A. A couple times when he got back from court.

Did Mr. Hinkson ever tell you that he wanted to have Mr. Albers killed?

contact with over the last seven years?

A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

18

25

7

9

10

15

18

21

22

23

24

And during that seven-year period, have you o. observed Mr. Hinkson make comments about parties -strike that. Let me ask this first.

Mr. Smith, are you aware that Mr. Hinkson has been involved in various legal matters over that seven-year period?

Yes, I have. A.

And does Mr. Hinkson have -- have you noticed that he has had some strongly-held opinions about the parties that he has been involved with in litigation?

14 And during that time period, have you had an 15 opportunity to observe Mr. Hinkson make comments 16 regarding people he has been involved with in 17 litigation?

A.

19 ٥. Would you please tell the jury what you have 20 heard from Mr. Hinkson regarding strong comments 21 concerning various people that he's involved with in litigation? 22

23 A. He did not like -- do you want me to name certain people? 24

> Q. Sure. Absolutely.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Did he ever -- did he ever invoke the name of 2 Deity and ask that God should dispose of them? 3 A.

> Q. Tell the jury what you know about that.

5 At one time, it was said that God should take care of people like that.

How about the people that are involved in the tax investigation of Mr. Hinkson? Are you aware of those people?

A.

11 Q. Does the name Namey Cook mean anything to you?

12 Yes A.

13 Does the name Steven Hines mean anything to 14 VOL1?

A.

16 Does the name Judge Lodge mean anything to ο. 17 VOL17

A. No

19 Q. In connection with the name Namcy Cook, did you ever hear him make strong comments regarding her? 20

A.

Q. And what did you hear him say?

That God should take care of people like that.

Q. Did he ever say to you he wanted to have Nancy Cook killed?

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

25

1	Q.	Mostly FBI that you could see?	1510
2	A.	Yeah.	
3	Q.	And what area of the WaterOz factory and home	
4	could you	see from where you were?	
5	A.	I could see all of the back of WaterOz and	
6	it's kind	of a front view of the looking at the side	
7	of the fro	ont of the house and the whole back view of the	
8	house.		
9	Ω.	And did you observe any FBI agents engaged in	
10	any activ	ity?	
11	A.	Yes, I did.	
12	Q.	What did you observe?	
13	A.	I observed people jumping on our trampoline.	
14	We bave a	trampoline in front, by the house. We	
15	observed	them playing football and taking pictures of	
16	each othe	r kneeled down in a row of about eight or nine	
17	people.		
18	Q.	What were the people wearing that were jumping	
19	on the tr	ampoline?	
20	A.	They were FBI.	
21	Q.	What were the people wearing that were playing	
22	football?		
23	A.	FBI.	
24	δ.	And the people that were taking pictures?	
25	A.	They were IRS and FBI.	
			

Approximately 1,200 yards. THE COURT: Counsel, you might have Mr. Smith 3 explain to the jury what a spotting scope is. BY MR. HOYT: ٥. Please, Mr. Smith, could you tell the jury what a spotting scope is? It's something I use for looking at deer. finding homs. It's a high magnification scope that sets on the ground on a tripod that I use just for everyday glassing. 10 11 And do you know how far it was from the point 12 where you were at to the WaterOz factory? 13 Right at 1,200 yards. And what kind of detail did the spotting scope 14 15 you have allow you to see? 16 Very, very well. It's a state-of-the-art spotting scope. I can see everything. 17 Did you observe that there were people at the 18 WaterOz factory? 19 20 A. 21 And did they have any identifiers on their 22 persons? 23 They had jackets on. Some had "FBI" on the back. I think there was IRS ones there. I know there 24 was the IRS there. Mostly FBI. 25

1509

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1511 And did you, also, observe them engaging in any other activity? 3 Coming in and out of the house quite often, front and back of the house. And let me -- were they carrying anything when they were coming in or going out of the house? They carried out some boxes. Carried boxes out of Mr. Hinkson's house? 0. Yes, sir. Let me first show you -- I won't display this 10 to the jury -- Exhibit C-20. Do you see Exhibit C-20 on 11 12 your monitor? 13 A. Yes. I do. 14 Can you identify that for us, please? 15 That is the back of Mr. Hinkson's house. And could you see into this area from where 16 ο. you were spotting? 17 18 A. Could you, actually, see right up to the door 19 ο. which is depicted in C-20? 20 21 A. 22 What is it that you could see from where you 23 I could see them walking out, past the 24 corners.

1512 THE COURT: Counsel, since the jury can't see 1 it yet, it might be helpful if you want to move the 3 admission. MR. HDYT: Thank you, Your Honor. I will now 5 move the admission of Exhibit C-20. б THE COURT: Any objection? 7 MR. SULLIVAN: Same objection, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. It is admitted. (Whorsupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. C-20 was 10 received in evidence.) MR. HOYT: May I display it to the jury? 11 12 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. HOYT: 13 14 And you indicated that you could see them not 15 going in and out of the door, but you could see them at the corners of the house? 16 17 Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, I am having the lights 19 turned down. Do we have a pointer or something so that 20 we can show the jury where that door is? That is 21 awfully hard to see on the screen. 22 MR. HDYT: Does this light up as a pointer? THE COURT: Do we have scrething like that? 23 24 THE COURTROOM CLERK: It will work on the monitor.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

ſ		1514
1	A. Yes, I was.	1514
2	Q. And at that time, did you observe a	
3	communication between Mr. Lornie Birmingham and Mr. Joe	
4	Swisher in the presence of a group of people?	
5	A. Yes, I did.	
6	Q. And where did that occur?	
7	A. At our lunch table, where we have our	
В	meetings.	
و	Q. Let me just see if I can quickly put up an	
10	exhibit that would show that possibly show that area.	i
11	I am putting on the screen what has been marked as	
12	Exhibit C-11. It's not published to the jury at this	
13	time. Can you identify that for us, please, Mr. Smith?	
14	A. That's our lunch tables and, also, where we	
15	have our meetings when they call meetings.	
16	Q. At the WaterOz factory?	
17	A. Yes, sir.	
18	MR. HOYT: And at this time we would move the	
19	admission of Exhibit C-11 and move to publish to the	
20	jury.	
21	MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I would like a	
22	date.	
23	THE COURT: Yes. If you could establish	
24	with regard to the currency of the photograph,	
25	Mr. Sullivan?	

```
1513
               THE COURT: What does he point it to?
 2
               THE COURTROOM CLERK: Well, he has to guess;
     that's the hard part.
               THE COURT: It was so much easier with pointer
     sticks and butcher paper.
     BY MR. HOYT:
               Is that the door we are referring to?
     Actually, it leaves some green squares by that --
               THE COURT: Mr. Smith, is that the door that
10
     you were talking about a moment ago?
11
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12
     BY MR. HOYT:
13
         O.
              All right. We have got a little green dot.
14
     Is that the door you were talking about a few moments
15
     ago?
16
         A.
              Yes, sir.
17
              Very good. Now, do you know what was
18
     contained in the boxen that you naw being carried out of
19
     Mr. Hinkson's home?
20
              No, I do not.
              Do you know where those boxes were taken?
21
22
              No, I do not.
23
              Now, Mr. Smith, were you also working at
    WaterOz in the factory when the Bellon takeover
24
```

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

25

25

occurred?

1515 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, and when he claims he observed or heard this conversation, as well. THE COURT: Let's do both, Mr. Hoyt. 3 BY MR. HOYT: We will do that. Mr. Smith, do you recall when the Bellon takeover occurred? It was on a Thursday. A. And do you recall which month it was in? ο. No, I do not. Is there anything that would refresh your 10 Q. recollection? 11 I don't remember -- I don't remember the exact 12 month that it was taken over. It was around -- I was 13 thinking it was before Christmas of last year. 14 2003? ο. 15 Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Last year? 2004? 17 BY MR. HOYT: 18 20047 ٥. 19 A. 20 Just a month ago? ο. 21 No. You are right; it would be 2003. I don't 22 remember exactly -- the exact day when Rich Bellon came 23 in. I thought it was around one of the holidays. 24 Approximately how many days was Mr. Bellon in

control of the factory?

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. If I remember right, about ten.

Q. And do you remember that there was a court suit going on about that?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And at the conclusion of that court action, Mr. Bellon left the factory?

A. Yen.

Q. And was this the only time Mr. Bellon came in and took control of the factory?

A. As far as I know, yes.

Q. Now, let's take a look at Echibit C-11. Does it fairly and accurately represent and depict the area of the lunch room and where you hold your meetings?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: At that time?

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. At that time, back in December of '03?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibit C-11 is admitted and may be published.

(Whereupon, Exhibit No. C-11 was received in evidence.)

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

of a collateral issue, I think, is in violation of Rule 608 (b).

MR. NCIAN: The entire crux of our case, from my point of view, is that this is a valuable asset that they felt was not being properly managed by a very weak and incompetent person, my client; that they saw this as an opportunity to take advantage of that financial opportunity; that when they were unable to take advantage of that financial opportunity, they became witnesses against him in the prosecution.

And when they testified, they tried to claim no interest or no involvement, together, as a group, as a four-person conglomerate, so to speak, at that takeover.

I think the takeover is very important because Swinher tried to say, basically, "I didn't have any interest," et cetera.

It is not collateral. It is key to why this case is -- in my opinion, why this case is here and why these people are testifying.

I think that it was actually demonstrated far more yesterday in the witnesses that were in the jail, to demonstrate their taking advantage of a weaker person. That's a side issue. I didn't mean to get into that. I see this as a very important aspect of it.

Q. Is that visible? All right. Now, Mr. Smith, why were you in the lunch room area of WaterOz when you overheard this communication?

A. Rich Bellon had called a meeting for the people that had planned on staying at WaterOz.

BY MR. HOYT:

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you indicated that you overheard a communication between Lornie Birmingham and Joe Swisher. Would you please tell the court and the jury what you heard?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I would like a sidebar. I may have an objection at this point.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object to going further with this if the conversation that is about to be testified to is in the form of impeachment of Birmingham and Swisher on what I consider to be a very collateral matter, their takeover of the plant, their support of Bellon, their side in the legal dispute.

I think this is a collateral issue that has already been inquired to. The jury very well knows about the factions that existed and the possible biases that could flow from that.

Going on with this, with impeachment evidence

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, it's still a collateral issue that has been inquired into; and this is rehashing an area that has been replowed a number of times.

THE COURT: Under Rule 608 (b), the general rule is that evidence as to collateral items of impeaciment is normally not admissible because of confusion and a waste of time.

However, the court does have discretion if it finds that the incident may be probative of truthfulness or untruthfulness.

In this case, it is being offered with regard to whether Mr. Bellon and Mr. Swisher and others may have colluded together to conspire to testify against Mr. Hinkson.

On that basis, I am going to permit the defense to elicit whatever it was Mr. Bellon said. The objection is overruled.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were hold in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Coursel may proceed.

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Thank you. Mr. Smith, what did you observe and what did you hear?

518

1519

1520 I heard Lornie Birmingham tell Joe Swisher that -- the exact words I remember were, "The takeover only took a year, and I think it will be worth it." MR. HDYT: No further questions. THE COURT: Recross -- or, I guess, cross-examination? 7 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: 10 Good morning, Mr. Smith. 11 Good morning. I'm afraid I didn't hear you very well in your 12 13 last answer. You have a low voice. You heard 14 Mr. Birmingham say what? 15 THE COURT: Why don't we have the court reporter re-read his answer? 16 17 (Whereupon, the last question and answer in direct ecomination were read back by the court 18 reporter.) 20 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 21 Now, the takeover was, as you call it -- is that what you called it at the time? A take-over? 22 23 That's not what I called it. That's what they called it. 24 And that takeover was by Mr. Richard Bellon? QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

ſ			
1	A.	Not in my presence.	1522
2	Q.	All right. Now, Mr. Birmingham was an	
3	amployee	of WaterOz, waum't he?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	What was his job there?	
6	A.	Making minerals.	
7	Q.	Mixing the minerals?	
8	A.	Yeah.	
9	Q.	He wasn't part of the management team, was he?	
10	A.	No.	
11	Q.	He was an hourly, salaried employee; right?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	As far as you know?	
14	A.	As far as I knew.	
15	Q.	Was he not much different than you in the	
16	position	in WaterOz?	
17	A.	No.	
18	Q.	I'm sorry?	
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	How was he different?	
21	A.	No, he wasn't much different than what I was.	
22	Q.	I am using double negatives. I'm sorry. You	
23	were both	n salaried or wage employees; right?	
24	A.	As far as I know, yes.	
25	Q.	Not part of a management team?	

1	A.	Yes, sir.	152
2	Q.	He claimed, under a court order, a right to	
3	come on t	the property?	
4	A.	I don't know all of the details.	
5	Q.	Well, he didn't you know that he came on	
6	the prope	erty and said he was in charge, or words to that	:
7	effect?		
8	A.	Yes, sir.	
9	Q.	And you know that there was some legal dispute	:
10	between t	fr. Bellon and Mr. Hinkson?	
11	A.	Yes, sir.	
12	Q.	Did you know that it was over the control or	
13	managemen	nt of WaterOz?	
14	A.	Not at first.	
15	Q.	You didn't know what it was about?	
16	A.	No.	
17	Q.	Now, Mr. Bellon was part of the management	
18	team of 1	Ateroz, was he not?	
19	A.	Not from my recollection at the time, he	
20	wasn't, :	no.	
21	Q.	Had he been regularly on the WaterOz grounds?	
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	What did you think he was doing there?	
24	A.	I had no clue what he was doing there.	
25	Q.	He was never escorted off the grounds, was he?	
		CNA COURT RESCRITTING (208) 484-6309	

1	A.	No.	1523
2	Q.	Who was the management team?	
3	Α.	Jeri Gray and Mr. Hinkson.	
4	Q.	Is that who you took orders or directions	
5	from?	•	
6	A.	Yes, sir.	
7	Q.	When they told you to do something, you pretty	•
8	much did	l it?	
9	A.	Yes, sir.	
10	Q.	You didn't take orders from Lornie Birmingham?	ı
11	A.	No.	
12	Q.	You didn't take orders from Joe Swisher?	
13	A.	No.	
14	Q.	You had seen Joe Swisher on the plant grounds	
15	on other	cocasions?	
16	A.	One other time.	
17	Q.	And what was he doing?	
18	A.	I have no idea.	
19	Q.	You knew he was not a part of the management	
20	team, th	ough; correct?	
21	A.	Correct.	
22	Q.	You are not aware of the legal problems that	
23	Mr. Bell	on and Mr. Hinkson had with each other?	
24	A.	Not at the time.	
25	Q.	Did you ever go to court and learn something	

1524 about it? 1 A. Whatever you heard was just talk around the ٥. plant? A. Yes. Q. Have you gotten -- in the seven years that you have worked for WaterOz, have you gotten promotions? A. Have you gotten raises in salary? Q. 10 Yes. A. 11 What did you start out at? What was your pay? Q. THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer that? 12 13 THE COURT: Yes, you do. 14 THE WITNESS: I started out at \$7.50. 15 BY MR. SULLIVAN: \$7.50 an hour? 16 ο. 17 Yes, sir. A. And when was your first raise? 18 Q. 19 A. After about six months. 20 Q. Have you had a series of raises? 21 A. Have you had a series of promotions? 22 ο. 23 A. Just the one promotion. To your present position? 24 Q. 25 A.

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	Q.	The present manager is Mr. Greg Towerton?	1526
2	A.	Yes, sir.	
3	Q.	And you know he is the husband of	
4	Mr. Hink	scn's ex-wife?	
5	A.	Yes, I do.	
6	Q.	But you still consider Mr. Hinkson the boss,	
7	dom't yo	u?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Returning now to November 21, 2002, you say	
10	you got	stopped at a rozdhlock?	
11	A.	Yes, sir.	
12	Q.	You were driving to the plant to start work in	L
13	the morn	ing?	
14	A.	Uh-lauh.	
15	Q.	What time was it, approximately, when you were	:
16	stopped	at the roadblock?	
17	A.	Approximately fifteen minutes before 7:00	
18	ಂ,ರ್ಯ	in the morning.	
19	Q.	And were these local police officers at the	
20	readbloc	k?	
21	A.	Yes, sir.	
22	Q.	And you were told that you couldn't drive on	
23	to the W	aterOz property?	
24	A.	Yes.	
25	Q.	Were you given the reason that it was being	

1	Q.	And that was some five years ago?	1525
_		, <u> </u>	
2	Α.	Uh-huh.	
3	Q.	Do you consider yourself a loyal employee of	
4	WaterOz?		ļ
5	A.	Yes.	
6	Q.	What is your salary now?	
7	A.	I make \$16.10.	
8	Q.	And you certainly don't want to lose your job;	
9	correct?		
10	A.	Correct.	
11	Q.	You have dependents?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	How many dependents?	
14	A.	One.	
15	Q.	Who is that?	
16	A.	My wife. Ch, my wife I have two. Excuse	
17	me. Iha	we my wife and my child.	
18	Q.	You have got kids?	
19	A.	Yeah. I have one child.	
20	Q.	Does your wife work?	
21	A.	Yes.	
22	Q.	Where does she work?	
23	A.	At a place called Stony Mountain Bow Strings.	
24	Q.	That's not WaterOz?	
25	A.	No.	

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1527 1 searched by Federal officers at that point? 2 A. 3 Were you given any reason? A. All they said to me was, "WaterOz is closed 4 today." 5 6 So you went over to a point where you say you Q. were 1,200 yards from the plant? 7 Yes, sir. 8 A. 9 And you happened to have something with you 10 called a spotting scope? 11 A. 12 Do you need that to work at the WaterOz plant? No, sir. 13 That doesn't have anything to do with the 14 Q. WaterOz plant? 15 16 A. 17 But you had it in your car? 18 I have it in my car today. 19 And from your vantage point, you could see 20 persons on the grounds and they had jackets on? 21 And did you recognize those to be what is 22 Q. 23 called raid jackets? 24 A. 25 "Raid jackets," have you ever heard that term? Q.

1	A.	No.	1528
2	Q.	They had initials on the back, though?	
3	A.	Yes, they did.	
4	Q.	And they were large letters; right?	
5	A.	I believe same of them were yellow, yellow	
6	letters.		
7	Q.	And you understood that that was so they could	
8	be identi	fied as Federal agents?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	All right. You didn't really even need that	
11	scope to	tell that, did you?	
12	A.	From where I was at, yes. You couldn't tell	
13	with the	naked eye.	
14	Q.	Those letters on their backs were pretty much	
15	the size	of what? They filled up the whole back of the	
16	jacket?		
17	A.	Ch, no. They weren't that big.	
18	Q.	But they identify them as either FBI or IRS?	
19	A.	I think that's about all I seen was mostly FBI	
20	and a few	rs.	
21	Q.	Now, how long did it take you to get to this	
22	vantage p	oint after you were stopped at the blockade?	
23	A.	About five minutes.	
24	Ω.	So it's now, what, pushing 7:30?	
25	A.	Excuse me?	
ı	<u> </u>	CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	

1	A.	Yes.	1530
2	Q.	And what you could tell, from what you could	
3	observe,	was that there were items being taken out of	
4	the plans	t; right?	
5	A.	Not from my vantage point.	
6	Q.	But could you see the Federal officers going	
7	in and o	at of the plant?	
8	A.	Yes, sir.	
9	Q.	And did you reach a conclusion that they were	
10	searching	g the plant?	
11	A.	Yes.	
12	Q.	Did you reach the conclusion, if they were	
13	taking a	sything from there during this search, that they	
14	would put	t it in boxes and carry it out?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	Also, with regard to comments that you would	
17	hear Mr.	Hinkson make about various people, you said he	
18	would mal	te comments about Mr. Albers?	
19	A.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And he made comments about a lady named	
21	Armette I	Hasalone?	
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	Did you understand that Mr. Albers was	
24	represent	ring Ms. Hasalone in a legal dispute with	
25	Mr. Hink	son?	
		CAR COURT DEPOSITION (200) 404 5200	

- 1			
1	Q.	What time was it now? Pushing 7:30 in the	1529
2	morning?		
3	A.	Pushing 7:00.	
4	Q.	Pushing 7:00?	
5	A.	I didn't talk to the officers but just a	
6	second.		
7	Q.	You got to the blockade at a quarter of 7:00?	
8	A.	Yea.	
9	Q.	I'm sorry. I thought it was a quarter after.	
10	How long	did you stay in that position?	
11	A.	A couple hours.	
12	Q.	And one of the things you saw were some of	
13	those peo	ple, you say, carrying boxes?	
14	A.	Yes, sir.	
15	Q.	Now, you didn't see them came out of the house	
L6	with the	boxes, did you?	
17	A.	I could not see them physically come out of	
18	the door.		
19	Q.	Were they cardboard boxes?	
50	A.	Yes, sir.	
21	Q.	Do you know if there was anything in those	
22	boxes?		
23	A.	No, I do not.	
24	Q.	Did you know that there was a search going on	
25	of the pl	ant?	
- 1			

		QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	
1		7,000	
1	A.	No, I did not.	1531
2	Q.	You didn't know that at the time?	
3	A.	Not at the time.	
4	Q.	Ms. Hasalone had been an employee of WaterOz,	
5	hadn't sh	ne?	
6	A.	Yes, she did.	
7	Q.	And you knew her?	
8	A.	Yes. I had met her. I didn't know her.	
9	Q.	At least acquainted?	
10	A.	Acquainted.	
11	Q.	And what year was that that she worked at	
12	WaterOz?		
13	A.	The exact year I don't remember. It was when	
14	I first s	started.	
15	Q.	Was it before the year 2000?	
16	A.	I don't recall.	
17	Q.	And you made you heard Mr. Hinkson make	
18	comments	about a lady named Cook?	i
19	A.	Nancy?	
20	Q.	Nancy Cook?	
21	A.	Yes, yes.	
22	Q.	And the extent of what you heard was that God	
23	would tak	as care of people like that?	
24	A.	Yes, sir.	
25	Q.	And now, was he saying what were the	

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And were you generally aware that he didn't

24

25

A.

Q.

Oh. ves.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HOYT: 3 Mr. Smith, I believe you stated that Mr. Swisher had not been a part of the WaterOz management team prior to the Bellon takeover; is that 6 correct? That's correct, not to my knowledge. Excuse A. 8 me. Not to my knowledge. But after the Bellon takeover, was it apparent 10 to you that Mr. Swisher was a part of the new management 11 12 MR. SULLIVAN: Object. That is without any 13 foundation, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 15 BY MR. HOYT: Thank you. Mr. Smith, what did you observe, 16 as far as Mr. Swisher's role after the Bellon takeover? 17 18 That he was going to be our mineral -- our mineral maker, and we were to do what he was told --19 20 what he told us to do we were supposed to do. 21 And he was working with Mr. Bellon? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 And Mr. Birmingham? 24 Yes, sir, and some other people. There was five or six of them, I believe.

1533 like anything having to do with tax laws and the IRS? Not at first. 3 But at some point? ο. At some point. A. Did you agree with him in those positions? Q. A. And you discussed with him that you agreed with him in those positions? A. Which ones are you in agreement with him on? 10 0. 11 A. Government. 12 Not the County Government? 13 A. 14 Q. Not the County? 15 A. Not the State Government? 16 Q. 17 Federal Government. MR. SULLIVAN: The Federal Government, okay. 18 19 I have nothing further, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Hoyt, redirect? 21 MR. HOYT: I have a few questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 23 24 25 QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1535 Now, you said you saw FBI agents carrying 1 2 boxes away from Mr. Hinkson's house; is that correct? Yes, sir. Ο. Did they carry boxes into Mr. Hinkson's house? A. Did they only carry boxes away from ο. Mr. Hinkson's house? That is all I seen, yes. 9 Although you couldn't see him come in or go 10 out of the door, as you described, could you tell from where you were if -- did it appear to you that they had 11 come from inside of Mr. Hinkson's house? 12 13 A. And at that time, the time of the raid, did 14 15 Mr. Swisher appear to have any health problems, as far as you could tell? 16 17 MR. SULLIVAN: Object, Your Honor. Not 18 covered in my cross-exemination. THE COURT: Overruled -- sustained, rather. 19 20 MR. HDYT: Thank you, Your Honor. No further questions of this witness. 21 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Sullivan? 22 23 MR. SULLIVAN: One question, please, in one 24 area, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

6 7 8

10 11

12 13 14

16 17 18

15

19 20 21

23 24

22

25

1

2

3 5 6

16

11

17 19 20

Mr. Smith, with regard to the question about what Mr. Joe Swisher did after the so-called takeover, was he directing you to do anything?

RECROSS EXAMINATION

He hadn't yet.

THE COURT: So is the answer "yes" or "no"? THE WITNESS: No.

MR. HOYT: I'm corry. Point of time? At what time? During the entire period or just as of the time that the conversation occurred that he mentioned?

THE COURT: If you can get him to pin it down to a time, that would be helpful.

BY MR. SULLIVAN:

- How long did the so-called takeover last?
- A. Approximately ten days, I believe.
- And what day was -- in that ten days, what was ο. the day that you heard or that you claim to have heard a conversation between Lornie Birmingham and Joe Swisher?
 - A. The second day, Friday.
- And during those ten days, is your answer that Joe Swisher never told you to do anything?
 - A. They were in court so, no.
 - Q. So your answer is "no"?
 - A. No.

CHA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1538

CUINN DAVID RAFF.

having been called, sworm, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take the stand.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I would like to ask for an offer of proof at sidebar.

THE COURT: Why don't we take our recess at this point?

Have a seat there, Mr. Raff.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will take our fifteen-minute recess and, hopefully, be back here at 10:30.

(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the countroom, and the following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I understand that Mr. Raff is the ex-husband of Marianna Raff; so I would request that coursel provide us a short offer of proof as to where we are going with this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, I thought we were not going to get into Ms. Raff. What is your offer of proof?

MR. HOYT: My offer of proof, Your Honor, is that Mr. Raff is familiar with Lornie Birmingham. He knows his reputation in the community and has been

Did you know that Joe Swisher was a chemist? o.

A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ο. Did you know what he was doing on the other occasions that you had seen him at the plant?

A.

During those ten days, did you see him every one of those ten days?

I only seen him the first two. A.

o. And you never saw him after that?

A.

And he never told you to do anything? Q.

A.

MR. SULLIVAN: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Hoyt?

15 MR. HOYT: Nothing further.

THE COURT: May the witness be excused?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HOYT: He may.

THE COURT: Mr. Smith, you are excused. You may step down.

Call your next witness.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, at this time

Mr. Hinkson would call Mr. Outm Paff 23

> THE COURT: Mr. Raff, would you stop right there? The clerk will administer the cath.

> > ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Mr. Birmingham's neighbor. He has a personal opinion as to Mr. Birmingham's truthfulness.

Let's see. We are not going to get into issues related to Marianna Raff. I'm trying to see if there is -- just a minute. It's unnecessary in his testimony that we refer to Marianna Raff.

THE COURT: That will be the best way. I will let Mr. Raff testify as to Mr. Birmingham's character for truthfulness, with the stipulation that the defense will not elicit the fact that he is the ex-husband of

MR. HOVT: I do know there is one other matter that he would bring up. It's strictly related to Mr. Birmingham and his present business.

THE COURT: Let me hear it.

MR. HDYT: The offer of proof is that he met Mr. Birmingham in the spring, late Spring of 2004; and Mr. Birmingham provided him with copies of brochures and materials for the new business that he was starting, which was based upon the mineral water business that Mr. Hinkson had.

THE COURT: So it goes to competition, basically, and motive to testify?

MR. HOYT: Motive, absolutely.

THE COURT: I will permit that.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1542

1 Anything further? 2 3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. On cross-examination, I would seek permission -- in order to show how he would have any contact with Lormie Birmingham, I think it's necessary that the jury know that his wife was a long-time employee of WaterOz, to show that he has a cornection to WaterOz and to Mr. Hinkson. That would go to his bias.

THE COURT: I really do not want to open the door to Marianna Raff.

MR. SULLIVAN: I do not either, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's do it this way.

Mr. Sullivan: I am going to allow the defense to proceed with the examination as Mr. Hoyt has proffered.

I will then allow you to cross-examine; but I want to hear the testimony before I rule on whether or not I am going to allow you, on cross, to even raise Ms. Raff's name.

MR. SULLIVAN: I would do it, Your Honor, by only referring to her as his ex-wife and not use her name.

THE COURT: All right. That's fair. I will let you do that. Very well. With that ruling, we are in recess for fifteen minutes.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

are ready.

MR. HOYT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Would you have the witness state his name and spell it for the record?

MR. HDYT: We will do so.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOYT:

ο. Mr. Raff, would you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?

- My name is Quinn David Raff, R-a-f-f. A.
- Mr. Raff, where do you live? Q.
- I live in Kooskia.
- Kooskia, Idaho? Q.
- Kooskia, Idaho.
- Is that somewhere in the vicinity of the WaterOz factory?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Now, at one time, were you a neighbor of -ο. rather, was Lornie Birmingham your neighbor?
 - Yea, he was. A.
 - And how long have you known Lonnie Birmingham? ٥.
 - Six or seven years. A.
- Q. And did your ex-wife work for WaterOz for a period of time?

THE COURT: Counsel, you had something you needed to raise with me?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. It is also about this witness, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SULLIVAN: I seek permission to be able to ask on cross-examination, on the basis of inquiring into his basis for his opinion about Mr. Birmingham, the fact that, as we understand it, Mr. Birmingham had an affair with the witness's wife.

THE COURT: Chary. I think that is perfectly fair to establish. For that reason, I will permit that.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I request, Your Honor, to be sure about this, that the court direct the witness not to use the wife's name?

THE COURT: Mr. Raff, so you understand -- and I won't go into all of the reasons why -- do not mention Mariarma's name at all. Say, "my wife," or, "my ex-wife."

THE WITNESS: Ex-wife.

THE COURT: With that understanding, let's bring in the jury.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were hold in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, you may proceed when you

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

A. She did.

Q. And have you ever met Mr. David Hinkson before?

A. I have never shaken his hand, but I have seen him in the office at WaterOz one time when I was passing through.

Q. And how many times, total, have you been to the WaterOz factory?

A. Just into the office, maybe twice in my entire life.

Q. Now, were you aware that Lornie Birmingham worked at WaterOz?

Of came.

He was the mineral maker there? o.

A. Yes.

Have you had an opportunity -- did you meet him or run into him in the Spring of 2004?

A. Yes, I did.

And where did you see him?

He was at Jacob's Lumber Company, a hardware store in Kamiah, Idaho.

Q. And at that time, did he have some brockures with him?

He did. A.

And did he tell you what those brochures were Ο.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTENS (208) 484-6309

1541

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Thank you. I didn't do this before. Let me

24

25

BY MR. HOYT:

1546 And, sir, do you have a personal opinion 2 regarding Mr. Birmingham's truthfulness? 3 A. Yes, I do. 4 What is that opinion? Q. 5 He has kind of got two personnas. He comes across as a very nice man. 6 7 MR. SULLIVAN: Object to the form. THE COURT: Sustained. 8 Mr. Raff, the question is: Do you have an opinion as to his truthfulness? That is the question I 10 11 want you to answer. Do not give us your layman's psychological evaluation of him. Just tell us what your 12 13 opinion is. THE WITNESS: As far as his --14 15 BY MR. HOYT: 16 May I? Let me ask you this: As far as his 17 truthfulness is concerned, can you tell the jury what 18 your experience has been? 19 THE COURT: No. That is not a proper 20 question, comsel. Let's do it by the rules. 21 BY MR. HOYT: 22 Thank you. Tell me what your personal opinion of his truthfulness is. 23 24 That he is dishonest. He personally admitted 25 to me, openly, that he --

just take a moment to ask you for your background. By whom are you employed? I work for the U.S. Forest Service. What do you do for them? I'm a fire crew leader. What does a fire crew leader do? I supervise the district fire crew. There is about twenty folks there. I supervise about half of them. I'm in charge of the fire engine. We go on fires in the state, out of the state, within our area of administrative control. How long have you been a fire crew leader? A. Since about 1996. ٥. And how long have you worked with the Forest Service? A. Since 1987. Have you always been a firefighter for the U.S. Forest Service? Yes. Mr. Raff, do you know Lonnie Birmingham's reputation in the community for truthfulness? A. Yes. I do. And what is that reputation? He is dishonest. He is untrustworthy. He is underhanded in his dealings.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309
1	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Raff, you are not listening to
3	my instructions. I do not care what he said to you.
4	All we want to know is what your opinion is. That is
5	all you can testify to.
6	THE WITNESS: I have a very low opinion of the
7	man's honesty.
8	THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you.
9	BY MR. HOYT:
10	Q. Is it true that Mr. Birmingham had an affair
11	with your ex-wife?
12	A. That is true.
13	Q. At the same time, has Mr. Birmingham tried to
14	be buddy-buddy or your friend?
15	A. All the time.
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	The jury will disregard that last answer.
19	MR. HOYT: No further questions, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Cross-examination, Mr. Sullivan?
22	
23	
24	
25	

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

25

2

9

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

1550

1551

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SULLIVAN:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Raff.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Mr. Birmingham and your wife had an affair is what you testified to?
 - A. They did.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. How long ago was that?
- A. That was -- we got divorced in 2002. The affair happened about a year and a half before that.
 - Q. So scmetime in 2000?
- A. About then. I didn't find about it for several months after the fact.
- Q. Your wife also was an employee of WaterOz;
 - A. She was.
 - Q. How long was she an employee of WaterOz?
- A. If I recall, I think it was two or three years. It was quite sometime.
- Q. And would that explain the occasions why you would be at WaterOz?
- A. I never went to WaterOz in a business marner.

 I only went there to -- I passed through WaterOz on my
 way to Grangeville when I had meetings there. I would
 stop in to talk about what the arrangments were for the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

say?"

BY MR. SULLIVAN:

- Q. Well, whatever you thought was based upon your observation of these brochures?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And so you concluded he was in direct competition with Mr. Hinkson?
 - A. What else was I to conclude?
- Q. Right. Sort of like the way that Toyota is in direct competition with Ford?
- A. It didn't seem like -- that never entered my mind. It looked like, clearly, he is taking the business and going far away to South Carolina. That's the thought that entered my mind. That's all.
- Q. Did you have any understanding that any of Mr. Hinkson's products were protected by a patent?
 - A. No.
- MR. HDVT: Objection, Your Honor. Well beyond the scope; lack of foundation.
- THE COURT: Sustained. I think we have gone enough into that.
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing further.
 - THE COURT: Anything further?
 - MR. HDYT: No questions.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Raff, you may step down. You

kids after school, but I never come to the office.

They would page her. She would come there, we would talk about what's going on after school, that type of thing.

- Q. Is it correct, sir, that your low opinion that you just expressed of Mr. Birmingham is, in large part, dependent upon the fact that he had an affair with your wife?
- A. That is a lot of it. Not all of it.
- Q. You made the statement that Mr. Birmingham was in direct competition with WaterOz?
- A. The pamphlets he provided me to look at were
 advertising, basically, the same product that
 Mr. Hinkson had at WaterOz. He was clearly -- he didn't
 say it, but it was clear to me that he had started the
 same type of business back in South Carolina.

He mentioned he had three or four partners that were investing with him in this. In my mind, I thought, he is stealing Dave's business. He took the ideas, and he took them right back there, and he is manufacturing it right now.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going
to instruct you to disregard what was in Mr. Raff's
mind.

The question was, "What did Mr. Birmingham

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, at this time Mr. Hinkson would call Ms. Jan Dotson.

THE COURT: Very well. In someone going to get Ms. Dotson?

MR. HDYT: I believe so.

MRS. HINKSON: She went to the restroom.

MR. HDYT: I apologize, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: That's all right. I understand.

11 Do you have another witness that you could call? I

12 don't want to unduly disrupt the order of your

presentation.

MR. HOYT: Understood, yes. It would disrupt
things a little bit, but I do have another witness. If

things a little bit, but I do have another witness. If you would, give me one moment.

THE COURT: All right.

18 THE WITNESS: Fine. Thanks. You are so good 19 to me.

THE COUNTROOM CLERK: If you would, raise your right hand for me.

JANEITE LYNN DOTSON,

having been called, sworm, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take

3

5

ĸ

7

8

13

14

15

19

24

25

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1554

1552

1555

the stand.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

Q

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Can I check for electrodes first?

THE COURT: You will be safe.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. You are so kind. THE COURTROOM CLERK: If you would, state your name and spell your last name for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Janette Lynn Dotson. That's spelled D-o-t-s-o-n.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOYT:

0. Good morning, Ms. Dotson.

A. Good morning.

Where do you live?

I live about fifteen miles north of Kamiah, A. Idaho, in a little community called Woodland.

And for a period of time, were you employed by o. WaterOz?

A. Yes, sir, I was.

What period was that?

That was Spring of 2001 till the summer of that same year, sir.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

I surely did, yes, sir. A.

And approximately how long did you know Q. Mr. Birmingham?

Well, the first time I met him I had been working for WaterOz. I was still in training for two weeks; and he had been gone for a week, and I met him. They said, "Ch, you have to meet Lornie," and I dich't

understand that at the time --

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: You have to confine your answer

11 to --

THE WITNESS: "Yes" or "no"?

THE COURT: And specifically whatever the question is. The question is, "And approximately how lang did you know Mr. Birmingham?"

THE WITNESS: From about April of 2001 till fall of the same year.

BY MD MOVE.

And during that time period, did you become familiar with or get to know his reputation in the community for truthfulness?

Yes, I did.

And can you state what that reputation is?

Well, my first encounter was he was not --MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

And do you hold any degrees?

Yes, sir, I do. I have a couple of degrees. I'm a licensed vocational nurse in California, and I'm also a licensed cometologist in California.

Q. Now, what was your job when you were working for WaterOz?

A. Just pretty much, I took orders. And because I am a cancer survivor, I kind of helped people that thought that cancer was a death sentence.

And during the time that you worked at 10 WaterOz, did you have an opportunity to meet 11 12 Mr. Hinkson?

A. Ch, yes. Many times.

And during those times that you met him, did you have an opportunity to observe him talk about various legal subjects or law cases he was involved in?

17 Not usually. I was back in the corner, just taking orders and various things. 18

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. 20 Non-responsive.

21 THE COURT: I will overruled that objection. 22 That answer can stand.

BY MR. HOYT: 23

> Ms. Dotson, while you worked there, did you meet a person by the name of Lornie Birmingham?

> > ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Not specific instances.

THE WITNESS: Okay. His reputation was a womanizer and a liar.

BY MR. HOYT:

And do you have a personal opinion of Mr. Birmingham's truthfulness?

Yes. He is a womanizer and a liar. My first encounter is that --8

THE COURT: That is enough. We have to do this by the rules.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. I'm learning the rules. THE COURT: That's okay. That's why I'm here. BY MR. HOYT:

Now, when you were at -- if I might have one moment, please?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HDYT: Ms. Dotson, we appreciate your coming today.

Your Honor, I have no more questions.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you, Mr. Hoyt. Cross-examination?

22 23

24 25

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	CROSS EXAMINATION 1	556
2	BY MR. TAXAY:	
3	Q. Ms. Dotson, you worked at WaterOz for a few	
4	months in 2001?	
s	A. Yes, sir, I did.	
6	Q. And that was the only place that you knew	
7	Mr. Birmingham; correct?	
8	A. Yes. I didn't socially know him at all.	
9	Q. You hadn't seen him before?	
10	A. No, sir. I had never seen him before.	
11	Q. And you only knew him at WaterOz; right?	
12	A. I only knew him at WaterOz.	
13	Q. You didn't know him very well; isn't that	
14	right?	
15	A. Well, I knew him when he came through the	
16	office and flirted with all of the women, yes.	
17	Q. You didn't know him very well?	
18	A. Not in a social socially-related, no.	
19	Q. You didn't have that many actual interactions	
20	with Mr. Birmingham, isn't that true?	
21	A. Oh, yes. We talked at great lengths on our	
22	breaks, and he came in the office.	
23	Q. Okay. You said that he was a womanizer?	
24	A. Uh-huh.	
25	Q. And that he flirted?	

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	1558 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HOYT:
3	Q. Did Mr. Birmingham confine his womanizing just
4	to flirting?
5	A. No, sir, he did not.
6	Q. What else did he do?
7	MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: I am going to sustain that
9	objection. I think we have gone into this enough. Do
10	you have another question for Ms. Dotson?
11	BY MR. HOYT:
12	Q. Do you know his marital status at the time?
13	MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	BY MR. HDYT:
16	Q. Ms. Dotson, as far as his credibility is
17	concerned and your view of him, is it based solely on
18	the fact that you believe him to be a womanizer?
19	MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: It has been asked and answered.
21	Sustained.
22	MR. HDYT: No further questions.
23	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Taxay?
24	MR. TAXAY: No.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Dotson, you are excused. You

```
1557
         A.
               Constantly, yes.
 2
               It offended you?
         Q.
               Very much so.
               And your opinion is largely based upon that,
         Q.
     isn't it?
 5
 6
        A.
              Yes and no, because he was supposed to have
 7
     been a --
               I just asked --
               THE COURT: Well, is the answer "yes" or "no"?
               THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
10
               THE COURT: The question is, "And your opinion
11
12
     is largely based upon that, isn't it?"
               THE WITNESS: Go before that. Based upon what
13
15
              THE COURT: Do you understand that?
16
              THE WITNESS: No, I don't, sir.
              THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
17
    BY MR. TAXAY:
18
              Your opinion is largely -- your opinion of
19
20
    Mr. Birmingham is largely based upon your view that he
     is a womanizer and he flirts? You don't like that?
22
              No, I don't like that.
23
              MR. TAXAY: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Hoyt?
24
              MR. HOYT: Just a couple of quick questions.
25
```

```
1559
 1
    may step down.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
              THE COURT: Call your next witness.
              MR. HOYT: At this time, Mr. Hinkson would
    call Ms. Debbie Morley.
              THE COURT: Ms. Morley, would you step forward
 7
    and take the cath, please?
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: Right up here, ma'am.
              THE WITNESS: Somy.
 9
10
              THE COURT: That's okay.
11
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please raise your right
    hand.
12
13
                     DEERIR HILEN MORLEY,
14
    having been called, sworm, testified as follows:
15
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take
16
17
    the stand.
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: You will need to scoot
18
    right up to the mic. If you would, state your name and
19
     spell your last name, please.
20
21
              THE WITNESS: Debbie Eileen Marley,
22
    M-o-r-l-e-y.
23
24
25
```

1			
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION	1560
2	BY MR. HOT	TT:	į
3	Q.	Good morning, Ms. Morley. Where do you live?	
4	A.	I live in Stites, Idaho.	
5	Q.	And at one point in time, were you employed by	
6	WaterOz?		
7	A.	Yes.	
8	Q.	And when was that?	
9	A.	From June of '99 to January of 2002 2003.	
10	Yeah.		
11	Q.	And during that period of time, did you have	
12	more than	one job?	
13	A.	Yes, yes.	
14	Q.	What jobs did you have there?	
15	A.	When I first was hired, I did some phones. I	
16	helped in	shipping. I helped in bottling. And then I	
17	ended up o	doing the copying. I was a copy technician.	
18	Q.	And what was your rate of pay when you first	
19	started th	nerre?	
20	A.	I started out at \$8 am hour; and in no time, I	
21	was making	y \$10 an hour.	
22	Q.	And was that a decent wage for the	
23	Grangevill	le, Idaho, Stites area?	
24		MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. No	
25	foundation	ı.	

QNA COURT REPORTENTS (208) 484-6309

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	Q.	Did he ever say anything to you regarding	1562	
2	Mr. Albers?			
3	A.	In what context?		
4	Q.	Did he ever make negative statements about		
5	Mr. Albers in your presence?			
6	A.	Yes. He was very upset about him.		
7	Q.	And what did he say to you?		
8	A.	Most of his comments were things of he		
9	would hop	e that God would smite him, that his skin maybe		
10	would fall off, something in that order.			
11	Q.	Did he ever ask you to kill Dermis Albers for		
12	him?			
13	A.	No.		
14	Q.	Did he ever ask anyone to kill Dennis Albers		
15	for him,	in your presence?		
16	A.	No.		
17	Q.	Did he ever talk to you about an investigation		
18	that was going on by the Federal Government concerning			
19	his taxation?			
20	A.	Would you repeat that?		
21	Q.	Did he ever talk to you about the fact that		
22	there was an IRS investigation going on?			
23	A.	Yes.		
24	Q.	And did he ever talk to you about the people		
25	involved	in that? Does the name "Namcy Cook" mean		

```
1561
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 2
               MR. SULLIVAN: Irrelevant.
               THE COURT: I will allow her to answer.
               You can answer that, Ms. Morley.
               THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
     BY MR. HOYT:
 7
               Now, did you have an opportunity to meet
     Mr. David Hinkson while you were there?
10
         ο.
              And did you get to know him fairly well?
11
               Yes.
12
               And did he talk to you about some legal
13
     matters that he was involved in?
14
         A.
               Specifically, did he ever talk to you about
15
     the Annette Hasalone lawsuit?
16
17
         Δ.
              Did you attend the trial of the Armette
18
         ο.
     Hasalone lawsuit?
19
20
21
              Did you discuss the case with him?
         Q.
22
         A.
23
              Was Mr. Hinkson happy with the attorney for
24
     Armette Hasalone, Mr. Dennis Albers?
25
         A.
              No. he wasn't.
```

```
1563
 1
     anything to you?
 2
              I knew that she was an agent for the IRS.
 3
              How about the name Steve Hines?
 5
              You are saying that the name Nancy Cook is
 6
     familiar to you because Mr. Hinkson brought it up,
 7
     brought the name up before you?
 8
         A.
              Yes.
 9
              Are you certain that she was an IRS agent?
10
11
              Was that important to you to know the
     positions that the people held that Mr. Hinkson was
12
13
     talking about?
         A.
              No.
14
              You indicated that you were a copy technician.
15
     What did those jobs entail?
16
17
              I did all of the advertisements for WaterOz.
18
     We made booklets, things like that. I did all of the
     advertisements and the mailcuts, and there was a lot of
19
     copying. I made all of the booklets myself.
21
               I'm sorry. Are you finished?
22
              Yes.
              Now, during the time that you were working as
     a copy technician, did you have an opportunity in the
24
     year 19 -- excuse me -- 2002, 2003 to meet Mr. Richard
```

1564 Bellon? 1 2 A. Vea 3 0. And did he ever represent to you that he was an attorney? A. Yes, he did. And what did he say about that? 6 7 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor, under 8 Rule 608 (b) . THE COURT: Sustained. 10 That means you can't answer the question. Wait for another question. 11 12 MR. SULLIVAN: I would request that the last 13 answer be stricken. THE COURT: The jury will disregard the last 15 question and answer. 16 BY MR. HOYT: 17 Did you know Mr. Bellon's reputation for ο. 18 truthfulness? 19 Yes. And what was that reputation? 20 21 A. That he is not truthful. Now, in terms of working with Mr. Hinkson, 22 could you describe him as a boss? 23 MR. SULLIVAN: I object. Relevance. 24 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1566 1 objection. BY MR. HOYT: 2 Last question: Did Mr. Hinkson keep his legal 3 briefs in the copy area where you were the copy technician? 5 MR. SULLIVAN: I object. Relevance. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. HOYT: No further questions. Thank you 8 for coming today. 10 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 11 You can't go yet. 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Thanks for helping me 13 here. THE COURT: You bet. That's my job. 14 15 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 17 Good morning, ma'am. 18 Q. 19 I understand you worked at WaterOz from June 20 of '99 till about January of 2003? 21 22 Okay. And you had various jobs at the time? 23 Q. 24 25 Was it a 40-hour --

BY MR. HOYT: Was he deeply involved in the lawsuits at that time that you were working there? And did he discuss with you some of the legal principles that were involved in those lawsuits? Were you aware that, in January of 2003, Mr. Joe Swisher was making telephone calls to 10 Mr. Hinkson? 11 MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor, on the 12 grounds of relevance; Rule 608 (b) . 13 THE COURT: Well, I may sustain the objection; but let's get an answer, first, as to whether she has 14 15 any awareness. 16 Do you know whether Mr. Swisher was calling Mr. Hirkson in January of 2003? 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe he had. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 BY MR. HOYT: 21 ο. My question is: Did Mr. Hinkson accept his phone calls? 22 MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. No 23 24 foundation and relevance. 25 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1565

	ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309
 .	
A.	Not the whole time. When I started out, I did
different	sections of it; and then I ended up being just
a copy te	chnician the last probably two years.
Q.	Did you work steadily through that whole
period?	
A.	Yes.
Q.	For WaterOz?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Who was your boss during that period? "Boss"?
How about	supervisor at WaterOz?
A.	Jeri Gray.
Q.	Jeri Gray. All right. What was her position?
A.	She was the manager, I believe.
Q.	And under what circumstances did you have
contact w	ith Mr. Hinkson?
A.	Every day.
Q.	Doing what?
A.	He was making sure everything was going the
way it wa	s supposed to and just in contact with him,
tallding t	o him about, you know, that kind of stuff. I
mean	
Q.	All right. You didn't consider yourself part
of his ma	nagement team, did you?
A.	No.

5

7

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When Mr. Hinkson would make this comment about

1568 1 the lawyer, Dennis Albers, that God would smite him -do I have that correct? Uh-huh. ο. Did you hear it more than once or only once or 5 twice? Probably once or twice. 7 Was it in the context of him talking about this Armette Hasalone trial? 9 Yes. A. 10 Did you understand that Mr. Albers had 0. 11 represented Armette Hasalone? 12 13 And that Mr. Hinkson lost that lawsuit? 0. Yes. 14 A. He wasn't happy about losing it; right? 15 Q. 16 A. No, he wasn't happy. 17 Now, Mr. Bellon -- how long were you 18 acquainted with him? Well, the first time I was ever acquainted 19 with him was when he came up to WaterOz. 20 And when was that? 21 ο. 22 A. Let's see. I haven't been there for two 23 years. It's been -- 2001, probably. I'm not really sure, though, of the exact date when I met him. 24 25 All right. Other than the date, what kind of

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	plant?		1570
2	A.	That I knew of, yes. It could have been more.	
3	I worked	in the copy room. I didn't work in the front	
4	office.		
5	Q.	So you had very limited contacts with him?	
6	A.	Yeah, I guess you could say that.	
7	Q.	And your only contacts with him were there at	
8	the WaterOz plant?		
9	A.	Yes.	,
10	Q.	You didn't see him outside of the plant;	
11	correct?		
12	A.	No. Well, there was times I saw him; but	
13	there was	no contact with him or nothing.	
14	Q.	I mean, did you have any social contact with	
15	him?		
16	A.	No.	
17	Q.	Do you know where he lived?	
18	A.	No.	
19	Q.	Do you know any of his family members?	
20	A.	No.	
21	Q.	Did you know who his friends were?	
22	A.	No.	
23	Q.	You didn't know the details of the work he was	
24	doing?		
25	A.	I knew he was supposed to represent David as	

1569 contact did you have with him? 2 They would come into the copy room, usually him and David together, and have a few pages, you know, to have copied and stuff like that. There was just a few words exchanged, you know, back and forth on what he had to do and those things. Did you see him daily? A. No. Q. Over what period of time did you see him? 10 Well, usually about three times a week. A. 11 All right. And for how many months? Ch, several months. I would say, a year and a 12 A. half. 13 14 ٥. And did you report to him? To Bellon? 15 A. 16 Yes. 17 18 Did you understand that he had some management 19 position at WaterOz? 20 A. ٥. Did you have any knowledge of what he did at 21 WaterOz? 23 When he first arrived, he was supposed to be an attorney for David.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And you would see him with Mr. Hinkson?

And he appeared three times a week at the

1571

22

24

25

2

an attorney.

٥.

```
Yes.
3
              THE COURT: There is some water there.
5
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
    BY MR. SULLIVAN:
              Are you aware of Mr. Bellon doing any legal
     work?
        A.
              No.
10
        ο.
              You don't know what he did, do you?
11
         A.
              I know that he would get paperwork together,
    and then Brit Groom would sign them.
12
13
        Q.
              Brit Groom is an attorney; right?
14
         A.
15
              And that's about all you knew about --
16
        A.
               He would converse with David on what to do on
     legal stuff.
17
18
        Q.
               From what you observed, he was a legal
     advisor?
19
20
         A.
              Yes. And he said he was an attorney.
              You thought he was an attorney; right?
21
         0.
              Yes. From what he said, yes.
22
         A.
              You want to get that in, don't you?
23
         Q.
24
         A.
25
               THE COURT: Counsel, now you are arguing with
                QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 494-6309
```

MR. HOYT: At this time, Your Honor, the defendant, Mr. Hinkson, would call Mr. Don Alm to the

Mr. Alm, if you would, please come forward. Do you see the nice lady up there with blonde hair? She will administer an oath.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Hi. If you would, raise your right hand, please.

DONALD ALM,

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

having been called, sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM CLERK: How should we do the microphone?

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, where would be the most confortable place? We can leave Mr. Alm right there, if that is okay by him.

Do we have a microphone?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: How about right here, sir?

THE COURT: Ms. Langutreet will show you where to move your wheelchair, Mr. Alm. If you would, face the jury a little bit. You need to be able to see the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1575

1574

ever encounter someone by the name of Elven Joe Swisher? 1 2

Yes. I have.

Q. And approximately how many years ago did you first meet him?

I believe it was probably later '70s, early '80s.

And what type of a situation was it that you encountered him?

I attended a mine safety and rescue training that the Bureau of Mines put on up at his mine.

After meeting him on that occasion, did you subsequently go into business with him?

Yes. Uh-huh. We formed a mining corporation.

And did you invest money in the mining corporation?

MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. That is a leading question.

THE COURT: It is leading. I will sustain it as to the form of the question.

BY MR. HOYT: 21

> With regard to the business, what was your role in the business?

I did some of the assessment work and put some mining claims that I owned into the company.

1572 the witness. The jury will evaluate the testimony. BY MR. SULLIVAN: When did you last see Mr. Bellon? It's been at least two years. A. 5 You have had no contact with him in the last 6 two years? 7 A. 8 You haven't seen him? Α. 10 You haven't talked to him? ο. 11 A. No. 12 Q. You don't know what he's doing? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: I have no further questions. 14 THE COURT: All right. Anything further, 15 16 Mr. Hoyt? MR. HDYT: Just briefly, Your Honor. 17 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. HOYT: 20 21 Ms. Morley, did Mr. Bellon ever admit to you 22 that he was not an attorney? 23 Yes. MR. HOYT: Thank you. No further questions. 24 25 THE CLURT: Mr. Sullivan?

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

questioner. About right there is fine.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: If you would, state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Donald Alm, A-1-m.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HOYT:

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning, Mr. Alm. Q.

Good morning. A.

Where do you live? Q.

Grangeville, Idaho.

How long have you lived in Grangeville? Q.

Oh, all my life, pretty much. I moved there A. in 1940.

THE COURT: Just wait a minute. We are going to give a different microphone to Mr. Alm here so the jury can hear him. Do you need to plug it in? BY MR. HOYT:

Mr. Alm, what has been your occupation throughout your life?

Farming and mining.

And what is your formal education?

Righth grade. A.

0. And as a part of your mining, sir, did you

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1580 runces as to what Mr. Swisher has been doing since your 2 last centact with him? MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Rumors? THE COURT: Sustained. MR. NOLAN: Could we -- we can't approach? THE COURT: I don't think so. BY MR. HOYT: 7 Let me just ask this: Is your knowledge of what Mr. Swisher has been doing based upon your contacts in the community with other persons? 10 A. Yes. 11 12 And is your opinion of him formed by the information you have received from your contacts in the 13 14 community? 15 A. Yes, sir. MR. HOYT: No further questions. 16 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Taxay? 17 18 MR. TAXAY: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Alm, you are 19 excused. Thank you. 20 MR. HOYT: At this time, Your Honor, 21 Mr. Hinkson would call Mr. Del Houger. 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Houger, would you step forward 24 and be sworn, sir? THE COURTROOM CLERK: If you would, raise your 25 QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309 1582

that computer screen there. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. BY MR. HOYT: 3 Mr. Houger, I would ask you if you can identify Exhibit C-1? 5 Yes. I can. A. 7 What is that? That is the mineral water containers with the separate, individual minerals at the WaterOz factory. And what is your job title or description at 10 Q. WaterOz? 11 12 A. I am the plant manager, as well as the procurement officer. 13 MR. HOYT: And I would ask you to identify --14 let's see. Let's just go with C-1. We will move the 15 admission of C-1, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. TAVAY: Number one, lack of foundation; 18 and, two, relevance. 19 THE COURT: I am going to overrule the 20 objection on foundation, but I will admit it for the 21 limited purpose of allowing the witness to illustrate 22 his testimony. 23 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. C-1 was 24

1581 1 right hand, please. 2 3 DELBERT R. HOUGER. having been called, mean, testified as follows: 4 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take 5 6 the stand. If you would, state your name and spell your 7 last name for the record, please. THE WITNESS: My name is Delbert R. Houger, 9 H-o-u-g-e-r. I have an alias of Del, D-e-l. THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you, sir. 10 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. HOYT: 14 ο. Mr. Houger, where do you live? 15 A. I live in Grangeville, Idaho. 16 And by whom are you employed? 17 I am employed by WaterOz. 18 MR. HOYT: Your Honor, may we -- let's see. THE COURT: Do you want the lights dimmed? 19 20 MR. HOYT: May we have the lights dimmed, 21 please? 22 THE COURT: Sure. MR. HDYT: Let me turn this off and present 23

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Mr. Houger, that should come up on

Exhibit C-1.

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

exhibit --

1583 MR. HDYT: May I publish to the jury? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. HOYT: Can you explain to the jury what is going on in Exhibit C-1, what the objects are in that photograph? The containers each have a separate mineral. The one closest to you that stands out on the top is potassium. The lab people make the mineral water. The container has R.O. water put in it and a certain amount of minerals, each mineral in there, to bring the appropriate parts per million in there. Would you please explain to the jury what R.O. water is? MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, he has testified to it. I would like the jury to understand what the term means. Overruled. THE WITNESS: The R.O. water -- the water comes out of the spring; and, by reverse osmosis, it is cleaned and purified so that everything is taken out of there. BY MR. HOYT: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as

received in evidence for illustrative purposes.)

1 THE COURT: Before we do that, let me see if I can help here. Do you then call it R.O. water after it has been purified, Mr. Houser? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 I would like to show the witness now what has been marked as Exhibit C-9. Can you identify Exhibit B 9 C-9 for us, please? 10 Yes, sir. That is a cleaning room with the 11 bottle machine that is inside of that. 12 MR. HOYT: How does the mineral -- well, let's 13 do this first. Let me offer C-9. 14 MR. TAXAY: Same relevance objection. 15 THE COURT: I will admit it for the limited 16 purpose of illustrating his opinion. 17 (Whoreupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. C-9 was 18 received in evidence for illustrative purposes.) MR. HOYT: May I publish to the jury, Your 19 20 Honor? 21 THE COURT: You may. 22 BY MR. HOYT: 23 All right. And how does the mineral water get from the larger vat depicted in C-1 into the bottle room

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

24

25

area?

MR. HDYT: Your Honor, we would move the 1 admission of Exhibit C-12. 3 MR. TAXAY: Same objection. 4 THE COURT: I will admit C-12. As the court recalls, this was also the subject of testimony by one 6 of the Government's witnesses, if memory serves 7 correctly. 8 MR. HOYT: It was, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: It is admitted. You may publish 10 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. C-12 was 11 12 received in evidence.) 13 MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 Now, you mentioned a moment ago, before we 15 published it to the jury, that there was a tank. Do you 16 see the green arrow? Is that pointing to the tank that 17 you are referring to? 16 Yes, sir. That's where the R.O. water is stored? 19 20 Yes. sir. 21 And that creates a gravity flow? Q. 22 23 Q. And then, over here, where the green arrow is, is that something you have previously described? 24 25 Yes, sir, That's our cleaning room, with the

1585 We have an inch-and-a-half hose that we cornect to the vat, with a tank, and then it is connected on the back side. What you're looking at -it goes through a U.V. system, a couple of filter systems, and then into the white tank on top that you can see. Then, from there, it's measured, based upon the way we set it up with the computer, to either run in a pint or quart or it can actually rum into a gallon container, several at a time. I'm using a pointer now. Is this the tank that you are referring to? Yes, sir. All right. Now, I would like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit C-12. Can you identify that for us, please, Mr. Houger? Yes, sir. A. 0. What is that? The yellow container on top is the storage of the R.O. water. In the stairs leading up to that other office is the air and water purifier room where we build the air and water purifying machines. O. Is this a photograph of the interior of the WaterOz factory?

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

A.

ο.

A.

Yes, it is.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

machine in it.				
Q.	All right. And are these the vats that we saw			
in a picture earlier?				
A.	Yes, sir.			
Q.	Now, you talked about the stairs that were			
leading v	up. What room is it that is at the top of the			
stairs?				
A.	That's where we munufacture our air and water			
purifier mechines.				
Q.	Inside of this door?			
A.	Yes.			
Ω.	Just for orientation purposes, there are two			
obeu qoo	rs down below that air and water purifying room.			
My quest	ion is: What's there?			
A.	That is our kitchen facility in the first one			
on the l	eft there.			
Q.	And in the area outside of the picture it's			
already been admitted the lunch room and meeting room				
area, where is that located?				
A.	That would be to the right of that arrow.			
Q.	In the direction that the arrow is pointed?			
A.	Yes, sir.			
Q.	Now, who is your boss at WaterOz?			

Greg Towerton.

How do you know him?

- Q. When were you a jail commander at the Idaho County Jail?
- A. 2000 and -- 2001 to 2003. I worked as a jailer, total, for eight years.
- Q. Prior to working at the Idaho County Jail, had you worked in -- what occupation did you have?
 - A. I'm retired from the military, CW III.
 - Q. What branch of the Army?
- A. Army Logistics, running warehouses, managing warehouses.
- Q. Did your training in the military assist you in your work at WaterOz?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. TAXAY: Objection. Objection. Relevance,

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. HOYT:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

5

6

7

R

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, Mr. Houger, were you working for WaterOz at the time of Mr. Bellon's takeover in December of 2003?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And who were the principal parties involved in that takeover, to your knowledge?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

159

THE COURT: The court has the same concern with regard to the cumulative nature of the testimony. We are not trying a treason case here, and you do not need two witnesses to the crime.

For that reason, I am going to sustain the Government's objection. This is cumulative. I do not think we need to go into more detail with regard to how WaterOz products are made, sold, or offered on the Internet. The jury has heard plenty of this.

Frankly, it is so manginally relevant to what is at issue in this case that I really think we are getting off on an irrelevant area that is not going to help the jury on the issues that they are going to wrestle with in assessing the guilt or innocence on the Supervening Indictment.

MR. HOYT: May I make an offer of proof briefly? The defendant offers that Mr. Houger would testify that he was present when Mr. Bellon -- I'm sorry -- when Mr. Swisher and Mr. Birmingham were together at that meeting.

He would like to testify that Mr. Birmingham approached Mr. Swinher and indicated that, while it had taken a year for them to get control, he was very pleased that they had been able to do so.

THE COURT: That is camulative. We have

MR. TAXAY: Objection. Lack of foundation. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 Let me see counsel at sidebar. (Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion 5 was held outside the presence of the jury:) 6 MR. TAXAY: The Government has several 7 objections. One, of course, is lack of foundation, just 8 in terms of the way the questioning is running, but, also, the camulative nature of this testimony. As we spoke earlier in another earlier 10 11 objection, there has been ample evidence on this subject 12 already. 13 To the extent defense wants to establish some 14 kind of lies on Mr. Bellon's part, the evidence is there 15 for them to argue that. This is just camulative at this point. 16 17 MR. HOYT: Your Honor. I think we are entitled to corroboration. I recognize that the term 18 "amulative" is out there, but I think you need two 19 20 witnesses to establish a certain fact. We will be very brief on this point, and I am 21 22 about finished with this witness. I think he has 23 scrething to offer on the subject of the takeover and 24 the conspiracy between Mr. Bellon, Swisher, and

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Birmingham, et cetera.

25

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1591

already had testimony from Jerry Smith to that effect. The objection is sustained.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)
BY MR. HOYT:

Q. As the plant manager, Mr. Houger, is the area of the lab and the information contained therein kept separate from the people who work at WaterOz and the general public?

MR. TAXAY: Objection. Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HOYT: May I be heard?

THE COURT: No. I think you have made your record on that point, comsel. My ruling at sidebar stands.

MR. HOYT: If I might have a moment, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HOYT: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Cross-examination?

MR. TAXAY: No questions.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Houger, you may step down.

Call your next witness.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

her?

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, I don't know if he has arrived yet; but our next witness on the list is Mr. John Harding.

THE COURT: Let's call a different witness.

MR. HOYT: I just don't know. Can I just check and see?

Your Honor, out of five witnesses, I am not sure -- they were traveling some distance to get here. It appears that the next five witnesses are not available.

THE COURT: You do not have anybody else to

MR. HDYT: I don't have anybody else to call at this point.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I think we will take an early lunch hour then. Why don't you plan on being back here at, let's say, 1:00 o'clock?

Have a nice lunch. Remember not to talk about the case. Keep an open mind. Do not talk to anybody or allow anyone to talk with you about the matter. We will see you here at 1:00 o'clock.

(Whorsupon, the following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. NOLAN: I have a brief matter, Your Honor. THE COURT: Before we get there, let me just

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

woman. Whoever this was was with another woman. I thought is it, like, a daughter or whatever; and I just 3 couldn't figure out if it was her or not. The other thing is I didn't see them come in.

I know they were not there when I arrived because we started at 6:00.

And, you know, I just said "Oh, my. Is this her?"

And this morning, I'm still looking. I can't say whether it was her or not. She has a very similar appearance.

You know, if she had seen me, I don't think she would have stayed there. If I had seen her, I certainly would have done scrething to --

THE COURT: You didn't have any contact with

MR. NOLAN: Ch, no contact at all. It's just that she was in the table next to us and could have overheard my convernations. It was a loud restaurant, but she could have overheard conversations.

THE COURT: We can do this, if you would like. I can have Ms. Longstreet bring her out before we bring in the rest of the jury and simply ask her if she saw you at a restaurant last night and if she overheard anything you said to Mr. Rubin.

1593 say that this is not going to happen again. I don't care if you have to have fifteen witnesses stacked up in the hallway; we are not going to rum cut of witnesses and waste the time of the jury and the court.

MR. HOYT: That was our intention, to have fifteen witnesses stacked up out there.

THE COURT: I understand. I want you to have them available so this doesn't happen again, okay?

MR. HOYT: Okay.

5

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NOLAN: Last night I went to dinner about 6:00 o'clock with David Rubin of the Comunity Defender's Office. We had a very nice dirner. I can't remember the name of the restaurant, but it was a very nice restaurant. I'm very careful when I go to places.

THE COURT: You should be, in this community. It's a small town.

MR. NOLAN: I'm very aware of that. We had a lovely dinner. At the end of the dinner, I looked to the table ahead of me -- we were upstairs -- and I saw two people there and I saw a woman that looked like Juror Number 11. And I looked at her and I couldn't --I couldn't -- one from --

THE COURT: Ms. Palmedo?

MR. NCLAN: Yes. And she was with another

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1595

MR. NCLAN: That would be fine. I'm sorry. It didn't happen until the end of the meal. I'm not sure it was her.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, I have had the same conversation with my court staff, when we go out for meals, about being very, very careful about not talking about the case because, in a town of 50,000 people, you never know who may be seated at the next table.

MR. NOLAN: Right.

THE COURT: I appreciate your bringing it to the court's attention.

Ms. Longstreet, we will have Ms. Palmedo come out just briefly before we bring the jury in at 1:00 oʻclock.

Anything else?

We will be in recess until 1:00.

(Lunch recess.)

THE COURT: Why don't you bring in Ms. Palmedo?

Mr. Nolan, did you get the name of that restaurant, by any chance, from Mr. Rubin?

MR. NOLAN: No.

THE COURT: That's all right. I will just ask her if she had dirner at a Boise restaurant.

MR. NOLAN: On Righth Street.

1594

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Did you see Mr. Nolan? TRIAL JUROR PALMEDO: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Did you hear any of the

conversation he was having?

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

TRIAL JUROR PALMEDO: No.

THE COURT: That is all we needed to know. Thank you very much.

TRIAL JUROR PALMEDO: Okay.

(Whereupon, Trial Juror Palmedo was excused from the courtroom; and the following proceedings were held outside the presence of all trial jurors:)

THE COURT: Good eye, Mr. Nolan. Thank you for bringing that to the court's attention.

For the record. I find that there is no potential that the juror heard anything she should not have heard and there is no prejudice to the defendant.

MR. NCIAN: Good. Not only the defendant but I was concerned about anybody who might have seen us and any appearance of impropriety.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. HOYT: My proffer is:

- 1. To give Mr. Farmer's background;
- 2. To give his background related to

Mr. Hinkson;

3. That he has, since the tax case, consulted with WaterOz and is familiar with the economic condition of the company.

He consulted, specifically, as a financial consultant; and he can testify regarding the financial condition and that it is a, quote, "cash cow."

And so it would follow those lines. I mean, it's clearly a part of our defense in the case.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't detect anything relevant in that proffer to this case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard anything. I don't think there is any doubt in the jury's mind, based upon the evidence they have heard so far, that there are substantial volumes of sales at WaterOz.

I do not think we need to get into dollars and cents. I think there is more than enough evidence from which you can argue to the jury that this is a valuable business and to the extent that there is a bias on the part of witnesses like Mr. Bellon and others to try and push Mr. Hinkson out of the control of that business, if there is a financial incentive for them to do that.

1598

At this point, I do not see that Mr. Farmer is adding anything.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I see no problem with the fact

MR. HOYT: Before you do, there is one other

MR. HDYT: Let me finish consulting with

MR. NOLAN: I think that the matter that

Mr. Hoyt wants to bring up we can bring up at a recess and not delay the jury. It is somewhat important, but

THE COURT: We will do it then at the

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I am sorry.

witness is Roy Farmer. I have read his transcript. He testified in the tax case. There is absolutely nothing

in that transcript that indicates to me that he has

anything relevant to say in this case, so I would

MR. SULLIVAN: Counsel informs me the next

THE COURT: What is your proffer, Mr. Hoyt?

THE COURT: That's all right.

that you happened to have dirner at the same restaurant.

THE COURT: Bring in the jury.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes, Sure.

THE COURT: Take your time.

it doesn't need to be dealt with right now.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

matter.

Mr. Nolan one second.

afternoon recess.

request another proffer.

MR. NOLAN: Could I just suggest to you that the arount of financial incentive is a relevant factor? It won't take up a lot of time, but a range?

We have talked about even as much as you get paid \$8 an hour, \$10 an hour. If we are talking about a million dollars a year or half million or something, I mean. I think that's --

THE COURT: I think Mr. Nolan is probably right if his testiment is limited to -- give me the relevant period of time here. Would he have been consulting at about the December 2003 time frame?

MR. NCLAN: His consulting started in May of this year.

THE COURT: 2004?

MR. HOYT: 2004. He did a look back at the records. He is aware of the financial condition through the relevant period.

THE COURT: He will be able to testify what the profits of the company were in 2003?

MR. HOYT: Yes.

THE COURT: I will permit the testimony to that extent, if we can keep Mr. Farmer that short.

All right. Bring in the jury.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1597

11 12 13

14 15

17 18

16

19 20 21

22 23 25

22

23

24

25

THE COURTROOM CLERK: It's the same thing you've got.

Can we turn the witness's screen off?

THE COURT: It is showing something that I don't think is evidence.

MR. HOYT: How could we turn --

MR. NOLAN: I will turn it off. It's off.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nolan.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, are you ready to call your next witness?

MR. HDYT: We are. We would call Mr. Roy Farmer.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. HDYT: Step forward and be sworn.

ROY A. PARMER II.

having been called, sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take the stand.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: If you would, state your full name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Roy A. Farmer II. The last name is spelled F-a-r-m-e-r.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1602

2 4 5 companies. The last one I started was in the late '80s.

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

time, I have been doing financial consulting for a variety of businesses in marketing and sales and financial management and some basic accounting work. I started my own company -- several of my own

I took it public in 1994. I got listed on NASDAQ. I was the chief financial officer of that company and then, ultimately, the chief executive officer of the holding company. And since that time, I

have continued to work in that area. I work now with a company out of Los Angeles, a 75-year-old financial company called Marshall and Stevens. It has nine offices throughout the country.

THE COURT: Two things: One, slow down so the court reporter can get everything you are saying; and, two, wait for Mr. Hoyt to ask you another question. dlav?

THE WITNESS: Cleary.

THE COURT: That is enough background.

BY MR. HOYT:

Mr. Farmer, just to set the stage here, have you worked with turn-arounds of companies that have had financial problems?

A.

And specifically, have you met Mr. Hinkson ٥.

Good afternoon, Mr. Farmer.

BY MR. HOYT:

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon. A.

Where do you live?

I live in Whitebird, Idaho.

O. And do you live in town or somewhere else?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1601

1603

A. No. I live cut of town; and I have some rural property, a cattle ranch.

And do you have any background in the financial world?

Yes. I do.

Can you briefly tell the jury about that?

Yes. I have an Associate's Degree in business and finance, a Bachelor's Degree in business and finance. I did my Master's work for an M.B.A. in finance.

I started out working for an investment banking firm in California. We were, basically, a billion-dollaru-in-sales company. I rose to the level of a senior vice president and then president of one of the operating divisions.

Since that -- during that time and since that

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

hefeme?

A. I have.

And under what context?

He and his family moved into the Grangeville area. My family and I were already there. One of David's daughters is the same age as one of my daughters. And I don't recall exactly where we first

I think we were maybe at, like, a church picnic or a church activity; and our daughters were pretty active together. So I met him there and at various other times after that, going back probably into the late --

THE COURT: That is enough, Mr. Farmer. Wait for the next question.

16 BY MR. HOYT:

When would that have been?

18 About 1998, 1999.

> And since that time, have you kept up some contact with Mr. Hinkson?

Yes. We have seen him around. We have -- I have been to his home. Our daughters have been in various activities and church functions.

During the period of '99 up until 2004, did there come a time when you were asked by Mr. Hinkson's

father to look into the finances of the business, WaterOz?

A. There was.

- Q. And approximately when was that?
- A. In the April, May time frame of 2004.
- Q. And as a result of that, were you able to look at the financial records of WaterOz for the period of 2000 through 2004?
 - Q. Up to that point?
 - A. Yes, up to that point.
- Q. Were you, in fact, hired as a consultant by WaterOz to provide financial consulting services?
 - A. I was.
- Q. Now, can you describe for the jury the relative income of WaterOz, the margin, and the financial condition of the company as you saw it when you were hired as a consultant?

THE COURT: Just a minute Mr. Farmer.

MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor, under
Rule 1006, Rule 16, 702, 703, and 704.

THE COURT: Let me see counsel at sidebar.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, I am assuming that the defense engaged in reciprocal discovery, as the court's

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

purposes of rendering an opinion but, rather, for testifying to his own personal and direct knowledge of the financial condition of the company.

THE CURT: The court misspoke when it used the word "opinion." The rule is quite clear if a witness is going to offer summary testimony, which is what you are about to ask him, in terms of what the total gross sales of the company were and what the profits were, that the other side is entitled to see the underlying records on which the witness's summary testimony is based.

If you have not provided those records to the United States under your reciprocal discovery obligation, I will not permit Mr. Farmer to testify to a summary.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, those documents were provided for that relevant time period. The Government happens to have all of those records that were taken by the Government in the raid and in the tax case. They have all of that information.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know that that's so, Your Honor. Mr. Hoyt is, obviously, referring to the prosecuting agents of the tax case.

That doesn't put me on notice that I should review thousands of pages of documents because they are

orders contemplated. Is that not the case, with regard to Mr. Farmer and the evidence on which he is relying in giving the opinions that he is about to give?

MR. HOYT: I don't think he is going to render an opinion. I think he is going to testify in direct testimony as to what his observations were and what his knowledge is of the company. I don't think he is going to render an opinion, per se.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, he is going to render an opinion based upon his examination of the books and records of WaterOz.

Those books and records should have been provided to the Government for examination because, basically, he is testifying to a summary of the voluminous writings, recordings, et cetera, under Rule 1006.

I don't believe that he should have been noticed as an expert in this area who could render an opinion based upon an examination such as he says he has performed. Rule 16 would have required both. They were not provided.

THE COURT: That was my question.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

MR. HOYT: I agree, Your Honor, that they were not provided. However, it is not being offered for the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

going to ask this witness about those documents. I have not done so. I didn't know to do so. I don't know that

not done so. I didn't know to do so. I don't know that those documents even exist, for that matter.

THE COURT: All right. Here is what I am going to do. Because I do find that there has been a violation of the reciprocal discovery obligations under Rule 16, I am going to permit Mr. Farmer to testify that the business was profitable. But beyond that, I am not going to allow him to get into any greater detail.

MR. NCLAN: Can we get the records delivered to the Government before we close the defense case so that they can take a look at the tax returns and other records that the business has?

I think that -- well, I'm not sure this is a summary witness in that respect. If he knows about the nature of the business, in terms of it generally has this kind of profit margin -- the Government get into these questions on direct examination -- I'm sorry -- on cross-examination -- I'm sorry -- direct examination in their case in chief, how much different items cost to build, I think they were dealing with a particular device, what the cost to build it was, what the cost of the parts were, what it sold for -- they got into that information and that was the same kind because those people just happened to know because they worked on

1608 those products.

THE COURT: Well, that testimony dealt with, as I recall, the oxygenator -- or the ozone generator. This is a very different question.

This is a witness who is going to testify to the overall profitability of the company and give a summary conclusion in gross figures as to what those numbers are.

The court has ruled, and I am going to stand by that ruling.

MR. SULLIVAN: I have an additional ground to admitting testimony that it was profitable. I think the reason that testimony is sought is to, again, give a basis for an argument that all would try to take the property because it's profitable.

The flaw in that logic is they can't show, at least through this witness, that Bellon, et al., knew that the company was profitable. They have to make a logical connection there before this becomes relevant.

THE COURT: As I say, under 608 (b), this is clearly a collateral area. I think it is relevant enough with regard to the motive that, if he establishes that, based upon his review of the records, the company was profitable, that will give the defense enough in order make the argument to the jury.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

to arrive at what is known as net income or net margin for the company.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, I will allow you to ask a leading question to elicit the answer that the court ruled you would be permitted to elicit.

BY MR. HOYT:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2

5

10

11

12 13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

- Based upon that information, did you determine whether the company was profitable?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Did you have any recommendations for the company to make it more profitable?

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That is not relevant, counsel. Sustained.

MR. HOYT: One moment, please, Your Honor.

MR. NCLAN: Thank you.

MR. HDYT: Thank you, Your Honor. No more questions.

THE COURT: Very well. Cross-examination?

BY MR. HOYT: Now, in your review of the records -- in your Q. review of the company, did you feel that it was being run in the most efficient manner? No. I --MR. SULLIVAN: Object, Your Honor. Irrelevant. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. HOYT: Did you have some recommendations as to how the company could be run more efficiently? MR. SULLIVAN: Same objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Same ruling. BY MR. HOYT: In your review of the records, did you 19 determine whether or not the company was profitable? I did. 21 Okay. What was that determination based on? ٥. A review of the financial information that was compiled, including the checks and invoices that were written, allowing you to figure out what the cost of 25 goods sold were and the general administrative expenses,

That is my ruling.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

ο.

A.

months?

hald in open court, in the presence of the jury:)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were

_	<u>- </u>				
GNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309					
	CROSS BXAMINATION	161			
BY MR. S	ULLIVAN:				
Q.	Good afternoon, sir.				
A.	Good afternoon.				
Q.	You say that Mr. Roland Hinkson asked you to				
conduct this examination?					
A.	He did.				
Q.	He is the father of David Hinkson; correct?				
A.	Yes.				
Q.	And you conducted this examination last year?				
A.	Yes.				
Q.	Starting when?				
A.	About May of 2004.				
Q.	And how long did it take you to conduct the				
procedur	res of examining the books and records? Is that				
a					
A.	Several months. I think I finished most of				
the work	about September or October.				
Q.	Would your examination have included hundreds				
of documents?					

It would have included the course -- the

And that took you -- I'm sorry -- how many

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Well, I didn't work full time at it; but there

compilation of hundreds of documents.

were other assignments. It was about, I guess, four or five months. Now, was the examination conducted because the management of the company didn't know whether the company was profitable or not? I don't believe that that was the motivation, Do you know whether they knew whether or not Q. the company was profitable? 9 10 I believe they did know. Did they know to any detail or degree? 11 Q. 12 I wouldn't know about that. 13 Who was managing the company in 2004? Mr. Greg Towerton, the general manager of the 14 A. 15 сопрацу. 16 Do you know his background? Q. 17 I think so. A good part of it. You knew that he didn't have any background in 18 19 running a business; correct? I did know that. 20 A. Did you think that he didn't really know what 21 was going on in his own business -- or in this business, 22 23 rather? 24 At the time frame you are referring to in May, he was just recently made the general manager. And QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HOYT:

```
1614
              Mr. Farmer, given the fact that you conducted
3
        ο.
    your examination for approximately four or five
    morths -- October, November December -- let's see --
5
     from May through approximately September of '04, what
     did you find with reference to the profitability of the
     company?
               MR. SULLIVAN: Object, Your Honor, based on --
 9
               THE COURT: Sustained.
10
    BY MR. HOYT:
11
12
              And in your examination of the records of the
     company, did you feel that there were -- and that was --
13
14
     you said you examined hundreds of documents for that
     purpose; is that correct?
15
16
        A.
17
               And did you find that the company could be
        0.
     better managed if certain practices were adopted?
18
19
               MR. SULLIVAN: I object.
               THE COURT: It is not relevant. Sustained.
20
21
     BY MR. HOYT:
22
               How profitable was the company?
               MR. SULLIVAN: I object.
23
24
               THE COURT: I have already ruled on that,
25
     counsel. Sustained.
```

1613 prior to that, he was denied access to the books -- some of the books and records. It wasn't his job, and he was 2 3 not given the reins of the company to manage. Was there some former or prior management? 5 Yes, there were. Do you know whether they were aware of the profitability of the company? I don't. I assume Mr. Hinkson would, but the 9 other people that were involved -- I never have met 10 them. 11 ٥. So you don't know whether they knew or didn't 12 know about the profitability, or lack thereof, of the 13 сопрану? I wouldn't know. 14 A. 15 MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing else, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, anything further? 17 MR. HDYT: I believe some things have been opened up. 18 19 THE COURT: Let me hear questions on redirect. 20 21 22 23 24 25

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

```
1615
              MR. HOYT: One moment, please, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Certainly.
              MR. HOYT: Your Honor, no more questions.
              THE COURT: Very well. May the witness be
    excused?
 5
 ĸ
              MR. SULLIVAN: I have no questions, Your
    Honor.
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Farmer. You are
    excused.
10
              Your next witness?
              MR. HDYT: Call Fay Hinkson.
11
12
              THE COURT: Mrs. Hinkson, would you step
    forward, please, to be sworn?
13
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please raise your right
14
15
    hand.
                             . . .
16
17
                        FAY K. HINKSON,
18
    having been called, sworm, testified as follows:
19
              THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take
    the stand. Please state your name and spell your last
20
    name for the record.
21
              THE WITNESS: My name is Fay K. Hinkson,
22
23
    H-i-n-k-s-o-n.
24
```

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I will allow it.

MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

THE WITNESS: David lacked social skills that

Colorado?

22

23

24

25

23

24 25

1618 He joined the Army -- the Navy when he was 1 seventeen. And then, in the Navy, he received his GED. 2 And so he got his certificate of graduation through GED. 3 Was there a military conflict going on at the 5 time he joined the Navy? MR. TAXAY: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. HOYT: 8 Do you know what year it was that he joined ٥. 9 the Navy? 10 MR. TAXAY: Same objection. 11 THE COURT: I will allow that. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not sure. Well, he 14 was seventeen. I will have to figure it out, I guess. Since he was seventeen and he was born in '56 --15 16 BY MR. HOYT: Would that have been about 1973? 17 ٥. 18 1973, yes. A. And referring to the Navy, approximately how 19 20 long was he in the Navy? 21 About eighteen months. The Korean War ended about that time, and so he received an early release. 22

1617 started showing up early on in his life; and, because of that, he was picked on by his peers and made fun of because he was different from the other children. 3 MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Non-responsive. THE COURT: I will sustain that. The jury will disregard the answer. Mrs. Hinkson, you have to answer the question that Mr. Hoyt asks you. 9 10 Do you want to try to rephrase your question, 11 counsel? 12 MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 ٥. Mrs. Hinkson, tell me about what interests David had when he was growing up. 14 15 He was very interested in things that had to do with science. And as a young child, he asked for a 16 17 chemistry set; and we gave him one and a little shop and an apron that he used to play scientist. 18 19 0. Has he followed that through in his adult 20 years? 21 A. Yes. He started experimenting with all kinds of products; and, probably, WaterOz is a result of his 22 23 interest in this area.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

school?

Let's see. Did he actually graduate from high

1619

BY WR. HOYT:		
Q.	1973, okay. You said eighteen months.	
Mrs. Hinkson, did he return to Ouray, Colorado, after he		
came out of the Navy?		
A.	Yes.	
Q.	Do you know what job he had, what area he	
served in in the Navy?		
A.	He was a helicopter mechanic. He serviced the	
helicopters.		
Q.	And, Ms. Hinkson, when he came home to Ouray,	
Colorado,	what activity or what occupation did he pick	
up then?		
A.	He started a business with his two brothers,	
running a service station and a wrecker for the		
community, the county.		
Q.	And then, at some point, did he marry Marie	
Hinkson?		
A.	Yes.	
ō.	And did he move to Las Vegas?	
A.	Yes. They moved to Las Vegas.	
	MR. HOYT: Now, if we could have an adjustment	
in the lights, please, I would like to show a few		
photographs and have the witness identify them.		
	THE COURT: Well, let's have her identify them	

MR. TRWAY: Objection. Non-responsive.

THE COURT: Sustained.

first, before we display them.

1620 1 MR. NOLAN: I'm just trying to get the --THE COURT: Okay, fine. Thank you, counsel. MR. NOLAN: I hope this doesn't come up on the main screen. Judge. THE COURT: All right. MR. NOLAN: Good. I did that right. 6 THE COURT: Nicely done, Mr. Nolan. 7 8 MR. NOLAN: Thank you. MR. HOYT: All right. Your Honor, I think we are ready to proceed. 10 11 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Hoyt. BY MR. HOYT: 12 13 Mrs. Hinkson, you have before you on the 14 screen what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit A-1. 15 Can you identify that for me, please? Yes. That's a family picture of our entire 16 A. family. 17 18 ο. And approximately what year would that have been taken? 19 '66, about. 20 Where were you living at that time? 21 Q. Well, I think we were still living in Long 22 Beach, California. 23 24 MR. HDYT: And, Your Honor, we would ask to move the admission of A-1 and ask to publish to the 25

ONA COURT EXPORTING (208) 484-6309

1622 THE COURT: Very well. 1 BY MR. HOYT: 2 Thank you. And, Mr. Hinkson, can you identify 3 ο. Exhibit A-7 for us, please? It's a picture of David and his family in 5 A. 1992. MR. HDYT: Move the admission of A-7. 7 MR. TAXAY: No objection. 8 THE COURT: Very well. Exhibit A-7 is admitted. 10 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. A-7 was 11 received in evidence.) 12 MR. HOYT: If I may publish, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 15 BY MR. HOYT: 16 And David, obviously, is in the back row there; is that right? 17 18 A. And that would be his wife, his ex-wife, 19 Marie? 20 21 A. 22 And then the four individuals depicted, the children in the foreground, those are his children? 23 24 A. 25 And does he have another child? Q.

1621 1 jury. 2 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Taxay? 3 MR. TAXAY: Relevance. THE COURT: I am going to allow this one, but 5 I think we are going to limit the family photographs to one or two. MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. 9 MR. HOYT: May I publish? 10 THE COURT: You may. A-1 is admitted. 11 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit No. A-1 was 12 received in evidence.) BY MR. HOYT: 13 And, Ms. Hinkson, you have indicated this is a 15 family picture. I am going to -- I am going to try, 16 with an arrow -- the person, the child depicted immediately to your left where the green arrow is, would 17 18 that be David? 19 Yes. That's David, our oldest child. 20 Approximately what age was he at that time? He looks like he was about ten. 21 MR. HOYT: All right. Now, let's see if we 22 23 can just look at another exhibit here. If it please the court. I would like to show to the witness what has been 24 marked as Exhibit A-7 25

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1623 Yes. There was one more born after this 1 picture was taken. 2 3 Good. Now, Mrs. Hinkson, where was this photograph taken, to the best of your knowledge? 5 In Grangeville. 6 And what year did he move to Grangeville? o. Oh, this couldn't have been -- he didn't move 7 to Grangeville in '92, did he? I don't -- let's see. A When did he move from Las Vegas to Grangeville? About 9 1994 or '95, I think. So this might have been taken 10 11 when he was still living in Nevada. I'm not sure. All right. And referring, now, to the time 12 13 that he lived in Nevada, can you tell the jury, briefly, 14 what business he was engaged in there? 15 That's where he started experimenting with his chemicals and his health products. He also had a 16 laundry facility where he did bedspreads for the 17 casinos, and he was a documen for one of the casinos. 18 And did he come up with some inventive new 19 cleaning products to clean the bedspreads of the 20 21 casinos? 22 Yea, he did. 23 MR. TAXAY: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will 24 disregard the question and the answer. 25

162B

24

25

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TAXAY: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: I will permit that question, if she knows.

THE WITNESS: Well, yes, he did. Can I say what he did?

THE COURT: That is the answer. Now let Mr. Hoyt ask another question.

MR. TAXAY: We would also object on the grounds of foundation. Lack of foundation.

THE COURT: I will overrule it on foundation. BY MR. HOYT:

٥. All right. What politics did he get involved in in the Grangeville area?

He got involved in trying to get Dermis Albers from being re-elected for prosecuting attorney, for prosecutor, for DA. A letter came to his attention.

THE COURT: I think that is the answer.

Next question, Mr. Hoyt?

BY MR. HOYT:

All right. Now, have you followed your son's 0. career in the WaterOz business up in the Grangeville area?

A. Yes.

And could you describe for the jury how his

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE WITNESS: No. never.

THE COURT: Mrs. Hinkson?

BY MR. HOYT:

And, Mrs. Hinkson, was David prone to sharing with you his frustration with Dennis Albers and other people that he was involved with legally?

Oh, yes, all the time. David is very verbal about his feelings. His way of releasing his feelings is to be verbal about them.

And can you tell the jury what types of things David would say to you when he was expressing those feelings?

MR. TAXAY: Objection.

THE COURT: Let me see coursel at sidebar.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: I allowed you to elicit the opinion from his mother that he has a peaceful nature. You are now seeking to elicit, as I understand your questioning, hearmay as to what the defendant told his mother about various thingu.

I do not know under what Rule of Evidence that's admissible. I have given you a fair amount of lessay; but you are pushing it, Mr. Hoyt.

MR. NOLAN: If I can just have a moment? I

business grew from the time that he moved up there until the present time?

MR. TAXAY: Objection. Foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. HOYT:

1

2

3

5

6

7

я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

ĸ 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1630

Have you been in contact with your son throughout the years since he moved away from home initially -- I think you indicated -- to Las Vegas?

Ch, absolutely. I talk to him all the time and visit him.

And in any of those contacts, has he indicated to you any violent tendencies?

David has no violent --

MR. TAXAY: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

16 BY MR. HOYT:

> Have you ever known him to commit any violent act against another person?

A. No.

MR. TAXAY: Objection.

THE COURT: I will permit that question and the answer to stand.

THE WITNESS: Can I elaborate on that, sir? THE COURT: No. That's enough. Wait for the next question.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

don't disagree with the court and I appreciate -- we appreciate that.

I think that his statements are not hearnay as to feelings about Albers. So if the question is, "What do you remember him saying about Demnis Albers?" and there is any other specific person -- but I don't think there is -- I think that that would be as far as we should be going.

THE COURT: That is why I permitted the question with regard to Albers. If you want to ask her that question, I will permit it. Anything more general, I think, is not permitted under the rules.

MR. TAXAY: So we are clear, Your Honor, what question are you going to permit?

THE COURT: You may ask her if she had any conversations with her son with regard to his feelings about Dennis Albers. That question I will permit.

MR. NCLAN: Will you allow him to say what he said about Demis Albers?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HOYT: What about the -- he is charged with the federal officials. Same questions with respect to --

THE COURT: If she has relevant testimony with regard to what he said about them, that is all right, as

1631

1629

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

BY MR. HOVE

Good afternoon, Mr. Hirkson. Good afternoon. sir.

1640

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1642

Good afternoon. A.

Ο. Mr. Hinkson, do you reside in Ouray, Colorado, with Fay Hinkson?

Yes, I do. A.

What type of business are you engaged in there?

I'm Chairman of the Board of the Hinkson A. Development Corporation and various other corporations.

And what type of business have you engaged in throughout your lifetime?

All types of businesses have been rather extensive. Probably, considering my earlier years, Hinkson -- I have always been self-employed, pretty

I did have a part-time job when we first started our hisinesses. I have been into sales. I was a distributor for the Fulltex vacuum cleaner out of the State of Utah when I was going to college; and then, later. I started my own construction company.

I was a demolition contractor in California, in Long Beach, California, and then I became -- I became

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2

10 11 12

14 15 16

17

13

18 19 20

25

successful people; and I got involved with that. There's a gentleman named George Mitzel who we went into business with. He had lost his -- he had been a multi-millionaire. He lost most of his money but he still had --

THE COURT: Mr. Hinkson, if you would, confine your answer to the question. What kind of businesses did you and your son engage in, just generally?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know exactly how to describe the business. Clay. We developed -- we took on a cleaning plant. That was one thing that we did with the three of us, George Mitzel, David, and I. BY MR. HOYT:

Did he help manage an apartment building for a Q. while there?

Yes. I had bought a twenty-unit apartment house. He managed it.

And did he run a home for the mentally Q. disabled?

He did. Earlier, in Montrose, Colorado, for A. Later, in Las Vegas, he did it on his own.

Are you aware of your son's movement into the area of nutritional health, minerals, and the making of products in that area?

Yes, certainly. A.

a general building contractor there for a number of vears.

I also had sales organizations. Very -- just quite a numerous amount of things. I was the -- I guess I should -- that -- as far as the his nesses we have

And has Hinkson Development Company had any relationship with constructing things for the City of Onav?

A. Yes. We installed the sewer system for the City of Ouray. We donated it to them. It was not an expensive donation. It was \$40,000. We also donated --

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to this testimony. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. I think this is enough background, Mr. Hoyt. Let's move on. BY MR. HOYT:

Mr. Hinkson, you heard the testimony of your wife regarding David and his earlier years?

I was out getting some papers for you, so I didn't hear all of it.

Mr. Hinkson, if you could, describe for the jury the kinds of business activities, briefly, that you and your son David have engaged in together.

In Las Vecas, David had met, some very

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

At one point in time, did your son suffer from Q. 1 cancer?

> A. Skin cancer.

And how did his skin cancer relate to the products that he discovered?

I think that's what got him really motivated and trying to uncover cures.

And are you aware of the fact that, at some point in time, he started a husiness called WaterOz?

A. Yes. I am.

Q. And where was he when he started that business?

He was in Las Vegas when he first started, and then he was developing quite a successful program and was interested in locating outside of Las Vegas. So he then developed it and started building and going in earnest in the Grangeville area.

Now, while in Las Vegas, did you become aware that he became involved in some political issues that he was concerned about?

Yes. I am aware.

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. Irrelevant.

THE COURT: I sustained that objection, and I will sustain it again.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

B

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 11 12

13 15

20 21

22 23 24

25

1 2

3

14 15 16

17

13

18

MR. HOYT: May we have a sidebar, please, Your

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: If we are getting into the turtles and the leafleting, I have heard this testimony before. Unless you have come up with something new, I don't see how it is relevant to any of the issues in the case.

MR. HDYT: It's extremely relevant, Your Honor. This is the essense of the case. This is how this man relates when he feels that there has been corruption in government or there has been some other problem, such as Mr. Albers.

He attacks the problem with either some type of a court suit or some type of a public statement or, as you say, the leafleting, distributing. This is the morner in which this man deals with things.

Now, whether he is peaceful or not and whether people think he is peaceful is an opinion. This is proof of the manner in which this man deals with life and its frustrations.

To deny us the opportunity to present this kind of evidence, to deny the apportunity to present his defense --

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

think we were in the middle of this sidebar with regard to the objection by the Government to the relevance of activities involving desert turtles and leafleting in Las Vegas about which the court heard a great deal of testimony during the tax trial.

Go ahead, Mr. Nolan.

MR. NCLAN: Yes. I hope this isn't inappropriate; but I have a problem and that is that I assume people know everything I know and I'm not very good, in my office, at telling people what to do because it just seems so obvious to me. The court has heard all of this.

One of the things -- and I talked about this in my opening statement because I felt it was important. We sometimes forget that the jury hasn't necessarily beard it at all.

In other words, they heard it in the opening statement; and I think that just facts -- if we just say that there was an issue, that he took on the issue, that he used litigation, he used leaflets -- I mean, I don't know whether we can get it by stipulation or whatever, but I think that that alone is all right.

I think that the problem is that sometimes we want to say too much and get into it in too much depth. So my suggestion is, merely because we all know it, it

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, there is no logical correction to leap to the conclusion that, because one files lawsuits, even many lawsuits, that one wouldn't, therefore, threaten the life of a Federal official or solicit someone to take the life of a Federal official. There is a complete logic gap between the two.

1645

MR. NCLAN: One statement: The Government has said that they heard, "I will sit on it," instead of, "I'll sue them."

The issue of whether or not his response to offers to kill samebody or I will sue them, the background of his litigation, I think, is relevant to show that it's true; that he said, "I will sue them," rather than, "I will sit on it."

THE COURT: How much more do we need beyond what the jury has already heard with regard --

(Whereupon, the court turned to the jury and stated the following:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, if you would like to take a brief recess, please go right ahead. I will finish up with the lawyers.

(Phereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroca; and the following proceedings were held:)

> MR. NOLAN: Could I make a statement? THE COURT: Yes. All right. Let's see. I

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

doesn't necessarily mean that, maybe, the jury shouldn't hear something about it. That's all.

I hope the court appreciates what I'm trying to say because, you know, the problem is that sometimes we overestimate what the jury picks up and scretimes we underestimate.

One of the problems of sitting back and watching it is, "My God," you know. If the jury could push a button to say, "I've heard enough," I would love to have that happen.

THE COURT: You might be surprised at how quickly they push the button.

MR. NOLAN: Absolutely.

THE COURT: I will let the Government finish making its record, if they have anything further to add.

MR. SULLIVAN: The jury has heard that Mr. Hinkson is very litigious. They have already heard that he engaged in politics in Las Vegas, from the previous witness. They have heard that he sued the Federal officials.

If they want to prove that he is litigious, there is tons of evidence in this case that he sues people and counterrues people or he gets sued. Everyone sues everybody in Northern Idaho is the conclusion the jury may get out of all of this.

There is plenty for them to make any argument they want to support their defense. Much of the tape itself has several instances of Mr. Hinkson saying, "I'm suing them."

The one that was in contention, because Agent Long thought it might be something else, is not the only reference to suing. There are three or four other references of Mr. Hinkoon saying, "I'm suing them."

There is one reference about J. C. Harding saying, "You know what I mean. The three wisemen."

That's followed by an, "I'm suing them," reference.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, gentlemen, anticipating that this issue might come up, because I do have the advantage of having heard a substantial amount of this testimony before during the tax trial over which I presided, my research confirms what I think you all know. I clearly have the discretion to limit cumulative testimony.

I looked at United States vs. Henry at 560 F.2d 963, 965 to 66, Ninth Circuit, a 1977 case; and Loux, L-o-u-x, vs. the United States, 389 F.2d 911, 917, Ninth Circuit, 1968.

The court has discretion to limit both the number of witnesses and the scope of a witness's

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1650

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he engages in all sorts of activities which are perfectly legal to engage in, whether it be in the form of supporting political opponents, people that he dislikes, such as Mr. Albers; filing lawsuits against investigating state and federal officials who are investigating his business; filing lawsuits against people who he thought were trying to take his company from him; or becoming involved in litigation with ex-employees; suing federal officers.

The jury clearly has the picture that

Mr. Hinkson is the type of a person who doesn't hesitate
to invoke any and every legal remedy and

First-Amendment-protected weapon at his disposal in
order to address the problems that he perceived to be
affecting him.

So unless you can convince me that his efforts to save the desert turtles or to sue the Clark County Board of Supervisors for passing a county ordinance concerning limiting leafleting on sidewalks is any different from what the jury has already heard, I am going to limit this testimony.

I will allow Mr. Nolan, if you would like to address this point, to answer those three questions. Be my guest.

MR. NCLAN: The concern I have is the

testimony so long as relevant testimony is not limited and the defendant is not prejudiced and the jury has enough information to evaluate the credibility of the

Two additional cases for that point are United States vs. Bensinon, B-e-n-s-i-n-o-n, 172 F.3d, 1121, 1128, Ninth Circuit, 1999; and, also, United States vs. Scholl, S-c-h-o-l-l, 166 F.3d 964, 972, Ninth Circuit, 1999.

In order to consider admitting the proffered testimony with regard to testimony with regard to the defendant's political activities in Nevada, the burden, as I understand it, is on the defendant to show what additional critical or exculpatory evidence will be reviewed by these witnesses or on this subject matter;

Secondly, what prejudice to the defendant will result if the number or scope of the examination of the witnesses is restricted; and

Third, what information regarding the biases and prejudices of witnesses who have already testified on behalf of the United States will be revealed by the additional witnesses or testimony.

The court believes, based upon what the jury has heard so far, that there is a substantial amount of evidence in the record that the defendant is litigious;

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1651

prejudice to Mr. Hinkson, in light of the opening statement. The jury heard my opening statement. My credibility and the credibility of Mr. Hoyt is always in question in a case like this.

My experience -- the last time I selected a jury in Federal Court, we asked them, "How many people think defense lawyern are less credible than the prosecution?" We had all sorts of people answer that way. I am concerned about that.

I feel that we made a very concise opening statement to a particular issue. The problem could be solved by a simple paragraph or a simple recitation. The court has been kind enough to allow the parents to be in the courtroom.

That's my main concern. I mean, I also think that what happens scretimes is that the prosecution is able to put on their evidence in the way they want and, because the issues are then raised through that cross-examination, we are not able to kind of have the same kind of impact.

I actually think that his turtle thing shows an anti-government issue, shows a use of litigation as a tool, no indication of going after any officials or any other kind of violence. At that time, he wasn't rich.

At this time. I would ask the court to find an

alternative to this issue because I really don't like to argue to a jury where I haven't been able to prove what, at the time, I thought was proper and was not objected to at the time I made the opening statement.

So that's my pitch.

R

THE CURT: I think the record will reflect that the court has been very careful in trying to preserve any attacks on coursel. I specifically have in mind the incident that arose on cross-examination of Mr. Swisher.

Certainly, I am trying, to the best of my ability, to give Mr. Hinkson the fairest trial that I can; and I do not think it advances the cause to embarrass the lawyers on either side of the case.

I did tell the jury in their preliminary instructions -- and I will tell them again in their closing instructions -- that the statements of the lawyers, both in the opening and closing arguments, are not evidence in the case.

I do not know that that necessarily saves you from the embarrassment of having made statements during your opening that the jury just does not hear any evidence about; but, frankly, I think, based upon the instructions that we are going to give them on that point, it will not be as embarrassing and will not be

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

environmental litigation fee, was being charged to land conners at the rate of \$500 an acre to put an out building or to -- for a unit price of \$500 to add a hot water heater to their structure, that appeared to be an exception price.

He researched it. He found out that the

He researched it. He found out that the Bureau of Land Management was working with the local Clark County officials, that this fund was designated as an environmental impact so that the money could be put away to purchase a habitat for the alleged endangered turtle.

He found out that the desert turtle was not an endangered creature. He found out through his research that the funds were being put into an account where they had accountated, at that point, about 80 million dollars; that that 80 million dollars was being used by officials to purchase areas that would become future on- and off-ramps for a new highway that was going in, rather than purchasing habitat lands for the turtle; and that, in his opinion, the local citizens were being ripped off by the Government.

In order to expose this, he prepared written documents and purchased a printing press and he replicated those documents. As the court has said, he went leafleting. In fact, he had those documents

prejudicial to the defendant, as you say.

If there is some kind of a stipulation that the parties can work out, I am happy to entertain it; but, at this point, I still do not see what additional or exculpatory evidence is going to be revealed by going into these particular incidents that is not already before the jury in spades.

And I really don't think it is going to help the jury resolve the issues in the Indictment that they have to decide.

MR. NOLAN: I'm not arguing with the court. I would say that I have no objection to what the court has been trying to do.

When I said the jury comes to these conclusions, it's not because of anything the court has done. The court has done everything it can to equalize and to make sure that there is not inappropriate or improper adverse inferences to counsel.

Mr. Hoyt does have an offer of proof, I think.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Hoyt.

MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor.

At this time, the defendant would offer the following: Mr. Roland C. Hinkson would testify that his son David, when having discovered in the Las Vegas area that what has been known as "the turtle tax," an

QNA COURT REPORTENS (208) 484-6309

distributed by people in Las Vegas and around Clark County.

He even went and found homeless people that would distribute them. 600,000 of these pamphlets were prepared and distributed.

As a result of that, he had the impact of, he believed, four of the five members of the County Commissioners not obtaining re-election.

He fights what he believes to be government corruption or corruption at any level, even if it's private corruption, such as with respect to Mr. Albers, what he believed was corruption there, in a peaceful way, petitioning, using the power of disseminating information, the power of free speech.

And we believe that is significant, critical, and exculpatory evidence as to the manner in which Mr. Hinkson has, in the past, dealt with highly conflicted insues.

It's prejudicial to him to be restricted from introducing this information because it shows a pattern of behavior on his part; and it would definitely support his defense that he did not make any true threats, nor did he issue forth any solicitation for murder.

Rather, he solicited, as in the case of Dermis
Albers, for and obtained petitions against him, et

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

cetera. This is the manner in which this man deals with these conflicts.

So that's the offer.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than ten years ago?

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, one thing I should ask for clarification. What was the date of the defendant's activities in Nevada? Are we talking early '90s?

MR. HDYT: Yes. To my knowledge, that occurred in the early 1990s, like '92, '93, '94.

THE COURT: That is what I remembered, I thought it was more than ten years ago.

Does the Government wish to add anything for the record?

MR. SULLIVAN: We would add an additional ground. This is, basically, propensity evidence that would be excludable under 404(b).

They are trying to argue that, because he acted in a certain way in the past, it is logical to assume that he acted in a similar way later on and in the charged time period.

The two situations aren't even comparable. In the first situation, he is not being the targeted -- he is not being a target of a criminal investigation. He is not personally being threatened by federal officers to be indicted and put in jail.

So trying to compare the two is apples and

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

court excluded the -- the court is excluding the evidence of the turtle tax issue which was more than ten years ago but, then, is the same exclusion going to apply to the petition against Mr. Albern, which was less

THE CURT: No. I think the evidence with regard to the petition against Mr. Albers is part and parcel of the totality of the circumstances that the jury has to consider in determining whether or not whatever it was that Mr. Hinkson said was, in fact, the solicitation of the murder and a true threat.

So in that respect, I think it does go to his intent or state of mind; and that evidence is admissible as to what happened in regard to the Idaho County Prosecuting Attorney election.

But you are not convincing me that 404(a) (1) does not apply. Also, under Rule 403, I think that this will be unduly delaying, a waste of time, and a needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

So the court's ruling is that this evidence is not admissible and is excluded.

MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, may I raise a related issue?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. TAXAY: With respect to the propensity

oranges. That's really what they are trying to do. I would call it inadmissible and propensity evidence.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1658

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, 404(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Evidence provides that evidence of a person's character or a trait of character is not admissible for the purpose of proving action and conformity therewith on a particular occasion except:

 Character of the accused, evidence of a pertinent trait of character offered by an accused or by the prosecution to rebut the same or if evidence of a trait of character of the alleged victim of the crime is offered by an accused and admitted under Rule 404(a)(2), evidence of the same trait of character of the accused offered by the prosecution.

As I read that rule, I think Mr. Sullivan is correct. What is your response? That rule seems to preclude exactly the kind of evidence that you are proffering here.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, I think part of our defense is that Mr. Hinkson has a personality that is of a particular kind and this propensity evidence objection, I think, is inapplicable here.

I think that it is not character evidence but it is, rather, evidence to demonstrate how he deals with -- and, specifically, I'm just wondering -- the

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

evidence, the Government would add an objection to
Dr. Doke's testimony based upon this very rule that you
are citing here.

THE COURT: We are going to take up Dr. Doke after we hold a Daubert hearing. It is not quite that easy, Mr. Taxay. So you may have to prepare yourself for Dr. Doke. Prepare yourself for that eventuality.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Hoyt, you may proceed. MR. HOYT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q. Mr. Hinkson, after your son moved to the Grangeville area of Idaho, did you become aware that he had been sued by someone named Armette Hasalone?

A. Yes

Q. And did you learn the name of her attorney?

A. Yez, I did.

Q. And who is that?

A. Demis Albers.

Q. Now, were you aware that there was a conflict between Dennis Albert and your son?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Now, at some point in time, the lawsuit was over. However, Mr. Albers was up for election; is that correct?

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.	inac's right.
Q.	Mr. Hinkson.

Q. Mr. Hinkson, what, if anything, did your son do with reference to the Albers election?

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Lack of foundation.
THE COURT: I will overrule the objection.

Actually, if you can provide a foundation, Mr. Hoyt, that would be helpful.

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Thank you. Mr. Hinkson, were you aware that your son was concerned about Mr. Albers being elected?

A. Yes.

Q. And were you aware that your son did not want Mr. Albern to be elected?

A. That's right.

Q. And that your son became active in a campaign to prevent Mr. Albers from being elected?

A. That's true.

Q. Why don't you tell the jury what your son did?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object. No establishment of personal knowledge.

THE COURT: Can we establish what, if anything, Mr. Hinkson had to do with his son's involvement with that election? Let's start there.

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Mr. Hinkson, how did you become aware of your

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: First, ask him if he knows what was done.

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Do you know what was done with the documents?

A. Yes, I do.

2. And what was done with them?
MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. No foundation.
THE COURT: How does he know?

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Mr. Hinkson, how do you know what was done with the documents?

A. Through the testimony of those people who participated in the mailing out of the document to the electorate.

MR. SULLIVAN: I move to exclude this area.

THE COURT: I am not excluding the area, but I will strike the question and the answer because it is based on hearsay.

BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Did you participate in the mailing out of documents?

A. I did not, personally.

Q. Did you discuss this matter with Mr. Joe Swisher?

A. Yes, I did.

son's involvement in this campaign? 2 He called me on the phone and told me. 3 And after he told you, did he then indicate to you in what marmer he would be opposing the election? 5 THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Hinkson. MR. SULLIVAN: Object to the hearsay. out-of-court statements. THE COURT: Sustained. It is hearsay. BY MR. HOYT: 9 10 0. Did you personally review any documents 11 related to the Albers election? 12 Yes, I did. 13 And what documents did you review? MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. It's 14 15 also hearsay. THE COURT: Well, I will allow him to identify 16 17 the type of document without eliciting the content of 18 the document. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. It was a document from 20 the Supreme Court of Idaho and the Bar Association. 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hinkson. BY MR. HOYT: 22 23 And, to your knowledge, what was done with O. 24 these documents? 25 MR. SULLIVAN: Foundation, Your Honor.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1663 MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. Irrelevant. 3 THE COURT: Well, it calls for hearsay. I will sustain the objection. MR. HOYT: It goes to bias. It goes to impeachment of Mr. Swisher. 7 THE COURT: All right. Ask your next 8 question. 9 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, could we go to 10 sidebar? 11 THE COURT: I think I'd better hear it. 12 (Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion 13 was held outside the presence of the jury:) 14 MR. SULLIVAN: It was already established that 15 Mr. Swisher didn't like Dennis Albers either, so any 16 questioning about this isn't going to impeach Joe Swisher in any fashion. 17 MR. HOYT: He said he did not participate in 18 the letter campaign. He said his parents did. In fact, 19 the testimony will be that Mr. Swisher personally 20 participated. 21

regard to the pending question, which is, "Did you have

a conversation with Mr. Swisher?" What is his answer

THE COURT: But what is he going to say with

22

23

24

25

going to be?

11 12 13

15 16 17

14

23

24

25

12 14 15

16

10

11

17

MR. HOYT: I don't know.

THE COURT: Then I will sustain the objection. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)

BY MR. HOYT:

Did Mr. Swisher indicate to you --MR. SULLIVAN: I object to the leading.

THE COURT: It is leading. Sustained.

BY MR. HOYT:

ο. What did you learn from Mr. Swisher regarding his participation in this letter campaign?

That's a different question.

THE COURT: Yes, but it still calls for

hearsay. The objection is sustained.

MR. HDYT: I thought the court approved the bias and the impeachment aspect of that question.

THE COURT: You didn't listen to what I said at sidebar. The objection is sustained. BY MR. HOYT:

Mr. Hinkson, tell us about the business WaterOz. Have you been involved in that business over the last two years since your son has been imprisoned?

It's hard to answer. The extent of my involvement has been in trying to uncover anything that was improper and to appoint, on David's behalf, as his

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1666

THE COURT: Mr. Hinkson, you can step down. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Step down, you say?

THE COURT: Yes, for the recess. Come back in fifteen minutes.

Mr. Sullivan, I believe you were in the process of stating an objection. If not, let me raise the concern the court has.

Mr. Hoyt, it was my understanding that Mr. Hinkson, Sr., at some point, was designated as a legal assistant or somehow affiliated with the defense team in this action or, at least, as I understand from the Marshal's Service, that representation was made to the jail commander.

MR. HOYT: It was.

THE COURT: My concern, based upon the broad question that you just asked, "Did you participate in the defense of the claim by Mr. Bellon?" is that you may be doing something I don't think you want to do or intend to do, and that is to waive the attorney-client privilege and open up Mr. Hinkson, Sr., to cross-examination on matters that may very well implicate the Sixth Amendment.

So before you go much further, I would ask you to think about that. If you want to confer over the

mouthpiece, those people who should be running the company. That's the extent.

Were you personally sued, named in a lawsuit, by Mr. Bellon when he sought to take over WaterOz in December of 2003?

1665

1667

MR. SULLIVAN: Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HOYT:

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

And did you participate in the defense of the 0. claim by Mr. Bellon?

Would you repeat that?

Did you participate in the defense of the Q. claim by Mr. Bellon?

Against the claim that he had made?

ο.

THE COURT: Counsel, let me suggest this. The court is ready for its recess; and there is a matter I would like to take up with you before we go any further.

Ladies and gentlemen, I will try to keep it to fifteen minutes. We will take our regular afternoon recess at this time.

(Whereupon, the jury was comused from the courtroom; and the following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury:)

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

recess, I can talk to you about it beforehand.

But I am concerned based upon the broad nature of the question that you asked and what I understood the role of Mr. Hinkson, Sr., to be.

MR. NOLAN: He was not designated as a paralegal or legal assistant during the Bellon lawsuit.

THE COURT: During the Bellon suit. But he was, at some point, in connection with this criminal case, was he not?

MR. HOYT: He was.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HDYT: I mean, does it open the door if I talk to him about how he defended himself in the Bellon suit, as to the Bellon claims for temporary restraining order?

THE COURT: Give me some time frames here. When did he begin to actively participate in the defense of the prosecution of the Federal criminal charges?

MR. HOYT: Scretime, I believe, the Summer of 19 '04. 20

THE COURT: '04. The question you asked goes back to '03.

MR. HOYT: Yes. It starts in December of '03. THE COURT: When the jury comes back, if you could confine the scope of your question to that time

5

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1670

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 frame, I will permit it and rule that it does not open the door to the concern that the court just raised.

MR. HOYT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. NOLAN: Do you want to do it now or later?

THE COURT: You had something you wanted to

bring up?

MR. NCLAN: Actually, two things.

One: There is a witness, Mr. Harding,
Mr. Harding's father, Mr. J. C. Harding's father, and we
have -- and I really think that this is solid
information.

He said he wouldn't testify unless we paid him one million dollars, and it was recorded -- or it was -we had an investigator talk to him, and he demanded the money. He hasn't shown up yet.

THE COURT: You did subpoena him?

MR. NCLAN: He has been subpostanced. He was supposed to be on call. He agreed to be here at 12:00. He is not here. If we can't reach him by phone, I would like the help of the Marshals to --

THE COURT: If you need an arrest warrant for him, I will sign one.

MR. NCLAN: I understand. It could be just hearing that there is an arrest warrant in the process.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

one that really said the worst things about this case. If he is fabricating documents and presenting them to the court, I mean, that's really serious.

So what I am suggesting is I think there is a subpoena that they will honor with the court's signature that Mr. Hoyt knows about. They said, "With a court's signature, we will give you this." But this document is frauchlent or whatever.

And I guess what I am saying is that I think that -- while it may not come before the jury before we are through, I think that a subpoena may be appropriate because of the importance of that witness.

If it weren't for that witness, you know, we could deal with. But, boy, he was -- well, the court was here.

THE COURT: Yes, I heard him.

MR. NCLAN: It's something new. I think it's a subpoena.

Mr. Hoyt, you understand that there is, apparently, an agency --

Do you mind if he --

THE COURT: No.

MR. NCLAN: That's what it's about.

THE COURT: Cleay.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, yes, indeed. It's not

THE COURT: You may tell him that the Judge has instructed you to tell him if he does not honor the subpoena that I will issue a bench warrant for his arrest.

MR. NOLAN: He is on the line right now.

MR. HDYT: He is five miles outside of Boise.

MR. NCLAN: I just was concerned.

The other issue is Mr. Hoyt, right at the noon break, came back. We have some more information regarding Mr. Swisher and the military thing.

THE COURT: Do you really want to re-open that can of worms?

MR. NOLAN: I have two thoughts on it. One: I feel like, you know, I did something that just backfired terribly. He is a tough witness. I don't believe him for one minute. I wish I could get the goods on him. I didn't have the goods lined up in order. He pulled this thing out of his pocket.

The court dealt with the issue, kind of put it to bed. But I understand that Mr. Hoyt -- I didn't know he was doing this -- got more information from the agency. It looks like the document that Mr. Swisher cave is a fraudulent document.

You know, Mr. Swisher was probably the toughest witness, the most dangerous witness, and the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

only the personnel records section of the National
Personnel Records Center, the National Archives Records
Administration, but it is the Department of Marines and
the Department of Veterans Affairs that are involved
here

And what they have indicated to us that, after having faxed to them a copy of what appears to be the genuine and authentic DD-214 which was issued back in 1957, Mr. Swisher recorded that document in Idaho County in February of 2001.

THE COURT: Wait a second. I don't have that exhibit before me. I thought there was a 1998 date.

Let me ank the clerk to hand me Exhibit L and Exhibit M, as in "Mary."

MR. HDYT: And what happened, Your Honor, is we went one step further. After we received Exhibit M, which had a recording date on it of 2004, we went back and found that a similar document, a DD-214 for Mr. Swisher, had been recorded in 2001.

That DD-214 was devoid of any of these honors and medals and other grants and benefits that Mr. Swisher claims in Exhibit M.

That information has been transmitted and the indications from the people we have talked to is that they stand by the letter of January 14th and that they

1671

1669

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

will provide us with a certified copy of his DD-214 that would not support Exhibit M; that Exhibit M is a forgery; and that he was never given any of the awards or benefits as indicated on Exhibit M; and that, further, if any change had been made in the discharge document, it would have been done on a form DD-215 so there --

MR. NOLAN: May I approach with the document that was recorded?

THE COURT: Yes, of course. Why don't you go ahead and mark those, if you would like, with the clerk. While you are doing that, I would like to give the Government an opportunity to respond.

MR. HOYT: Let me make the final point. We were told there is a regulation in the form of an internal memo issued by the JAG of the Department of Defense and the Marine Corps; that their JAG has issued a memo saying that anybody that wants to obtain the record of a military person must have not just a subpoena in a criminal case that would be issued by the clerk but a subpoena issued by a judge, signed by a judge, with the raised letter seal of the court on it. They will honor that. They will not honor any other subpoena.

THE COURT: Who would like to respond from the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Releaseable information has been transcribed, photocopied or a combination of both, in order to comply with your request."

And the concern that that raises in the court's mind is that, if Mr. Swisher testified truthfully that he was engaged in what I guess would be best described as covert military operations on the Korean Perminsula after the cessation of hostilities in the Korean conflict, we may be getting into records that are, for National Security reasons, sealed or restricted in some fashion.

Now, at this point, I have no way to know; but I pause when I read this paragraph in the Personnel Records Oenter and wonder what they mean.

I guess it won't hint to issue an order asking the Service to provide whatever documentation they have in St. Louis to the court. In camera, I will review it first and take a look at what we have got.

I am troubled by the fact that what has now been marked as Defendant's Echibit P purports to be yet another DD-214 in the name of Elven Joe Swisher with the same service number of 1517120, recorded, as Mr. Hoyt represents, in February 2001. It is a different form from Echibit M that Mr. Swisher had in his breast pocket. So I certainly do not blame defense counsel for Government?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I take it, the petition is that Your Honor issue such a subpoena to this entity, whoever it may be, for further records to chase down Mr. Swisher's military record.

The issue is whether -- I'm not entirely sure of the issue. They are not challenging that he was in the Service. He was in the Marine Corps.

They are challenging, before the jury, his right to wear that little pin which may or may not indicate he has a Ruple Heart or entitled to a Ruple Heart?

THE COURT: Well, as I understand the request, the request is that the court issue an order, whether it be in the form of a subpoena or a court order, to the National Personnel Records Center in St. Louis, directing them to release whatever documentation they have. I think I understand the Service's position.

The concern that the court has in the first paragraph of the letter dated January 14, 2005, Exhibit L, says, "We are providing the requested releaseable mulitary service information based on restrictions imposed by the military services, consistent with Department of Defense regulations and provisions of the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act of 1974.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

wanting to pursue this.

MR. NCLAN: I would ask the court to do what the court is -- I think it's a court -- I think the

court should -- the court is as interested as we are.

I would ask the court to do what the court can do, in light of the documents that we have and in the names that we have; and we will provide them to the court to review what they can in camera.

THE COURT: Why don't you present with a proposed form of order, run it by the Government. I will sign it as quickly as you can get that together. All right. Why don't you let the Government take a look at it, and I will come out after the recess, before we bring the jury back in.

MR. NCLAN: I have not shown the Government that document I just marked. I want to make sure that they see it.

THE COURT: Let's give that back to commed.

MR. HDYT: Your Honor, may I be heard on one more point?

THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead, Mr. Hoyt.

MR. HOYT: That is, as the court is well
aware, Mr. Swisher intimated his involvement in, quote,
"secret operations" and so on.

The information I have is that these are

ONE COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

recent fabrications and that, in fact, he was injured while in the Service in a car accident in Bremerton, Washington; and that was the extent of it.

There are numerous indicators that the document marked as Exhibit M is a false document from other corroborative evidence of things that should be on it that aren't.

And so, as a result of that, we feel that Mr. Swinher willfully lied about a substantial matter related to his credibility. And I think this matter goes further and deeper than just the mere apparent forgery on the DD-214.

MR. NELAN: He testified he murdered -- he killed people.

THE COURT: I remember.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NCLAN: If he wasn't in combat, if his file shows he wasn't in combat, that alone --

THE COURT: Counsel, I think I understand your positions. I have told you I will sign the order. Just run the form --

MR. NCLAN: I'm sorry, Judge?

THE COURT: -- by the Government and we will proceed and see what we get. We will be in recess for about ten minutes.

(Recess.)

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

if you can work out a better form of order after we adjourn at 4:30?

Give my chambers a call and if -- I don't care. I mean, one lawyer can present it. As long as you represent that the other side has signed off on it, I will be happy to sign it.

It's now 6:00 o'clock, anyway, back East; so I'm not sure that signing it tonight is going to make any difference one way or the other. Are you going to fax it?

MR. HOYT: Fax it only to Missouri, which is just an hour --

MR. NCLAN: Fax it? But they would have to have something by Fed-Ex, I would assume, also.

THE COURT: Because they want a certified copy, it will have to go by overnight.

MR. NCLAN: I think if we put in -- I'm just suggesting we put in the order the representations made or, generally, the representations made and the nature of the case and the fact that there is a Ninth Circuit Judge, that kind of thing.

THE COURT: And that we are in the middle of trial and we need it yesterday?

MR. NOLAN: Exactly. I would hope they would pay serious attention to it.

THE COURT: Did we work out the form of order?

Do you need to make some changes to it?

MR. NOLAN: Mr. Hoyt is working on that, presumably, as we speak. I haven't even talked to him about it.

THE COURT: He is the one who is conducting the examination of Mr. Hinkson. I guess we will wait.

MR. NCLAN: He is also looking for the next witness. I think that is the other thing.

THE COURT: Cleay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1678

MR. NCIAN: I gave the Government copies of every single piece of paper we have, in terms of contact people, et cetera. They are making a copy of that now. I haven't even seen it, myself.

You know, my hope is that we can work some kind of general order out that is urgent to this court only, you know, that kind of thing.

THE COURT: Sure.

Go ahead, Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: We were examining the subpoena that Mr. Hoyt had prepared. It doesn't really address the issue that Your Honor identified, and I think that should be taken into account.

THE COURT: Well, let's do this. I am going to be here late tonight, anyway. Why don't you guys see

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1679

THE COURT: So would I. Although, be prepared, Mr. Nolan, for a slight let-down.

MR. HOVT: They do have a certified or

MR. HDYT: They do have a certified copy ready to go; but they are saying, "We can't send it."

THE COURT: They can't send it without the order?

MR. HOYT: Boactly.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Sullivan is right. If we need to, we can tweak the wording of the order a little bit. Why don't you do it this afternoon? I will be available to sign it.

MR. NOLAN: Actually, his full file -- the issue of whether or not he was in combat wouldn't necessarily be --

THE COURT: If we can get it, I think that would be the better way to do it.

MR. NCIAN: We will work on it, Judge. It's interesting because, if it's a Medal of Honor, there is a whole group that goes around and ferrets out those people that falsely claim a Medal of Honor. I mean, it's a real serious matter and a federal offense that is taken very seriously, obviously.

THE COURT: All right. Are we ready for the jury? Please say, "Yes." $\label{eq:court_property}$

MR. HOYT: Yes, Your Honor.

.

THE COURT: Ms. Longstreet, bring them in.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: It took a little longer than fifteen minutes, as you may have guessed. We really are working out here.

Are we ready to proceed, Mr. Hoyt?

MR. HOYT: We are, Your Honor.

Q. Now, before the break, Mr. Hinkson, we were talking about you being involved named as a party in a lawsuit by Mr. Bellon?

A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And as a part of that lawsuit, was it necessary for you to defend yourself?

A. That's right.

Q. Can you tell the jury what happened to that lawsuit for a temporary restraining order that was issued on December the 4th?

A. Yes, I cam. Do you want me to tell them?

Q. Tell them what happened.

A. Rich Bellon managed to convince the judge that he was a rightful partner in WaterOz.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object to all of the hearsay.

THE COURT: I agree it is hearsay. Sustained.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

December 12, 2003?

A. I believe that was the date.

Q. As a result of the temporary restraining order, was the management of WaterOz taken away from Mr. Hinkson's management team?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. And as a result of the order of December 12th, was the management of WaterOz returned to Mr. Hinkson's management team?

A. Yes. Yes, it was.

Q. Now, had you assisted in the sense of being an advisor to WaterOz prior to December of 2003?

A. Prior -- yes, I had. Yes.

Q. And in that capacity, were you aware that Mr. Rich Bellon was attempting to assert himself as a management person in WaterOz?

A. That's correct.

Q. And were you aware that he was discharged from employment with Mr. Hinkson at some point in time?

MR. SULLIVAN: I object. These are all leading questions.

THE COURT: Yes. I think that is enough on the leading questions. Sustained. BY MR. HOYT:

Q. When was Mr. Bellon discharged?

I will ask you to ask a leading question so the witness --

MR. HOTT: We, actually, have a court order that was issued. It's contained within the transcript of the trial, and it's been listed as an exhibit. It's in the exhibit materials. It describes the court's findings with reference to this matter. Possibly we should offer that document into evidence at this time.

MR. SULLIVAN: We object to it, Your Honor, because, again, it goes -- the reason this matter is even before the jury, purportedly, is for impeachment, bias, motive, something along those lines. The contents of this order is hearsay, and it wouldn't go to any of those purposes.

THE COURT: At this point, Mr. Hoyt, I think I am going to sustain the Government's objection; but I will allow you to simply ask a leading question and establish that, in fact, the order was issued, the date of the order and so on.

20 MR. HOYT: Thank you. For the record, it's 21 Exhibit J; and we will treat that as a tendered exhibit.

22 THE COURT: Very well.

BY MR. HOYT:

1680

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

2

3

4

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1682

Q. Thank you. Mr. Hinkson, was the order reversing the temporary restraining order entered on

QNA COURT REPORTENS (208) 484-6309

A. About maybe a week or ten days later, after he took -- had taken over the company.

Q. Was he not discharged in November, a month before he tried to take over the company?

A. It was a gradual thing. He was professing to be a partner and he was not a partner, somewhere along the lines.

MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

The jury will disregard that last answer. It is stricken.

12 BY MR. HOYT:

Q. Do you recall -- was he fired from Mr. Hinkson's employ in November of 20037

A. Yes, I believe that was the date.

Q. Has your son David ever made any statements to you regarding Federal officials that he felt were pursuing him unjustly?

A. Yes.

Q. In those statements, did he ever threaten to kill anyone?

A. Never.

Q. Can you tell the jury what he said with reference to the Federal officials?

A. That they were immoral, that they were

MR. HOYT: No more questions.

THE COURT: Very well.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN:

Mr. Hinkson, in those statements that you related that your son David Hinkson said he hoped the Federal officials would be smitten by God, you understood he was saying he hoped they would die?

No. He was venting, as usual.

Q. My question was: You understood that he meant he hoped they would die? "Yes" or "no"?

A.

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What did you think "smitten by God" meant?

Punished.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And so to have him acquitted would tend to prove your assertion that he has been the victim of these crimes?

A. I would hope so.

You would do just about anything to assure ο. that he got acquitted, wouldn't you?

Absolutely not.

You have been part of his business for years, haven't you?

A. No. I haven't.

You have been part of his attempt to hide his ٥. ownership of the WaterOz assets for years?

A. No. I haven't.

Q. You set up the Belizean corporation, didn't you?

A. Yes. I did.

And it holds the ownership or purports to hold the ownership of the land --

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, I will object to this line of questioning because it assumes facts not in evidence. There is no testimony that the land is an annet of WaterOz.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. HDYT: As a matter of fact, the only testimony before the court is that the land is not an Q. Punished for what?

For their misbehavior. A.

٥. Do you agree with your son?

Yes, I do. A.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1686

1684

All right. ٥.

Not that I'm asking God to smite them, but I A. think that they need to be exposed.

ο. And you are part of the exposure, aren't you?

I try to be.

You think all of these Federal agents and prosecutors who have prosecuted your son are all criminal and immoral?

That's a little broad. I don't make broad statements. This is one of the things I try to get David to realize, that there are, within the ranks of Government, people who are not following the Constitution and those people need to be ferreted out and identified and they need to be relieved of command.

And you are trying to do that, aren't you?

I try to do what I can to alert people in the public to the crimes that are being committed by Government.

And you believe crimes have been committed against your own son?

I sure do.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

asset. 1 THE COURT: I am going to allow the Government 2 prosecutor to pose the question. I think he has a

You may proceed.

good-faith basis to ask it.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I have it read back, please?

(Whereupon, the pending question was read back by the court reporter.)

10 BY MR. SULLIVAN:

> -- that WaterOz sits on? ٥.

I don't think it does. To my knowledge, it A. doesn't.

Q. When did you set up the Belizean corporation?

middle '90s, I think. I would guess, around 1995, '94, screwhere around there.

And you went -- Belize is a small Carribean country in Central America, is it not?

Why did you pick Belize for this corporation 0.

To answer that question, it would take a little bit of time. Do you want me to go into it?

All right. Well, let's wait on that. What is

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1685

1687

I don't remember the date. It was in the

It is. A.

that you set up?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1690

1691

your position with that corporation?

2

3

5

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. As far as I know, I don't have a position at this time.

- Q. When you set it up, you gave yourself a position, didn't you?
- A. When I set it up, it was an international situation that the Belizean law is designed to protect individuals from any seizure of assets and once there is a threat, well, then I no longer -- or no one else has any right or power to change anything. I don't, frankly, know who is in charge at this time; and I can state that unequivocally.
 - Q. Well, at the time, you were in charge?
- A. At the time I set it up, I set one up for myself and one for my son.
 - Q. David Hinkson?
 - A. David Hinkson.
 - Q. And that was with his knowledge?
- A. It came about because I explained to him some corruption that I had uncovered and the only way to protect it --
- Q. My question was: Sir, you set it up with your son's knowledge? "Yes" or "no"?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Did you ever tell him that you had, later?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Q. And she's the secretary, treasurer of a

company called Compania Nortena de Terreno?

- A. That is the company that David had set up in Belize; and I didn't know anything about the name, how it was chosen, or who was on it.
- Q. Weren't you at one point the president of the board of directors?
- A. I presume that I was. At least I thought I was, but I don't know for a fact whether I was. I believe I was.
- Q. And do you know whether that company held any assets in Idaho?
 - A. As far as I know, it held assets.
 - Q. Like what?
- A. Well, whatever -- the equipment and buildings and everything that WaterOz has. I don't think WaterOz -- in David's name -- I don't think much of anything remained in his name. I think it was all in --

THE COURT: Mr. Hinkson, I'm sorry. I didn't understand that answer at all. The question is, "What assets were listed in the name of the company?" You started to say the equipment and the buildings. Are there any other assets?

THE WITNESS: Frankly, I don't know.

BY MR. SULLIVAN:

A. I told him that I set one up for myself.

Q. And you don't recall whether you set one up
for him -- strike that. You don't recall whether you
told him that you set one up for him?

A. I can't remember if he -- if I set that up for him while I was there -- I don't think -- or whether it was afterwards.

THE COURT: That is not the question,
Mr. Hirkson. The question is whether you ever remember
telling him that you set such a company up for him in
Belize.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I told him.

13 BY MR. SULLIVAN:

- Q. I'm somy?
- A. Yes, I told him that I set one up.
 - Q. What was the name of your company?
- I don't even remember.
- 18 Q. What was the name of his company?
- A. That I can't recall. I remember the trust that I set up, and I think the name of it was "The Spruce Trust."
 - Q. What is the company that your wife is a secretary, treasurer of -- or had been?
 - A. She's the secretary, treasurer of everything that we do, pretty much.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Q. WaterOz is operated by your son; correct?

A. Yes. It's a sole proprietorship.

Q. He has always held himself cur as the owner of WaterCz?

A. That's right.

Q. And to your knowledge, he controls this Compania Nortena de Terreno, as well?

A. Like I told you, it's a little bit complicated. I don't know whether he does or not now.

Q. Did you seat that one up?

A. That was the one that I -- the Nortena -- I didn't know anything about it. I told him about an IBC and connected him with the people that did it. I can't recall.

I don't think that I -- if I remember right, I dich't set up an IBC for David while I was there.

Afterwards, I told him about what mine had done and gave him phone numbers and contacts. But I can't recall whether, in fact, I did it. I don't think so, though.

THE COURT: Counsel, could you have the witness explain the initials "IEC"?

22 BY MR. SULLIVAN:

Q. Did you say the initials "TBC"?

A. Yes.

Q. What do those stand for?

2

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

members: correct?

A.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

the purpose of trying to protect assets of your family

Protect assets from liability, primarily.

So that liens couldn't be attached to them?

1694 1 chairman of the board; but, now, I don't know. And I can relate to the law, to the Belizean law --3 THE COURT: Let's wait for the next question, Mr. Hinkson. s BY MR. SULLIVAN: Do you draw a salary from WaterOz? Ο. 7 I have never drawn a salary. I have never В taken a permy, ever. 9 Do you draw a salary from the Belizean 10 corporation? 11 No. I don't. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: No further questions, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: Very well. Anything further, 15 Mr. Hovt? 16 MR. HDYT: If I might have a moment, please, 17 Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 MR. HOYT: No questions, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Hinkson, you may 21 step down. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 23 MR. HDYT: We are getting a report on 24 available witnesses, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hoyt.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

So if fraudulent claims were made, we would be 1 2 protected, which is certainly one of the big 3 considerations that we have these days. Would those Belizean corporations also protect 5 family assets against legitimate claims? 6 They would protect against any claims; that's 7 true. 8 You mentioned that when your son David was living in Las Vegas he had skin cancer? 10 That's what I understood 11 And that you told us that that motivated him 12 in the way of looking for a cure? 13 Yes. He was very enterprising. He is always looking or investigating, trying to find answers to 15 questions. He has an inquisitive, active mind. 16 Isn't it true that he believed that he cared 17 himself of skin cancer? 18 I believe that he feels he did. I don't know whether -- whether it did or not, I think that he 19 20 certainly believed it. 21 What is your position now with WaterOz? 22 I guess, currently -- depending on the status 23 of the international comporation, I don't know. I can

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

just say that if there were -- if David were not being

threatened in court, possibly, I would still be the

24

25

23

24

25

1 MR. HDYT: I believe I will know momentarily. 2 THE COURT: Very well. 3 MR. HDYT: We had an indication that there were two witnesses who were very, very close to the 4 courthouse just a short while ago. If I may step out of 5 the courtroom for a moment, Your Honor? 6 7 THE COURT: Sure. Go right ahead. R MR. NOLAN: Can we informally approach? THE COURT: That would be fine. Ch, I see Mr. Hoyt has arrived. 10 11 MR. HOYT: Your Honor, at this time the 12 defense would call Mr. John Harding. THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Harding, would you step 13 forward and take the cath, please? Right up here. 14 15 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please raise your right 16 hand. 17 18 JOHN VINCENT HARDING. 19 having been called, snorm, testified as follows: 20 THE WITNESS: So help me God. 21 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you, sir. Please take the stand. If you would, state your name and spell 22

QNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE WITNESS: John Vincent Harding,

your last name for the record.

H-a-r-d-i-n-g,

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

1

2 3

9

10 11

16

21 22 23

24 25

5

14

15 16 17

18

then why are you objecting so stremously to this testimony?

MR. SULLIVAN: Again --

THE COURT: You are arguing inconsistent positions here. I think this is an issue the jury has to sort out and decide who is telling the truth. Your motion to strike the testimony is denied.

MR. SULLIVAN: The Government's position has been that Mr. Hinkson trusted Mr. Harding up until the point in time during which he was being candid.

At some point, he became suspicious of Mr. Harding. He was no longer in the residence, and that's the point Mr. Hinkson became suspicious. That's the point he was no longer talking candidly. We posit it's completely consistent.

THE COURT: Well, with that clarification, the Government's position -- I am not finding that the Government has taken an inconsistent position.

The record here is really middled with this witness. I don't think there are grounds to strike the testimony completely, but the jury will just have to sort it out as best it can.

(Whoreupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Anything further for Mr. Harding?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1714 Don't let anybody talk to you. Don't watch any TV or listen to the radio, if there are any news reports about the case, or look at the newspaper if there is an article about it. Keep an open mind.

We will see you back here tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. Thank you.

(Whoreupon, the jury was excused from the countroom; and the following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Let's see. Are we going to work on that order?

MR. NOLAN: Could I indicate -- I just spent a little bit of time writing things down. Again, I hope I'm not being too presumptive.

My suggestion that a document be prepared for the court's signature says scrething along the following:

Richard C. Tallman, United States Circuit Judge for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, sitting by designation as a trial judge in the matter of the United States vs. David Roland Hirleson, with the case number, requests an order that a subpoens duces tecum be issued in the above case.

The case is in active trial before a jury in Boise, Idaho.

MR. HDYT: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: May he be excused? MR. NOLAN: He may. THE COURT: Any objection by the Government? MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Harding, you are excused; and you may go home, sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1713

1715

MR. HOYT: May I step out, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. NOLAN: Informally, can we just approach? THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held between court and counsel outside the presence of the juxy.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have another matter that is related to this case that I need to take up. There is no sense taking up your time because it may take the lawyers and I a while to take it up. I will let you go home a half hour early today.

If you can, be back tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. We will be ready to go. Have a good evening.

Again, let me remind you, as I have throughout the trial, that you are not to have any discussions with anybody about the case. The evidence is not yet in.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

The court's contact information is as follows: The name, the e-mail address and, if the court wants to, put other phone numbers and things like that.

THE COURT: That would be fine. All right.

MR. NCLAN: An important Government witness --I put the name, serial number, request number -testified in this case. He wore a Purple Heart during his testimony.

He testified he was a disabled veteran with combat experience and had killed many people in combat. He was a victim -- strike that.

He was a witness in a case -- he was a witness in this case alleging that the accused solicited to kill a Federal Judge, a Federal Prosecutor -- I'm scrry.

He was a witness, alleged that he was solicited -- I don't have the language right, but the nature of his testimony was that he was solicited to kill a Federal Judge in a prosecution against the defendant for solicitation.

During cross-examination, he was presented with a document, which is attached as Exhibit A, showing that he was not emittled to the Purple Heart.

He presented to the court Exhibit B and testified it was provided to him by the Military, that it was a sealed and secret document, and that he was on

quite well and quite fast -- excuse me --

THE COURT: I will help you with the language.

I want to be sensitive to Mr. Sullivan's concern.

MR. NOLAN: Absolutely.

THE COURT: And I want to write it in as neutral -- I think it is a fairly creative idea to say, "Lock, folks, here is the circumstance under which this request is being made," and see what happens.

MR. NCLAN: I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Have you got a computer? Can you type it?

MR. NCLAN: Number one, I can't type. Number

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, we will have it done immediately. Just give us --

THE COURT: As I say, I will be available. I don't think we need to do the drafting on the record. I am going to let the court reporter go home for the evening.

Ms. Longstreet and my law clerk and I will stand by for your call. If you guys want to come into chambers a little later on -- and I assume Mr. Hinkson can go back. I don't think we need to detain him any further tonight on this matter.

MR. NOLAN: Can we do it by e-mail or not?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CERTIFICATE

I, LORI A. FULSIFER, certify that I made a shorthand record of the matter contained herein, and that the foregoing typewritten pages contain a full, true, and accurate transcript of said shorthand record, done to the best of my skill and ability.

DATED this 5th day of April 2005.

ICRI A. RULSIFER, CSR, RMR, CRR Certified Shorthand Reporter Idaho Certificate 354

THE COURT: Absolutely. MR. NOLAN: We could do the content by e-mail, if the Government is willing to stay. MR. SULLIVAN: We will stay. THE COURT: That would be the most efficient, if everybody can stand by. MR. NOLAN: I'm ready to do it. THE COURT: All right. If you want to work in here, that's fine. MR. NOLAN: It shouldn't take long. THE COURT: I will be in chambers, if you need me. Otherwise, we will be in recess until tomorrow merning. (Whereupon, the court stood in recess.)

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309