UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,)	
)	Case No.
			Plaintiff,)	CR-04-127-S-RCT
)	
vs.)	
)	
DAVID 1	ROLAND I	HINE	KSON,)	
)	
			Defendant.)	
)	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD C. TALLMAN
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT
SITTING BY DESIGNATION
(Sitting with a Jury)

Boise, Idaho
January 14, 2005 (Fri)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDINGS RE: TRIAL TO A JURY (VOLUME 5, PAGES 956 THROUGH 1,200)

Prepared for:
WESLEY W. HOYT
Attorney at Law

Reported by:
Lori A. Pulsifer, CSR, RMR, CRR
QNA Court Reporting
E-mail: realtimeqna@msn.com
Telephone: (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1		959
2	INDEX OF RECEIVED EXHIBITS	
3	Bahibit Number Page Received	
4	Government's Exhibit No. 6	
5	Defendant's Exhibit K	
6	***	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
3	Witness Page Number
4	KINEN JOE SWIGHER
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Sullivan 975
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Nolan 1018
7	Redirect Examination by Mr. Sullivan 1132
8	Recross Examination by Mr. Nolan 1142
9	CHAD CRONER
0.	Direct Examination by Mr. Taxay 1149
1	Cross-Examination by Mr. Nolan 1170
2	• • •
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

958

960

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were 2 held outside the presence of the jury:) 3 THE COURT: I have three items that I wanted 4 to take up with you before we bring the jury in. 5 Mr. Hoyt and Mr. Nolan, I was thinking last 6 night about our logistical problems in getting the 7 irmate witnesses here that you are going to need. Let В me suggest a couple of things. 9 One: It commerced to me that some of the folks 10 who were incarcerated with Mr. Hinkson are probably 11 state and local prisoners, as opposed to federal 12 prisoners; so you are going to need writs of habeas corpus and ****** to condon. 13 14 The other thing I was going to suggest to you 15

is, if you would, to talk to Deputy Meyer upstairs in the Marshal's Office and let him know who you want. He can check and see where they are to make sure they are still here.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was going to offer, if you want already have the forms filled out -- he does have a form of order for the writ of habeas corpus ************ for condon.

> MR. HOYT: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Absolutely, Mr. Hoyt.

MR. HDYT: I only have the one original. We

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

3

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

can make copies light. I prepared a motion for order to transport on each prisoner. I prepared --

THE CDURT: I was trying to save you a little bit of trouble. Go ahead.

MR. HOYT: -- an application for ** condom and order pursuant to the writ for the transport.

THE COURT: Very good. How many people are we talking about?

 $\mbox{MR. HOYT: }\mbox{ At this point, there are only times.}$

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. HOYT: We have a comple others. It may be camulative. They are not in the facility.

THE COURT: That is why I thought you might want to check with Deputy Meyer first so he can find out where they are.

MR. HOYT: We have been tracking that information.

THE COURT: That takes care of that one.

Second thing: I know you have had some discussions with Ms. Longstreet about the transcripts in electronic form. Let me make clear what it is I am trying to do here.

I want to make sure that we get into your record an electronic version of the transcript since the

QNA COURT REPORTENS (208) 484-6309

963

MR. SULLIVAN: We will attempt to.

MR. NCLAN: I think we can get an electronic

version from the court reporter.

MR. HOYT: His computer went down, and he has a new computer; that was the problem.

Your Honor, we will search -- we have many boxes of this type of thing. We may have a better quality copy. We are talking about the body wire of March 27th?

THE COURT: Although, in terms of transcripts, I would like to do this for all of the transcript evidence that we have so that we have got it in the record. So it would be the March -- whatever it is -- 27th body wire plus the April 2003 --

MR. SULLIVAN: January 8, 2003, radio interview.

THE COURT: Yea. That one, as well. I guess we have three then; is that right?

MR. SULLIVAN: Only two. There are only two entered by the Government.

THE COURT: Are we going to do anything with regard to the tape of the April arrest, the one that -- the December 7th?

MR. NOLAN: I don't think so. We are going to put in the full -- we are going to, at least, mark a

court reporter did not try to simultaneously transcribe the tapes while we were playing them.

2

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I understand, through Ms. Longstreet, that there was a problem with the court reporter. A fire or something?

MR. HDYT: I don't know about a fire. The Government didn't make the transcript. It was prepared by Brit Groom by hiring a court reporter. We gave him the tape two or three years ago, at the time of the first detention hearing, the revocation of bond hearing.

He had that tape transcribed by a court reporter in the Grangeville area named Keith Evans. So the Government has never prepared a transcript. We have been using the one prepared by Mr. Groom.

THE COURT: My only concern was it looked -the veruion I had was about an eighth-generation
photocopy. What we can do is soan it but the problem -I am trying to protect your record here.

The problem is it is not going to scan very well; and that is going to cause everybody problems down the road.

If we can get the best, clearest, sharpest-image copy that we can, we will scan that; and, that way, we will have an electronic version to complete the record.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

full copy of the transcript of the body wire.

THE CLIET: Yes.

MR. NCLAN: We haven't decided whether to offer the full transcript, in light of some of the testimony. If I could approach and show the court what I have?

THE COURT: That would be fine.

MR. NCLAN: This is what I have. It's on the computer, but it's a much better copy.

THE COURT: That is much better than the one I was looking at.

MR. NCLAN: We would be happy to provide that to the court.

THE COURT: Pick the best you have got, and give to Ms. Longstreet. She can get it imaged, and we will have it in the record.

MR. NCIAN: Why don't I do that now?

THE COURT: Finally, I am handing back to the
Government -- I have reviewed the 302s, again, prepared
by Agent Long and Special Agent Martin, regarding the
two interviews of Chad Croner.

I don't see a problem with the relevant testimony that the Government has marked. Obviously, the defense may make objections as the testimony comes in; but I don't think we are going to have the problem 964

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

that I was concerned about.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. NCLAN: Could I give --

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. NOLAN: She will have to go to where they have excised -- where the court ordered the excising, because this is a full copy.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I think we made clear on the record, did we not, the page and line numbers that we were playing. Unless you want something further done, we will just scan the entire transcript into -- well, I guess --

THE COURTROOM CLERK: That's fine. I can do that. I could do 50-page segments. You know, 1 through 50 would be one scan and 51 through 100.

THE COURT: I was just thinking. So that it is clear what portion was actually --

MR. NOLAN: I think the whole thing should be scanned. Pulled out and made a separate exhibit would be what the court ruled they could play. I think I made same objections. Probably the whole thing should be scanned as a court exhibit.

THE COURT: I think that will work.

MR. NOIAN: Pull from that what was played as an actual exhibit.

THE COURT: The record should be clear enough,

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. NOLAN: Right. Do we get the 302s on Croner?

THE COURT: I previously ruled on that. Not yet. It depends upon how the Croner testimony comes in. Based upon the written ruling that I made the other morning, I did review it in camera and I did not see that it was either a statement within the meaning of the Jinks Act or, at least, pretrial that there was Giglio material in there.

But I did say in my order that I would reconsider it after we hear from Mr. Croner, depending upon how his testimony comes in.

MR. NOLAN: The Government made an offer as to what they were going to elicit from Mr. Croner.

THE COURT: The reason I asked for it is there are some things in the Croner's 302 that I am quite certain the defense does not want Mr. Croner to testify in front of the jury about, which are not relevant to the issues in this case and which the court would exclude under 403 as being unduly prejudicial.

I wanted to make sure they were not going to try to offer any of those portions of the Croner testimony.

MR. NOLAN: We received, yesterday, handwritten notes Mr. Croner. Would the court --

if that becomes important enough down the road, that you will be able to make appropriate arguments and identify for anybody who is reviewing the transcript what portion was played and what was excised.

> Is that acceptable to the Government? MR. SULLIVAN: It is. Your Honor.

THE COURT: That is all I have. Unless screbody has anything else. I think we are ready.

MR. SULLIVAN: The Government has two matters.

10 THE COURT: Go ahead.

> MR. SULLIVAN: I was discussing, just moments before Your Honor took the bench, with Mr. Nolan whether he had a memory of the court giving a 404(b) instruction regarding Albers-type testimony. Neither one of us seem to recall.

> THE COURT: Nobody asked for it. I was certaining going to include such an instruction in the final jury instructions, but I will be happy to give one first thing this morning.

> > MR. NOLAN: I am not requesting it.

THE COURT: You are not requesting it?

MR. NOLAN: No.

THE COURT: I guess we can revisit the issue when we settle the closing instructions, as to whether you want one.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I would like to see a copy of those, as well.

MR. NOLAN: If the court would do me a favor and show which ones the court, in effect, excluded so I don't go into them in cross, if you know what I'm saving.

THE COURT: Yes, I do.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, could we probably get an extra copy?

THE COURT: Why don't you do this. I don't need to do this right now.

MR. NOLAN: That's right. He is not the next witness.

THE COURT: We are not going to get to him until next week -- ch, I guess today.

MR. HOYT: Maybe we could darken them or scrething. They are pretty light.

THE COURT: I will have Ms. Barrett make some copies for us.

MR. SULLIVAN: One other matter. We are going to call Joe Swisher as our next witness. This matter relates to his cross-examination.

I wanted to ensure that what not be a matter of cross-examination is the trial he had some twenty years ago where he was acquitted.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

967

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

968

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

rule.

2

2

4

10

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The allegation was child abuse, and I see no possibility of that coming in. Obviously, under the rules, it is not proper cross-examination.

THE COURT: That is my understanding of the

Mr. Nolan?

MR. NOLAN: First of all, you know, I think we have been doing a pretty good job of --

THE COURT: You are doing a fine job.

MR. NCLAN: I thought about that last night. Here is what I intended to do: I intended to establish that he, also, has a bias against Mr. Albers. The bias relates to a lawsuit he was involved in. I wouldn't get into the nature of it, but it was a criminal matter.

He was ultimately found not guilty, but he harbors hostility and never has liked him. So in other words, I wasn't going to get into the circumstances or the nature of the allegation; but that there is a long period of hostility towards Mr. Albers.

So the conversation between Mr. Hinkson and Mr. Albers might very well -- Mr. Hinkson and Mr. Swisher might very well their both disliking Albers. That was how I was planning on doing that.

MR. SULLIVAN: That is why I wanted to bring it up. I don't want to see a foot in the door to

ONE COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Mr. Swisher was off on a lark of his own.

I am not going to argue the defense's case for them, but let's do it this way. Let me hear Mr. Swisher on direct. And then when we get to cross-examination, if I have a concern about Mr. Nolan going into that area, we can take it up outside the presence of the jury.

At this point, my preliminary ruling is that Mr. Nolan is entitled to cross to the limited extent on this issue that he proffered.

MR. SULLIVAN: Does limited extent, Your Honor, include, "You were tried and acquitted?"

THE COURT: Well, I think the way he said it was a fair question.

"Isn't it a fact that you dislike Mr. Albers by virtue of the fact that, when he was the Prosecuting Attorney for Idaho County, he prosecuted you in a case that you were ultimately acquitted of?"

And I assume the answer will be, "Yes, that's true."

That is as far as we are going to take it. I think that is fair cross-examination.

MR. NCLAN: I wouldn't go any further than that. Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want to instruct --

explore this. This sounds like the first foot of several.

THE COURT: I do not think that opens the door. I think that is the appropriate way to handle a sensitive issue.

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to it.

THE COURT: Well, it does go to potential bias of the witness against Mr. Albers.

MR. SULLIVAN: He is talking about the witness's bias against some third party who is not a witness in the case.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aco.

971

MR. NOLAN: It has to do with his testimony that he was -- Mr. Hinkson was talking about Albers and that the two of them had hostility towards Albers during those conversations. It wasn't just Mr. Hinkson.

I have given the complete -- that is what I was going to do, which I think is the proper thing, not getting into any of the details.

THE COURT: It certainly does help to explain why he would be willing to entertain the suggestion of harm to Mr. Albers.

22 MR. SULLIVAN: Which is good for the 23 Government.

THE COURT: Well, it could out both ways, depending how the jury sees. They might conclude that

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. SULLIVAN: This is a trial twenty years

THE COURT: Well, but the guy could still hold a grudge for twenty years, Mr. Sullivan. As I say, it is certainly not the fact that -- it is certainly not admissible for impeachment, in the sense of a prior conviction, because it did not result in a conviction.

MR. SULLIVAN: We are bringing to the jury's attention something that would be totally inadmissible for any purpose except to show that something that is favorable to the Government.

They want to bring out something favorable to the Government. I say that is the camel's nose under the tent.

THE COURT: Well, I do not agree that it is. A question I think you are now raising which is actually a good one -- Mr. Nolan, perhaps you can respond to it -- if it is 23 years ago, that is a long passage of time.

MR. NOLAN: But the fact of the matter is. I mean, if I needed to, I could establish that that hostility went right up to the day that Mr. Swisher's father provided the letter which was published by Mr. Hinkson to defeat him in the -- I wasn't going to go into all of that.

972

CND COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. SULLIVAN: There is a lot of history to all this. THE COURT: I recall from the tax trial -- as I recall, Mr. Albers testified in the tax trial, didn't he, Mr. Hoyt? MR. HDYT: Yes, he did. 7 THE COURT: And I recall that we did get into, 8 I think, some of the election issues and Mr. Hinkson's 9 participation. 10 MR. SULLIVAN: The election issues related 11 right back to Mr. Albers trying Mr. Swisher for this 12 child abuse charge. 13 THE COURT: Let's do it this way. 14 MR. NOLAN: I wasn't going to get into that. 15 I'm saying that shows that the hostility continued. THE COURT: I think the Government is entitled 16 17 to -- you said you are not calling Mr. Albers? MR. SULLIVAN: I'm not. 18 THE COURT: Are you going to get into the 19 election issues at all in order to establish motive by 20 21 Mr. Hinkson? 22 MR. SULLIVAN: I had no intention of doing it. THE COURT: You are going to leave it -- as 23 far as the jury is concerned, you are going to leave it 24

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

as animosity arising from the Armette Hasalone

25

975 (The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, if you would, step forward here. The clerk will administer the cath. Good morning, sir. THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please raise your right 7 hand. . . . 8 RIVEN JOR SWISHER. having been called, sworn, testified as follows: 10 11 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please take the stand, sir. If you would, please state your name 12 13 and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Elven Joe Swisher, 14 S-w-i-s-h-c-r. 15 16 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. SULLIVAN: Mr. Swinher, how old of a man are you? 20 I turned 68 yesterday. 22 You live in Idaho? 23 Yes, I do. For how long? 24 Q. 25 My gosh. Over thirty years.

litigation? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Mr. Swisher would testify, actually, not just to Swisher's animosity to Albers but Mr. Hinkson's amimosity to Albers. I didn't intend to develop anything about Swisher's feelings. THE COURT: The jury has already heard the testimony about the Armette Hasalone litigation. I think they have heard enough with regard to why Mr. Hinkson -- and as I understand it, Agent Long is also going to testify, when he interviewed Mr. Hinkson, 10 Mr. Hinkson confirmed his dislike for Mr. Albers. 11 12 MR. SULLIVAN: This is an offer to kill 13 Mr. Albers for \$10,000 that Swisher is going to --14 THE COURT: I understand that. You are going 15 to be allowed to elicit on direct that the offer was 16 17 I think I am going to reiterate my prior ruling. I think Mr. Nolan's proffered cross-examination 18 is proper, limited in scope as Mr. Nolan is offering to 19 20 I will certainly entertain another objection 21 from the Government as we hear the evidence come in. At 22

974

All right. Let's bring in the jury.

this point, my preliminary ruling is he is entitled to

GRA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

976 Where were you born and raised? ٥. I was born and raised in the Upper Ozarks area A. of Misscarri. What is the level of your education? I have an undergraduate degree from Central Missouri University. I have a graduate degree, a Master's, from the University of Missouri, Columbia. I have had doctoral studies at the University of Idaho and Washington State University. 10 What are your degrees in? My undergraduate degree was a Bachelor of 11 Science in psychology and sociology. 12 13 What was your Master's Degree in? In psychiatric social work. 14 You say you also engaged in doctoral studies? Yes, in the field of special education. I am 16 17 still short a dissertation. Did you work in that field? 18 Q. 19 A. Yes. What did you do in that regard? 20 Oh, my goodness. I began work at the Old 21 22 King's Road in Fulton, Missouri, State Hospital Number One. It was a psychiatric hospital for adults and 23 juveniles, as well. 24 25 How long were you there?

23

24

25

ask those questions.

Oertified as what? 0.

still certified.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I am certified as a political social worker. I belong to the Academy of Certified Social Workers. I am a certified psychotherapist through the APA. I am also a certified forensic counselor.
- Did there come a time, after some twenty years of working in this field, that you switched careers?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

I have taken CEUs from the University of Idaho A. in metallurgy, assaying, mine development, things of that nature. Did you work in that field? A. Yes.

What did you do? ο.

In the early -- late '70s, early '80s, I brought one of the first wines back into production in Idaho since the war years.

Do you still work in that field?

Do you work for a particular employer?

I am more considered a consultant at this point in time.

All right. Consulting with whom? Ο.

Ch, I consult with the mining companies, with people that need plants set up either in mining or in environmental remediation.

Q. Prior to being a consultant, did you work full time for some company?

Oh, pretty much for companies I had an involvement with. I worked at my father's company for a number of years, Idaho Mining and Development Company.

Say it again.

Idaho Mining and Development Company.

That's correct. A.

Q. When, approximately, did you switch careers?

Oh, in the early to mid '70s, I began to get into mining and get into a little less strengus occupation.

That was quite a switch. How did you have an interest in mining?

Well, I have an old friend, who is now dead -bless his soul -- and he was one of the -- he was the epitome of an Idaho range rider till the day he died. He carried an old, single-action Colt .45 and rode the range in the back country.

Q. My question is: How did you manage to switch careers?

A. I'm getting to that, counselor.

THE COURT: Get to it, Mr. Swisher.

THE WITNESS: Anyway, he introduced me to the back country and some mining property that he knew of. And then, as a result of my association with him, I became actively involved in mining.

BY MR. SULLIVAN: 21

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Did you engage in any academic studies, mining or chemical analysis?

A. Ch, yes.

> What did you do in that regard? Q.

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Where was that located? Q.

It was located in Cottonwood, Idaho. A.

Q. Where is Cottonwood?

Ottowood is approximately sixty miles south of Lewiston.

In the year 2000, for whom were you working? Q.

Actually, in the year 2000, I was probably still doing some work for Idaho Mining and Development and, also, for Northwest Analytical.

Q.

11

What was your position with Northwest Q.

Oh, I was an assayer; and I handled most of

the assaying for that company.

for us?

Oh, assaying is, basically, the determination A. of metals or minerals in solid or solution.

Did you ever perform assaying for a company Q. called WaterOz in Grangeville, Idaho?

23 When did you start doing that? Q.

> Probably about mid year 2000. A.

How did you get introduced to doing that work?

980

Analytical?

A. Yes, I did.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	Q.	When did that start?	983
2	A.	That started in scmetime in 2000.	
3	Q.	How did you begin working for him in this	
4	professio	nal relationship?	
5	A.	Would you say it again?	
6	Q.	How did it start? Did he ask you to do this?	
7	A.	He didn't initially ask. His manager did.	
8	Q,	Who was that?	
9	A.	That was a lady by the name of Terri (sic.)	
10	Ocay.		
11	Q.	What did she want you to do?	
12	A.	She wanted us to run tests on the product to	
13	verify th	at there was the correct amount or	
14	parts-per	-million of the minerals that they indicated on	
15	the label	actually in the product itself.	
16	Q.	Did you, also, discuss that to any degree with	
17	Mr. Hinks	on that you were going to provide that service?	
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Q.	What did you discuss with him about doing	
20	that?		
21	A.	Just that we had been asked to provide that	
22	and, if h	e had no objection, we would do that.	
23	Ω.	What did he say to that?	
24	A.	To go ahead.	
25	Q.	What did you do then, in that regard, to	

982 For what purpose or reason? Well, I had been taking medication for chronic arthritis; and it hadn't been terribly effective. It was my understanding that the water products may be more effective. 5 6 Q. Did you start using them? 7 A. Yes, I did. What sort of conditions did you use them for? Primarily, for the arthritis. 10 Did you discuss that with Dave Hinkson? Q. 11 With Dave? A. 12 O. Yes. 13 A. Yes. 14 0. Did you become a social friend of 15 Mr. Hinkson's? 16 And would you -- in what fashion would you socialize with him? 18 Ch, I would go out and, you know, talk with 19 him; and he would come in town and meet with my wife and 20 I. We went to a number of dinners together, things of 21 22 that nature. 23 Did there come a time when you provided professional services to his company, WaterOz? 25 A.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

```
984
provide that professional service?
          Well, I think, initially, we were supplied
samples to run; and we ran those to determine the parts
per million and whether, actually, what was stated on
the label existed in the product. On the first -- on
the first couple of runs of that type that we ran, it
was as stated on the label.
          How often did you do this testing?
          Initially, we started out, ch, a couple of
times a month; and then that increased in frequency --
          To what?
          -- as we were asked. Ch, I think, at the time
that our last services were provided, they were on a
daily basis.
          Did you work almost daily on it, on this then?
          In one way or another.
          Would you be in the WaterOz plant frequently?
    Q.
          Would you be in contact with Mr. Hinkson
    Q.
frequently?
    A.
          Did you continue, also, to socialize with
Mr. Hinkson?
    A.
          Do you see Mr. Hinkson in the courtroom today?
```

would have -- that charge, that is, what you were

24

25

Could you give us any estimate of what it

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309 987 1 A. Yeah. Mr. Nolan wasn't sure what you meant. In Q. 2001, would that have just been services for 3 approximately six months or thereabouts? 5 Oh, I'm sorry. I beg your pardon. I have a head cold, and it's really affected my hearing. Did you say the year 2000, which would have been the short year, or 2002? Q. I said 2002. A. Well, then it would have probably been in the 10 neighborhood of double that amount, probably closer to 11 fifty. 12 13 Q. All right. Thank you. If you don't hear something too well, please try to, you know, let me 15 know. Now, you told us that you continued to socialize 16 with Mr. Hinkson? 17 That's correct. Did you ever go to his home? 18 ο. 19 Yes. A. 20 Q. Where was his home? 21 A. He had a trailer immediately adjacent to the 22 WaterOz plant. Can you describe this home? Let me ask this: 23 Was it the kind of trailer that was on wheels? 24 25 Ch, no.

charging WaterOz -- would have been for the entire year of, say, 2002? A. Probably in that first year, that short year, probably around \$20,000, \$25,000. That's just a guess. I don't have the records with me. How were you actually paid? MR. NOLAN: I'm sorry. Can I just have a moment? THE COURT: Sure. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held between Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Nolan.) BY MR. SULLIVAN: Let me ask for a clarification, please, Mr. Swither. You gave us an answer. My question was: Can you approximate what your charge was to WaterOz for your services in the year 2002? Did you hear that question correctly? A. Oh, my services? Yes, for Northridge (sic.). Q. A. For Northwest Analytical? I'm sorry. Northwest Analytical? ο. Right. A. What was it for 2002? I am saying somewhere around \$25,000.

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

You mentioned something about a short year?

		QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	
1	Q.	What kind was it?	
2	A.	It was set based on a foundation. It had	
3	an upota:	irs, downstairs, several bedrooms, baths, dining	
4	room, liv	ring room, up and down.	
5	Q.	In your socializing, did you ever did	
6	Mr. Hinks	son ever inquire about your background, your	
7	personal	background?	
8	A.	He may have.	
9	Q.	Have you ever served in the Armed Forces,	
10	Mr. Swiel	ner?	
11	A.	Yes.	
12	Q.	Did Mr. Hinkson ever ask you about your	
13	service in the Armed Forces?		
14	A.	Yes.	
15	Q.	What branch did you serve in?	
16	A.	United States Marine Corps.	
17	Q.	Did you ever discuss that with Mr. Hinkson?	
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Ω.	And what was the nature of your discussion	
20	with him	7	
21	A.	As I recall, Mr. Hinkson stated he had been in	
22	the Navy	. I indicated I had been in the Marine Corps.	
23	He anked	if I had served in any combat situations. I	
24	explaine	d or told him, "Yes."	
25	Ω.	What else did he ank you about combat	

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

18

1		
1	there. I assumed they came from Mr. Hinkson.	991
2	Q. What kind were they?	
3	A. One of them was a shotgum; and I think there	
4	was another rifle there, as well.	ļ
5	Q. What did you bring?	
6	A. I brought a .22 Henry lever-action rifle and a	
7	.32 semi-automatic Browning pistol, and a .45 auto.	
8	Q. How was your shooting?	İ
9	A. I always hit what I aim at.	
10	Q. How was Mr. Hinkson's shooting?	l
11	A. Not terribly good.	
12	Q. What were you shooting at?	
13	A. Well, we shot some trap with a shotgum. I	
14	only shot maybe a half dozen times because I recently	
15	had a pacemaker installed; and a shotgum, a twelve-gauge	
16	particularly, kind of jars you around a little. I	
17	decided I would quit in due time, but I hit my targets.	
18	As I recall, I don't believe David hit any of his.	
19	THE COURT: Counsel, you might have him	
20	explain, for those jurous who do not know what trap	
21	shooting is, a little bit more about that sport.	
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.	
23	Q. Were you engaged in trap shooting?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Please explain what that is.	

I believe it was probably in December, scretime in December of 2002, that he had a gentleman from the Soviet Union, from the Ukraine, visiting. I He said we were going to meet out at an employee's who lived in the country, Mr. Rich Bellon. He indicated that his friend from Russia would like to do a little shooting. Apparently, they didn't get to do much of that in the Soviet Union. So he asked if I I cleared my schedule and made time for that, and I took a rifle and several handgums; and we shot Myself, Mr. Hinkson, and the Russian Did Mr. Hinkson bring his own weapon? I'm not sure. There were a couple of others

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309 Well, that's where -- in this case, we didn't have a machine. We had a thrower and the people --

3	Q. I'm sorry. A "thrower," is that a person?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Someone who
6	A. The person who wasn't shooting would throw the
7	clay pigeons for the others. You have a spring-loaded
8	hand unit that will kick them out, I expect, thirty,
9	forty yards without any problem at all, airborne. So
10	that's, basically, what it is. And the challenge is to
11	hit the airborne target when it's across from you.
12	Q. During 2001 and 2002, did you become aware of
13	legal problems that Mr. Hinkoon was having?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Who made you aware of those legal problems he
16	was having?
17	A. Primarily, Mr. Hinkson.
18	Q. Would be talk about it on more than one
19	occasion to you?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What did he what sort of legal problems did
22	he tell you he was having?
23	A. Well, he had had some difficulty with, as he
24	described it, an ex-employee who had sued him for a
25	large amount of money; and this was before I met him.

Grand Jury. Let me back it up then. Prior to your ٥. appearance in the Grand Jury -- you say it was April of

April of 2002, but he never really asked me to do

anything until after I had appeared there before the

A. Yea.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

995

20022

Α.

0.

Is that correct? What were the things that Mr. Hinkson said about Mr. Albers?

Ch, prior to that time?

A. Oh, he wanted to see him dead. He was very upset because he felt that he had abused the judicial system. He was, also, angry with other people at that time, as well, who had been involved in the case.

After your appearance before the Grand Jury -did you say Coeur d'Alene?

A. Yes.

And that was April 2002? 0.

Correct.

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

He would -- he said he would like to see them stripped, bound, and gagged, and then burned with cigarettes or cigars.

And then while Albers was down on his knees observing this occurring to his wife and any other family members that might be present, he wanted to have a plastic bag put over her head so that she would suffocate to death in front of him, along with other family members. Then he wanted that procedure repeated on Mr. Albers, himself.

Q. Did he want you to do something in that

When he finished describing what he wanted done, then he offered me \$10,000 a head to do it.

you these things?

needed to knock that kind of BS off, and I didn't even think about it.

How did he respond to that?

He just smiled and then didn't reply and changed the subject.

With regard to your Grand Jury appearance in

But at the time I met him, he was very disturbed because the employee had been awarded by the court about \$100,000.

Q. Was he upset about that?

Oh, yes. A.

Did he talk about it? Q.

A.

Q. On more than one occasion?

o. What would be his demeanor when he would talk

11 about that?

2

3

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, he was very angry, initially; and his A. demeanor reflected that.

Q. Did he talk about the lawyer for this employee?

A.

Did he name him? Q.

A. Yes

> Who was that? 0.

That was a Grangeville attorney by the name of A. Demis Albers.

ο. Did Mr. Hinkson express any anger towards that lawyer, Mr. Albers?

A. Considerable.

Did Mr. Hinkson ever ask you to do anything 0.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Did Mr. Hinkson bring up Demnis Albers again Q.

to you?

A. Yes.

Where did it cour? 0.

A. That occurred at WaterOz, in his private

office.

Did anything lead up to it? Q.

A. Well, he just asked to talk to me in private.

Did you know what about? ٥.

Well, I was there, yes. A.

Well, when he asked to talk to you in private, did you know what he was leading up to?

Ch, not at the time but -- but we had talked before, in private, on a sundry of subjects.

On this occasion, did he say something further about Dennis Alberrs?

Yes. A.

Did he include Mr. Albers' family? ٥.

A. Yes.

What was it that Mr. Hirkson said? Q.

Well, he started off by talking about how he would like to have Mr. Albers and his family, particularly his wife, Margaret, tortured and killed. And he went into quite a description on the torture.

And what was that?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

996

regard?

What was his demeanor like when he was telling

He was cool and calm at that time. A.

What was your response to Mr. Hinkson?

I told him he was out of his mind and he

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

the people involved in the Grand Jury, the prosecution.

As I recall, I think -- well, it was one of

Who were you met by?

I think, probably, Nancy Cook, herself.

22

23

24

Q.

Prior to your arriving there in Coar d'Alene, 1 had you told anyone at WaterOz that you were subpoemed? 3 A. When you returned from the Grand Jury 5 proceeding, did you mention to anyone that you had 6 appeared before a Federal Grand Jury? 7 Probably. I know I mentioned it to my 8 attorney. Q. Who was that? 10 A. Mr. Groom, Brit Groom. Did you ever become aware that other WaterOz 11 employees had been subposmed to Grand Jury proceedings? 12 13 I had heard that. I don't know that for A. certainty, but I heard it. 14 15 At any time during 2002, after your appearance in April, did you have any discussion with Mr. Hinkson regarding a Grand Jury investigation? 17 Probably. If I did, it was brief. 18 I'm sorry. Let me back up one moment. While 19 20 you were there in Coeur d'Alene at the Grand Jury proceeding, did scrething occur there in the building 21 22 regarding -- involving Nancy Cook? 23 Yes, I believe so. A. Did you observe it? 24 Q. 25 Well, partially, in any event. During my

A. ο. How long was your appearance? Oh, my goodness. I don't think I got on until A. 3:30 or 4:00 o'clock, and it lasted until after 5:00. Were you questioned by Nancy Cook? Yes, limited. Most of the questioning was done by a Federal Prosecutor out of Washington, DC; and I believe his name was Tom Bradley. 10 Did you have some understanding or belief about what the nature of this Grand Jury proceeding was 11 12 about? 13 A. Vaguely, at that point in time. ο. Where did you obtain this vague understanding 14 15 or belief about the Grand Jury proceeding? 16 We had a -- Ms. Cook took my wife and I 17 upstairs in the Federal Building there in Coeur d'Alene, 18 and then there was a meeting with an Internal revenue 19 agent by the name of Steve Hines, Mr. Bradley -- Nancy Cook was there for a while. There was a gentleman by 20 21 the name of Vernon. I think, who I later learned wan also a witness. And then some testimony was discussed. 22 23 You discussed what you were going to testify 24 to? 25 Basically. CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Did you appear before the Grand Jury?

Q.

1000 testimony -- and I was responding to Mr. Bradley's questions -- Mr. Hines came in the door of the Grand Jury Room and handed some papers to Ms. Cook. And then, subsequently, I learned that Mr. Hines had been served with a litigation by Mr. Hinkson that date. And I think, later on --MR. NOLAN: Objection. Beyond the scope of his knowledge. THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. SULLIVAN: You can finish your statement. ٥. Later on, I believe that Mr. Cook was also served that same day. Now, at some point, did you get involved in that particular civil lawsuit? A. I was asked to, at one point, give an affidavit, I think --Who asked you ---- for Mr. Hinkson. Actually, Mr. Hinkson's attorney asked me to give an affidavit to them. What were you asked to do? With regard to ο. what? In regards to what had happened in the Grand

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jury Room. They seemed to feel it was inappropriate for

Mr. Hines to have walked in and given anything to the

- I am sorry?
- A. I am having a void right now.
- Okav.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But he did accumulate the conversation by -ch, I remember he made some comment to the effect of -he asked if I had remembered the offer he made regarding Mr. Albers and his family. And I said that, of course, I did.

And he said he wanted that done, basically, with Ms. Cook and her family and Mr. Hines and his family.

And I told him, again, that he was out of his mind. And I, also, went into a little bit of a dissertation because David was a friend at that time.

And he said, "Well, you know, I know you're used to it. I mean, you have killed people."

I said, "Yes, I have killed people in defense of my life and others; but what you are talking about is murder, and there is a significant difference here.

"And you need to get it out of your head because, if you continue talking that way, it will get you in trouble. And if you continue talking this way and I think you are serious about this, I will have to report it to the authorities."

How did he respond to that?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

What did he tell you about being indicted for those matters?

Well, he, basically, felt that he would prevail at some point in his litigation against the Government to the tune of millions of dollars. But in the same respect, he was extremely hostile to all of the people who had been involved in that arrest.

Did he talk in the same fashion about those people as you have mentioned prior to his arrest?

Yes. And in January of '03, he approached me again on that, went through the names of the people that had offended him, and added a federal judge by the name of Lodge to that list. And I, essentially, dropped the harmer at that point in time on David.

Let me first ask what he asked you to do regarding those people?

He wanted them all treated the way that the initial offer regarding Albers and his family had been handled.

Were you to receive anything in return for doing that?

At least \$10,000 a head. And I made a mental tally that, with all of the people he named at that time, we were well over \$100,000.

You mentioned that he mentioned Judge Lodge on

Well, I got his smile again; and then he changed the subject and went on to something else at that point.

Now, did he tell you why he had these harsh feelings against Mrs. Cook and Mr. Hines?

They had abused the judicial system, they had abused him tremendously, and it cost him a lot of money, and they just didn't deserve to live.

Did you become aware that Mr. Hinkson was arrested then in November of 2002?

2

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How did you become aware of it?

13 I am not sure. Mr. Groom might have mentioned 14 to me that he had been arrested.

Did you learn -- arrested for what?

Well, I wasn't really sure on that; but I, subsequently, after his arrest, learned that he had violated the IRS laws and there were several other issues. I heard something about forty counts or scrething of that nature.

Did you ever discuss this new legal problem with Mr. Hinkson?

Ch, yes. A.

> After his arrest, I mean? 0.

Yes, yes.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

this occasion?

Yes, he did.

ο. Had he ever talked about Judge Lodge previously?

A. I'm not sure. He may have mentioned him in passing a time or two.

Did he give you any more information about why he had this harsh feeling against Judge Lodge on this occasion in January of 2003?

I think there was scrething he mentioned about Judge Lodge's name being on the arrest warrant, the November arrest warrant. There was something else about the Judge having thrown part of the civil case out or getting ready to or something of that nature. But I just tuned part of it out.

Did the \$10,000 offer include Nancy Cook and Steve Hines?

Ch, yes.

Did it include Mr. Albern?

Did it include the children of those people?

ο. What did he want done with the children of those people?

Treated in the same fashion.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1006

1017 what? 1 2 A. No. It would have probably been getting close 3 to summer there. Spring, summer, screwhere through there. Sometime after April, I'm thinking. Q. All right. 6 I might be wrong. A. 7 Q. And who did you contact? 8 A. I contacted the Idaho County Assistant 9 Prosecutor from Grangeville. 10 Now, is he a State Prosecutor, as opposed to a 11 Federal Prosecutor? 12 Yes. That's correct. A. 13 Q. And did you express some concern to him? 14 A. I did. Was it regarding Mr. Hinkson? 15 Q. 16 A. Thereafter, were you contacted by the FBI? 17 ο. A. Yes. 18 19 Q. Who contacted you? 20 A. Mr. Will Long. 21 That's the person here at the table? Q. 22 Correct, sitting right there. THE COURT: For the record, the witness has 23 identified Special Agent Long. 24 25 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

		QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	
	 		
1	Q.	Did you read anything else?	19
2	A.	I read my affidavit that I had given that was	
3	previousl	ly on the screen.	
4	Q.	Did you read anything else?	
5	A.	Ch, I glanced at a copy of a deposition that I	
6	gave for	Mr. Hoyt earlier this year.	
7	Q.	Anything else?	
8	A.	I don't think so.	
9		MR. NCLAN: Ckay. Thank you.	
10		Now, is it appropriate, Your Honor, for me to	
11	use this	writing screen to assist, in terms of putting	
12	down namb	pers and things?	
13		THE COURT: Yes.	
14		MR. NOLAN: Fine. Thank you. Let me see if I	
15	know how	to work it. Ckay. Good.	
16	Q.	Scretime in the year 2000, you became aware of	
17	WaterOz;	correct?	
18	A.	Connect.	
19	Q.	And you worked you worked at WaterOz or	
20	warked fo	or WaterOz until 2003?	
21	A.	I was never an employee of WaterOz.	
22	Q.	The company you worked for did work for	
23	WaterOz?		
24	A.	That is correct, sir.	
25	Ω.	You never worked for WaterOz?	
	L		

1018 I have no further questions on direct, Your 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 4 MR. NOLAN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. NOLAN: 8 Prior to coming here today, did you review any of your testimony that you have given under oath on 9 10 previous occasions? 11 Would you repeat that, sir? 12 Did I speak loud enough, first of all? 13 No. I do have a hearing --A. 14 ٥. I'm sorry. 15 A. I have a hearing problem. 16 That's fine. No problem. Before testifying Q. 17 today, did you read any of your prior testimony? 18 Yes. A. 19 ٥. When did you do that? 20 A. Yesterday. 21 What testimony did you read? Q. 22 I read the transcript from the Grand Jury in 23 April of 2002, and I read the transcript when I appeared before the Grand Jury here in Boise. I think that was 24 25 in February of '04.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

No, not directly.

A.

1020

All right. And between two -- I will get to 2 3 use this samehow. I will figure it out. Sametime between 2000 and April of 2002 -- ch, boy. 4 THE COURT: I'm afraid you are off the screen. 5 MR. NCLAN: I'm not going to be able to do a 7 very good job of this. THE COURT: Do you want to use that butcher 8 9 paper? 10 MR. NOLAN: Oh, that would be much better. 11 THE COURT: Shall we go back to the old-fashioned way, Mr. Nolan? 12 MR. NOLAN: I really prefer to do that. Thank 13 14 you. 15 May I be in the well? 16 THE COURT: Yes, you may. Mr. Sullivan, if you would like to, move 17 around so you can see what he is writing. That's fine, 18 19 too. 20 MR. NOLAN: I will try to put it -- do we have 21 any mademi? 22 THE COURT: Ms. Longstreet, how are we doing 23 on our supply of colored crayons? THE COURTROOM CLERK: I have got black. 24 25 THE COURT: We have got black. We can start

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1021 you out with one color, Mr. Nolan. MR. NOLAN: Thank you. Thank you. So sometime in mid 2000, you become aware of WaterOz; correct? Yes. ο. And sometime in April -- A-p-r-i-l -- of 2002, you testify; right? A. I believe it was the 16th. 9 All right. You testified; correct? 10 11 0. Was your testimony in April of 2002 truthful? 12 As I believed it to be at that time, yes. All right. It was truthful; correct? 13 14 As I believed it to be at that time. I was 15 under cath. 16 0. And you told the truth? THE COURT: Counsel, you are arguing with the 17 18 witness. 19 MR. NOLAN: I'm scrry. I didn't understand. 20 THE COURT: I think the jury has understood 21 22 MR. NCLAN: All right. I'm sorry. I'm 23 left-handed. 24 MR. SULLIVAN: Now I can't see. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, if you want to, move

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1023 1 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure you are correcting anything. Let's wait for a question, 3 Mr. Swinher. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 BY MR. NOLAN: It's your testimony that, at the time you testified before the Grand Jury in April of 2002, Mr. Hinkson had not said anything about soliciting you to kill Mr. Albers, his family, or anyone else; is that correct? 10 11 A. He did not ask me directly to do that prior to that time. 12 13 You had a number of conversations with him between mid 2000 and April 2002 where you talked about his hostility to Mr. Albers; correct? 15 16 A. Correct. Now, isn't it true that you testified before 17 18 the Grand Jury in -- was it November of 2000 -- I'm sorry -- February of 2004; correct? 19 20 A. 21 And you told the Grand Jury that, right after the lawsuit was decided and very soon after you first 22 23 met him, met Mr. Hinkson, that he talked about having Mr. Albers killed, his wife tortured, and members of the 24 25 family present?

1022 over. I would rather have it so the jury can see. I don't want Mr. Nolan blocking the jury's view. BY MR. NOLAN: I will try to do it this way. The last contact you had, as far as working for WaterOz, was January of '03; is that correct? No. When was that? I beg your pardon. 10 The last contact you had with WaterOz, doing Q. work for WaterOz? 12 I previously testified that it was probably in 13 April of '03. 14 April of '03. Between mid 2000 and April of 15 2002, about how many times did you meet with Mr. Hinkson? 16 A. Quite a number of times. More than ten or twelve? Probably. I would like to correct one thing. We did the professional work for WaterOz which would have concluded sometime toward the end of April 2003. However, after Mr. Hinkson was arrested -- and I'm thinking that was probably around November or December of '03 -- I received a call from jail, from Mr. Hinkson.

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

23

24

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Yes.

1024

2	Q. And he said or you said in the Grand Jury,				
3	in February, that Mr. Hinkson said he would give you				
4	\$10,000 for taking care of these people?				
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Page number?				
6	THE WITNESS: \$10,000 a piece.				
7	BY MR. NOLAN:				
8	Q. Page 12, 13. He included his wife, did he				
9	not?				
10	A. The first time, yes.				
11	Q. The first time he offered you money; correct?				
12	A. Right, which would have been in April of 2002.				
13	Q. Now, isn't it true you testified that it was				
14	right at the time that Ms. Hasalone had been awarded her				
15	money?				
16	A. That is not correct. If you will check the				
17	testimony, I believe I asked I made a comment I				
18	wasn't sure exactly of the time frame; but it was				
19	scretime after that. And, of course, April of '02 is				
20	scretime after that, counselor.				
21	Q. Isn't it true you were asked the question,				
22	page 12, line 20: "Please. Approximately when was				

Answer: "This was right about the time that

that? The lawsuit, I believe, was in the summer --"

he had -- Hazelton had been awarded all of this money,

and he was very, very angry. 2 "Then in the same time frame, he was having trouble with his wife at that time -- or slightly before that --* 5 He did talk about those things, but the offer 0. A. He talked about them all the time.

3

4

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

to kill them didn't come in until later. Isn't it true --

Isn't it true you testified at the Grand Jury that the time he offered you the money was to kill those people, including Mrs. Hinkson (sic.) -- I'm sorry --Mr. Hinkson's former wife, was about the time the lawauit was decided?

May I see what you are quoting me from?

You certainly may. You certainly may. ο.

May I please see page 9, sir? A.

Ο. Certainly.

THE WITNESS: If I may, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Take your time, Mr. Swisher.

You are welcome to as much of it as you need to answer Mr. Nolan's questions.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. On page 9, when T was --

THE COURT: No. That's not the way we do it, Mr. Swisher. You can review as much of it as you want

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

question.

MR. NOLAN: Yes, I will. Thank you.

You see page 12, do you not? ο.

Yes, sir.

Let's look at page 10. He talks -- you talk about the fact that there was a civil suit, correct, on line 8?

Yes.

0. Ms. Hasalone had received a judgement of some kind: correct?

Over \$100,000, screwhere in that neighborhood; Q. correct?

Yes. A.

He wasn't happy; correct? Q.

A. Yes.

That's what you said at that point in time? "He started talking about how he really would like to have Mr. Albers killed, and he would like to have his family tortured . . . " That's at page 11, lines 12 through 18; correct?

Q. You'd let him ramble on; correct?

A.

He said -- I'm sorry. He said -- according to

to review; but then you have to answer his question, okay? So take a look at it, and then I will have him restate the question.

THE WITNESS: Ckay. I have looked at it. Thank you.

THE COURT: Are you ready for the question? MR. NOLAN: I'm going to see if I can get another copy so he can have that in front of him, if you don't mind.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

MR. NCLAN: I am sorry.

THE COURT: Do you want to switch copies?

MR. NOLAN: I have to take it back until I get another one, if that's okay. I'm sorry. I should be better prepared.

THE COURT: You are doing fine, commelor. Does the Government have an extra copy?

18 MR. NCLAN: That's all right. I will give him 19 а сору.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: I can go make one.

THE COURT: Let's see --

22 BY MR. NOLAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

25

3

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1027

Here you are. ٥.

Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, please restate your

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

you, "He said, 'I would like you to take care of the matter for me because I know you've done this kind of thing before'"?

According to you, he said, "I will give you \$10,000 ahead . . . "

Rum that by me again, sir.

The next thing you said, at page 12, line 2, is that Mr. Hinkson said, quote, "I will give you \$10,000 ahead . . . " That is ahead, a-h-e-a-d, ahead of time, in effect?

THE COURT: Counselor, now you are arguing about how the court reporter transcribed the answer. I think we have to let the jury decide that.

MR. NOLAN: I will spell it.

THE COURT: Just read it into the record.

MR. NOLAN: "I will give you \$10,000 ahead for taking care . . . " I see what you are saying. I didn't think about that.

THE COURT: I understand. That's my job. BY MR. NOLAN:

"'I will give you \$10,000 ahead for taking care of Albers and his wife; 'but he said, 'I want her taken care of first, and he has to watch it, and watch her being tortured."

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

That's your testimony; correct?

- A.
- You talked about the plastic bag; correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- o. That you couldn't believe this; correct?
- A. Yes.
- If he were serious, you would have to do scrething else, probably; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - And then the next question was:

"Approximately when was that? The lawsuit, I belive, was in the summer --"

Answer: "That was right about the time that he had -- Hazelton had been awarded all this money and he was very, very angry."

Correct?

- Yes.
- And then you talked about his first wife. I don't know her name.

And then you said: " . . . ch, I would say within a couple weeks of this incident, I was out -- in fact, I think my wife was with me. He started blowing off again about all of these things he wanted done."

Correct?

Yes.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

was that he wanted to correct, to determine whether or not it's proper to allow him to do that. I'm not sure where he was going with his answer.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm not sure either.

THE COURT: I will permit you to talk to him solely about that correction that he wanted to make and nothing else on the break.

MR. SULLIVAN: I can tell the court and Mr. Nolan I directed him not to mention anything about an offer to kill Marie.

THE COURT: Well, commsel raised it.

a conscious decision on my part.

THE COURT: I thought it was, but I just wanted to make that clear for the record. If you want to get into solicitations to murder Marie Hinkson,

MR. NCLAN: The theory of the defense is, Your Honor, that none of this is serious and that he --

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. NCLAN: And just for the record, I will object to comsel talking to the witness.

THE COURT: I am going to --

"He pulled me aside, and he said, 'There would be another \$10,000 if you take care of Marie, as well. She is just a pain in the ass."

A. Yes.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Do you remember where you were when he said that? Was it a restaurant?"

Answer: "No."

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object to this procedure. It's not a proper procedure.

THE COURT: Sustained. I think it is improper, Mr. Nolan.

MR. NOLAN: Very well.

THE COURT: I think we are going to take our recess this marning. Ladies and gentlemen, we will be in recess for fifteen minutes. Hopefully, we will be back in fifteen minutes.

Mr. Swisher, just sit there for a second.

Go ahead, ladies and gentlemen. I want to talk to counsel about something.

(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom; and the following proceedings were held:)

THE COURT: I am going to make an exception to my rule about the Government, in this case, being allowed to talk to Mr. Swisher during the break.

I would like you to talk to him about what it

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. NOLAN: I understand.

THE COURT: For the record, I am going to give a witness who says in open court that he would like to correct his testimony an opportunity to do that if it's relevant and permissible testimony. The only way I know -- well, I guess we can do it right here.

MR. NOLAN: We can do it right now.

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, what was it that you wanted to correct in front of the jury that I cut you

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. What I wanted to correct was -- and I mentioned page 9, before this testimony began, before the Grand Jury.

At that point in time, I was feeling probably about like I am today. I was asked the question: "At come point, did you have discussions with Mr. Hinkson or hear Mr. Hinkson make threats towards anyone?"

And my answer was: "I don't remember the cocasion."

And then I tried to qualify it and narrow it down the best way I could. I knew that it had been after these other circumstances and events.

But I, at this point, had not had an opportunity to go back and check my wife's calendar or anything so I described -- what I described is accurate,

1031

MR. NCLAN: I raised it. I raised it.

THE COURT: Do you want to go there?

MR. NOLAN: I certainly do. I mean, that was

that's your prerogative.

QNA COURT REPORTENTS (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1032

but it just didn't happen at that particular time frame. THE COURT: All right. Thank you,

Mr. Swigher.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

3

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will permit the Government, on redirect, if it wishes, to elicit that clarification or you can ask him, Mr. Nolan.

MR. NOLAN: I would ask that the court -- that counsel review their 302s because I believe that he told them that the first time he was offered money was soon after he met Mr. Hinkson.

And that's a significant change because he testified before the Grand Jury and never -- well, it's part of cross.

THE COURT: Yes, I understand.

MR. NCLAN: His testimony before the Grand Jury in 2002 --

THE COURT: Hang on just a second. Can we excuse Mr. Swisher?

MR. NCLAN: I would like that.

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, if you need to use the restroom, why don't you step out of the courtroom and I will hear the rest of the argument from counsel?

(Whereupon, witness Swisher was excused from the countroom, and the following proceedings were held:)

MR. NCLAN: Here is the problem. This is,

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

jury's job.

MR. NCLAN: It's hard for me. That doesn't mean the rules change or anything. Quite frankly, I think that he told people it was -- after he tried to take over the business, after he decided that Mr. Hinkson put out a hit on him, after he decided Mr. Hinkson shot at him, after he decided that he wouldn't be getting all of these things from Mr. Hinkson, he became an enemy of Mr. Hinkson.

After he became an enemy of Mr. Hinkson, then he comes back and joins the bandwagon; and he tells them right after I started this. That's important because it's before his testimony which puts doubt on his credibility.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand where you are going, Mr. Nolan. I think that's fair cross-examination. I am going to allow you to engage in

I am going to order the Government, over the recess, to take a look at the 302s to see whether or not there is an inconsistency between his Grand Jury testimeny and what he told the FBI, prior or after, with regard to the timing of these alleged solicitations.

MR. NOLAN: Right. And so it's okay -- now he will say, "I want to change that," which is fine. You

actually, a very significant issue because his testimony in April of 2002 is, basically, a glowing report of everything.

2

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

I mean, I'm characterizing it; but he doesn't say anything about any of the behavior of Mr. Hinkson that would or should have come up in the nature of some of the questions.

It shows that, you know, he tested the product himself; he loved the guy; he loved the product -- all of this kind of stuff.

If he was telling us the truth then and now he is coming back and saying, "Oh, he offered, during that time to, you know, kill these people" -- that's why I brought Marie in.

If he was having trouble with Marie, it wasn't in April of 2002. I can prove it was in 2000. So it shows that he is actually lying and it's extremely important because he really -- I don't mean to affect the court. He has lied so much that he is going to be hard for me.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't see what the issue is that is going to be discussed here.

THE COURT: I think I understand where Mr. Nolan is going. It's not hard for the court. I don't have to decide his credibility. That is the

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1035

know, he will say, "Well, I didn't know;" and it really was after 2002.

THE COURT: You can attempt to impeach the witness, the Government can attempt to rehabilitate the witness, and the jury will decide whether he is telling the truth.

MR. NCLAN: I understand that. I want the court to understand why I think that's so significant.

THE COURT: I think you have made your record. I have made my ruling. I hope it's clear with everybody as to what we are doing here.

MR. NOLAN: That's fine.

THE COURT: Now, the next matter. Juror Mrs. Hanes handed a note to the clerk which says: "Your Honor, I do not know if this is allowed for me to ask; but can Mr. Swisher be asked about the mental capacity of Mr. Hinkson? Did he do a clinical evaluation of Mr. Hinkson? Is David Hinkson on medication? Is Mr. Hinkson mentally ill? Are we or are we not supposed to consider his mental capacity?"

At this point, counsel, I am --

MR. NOLAN: Can you give them Dr. Doke's report now?

THE COURT: How do you want me to handle it? Shall I simply say to the jury that I did receive a note

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 15

20 21

22 23

24 25

2

10 11

13 14

12

15 16 17

18

cath.

BY MR. NOLAN:

25

from one of the jurors but, at this point, I am going to allow the parties to proceed to put on their evidence: and that's how we are going to respond to it?

> MR. NOLAN: I think that's the best way. THE COURT: That's how I would like to handle

MR. SULLIVAN: I agree with that, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. You have ten minutes for a recess. I will see you back here in ten minutes. (Recens.)

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, my law clerk had, I guess, spoken to you briefly about some potential impeachment information on Mr. Croner.

MR. NOLAN: I apologize. We just found out that the file on Chad Croner in the state court, in the County of Ada, is under seal; so we can't find out about the underlying facts.

We are trying to get a hold -- we are having screbody try to get the lawyer, to see if we can find out something. I wanted to alert -- I don't think the court has the authority to tell the state court to unseal their records.

But because of the lateness of all of this, I wanted to make a record that we are making the effort and maybe a phone call might be needed.

CNA COURT REPORTENS (208) 484-6309

1039

testimony about Mr. Albers occurred.

THE COURT: Very well. Bring in the jury. And where is Mr. Swisher?

Yes, please.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before we continue with Mr. Swisher, Mrs. Hanes gave us a note, which is perfectly fine.

I went over that with the lawyers. My response to your question, at this point, is I am going to allow the parties to proceed with the presentation of the evidence. So we won't respond immediately to your question. We will see how the evidence goes. Thank

Mr. Nolan, you may proceed.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, you are still under

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

What you would like to correct, I take it, is, Q. if there is any inference or any suggestion, I think, in your Grand Jury testimony of February of 2003 (sic.), that you were told anything or solicited to kill anybody

authority by which I can tell a state court to unseal its files because I need access to them to see if they might be discoverable in an ongoing criminal proceeding. If the Government knows of any authority to permit me to do so -- I know of none. MR. NOLAN: This agency wasn't investigating it, so we don't have a problem there.

federal judges have unlimited power, I know of no

THE COURT: As much as I'd like to think that

THE COURT: The only case I can think of is the Younger Doctrine that says I can't interfere in engoing state preceedings, so I can't enter an order telling a state court judge that he has to stop or terminate an ongoing criminal proceeding for whatever reason.

MR. NOIAN: I wanted to make sure I tried. THE COURT: I think we have to stay within the Constitution, Mr. Nolan.

MR. NOLAN: I think so, too.

THE COURT: Are we ready for Mr. Swisher?

MR. NOLAN: I am ready, unless there are some reports I have to get.

MR. SULLIVAN: There is not contained in any document that we have in the nature of a debriefing of Mr. Swisher that relates to this issue of what date the

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

between mid 2000 and April of 2002, that's not a proper inference? You didn't intend to say that; correct?

1040

Well, you mentioned February of 2003. What is that in reference to, sir?

I'm sorry. That is the Grand Jury testimony. That's the date of the Grand Jury testimony that you reviewed and said you wanted to correct something about.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object.

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's a misleading statement by counsel.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. NOLAN: 13

> I'm sorry. It's two thousand -- my apologies. That's why I have these pieces of paper. It's 2004; right?

All right. If you said anything in 2004 that suggested that you were solicited to kill anybody between mid 2002 and April -- mid 2000 -- excuse me -and April of 2002, that what you said is in error; correct?

A. Correct.

All right. Now, when you first met Mr. Hinkson in mid 2000, did you and he discuss Mr. Albers?

1041 1 A. Yes. 2 And between mid 2000 and April of 2002, did he Q. discuss with you his hatred for Mr. Albers? A. Yes. Q. Did he discuss with you his wanting to kill 6 Mr. Albers? 7 Well, he wanted to see him dead. He wanted to see him dead? A. Right. 10 He didn't say he was going to kill him. He 0. 11 would like to see him dead; right? 12 Right. 13 There was a lot of talk that he did about Mr. Albers; is that correct? 14 15 That's correct. And I take it, you have a background with 16 17 Mr. Albers; correct? I know Mr. Albers. 18 19 Well, a number -- twenty some odd years ago, 20 he prosecuted you. You were found not guilty; correct? Connect. 21 A. 22 MR. SULLIVAN: I object to this. THE COURT: I am going to permit that 23 24 question. Overruled. It's been the subject of a 25 previous ruling by the court.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Counsel, did you establish what it 1 was that Mr. Albers was trying to get re-elected for? 2 3 BY MR. NOLAN: I'm sorry. He was trying to get re-elected to the position of prosecutor? 5 Yes. 6 A. 7 Bither country or some kind of prosecutor; is Ο. that correct? A. That is correct. And one of his -- well, that prevented him 10 from getting elected, that piece of literature, you 11 12 believe? 13 A. Correct. Now, you are a disabled veteran; is that o. 14 15 correct? 16 A. That is correct. 17 And you have a hearing problem; correct? ο. 18 And when you testified -- you first become 19 20 aware of WaterOz because of a friend of yours; isn't 21 that correct? That's correct. 22 A friend was concerned about your health; is 23 Q. that right? 24 25 A. Yes.

1042 BY MR. NOLAN: 2 ο. He prosecuted you, and you were found not 3 quilty; is that correct? 4 That is correct. 5 And you have had bad feelings about him since 6 then, have you not? 7 A. I have had. Okay. And you talked to Mr. Hinkson about your feelings about Mr. Albers between mid 2000 and 10 April of 2002; correct? 11 I may have. A. 12 Well, did you or did you not? ο. 13 A. I don't recall, specifically. 14 Okay. In November of 2000, did you 15 participate with Mr. Hinkson in helping to distribute a piece of literature about Mr. Albers to the public? 16 17 A. My father did. Did you mail some items? 18 ο. I don't think I personally did, no. 19 A. Did you take them to the post office for 20 ο. 21 mailing? 22 A. I'm not sure. It's possible. And to the best of your knowledge, that caused 23 24 Mr. Albers not to get re-elected; correct? I believe that's correct. 25

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1044 You were having a lot of trouble walking, and ο. you had arthritis; is that correct? Correct. And he suggested that you look at the possibility of WaterOz; correct? A. And you went out there, and he introduced you Q. to the people at WaterOz; correct? A. In the Grand Jury of April of 2002, on page 14, line 1 -- let me see if I can -- can we get -- is there another copy of the transcript? Let me give it to the witness. I am going to try to get a copy of this Grand Jury testimony. Here, let me give you a copy. May I approach? THE COURT: Yes, of course. BY MR. NOLAN: If you could, take a look at page 14. You can start -- I guess, if you want to, go back to 13, at the bottom. You can start anywhere you want, but that would be the place I would suggest. MR. SULLIVAN: Counsel, what was the document? MR. NOLAN: Page 13. MR. SULLIVAN: Which one? MR. NOLAN: Grand Jury of April 2002.

1045 1 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. NOLAN: Okay. Have you been able to review that? A. Yes. 6 ٥. Is that your -- does that refresh your recollection that you went with that friend to WaterOz and he introduced you to the people out there? 9 That's incorrect. 10 Is that what you testified under oath at the 11 Grand Jury in April 2002? 12 That's what I believed at that time, yes. 13 Q. You testified in April of 2002 to that fact; correct? 14 15 A. Correct. 16 0. Is that wrong? 17 A. Yes. 18 You testified they took you around and said, Q. 19 "Way don't you try some of these," and so forth? Did 20 you testify that way? 21 I testified that way, that it was Mr. Hinkson who did that. 22 23 Is that true? Q. 24 That they took me around? 25 When you testified in April of 2002 to those 0. CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1047 0. And that's incorrect? 1 2 Yeg. A. 3 Q. All right. Do you have a good memory, sir? Well, I'm 68. I do have some memory flaws but, basically, pretty good. 5 б And you have previously testified that you have not -- did not have a good memory; correct? 7 Boy, I don't recall that. 8 q Isn't it -- if you could, take a look at page 10 36, lines 13 through 19. Your Honor, would the court like a copy? 11 THE COURT: I would love a copy. Thank you, 12 13 Mr. Nolan. MR. NOLAN: Here is a copy for the court. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 BY MR. NOLAN: 16 17 Have you read that, sir? A. Which line are you referring to, sir? 18 Line 13, on page 36, through line 19. 19 This is my answer: "You're telling me that I 20 21 have it. There is an old saying, you know . . . " Excuse me. Does that refresh your 22 recollection that you testified in April of 2002 under 23 24 cath in regards to your memory? I guess I did. 25

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1046 facts, were those facts true? 2 You will have to go back and start --3 MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know what facts counsel is talking about. 5 THE COURT: I'm not quite sure what you are asking Mr. Swisher. 6 7 BY MR. NOTAN: 8 You said, April of 2002, quote, line 5, page 14: "They took me around and said, 'Why don't you try some of these?" 10 11 "And I said, 'Okay. What's to lose? I will 12 give it a whirl." 13 You testified that way; correct? 14 Yes. 15 Was that testimony true? 16 Yes, from Mr. Hinkson. Mr. Hinkson took me 17 around and made those comments. Would you like me to 18 explain that? 19 On page 13, line 24, you said, quote: "I don't really believe in that, but I'm willing to try it. 20 So my friend suggested I go out and, in fact, went with 21 22 me to WaterOz and introduced me." That's incorrect. 23 24 Is that what you testified to? 25 Yes. A.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1048 1 0. You have --2 A. It says it here. You testified in April of 2002 that you didn't 3 have a good memory; correct? 4 5 That's not what it says here. THE COURT: Counsel, it is not what it says here. I want you to read starting at line 13. 7 8 MR. NOLAN: I will be happy to. I was trying 9 to characterize it. THE COURT: I want you to read it rather than 10 11 characterize it BY MR. NOLAN: 12 13 I would rather read it. Question: That's okay. That's how my memory 14 15 works screetines. 16 Answer --THE COURT: That is not correct, Mr. Nolan. 17 You have added a word. 18 MR. NOLAN: I'm sorry. Question: "That's 19 ckay. That's how memory works sometimes." 20 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I would request 21 that the question and answer prior to that be read 22

redirect to clear this up, if Mr. Nolan doesn't want to.

THE COURT: I am going to allow you on

first, to give the context.

23

How about a week? Q.

A day or two?

Hith?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

٥.

A.

It's possible. I was at a remote area in Idaho County with a Vietnam combat veteran friend, and

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1071 involved. MR. NOLAN: I'm sorry. I will find what I need. THE COURT: That's all right. Take your time, counsel. 6 BY MR. NOLAN: 7 Now, when you testified before the Grand Jury in April about contacts and conversations with Mr. Hinkson between the time you met him in mid 2000 and 9 10 April, you were asked: "Did you talk to him very much about an investigation, a possible investigation, that 11 12 was occurring in 2001?" Where are we at, sir? 13 THE COURT: Well --14 15 MR. NOLAN: I am talking about --THE COURT: What do you remember, Mr. Swisher? 16 17 Answer the question first. THE WITNESS: You are getting a little remote 18 for me. Because I have testified so many times, if I 19 can't look at it, I can't make a meaningful answer to 20 21 you, sir. I don't remember. 22 I am very tired. I only got about an hour's sleep last night. I have a terrible head cold. I'm not 23 going to guess at something I don't know. 24

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

_ - ,---,---

```
we were expecting our wives to come in sometime later
     that week.
               And we couldn't find the shooter, and it is a
     remote area. I did report it. We took photographs of
     it initially, right off, with the entrance and exit
     holes. The shooter shot at me while I was sitting on a
     tin privy, and the bullet missed me on my right side.
               The Sheriff's Department, later, after that
 9
     investigation, determined that the shooter had used a
10
    nine millimeter handgun approximately 35 yards from the
11
    back of the outhouse.
12
               They also determined that there were some
13
    Forest Service people in the area; correct?
14
               We didn't know that, initially.
        A.
15
              Uh-huh. I'm saying --
16
               Initially -- oh, go ahead.
17
               The case was closed, was it not?
18
        A.
               I don't know. I haven't heard that. The last
19
    I heard, they were investigating Forest Service
20
    personnel who were there without notification, as
```

1070

1072

you are asking for my personal opinion, I believe he was QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And you believe, Mr. Hinkson did this because

I didn't say he did it but my personal -- if

agreed, with that agency, prior to the shooting.

you are a witness against him; is that right?

```
BY MR. NOLAN:
2
              Do you feel that the trustworthiness of your
    testimony today -- that the reliability of your
3
    testimony today is affected by your lack of sleep or
    feelings right now?
5
```

- Not regarding the solicitation for murder, no.
- So if there are questions about solicitation, the jury can rely upon them; correct?
 - I would think so.

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

25

- But if it's anything else about the background of this case, they shouldn't rely upon it because you can't give good testimony?
 - That's not what I said. That isn't true.
- All right. Now, should the jury treat your 14 testimeny about solicitation today differently than your 15 tentimony about other matters because of your physical 16 17 condition?

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to the form of the question. I object to the argument of counsel.

THE COURT: I will allow the witness to answer that question, if he can.

THE WITNESS: I have testified under cath on a 22 number of occasions. At the time I testified under 23 cath, I believed what I testified to was accurate and 24 complete.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

nurver people, and that was his ofter to me.

18

25

2 3

10

15

20 21 22

23

24

25

otherwise, because of circumstances or scrething of that nature; but that's very rare and on not very many instances. BY MR. NOLAN:

I have, on several occasions, learned

- Let me try the question again: Are you suggesting to the jury that they may rely upon your memory and your testimony about mattern relating to the solicitation, but they may not necessarily rely upon your testimony about other matters because of your physical condition today?
- I'm not suggesting that either. THE COURT: That's enough on that subject, counsel.

BY MR. NOLAN:

- Okay. Now, when you first met Mr. Hinkson, between mid 2000 and your testimony before the Grand Jury in April of 2002, did you have discussions with him about any investigations?
 - You will have to be a bit more specific, sir.
- You were asked before the Grand Jury: Well. isn't it true that you didn't ask him -- or you didn't talk to him? He talked to you during this period of time about an investigation?
 - About which investigation? What

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And	were	they	true?
-----------------------	------	------	-------

- A. Yea.
- And would that answer reflect your attitude about the nature of Mr. Hinkson between mid 2002 -- 2000 and April of -- strike that.

Would that reflect your attitude of the conversations -- that's a bad question.

Would that reflect the character of the conversations about the investigation during 2001 with Mr. Hinkson?

- A. I believe so.
- Were you, sir, ever instructed by anybody that you should not answer questions when put under oath?
- That I should not answer questions while put A. under cath?
 - You testified at a deposition; correct? Q.
 - What deposition?
- You testified at a deposition in October of ο. this year; correct?
 - A.
- At that deposition, you refused to answer questions, saying that you were prohibited from answering questions; correct?
 - Yes. A.
 - Who prchibited you from answering the

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

investigation?

2

3

5

6

A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1075

Isn't it true that, on page 34, line 24, you were asked the question: "You said you talked to Mr. Hinkson about a possible investigation a year or so

And your answer was: "No, I didn't talk to him. He talked to me about a year ago."

Question: "What did he say?"

Answer: "And sometimes -- I don't seek Mr. Hinkson out when I go there because a few times he has connecred me. And he is very knowledgeable. My goodness. His knowledge of chemistry is pretty fantastic.

"But he is a very emotional young man and I recall about a year ago he was talking to me about -- I believe he was involved in litigation at that time. He may still be, for all I know.

"There was a local prosecuting attorney involved and things of that nature so -- and he did mention that he -- I think he said he had been investigated one time or the other by the IRS or other agencies."

Was that your -- was that the questions and were those your answers in April of 2002?

A.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

questions?

I prohibited myself on instructions I had A. received when I appeared before the Grand Juny in a secret session here in Boise.

I was told that everything that happened in that Grand Jury Room was secret until, if and when, the matter came to trial; that I should discuss nothing that went before that Grand Jury at that time. And so I prohibited myself from answering it.

- Who instructed you not to answer any questions about what happened in that Grand Jury?
- I don't recall exactly, but I know that was a general instruction given to me before I appeared before the Grand Jury in Boise; that Grand Jury matters are highly confidential and that you are not to discuss anything that went on, period.
- You were asked a question before the deposition as to whether you performed any tests at the request of any governmental entity on WaterOz projects.

You said: "I can't answer that. I'm prohibited from answering that."

Correct?

- A.
- You were asked: "Who prohibited you?" And you said you were prohibited from saying

ONE COURT PREPORTENZ (208) 484-6309

17 18

Yes.

1076

who prohibited you from answering those questions; is 2 that right? 3 A. Who has now given you permission to answer Q. questions regarding that? 6 Well, I think, since that suit, itself, has 7 been stipulated and dismissed and we are in active 8 trial, I can probably answer any question you like. 9 You have decided that yourself? 10 I have now, based on my previous instructions. 11 You were asked a question in that deposition 12 of whether you have all records of payments, bank 13 statements. 14 And you said: "I'm prohibited from giving you 15 those answers; " correct? 16 I guess I'm going to have to ask for a copy of 17 that, too. It's hard for me to respond. 18 MR. NCLAN: You don't have a copy? 19 THE COURT: That's okay. 20 Counsel, do you know where he is? 21 MR. SULLIVAN: No, I don't. 22 THE COURT: You don't have a copy either? 23 MR. NOLAN: I don't have copies for them.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

did say that I would be happy to talk to the judge in

THE WITNESS: That is correct. I see there I

24

1079 lawsuits here, would you mind reading into the record what suit this is? MR. NOLAN: This is in the Second Judicial District, CV-35121. And I will put a staple in it, if 5 that's okay. THE COURT: The Second Judicial District for the State of Idaho. MR. NCLAN: For the State of Idaho, in the County of Idaho. 9 THE COURT: Very good. 10 MR. NCLAN: May I staple? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, since the Government hasn't seen this document before, we would 14 15 request that we break for lunch and have that 16 apportunity. 17 THE COURT: Why don't we do that? Let's take a little early, if that's all right with you, luncheon 18 19 recess. MR. NCLAN: That's fine. 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are about 21 22 five minutes before our normal noon recess. Why don't we recess until ten minutes after 1:00? Hopefully, we 23 will be able to get started at or about that time. We will be in recess until 1:10.

1078 private about it. BY MR. NOLAN: There was no judge there; correct? Well, that wasn't of my doing. I was ordered there on a weekend to give this deposition. No judge was available. 7 This was the lawsuit in which you were claiming you were entitled to \$500,000 from Mr. Hinkson; correct? 10 A. This is the lawsuit between Mr. Hinkson and 11 Mr. Bellon that Mr. Hinkson drug me into, and I made a 12 counterclaim to protect myself and my family. MR. NCLAN: I just got this. I have not 14 marked it yet. May I mark it? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. NOLAN: I would ask that the document be 17 marked as K. 18 THE COURT: K? 19 MR. NOLAN: K. 20 MR. NOLAN: Thank you. 21 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Defendant's Exhibit K. 22 MR. NOLAN: And it appears to be a five-page 23 document entitled "Answer, Cross Claim, Cross Claim and 24 Demand for Jury Trial." 25 THE COURT: Since we have so many different

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1080 (Lunch recess.) THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, you are still under cath. Have a seat, and we will get back to work here. Causel? MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Showing you what has been marked as Defendant's K, would you take a look at this, please, and tell me whether or not this is the document that you signed and filed in court? A. Yes, it is. 10 MR. NOLAN: I would offer -- excuse me. I 11 12 would offer Exhibit K into evidence. 13 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. SULLIVAN: No objection, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Exhibit K is admitted. Just so we are clear, why don't you give me a brief case name so 16 17 the jury will know which case this is? MR. NOLAN: Sure. This is Bellon, Petitioner, 18 vs. Hinkson, Respondent; and Hinkson, 19 Counter-Petitioner, vs. Bellon, Counter-Respondent; and 20 21 Elven Joe Swicher, Third Party Respondent, and Elven Joe 22 Swisher, Counterclaiment Cross-Complainant vs. -- and Cross Complainant. It is an Answer, Counterclaim, and 23 24 Cross Complaint in that action. 25 THE COURT: Very well. With that

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1081 clarification, Exhibit K is admitted. 1 2 (Whereupon, Defendant's Edubit K was received in evidence.) BY MR. NOTAN-Briefly, back to your -- you were asked 6 questions in this deposition that I've referred to of October of this year regarding your claims for certain property: correct? Yes. A. 10 And you refused to answer them, stating that 11 you were prohibited from giving out that information; 12 correct? 13 A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And you were also prohibited from telling --14 15 from saying who was prohibiting you from giving out that 16 information; correct? 17 18 If I could -- if I could, now, go back to the ο. alleged threats -- ch, by the way, before I do that, you 19 indicated that the investigation of the shooting up in 20 21 the mountains somewhere was done; correct? There was an 22 investigation done? 23 Yes. A Deputy Sheriff from Idaho County came 24 25 Q. And prior to that, you had phoned FBI Agent

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1083 with a friend, but you told him, "Don't try to talk to 2 him because he didn't hear any shots; " correct? 3 No, I did not. Is that right? A. No. Did you tell him that he didn't hear any shots б because he is almost deaf? MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor, to hearsay and relevance. 10 THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. 11 BY MR. NOLAN: 12 Did you give him his name to confirm whether there were shots fired? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Object. Same grounds. 14 THE COURT: I will allow it. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. Absolutely. And his 16 17 telephone number and address. 18 BY MR. NOLAN: 19 Now, as to your state of mind as to whether 20 you were shot at, your friend told you he hadn't heard 21 anything? 22 MR. SULLIVAN: Object. 23 THE COURT: Let me see you at sidebar. 24 (Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:) 25

1082 1 Long; correct? 2 I didn't testify to that, but I believe that's 3 the sequence of events. And during the investigation, they went to the 4 area where they would have thought this pistol had been 5 fired; correct? I believe so. A. They actually didn't recover a bullet, did ٥. 9 they? 10 A. No. They didn't recover a bullet or a casing. 11 Q. They found no footprints at the area where the 12 casing might be found; correct? Well, the ground had been scuffed up there; 13 14 but they found no distinct prints. In fact, you were in an outhouse; correct? 15 16 When I was shot at, yes. A. 17 And you were there with a friend; correct? 18 I was not in the outhouse with a friend, but 19 there was a friend there. 20 Q. Up in the cabin? 21 A. On the property. 22 How far was the cabin from the outhouse? ٥.

CHA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Ch, about, probably, 40 yards, 45, give or

And you told the officer that you were there

23

24

25

1

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Q.

take.

1084 THE COURT: Where are we going with this? I assume there is no evidence to tie Mr. Hinkson to this, other than the witness's pure speculation. MR. NOLAN: None at all. That was the last question because he got into answering all of the things that they did, and I took the report and the report said that his friend didn't hear anything because he is almost deaf. So it is coming from this witness. THE COURT: Well, you haven't -- I don't think you have established a foundation that he knows what the friend told the Sheriff. MR. NOLAN: No. THE COURT: I am not going to allow collateral impeachment on this incident. MR. NOLAN: No, no. Then I said to the witness -- the question was: "As to your state of mind as to whether it commed, your friend said to you that he didn't hear it?" That was my question. THE COURT: I am going to sustain that objection. We have gone -- this is way beyond. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:) BY MR. NOLAN:

WaterOz in 2000 and the time of your Grand Jury

Between the time you started working at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

testimony in 2002, there were no threats made to kill Mr. Albers, his family, or anyone else; correct?

- I didn't work there.
- I am sorry. From the time you had contact with Mr. Hinkson from mid 2002 to -- mid 2000 -- excuse me -- to April of 2002, Mr. Hinkson made no threats in your presence regarding killing Mr. Albers or his family; correct?
 - He made many threats. A.
 - About killing Mr. Albers and his family?
- Ch, yes. Not just to me but to a whole lot of people.
 - I am talking about you. Q.
 - Right. He made many threats. A.
 - About killing?
- He just didn't ask me during that time frame to kill them.
- He made no -- did he make any threats in your 0. presence?
 - A. Yes.
- And, again, your testimony as to how many times you have talked to Mr. Hinkson during that time period? Approximately, how many times did you talk to Mr. Hinkson from mid 2000 to April 2002?
 - I don't recall exactly.

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

If I testified to it under cath, I believed it to be true at that time.

Do you have any reason to believe, now, that Q. it's not true?

- Not really.
- When you were asked by the Grand Jury in April of 2002 as to the nature of the communications that Mr. Hinkson made in your presence, between the time of mid 2000 until April of 2002, wasn't it your testimony -- if I might read from page 42 to 43, 42, line 19, to 43, line 8, I think that that -- being allowed to read that would be the best way to do this particular question.

If there is no objection, go ahead.

MR. SULLIVAN: Give me the page number again, please.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Page 42, line 19, to 43, line 7. You were asked by a juror, during the time that you were with Mr. Hinkson, whether you had any conversations of things other than technical testing? For example, politics, his views on taxes and so forth?

MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. It's been covered.

Isn't it true that you testified in the Grand Jury on April of 2002?

1086

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to the -- I object to the procedure. He said he didn't recall and that requires --

THE COURT: We are rehashing ground that I thought you had covered before lunch.

MR. NOLAN: I didn't do the impeadment.

THE COURT: I will allow you to lay the foundation. His testimony at this point is that he doesn't recall. If you can impeach him on that, go ahead. Otherwise, move on to a new area.

BY MR. NOLAN:

2

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Isn't it true you only talked to him about a 0. dozen times?

A. I indicated that I do not recall at this point.

Isn't it true you testified in April that you had only talked to Mr. Hinkson about a dozen times?

I don't recall. A.

Showing you the transcript, page 18, lines 3 through 7.

That's what I testified to under oath at that A. time.

And was that true? ٥.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Sustained. We have been over this ground.

MR. NOLAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: No, counsel. I sustained the objection. Ask another question. BY MR. NOLAN:

You told the Government that he never said anything very bad at all about the Government, isn't that right?

I den't recall that. A.

This is --THE COURT: Go ahead.

13 BY MR. NOLAN:

> You said that I haven't heard him talk against the Government other than he just felt the Government was too repressive. You said that under cath; isn't that right?

MR. HOYT: It's not impeachment of anything. THE COURT: That is not impeaching, Mr. Nolan.

BY MR. NOLAN: Did you hear him make any threats about government officials between mid 2000 and April of 2002?

Would you repeat the question?

Did you hear him make any threats about government officials during 2001?

THE COURT: Well, I -- go ahead: Go ahead.

ONA COURT REPORTENT (208) 484-6309

1089 Probably. 2 Q. Against Ms. Cock? 3 2001? Probably. Against Mr. Hines? 0. 5 Probably. 6 o. Against Judge Lodge? 7 8 Against Hines and Cock, that he wanted them 9 dead? 10 A. That's possible. 11 Q. And when you testified before the Grand Jury 12 in April of 2002, you told the Grand Jury that you 13 hadn't heard him talk against the United States, other 14 than he just he felt that the Government was too 15 repressive. 16 Then you said, at one point, he mentioned 17 scrething about -- well, federal agents were trying to 16 build a case on honest people, things of that nature, but you just kind of let it go; isn't that right? 19 20 Well, let me --21 Wasn't that your testimony? 22 THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, is that what you said 23 on that occasion? That's the question. "Yes" or "no"? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

BY MR. NOLAN: The question was: "Did you ever have a Q. convergation with Mr. Hinkson about things other than the technical testing? Did you ever -- you know, did 5 you ever talk politics or his views on taxes and so on and so forth?" And your full answer -- may I read the full answer? THE COURT: You may. BY MR. NCLAN: 10 11 "I wish you hadn't asked that question. Yes. Q. 12 That's where he is -- it's a conversation but more from the standpoint of I listened while he talked, more than 13 14 anything else. "But he has some very strong feelings about 15 16 the Government and the Government being too intrusive in our lives and things of that nature, and he has made 17 18 those clear on several occasions. "But I haven't heard him talk against the 19 20 United States ever, other than just he felt that the 21 Government is too repressive. 22 "And at one point, he mentioned something about -- well, federal agents were always trying to 23 24 build a case on honest people, things of that nature; 25 but I just kind of let that go."

BY MR. NCLAN: 2 O. Now, was that true? 3 A. Yes. At that time, I thought it to be true. So you believed, at that time, that his comments about Cook and Hines and wanting them dead was 5 nothing more than his saying something about federal agents always trying to build a case on honest people, 7 things like that? 9 And his wishful thinking. He was a friend at 10 that point in time. Should I have volunteered that to 11 the Grand Jury? 12 Did you feel you missed --13 To gay --THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, wait for Mr. Nolan's 14 15 question. BY MR. NOLAN: 16 17 Didn't you feel you were misleading the Grand 18 Jury when you testified like that on April of 2002? 19 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, coursel --THE WITNESS: No. 20 21 MR. SULLIVAN: -- did not read the jurcr's 22 question that this was responsive to. MR. NOLAN: I will read it. 23 24 THE COURT: Please. 25

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

That was the question and that was your answer: correct? That was my answer. Absolutely. A. Now, based upon what you are testifying here today about the nature of the conversations that you heard Mr. Hinkson make in the year 2001, do you feel that you were being honest or were you you, in fact, misleading the Grand Jury? No. I was being honest with the Grand Jury. 10 During the time that Mr. Hinkson allegedly made solicitations to you, there were other people 11 12 around, were there not? 13 A. Would you repeat that? 14 During the time that Mr. Hinkson made 15 solicitations, there were other people around; isn't that right? 16 17 When he made the three direct solicitations to me, they were made in private. 18 19 ٥. Ism't it true --To commit murder. 20 A.

.....

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1092

1090

Q. Isn't it true -- page 16, line 15, through 17, line 3. Isn't it true, when you were asked a question: Did he make statements about trying to hart someone -- I'm scarry -- about trying to hart anyone?

Answer: "Ch, yes."

21

22

23

24

"Tell us what he said to you."

Answer: "Well, he was so angry with the team that were involved in persecuting him, is the way that he put it, that he called me over to his trailer house, in the privacy of it.

"And I think there were probably several other people that were around. I don't know how much they heard. I know on at least one occasion my wife was in the vicinity. She didn't always enter into the conversations that we have."

Now, was that about statements involving killing screbody by you, or was that just his other conversations about wanting them dead?

- A. Again, we are at what page? This is on the 14th, I guess, of February? The February --
 - Q. This is February of 2004.
 - A. Okav.

1

2

3

5

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Page 16, line 15.
- A. All right. At this particular time frame, I think this was just another one of those -- I wish this happened; I wish this happened, et cetera, et cetera.

And I think my wife was present. Whether she heard anything or not -- I didn't push her on it, but I'm pretty sure she was there and heard that. But that was not one of the times he solicited me to do the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

that.

1095

(Whereupon, Mr. Sullivan provided the court a copy of the February 10, 2004, Grand Jury transcript.)

THE COURT: What page are we cm, counsel? BY MR. NOIAN:

- Q. Page 21, line 11 and 12. You can start at line 3. I kind of lost it there because that was the context. Did you use the word "angry"?
 - A. Yes, I did here, in that testimony.
- Q. Now, there has been testimony about a list.

 Can you remember any other names on the list, other than Mr. Albers, his children, Ms. Cook, Mr. Hines, Judge

 Lodge? That's about five or six, I guess. Do you have any -- there were others; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who do you remember of the others?
- A. Well, I remember Judge Reinhardt from Grangeville was on on the list.
 - Q. Who else?
- A. Well, the first time we talked, of course, his wife, Marie, was on the list.
- Q. By the last time, her name was taken off the list; right?
- A. That's right. He had omitted her at that time.

killings.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. The time that you said that he lost it, that was the last time; correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And that was the time you said he was pleading; is that right?
 - I would describe it as pleading.
- Q. And you described it to the Grand Jury -- was he angry?
 - A. He was quite upset.
- Q. Was he amory?
- A. I'm not sure that he was all that angry. I think it was more pleading and frustration.
- Q. Page 21, line 12 -- lines 6 through 12.

 First of all, before I get to that -- I will do that.

You, in fact, said to the Grand Jury, "He was so angry"? Weren't those your words? Those are at lines 11 and 12.

20 MR. SULLIVAN: I request that he read the 21 entire answer.

THE COURT: Well, I don't have a copy of the transcript, so I'm handicapped. All I have is the April 2002 Grand Jury testimony.

MR. NOLAN: I will be happy to go through

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

2. Who else was on the list?

A. Ch, my. Well, we've mentioned Nancy Cook, Steven Hines, their families, Mr. Albers and his family, George Reinhardt and his family -- there were several

others, but I don't recall them right now. I didn't write them down.

- Q. Now, were there any strings attached to all of the things that Mr. Hinkson had given you at that point in time?
- A. I didn't think so, up until this last meeting with him.
- Q. You testified before the Grand Jury, did you not, "There was no string attached to that, you know," referring to those items; correct?
 - A. I would have to know where you are reading.
- Q. Page 20, line 19 and 20.
- A. Page 207 I think you have to not take that out of context, sir.
- I will read the whole thing. I would be happy to.
- A. Please.
- Q. Do you want me -- where do you want me to start, sir?
- A. Ch, I think I would start at line 7 and go from there.

1096

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1097 All right. Quote, "And then it was right after that -- and I think this was the middle of January that he signed -- finally got around to signing the confidentiality form.

"He always wanted to know what we were doing, and I wouldn't tell him until his forms were signed and, even then. I was besitant to tell him too much."

Right after that, he dropped in on me again and --

MR. SULLIVAN: I want it read correctly, please.

THE COURT: I think the words are, "He dropped it on me again." BY MR. NOTAN-

Q. I'm sorry.

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

"But right after that, he dropped it on me again, that he wanted these people killed, and he done all of this for me, and he knew that he had deeds coming on this property for me, and all that.

"Of course, there was no string attached to that, you know. This was mine, anyway. But he really needed help because these people were pressuring him and they just had -- everything was a lie except his side of the story, and he repeated his offer to -- made an offer to me to have them killed."

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

and said, "I was there with you, you know. It was twenty, the size of a mining claim."

And then it hit me that I had made a mistake and said ten instead of twenty.

He also, during the time that he was -- well, let me -- let me do this: During the course of the litigation after December of 2003, you received certain papers at your door; correct? Somebody put legal papers on your door; correct?

I don't know what kind of papers they were. Schebody had hung a sack with some papers on my door late at night.

Q. You called the police?

I did.

0. You refused -- you wanted the police to take them away; correct?

That's correct.

And the police told you that this is a civil matter; you deal with it yourself? Correct?

I think it was something to that effect.

And the police, also, admonished you not to --Q. MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor, to

what --

MR. NOLAN: It goes to bias.

THE COURT: Sustained. Hearsay.

Did I read that properly?

THE COURT: You left out a word. You left out the word "pay." It should be, " . . . made an offer to pay me . . . "

THE WITNESS: Right.

BY MR. NOLAN:

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1099

I'm sorry.

" . . . he repeated his offer to -- made an offer to pay me to have them killed."

Correct:?

Yes. And what I am doing there is talking about Mr. Hinkson and his comments to me because he made it clear that he had done all of this for me. He had all of this property he had given to me, and he had all of these deeds coming my way on the property and so on.

And, of course, there was no string attached to that, with this solicitation of murder; but he mentioned it during the solicitation to murder. Of course, I never got the title to any of his property so --

I am just curious. I'm not just curious. That's not true.

Did he offer you ten acres or twenty acres?

I testified at one time that I thought it was ten. My wife corrected me when I talked to her later

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

BY MR. NOLAN:

The week prior to that, you had also -screbody tried to serve you with papers?

THE COURT: I will allow that answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. NOLAN:

You called the police prior to that? MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will allow the question. Did you call the police more than cace with

regard to process servers?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I did. There was -somebody showed up at the door, and I was in the middle of a medical procedure. This was about 2:00 o'clock in the morning, and they started tearing the hinges off the door.

My wife went to the door, and there was a gentleman who was bearded and dirty and unkempt demanding to see me. Well, I was upstairs.

Let me explain this. I have to cath four or five times a day, and I had a catheter in me.

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, that's sufficient. I think we get the picture.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

CNR COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1100

1098

Relevance.

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

25

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

4 6 7

8 q 10

11 12 13

15 16 17

14

18 19

25

5 7

10 11 12

9

13 14 15

16 17 18

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

0. 2:00 o'clock in the morning they came; is that right?

About that time, yes. You know, it was late at night, anyway. I couldn't -- my wife could tell you the exact time. I don't recall. I was in quite a bit of pain at that time.

Was it before 10:00 in the evening? MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. THE COURT: This is going way beyond, counsel. Sustained. Move on to another area. BY MR. NOLAN:

Very well. Now, what involvement did you have with WaterOz in October, November, and December?

Of what year, sir?

Of 2003?

I'm trying to think exactly what day he called. It was either -- probably September or October. He was in jail at the time, and he called me and said that he had a partnership with Rick Bellon and that he had talked to Mr. Bellon and they wanted to hire me as a consultant to go out to WaterOz and look the facility over, make things safer for employees, and make things safer in the product for the general public.

And in my discussion with David, I said, "Are

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1103

Mr. Bellon was present at the meeting?

If you say so.

Probably.

Well, was he, sir?

THE COURT: Do you remember, Mr. Swisher? THE WITNESS: I don't distinctly remember it,

Your Honor.

A.

BY MR. NOLAN:

Q. Mr. Hinkson wanted you to become involved in WaterOz again; correct?

He wanted you to get the place running, improve the product, improve the conditions; correct?

A.

And you went ahead and did that; isn't that ο. right?

A. I wasn't given the opportunity to do it.

You weren't given the opportunity because samebody decided they didn't want Mr. Bellon to do it? They wanted Mr. Hinkson's -- the husband of Mr. Hinkson's former wife to do it; correct?

I don't know about that. I know that I went out as instructed, and they wouldn't permit me to look over the facility.

There were two men there, including

you sure that Mr. Bellon is your partner?"

And he said, "Absolutely."

And he said, "He will be around." And he said, "No one will give you any trouble. I told them to let you have free reign out there. Troubleshoot this for us."

I said: "Okay. Fine. I was concerned more about the general public at that time than anything else -- and the employees."

Did you attend a board meeting of WaterOz in Q. October of 2003?

A. Quite possibly.

You don't remember attending --

I don't remember the details of it. I think that I was called out for a board meeting, and I'm not even sure who all was there.

Wasn't there --

Anyway, to make a long story short, David eventually came on the speaker phone, talking from jail.

20 THE COURT: Let's wait for the question, 21 Mr. Swisher. The only question was whether or not you 22 remember attending a board meeting.

THE WITNESS: I remember it vaguely.

24 BY MR. NOLAN:

You brought a friend of yours, Doug Sellers?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Mr. Hinkson's ex-wife's new husband, who is an ex-deputy or was an ex-deputy of Idaho County, Greg something --Towerton, I think -- and another gentlemen who kept me from going out and doing what David had asked me to do -- and Bellon, both.

So you did nothing at WaterOz after the October board meeting with Mr. Bellon; is that correct?

Not until -- that's correct, until I went back in December, at Mr. Bellon's request, to follow up on that, via the court order.

With Mr. Hinkson's approval; correct?

I don't know about that because he didn't call me again in December on that.

And you understand Mr. Bellon is suing Mr. Hinkson; correct?

I understand that. I don't know exactly when those suits transpired.

You were hired, were you not, as an expert witness by Mr. Bellon; correct?

Boy, I tell you, there's been a lot of legal stuff going on today. If you will give me a reference to that, maybe I can give you an intelligent answer.

Well, do you remember being hired as an expert witness by Mr. Bellon in the civil suit that involves Mr. Hinkson?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I will permit it; but I do think we are going on a bit, counsel. Go ahead.

MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor.

I will overrule the objection, for the record. THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't specifically recall that. I know that Mr. Bellon definitely wanted me to go out and look the place over.

If that's what you mean by being an expert witness, that's very possible. He wanted my recommendations on how to improve employee safety and public safety, as well.

BY MR. NOLAN:

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I'm specifically referring to being hired by Mr. Bellon after he was kicked out of WaterOz, to assist him against Mr. Hinkson in the civil suit?
- Well, sir, at that point in time, I had been asked by both partners, if you want to call them that, to give my input to protect employees and the public; and that's what I went out in December to do.
- In answer to my question, were you hired as an expert witness by Mr. Bellon to assist him in the civil suit against Mr. Hinkson?
- Well, let me put it this way: Hiring involves money, and I never received a dime for going out there

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

MR. NOLAN: I will show it to counsel.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I object. It's talking about a different case.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what you are objecting to yet because I haven't heard the question.

MR. SULLIVAN: He is trying to refresh his memory regarding being hired as --

THE COURT: Let me see the --

MR. NOLAN: Sure.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm not talking about the civil case. Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may need to clear that up on redirect. I think it's a fair question.

MR. NOLAN: Let me ask -- I will ask it this that way?

THE COURT: That would help, counsel.

MR. NCLAN: I would be happy to.

- Mr. Swisher, were you hired to be an expert witness in the federal case against Mr. David Hinkson?
 - Against Mr. Hinkson? A.
 - Yes. ο.
- Would you let me see what you are reading A. from, sir?

THE COURT: Go ahead and show it to him.

MR. NOLAN: Okav. Sure.

in December.

2

3

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1107

After December -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Well --

BY MR. NOLAN:

After December, when there was a lawsuit between Mr. Bellon and Mr. Hinkson, were you hired as an expert witness by Mr. Hinkson in that suit?

1106

1108

- I don't think so. By Mr. Hinkson?
- Q. That's my fault.
- I don't recall either one of them.

11 THE COURT: Counsel, you are getting us all 12 confused here. If you can, clear this up. I hope the 13 jury is following this. I am not.

MR. NOLAN: I am having a lot of trouble.

THE COURT: I think the witness is having a hard time following you, as well.

MR. NOLAN: I will move on, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MR. NOLAN: I can't find the exact portion. I

found it. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. NOLAN: Take a look to see if this refreshes your recollection.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I see the document that counsel is showing the witness?

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: I think I now understand Mr. Sullivan's objection.

Let me see counsel at sidebar.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, he has been asking him over and over again about being hired an an expert witness by Mr. Bellon against Mr. Hinkson.

He shows him this document that is a question by Mr. Hoyt in this deposition, and Mr. Hoyt references scmething about a federal case.

It's thoroughly misleading and confusing the witness. It's thoroughly misled and confused me, and I think it has the jury. I move to prohibit it.

MR. NCLAN: I will be happy to clear it up because what happens is the question says: "Were you hired as an expert witness in the federal case against Mr. Hinkson?"

The answer is: "Yes."

"Did Mr. Bellon pay you amy money?"

The answer is: "No."

So there is, obviously, ambiguity in that question. But the fact that he believes that he was hired as an expert witness in a federal case against Mr. Hinkson goes to his ability and credibility as a

witness. That's what it's for.

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The problem that I am having is that we have so many different pieces of litigation, and you are hopping around quickly from one lawsuit to the next.

I read that answer, and it is ambiguous. I read that answer to refer to helping Mr. Hinkson in connection with the FDA case against Mr. Hinkson. Now, I don't know if that's what Mr. Hoyt intended when he asked him the question.

But we have now moved from the Bellon-Hinkson case to the federal criminal case against Mr. Hinkson, and so I'm not sure this is impeachment.

MR. NOLAN: I see. In other words, it could be that it pertains to Mr. Bellon assisting Mr. Hinkson in the federal FDA case.

Obviously, the court is further shead on this than I am. I saw it as a bias that he is working for another witness, and so I will just withdraw the question.

THE COURT: That would be the best way to handle it.

MR. HDYT: Your Honor, off the record, can we just have a discussion?

THE COURT: Yes.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

A. I thought I had.

1111

- Q. And you tentified at a later time, at a deposition in October of this year, that you would change your testimony because you didn't get the full amount; correct?
- A. That's true. It was given, but titles were never issued.
- Q. Now, hopefully, this will be the last question. I'm sure the Judge has been -- I hope no one else has been as ampious as the court.

THE COURT: That's all right. The court will give you as much lessay as you need.

MR. NOLAN: I appreciate that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's been a long day.

BY MR. NOLAN:

- Q. When you testified before the Grand Jury in April of 2002 -- I'm scrry if I didn't speak up loud enough -- you talked about your feelings about Mr. Hinkson; correct?
 - A. Probably. Are you making a specific --
- Q. I am just laying the foundation for the time and place. At the time, in April of 2002, you believed that he was a very bright man; correct?
 - A. Yes, that's true.
 - Q. A little eccentric; correct?

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held between Mr. Hoyt and Mr. Nolan outside the presence of the jury.)

(The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

MR. NOLAN: I will withdraw that question,
Your Honor. I apologize to the jury for the confusion
that I may have caused with all of those questions.

THE COURT: That's quite all right, counsel. BY MR. NOLAN:

Q. You believe that it's appropriate that, if you wish, you can change your testimony, depending upon the circumstances; correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to so broad and vague of a question.

THE COURT: I will sustain it as to the form of the question.

BY MR. NOLAN:

5

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 it.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. You testified under eath that you had been paid in full for the testing, correct, prior?
 - A. For what testimony?
- Q. Earlier this morning, in response to questions, we established that, on a prior occasion, you testified that you had been paid in full for the testing that was done on behalf of WaterOz; correct?

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

A. True.

1112

1110

- Q. Initially, he causes you to take a little step back; true?
 - A. True
- Q. He is a bright guy? He has come up with some technology that works; correct?
 - A. Correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: I object to reading from the Grand Juny testimony. There is no impeachment, no refreshment.

THE COURT: I will sustain it as to improper form. Just rephrase your question.

MR. NCLAN: I will rephrase it. I will look and then I will --

THE COURT: That would be the better way to do

17 BY MR. NOLAN:

- Q. You haven't had -- you didn't have any problems with him; correct?
- A. I dich't have any problems with him in that time frame from 2000 to 2002.
 - Q. You didn't expect any problems with him?
 - A. Towards me directly?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. No.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1115

A. Well, not that I knew of at the time that I gave that testimony.

Q. And you accept people for who they are and what they are; correct?

A. I try to do that.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And that was the kind of information you gave the Grand Jury about Mr. Hinkson in April of 2002;

A. What you just read, correct.

MR. NOLAN: No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Redirect?

MR. NOLAN: Ch, Your Honor I do have -- I do have something. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes, sir. I will allow you to re-cpen.

(Whereupon, the following midebar discussion was hold outside the presence of the jury:)

MR. NOTAN: This is a very difficult issue. For quite sometime, we have been trying to dig into his military history because we don't believe it's accurate. It's one of those things where, if you make a change and you are wrong, it can just kill you.

Because of his age and because of the time of the war, we don't believe he was in the war. We also

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

medals, if he had medals, or anything else. The conversation with Hinkson wasn't about those matters.

So this is setting up a -- if there is any basis to it, that is, this is setting up a scarecrow to knock it down sometime, maybe, potentially, if they can find somebody.

MR. NCLAN: He is wearing a Purple Heart on the witness stand. He is wearing a Purple Heart on the witness stand, in the presence of the jury.

MR. SULLIVAN: For the record, he has a little -- I don't know -- you know, something stuck in his lapel. If somebody knows what that is, fine. No one has said what it is.

THE COURT: I am going to permit this. I am going to permit Mr. Nolan to ank him what the lapel pin is that he is wearing.

If he answers that it's a Ruple Heart, I am going to permit Mr. Nolan to ask him whether or not he is telling the jury that he was awarded the Ruple Heart. And that's as far as I am going to allow you to go, although you can certainly say ism't --

MR. NCLAN: On direct examination, he also said he killed hundreds of people. That was on direct examination. I didn't go into that. Now, I mean, I would like permission to have him re-affirm that he was

don't believe that he got a Purple Heart or was in combat.

But until one second ago, I had nothing that I could rely upon to attack that until I was handed a letter from the National Personnel Records Center indicating that the offices had failed -- the records fail to show that he ever was recommended for or awarded any person decorations.

He is wearing a Purple Heart, as we speak.

MR. HOYT: Your Honor, he entered the service in August of 1954, after the Korean Conflict took place.

MR. NCIAN: I believe I should be allowed to re-open this. I'm scarry it came up at this hour. I can tell the court, literally, I had no prior knowledge.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, are they intending to call a witness?

MR. NCLAN: We will subpoen the witnesses if he denies it. I mean, we just got this letter dated -- this letter is dated January 14th. It was faxed to us. We got it on the 14th.

MR. SULLIVAN: The Government never went in on its direct about winning medals or combat. I went into a conversation that he had with Mr. Hinkson and what Mr. Hinkson asked him about.

I didn't ask him anything about where he got

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

in combat, because of the records.

MR. SULLIVAN: He didn't testify he killed hundreds of people.

THE COURT: He testified he killed many people.

Let's see how he handles the Purple Heart question; and then you can ask the follow-up, depending upon how he answers the Purple Heart question.

MR. HOYT: Can he be asked the question: Did he serve during the Korean Conflict, as a predicate question?

THE COURT: I will permit that.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)
BY MR. NOLAN:

Q. I am sorry, Mr. Swisher. I have some additional questions. Are you wearing something on your lapel?

A. Yes.

Q. What is that?

A. It's a Purple Heart Medal.

Q. Is that a Rurple Heart you earned?

A. Yes.

Q. It was awarded to you by the United States

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Government?

1116

1117 A. That's correct. ο. Did you serve in combat in the United 3 States -- did you serve in combat in the Korean War? Not in the Korean War but following the Korean War. 6 Q. In what field of battle did you receive the 7 Pumple Heart? 8 MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, I object. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 THE WITNESS: I was part of a special 11 expedition, Marine Corps Expeditionary Unit that was 12 engaged in combat after the Armistice, in an attempt to 13 free PCWs still in secret prison camps in North Korea. And that information still remains classified, so I'm 14 15 not sure how much more I can say on that. 16 BY MR. NOLAN: 17 Showing you a document from the military --18 MR. SULLIVAN: I object, Your Honor. 19 BY MR. NOLAN: 20 Showing you a document --21 THE COURT: I will allow him to show him the 22 document. 23 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we know it's not 24 his document.

QNA COURT REPORTENCE (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Go ahead and show him the

```
1119
     clear to Headquarters of the Marine Corps and all over
 1
 2
     to get it. Because of the classifications, my record,
     along with the other survivors of that Mission, had been
    pretty much purged.
               THE COURT: Ms. Langutreet, would you tender
 6
     that to both counsel, please?
 7
              MR. SULLIVAN: I have a copy, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Just hang on to it.
 9
              MR. NOLAN: What was that?
10
              MR. SULLIVAN: I have a copy.
11
               MR. NOLAN: May we approach, Your Honor?
12
               (Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion
13
     was held outside the presence of the jury:)
14
               MR. NCLAN: I am going to -- apparently,
15
    counsel for the Government knew about the validity of
16
     the Purple Heart. He just said he has a copy of this.
17
               THE COURT: Have you seen this document?
18
              MR. SULLIVAN: He showed me this document this
19
    morning, about 9:00 o'clock.
20
               THE COURT: Do you have a copy?
21
               MR. SULLIVAN: I have a copy of it.
22
               MR. HDYT: Why didn't you tell us?
23
              MR. SULLIVAN: Why should I?
24
               THE COURT: Gentlemen, hold on a second.
25
               For the record, we are looking at a photocopy
```

```
1118
     document.
 2
    BY MR. NOLAN:
3
              Showing you a document from the military, I
    ask you whether or not that might refresh your
     recollection as to whether or not the Government issued
     you a Purple Heart.
               MR. SULLIVAN: I move to strike, Your Honor.
     There is no basis for what counsel is attempting to do.
               THE COURT: Let the witness review the
10
     document.
11
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I see the document.
     BY MR. NOLAN:
12
13
              Now, sir, when you are awarded a Purple Heart,
14
     are you not given a document reflecting your entitlement
15
     to that Purple Heart?
16
               Commonly.
17
        Q.
              Were you given such a document?
18
        A.
19
              Where is that document?
        ο.
20
        A.
              In my pocket.
21
        Q.
              May I see it, please?
22
              I have a replacement DD-214, if the court will
23
    permit me to --
24
              THE COURT: Let me take a look at it, first.
25
              THE WITNESS: It is certified. We had to go
```

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

```
1120
     of what purports to be a Defense Department Form DD-214
     in the name of Elven Joe Swisher with a military
     identification number of 1517120.
               It indicates that he was awarded the following
 5
     commendations: The Silver Star, the Navy and Marine
 6
     Corps Medal with Gold Star, a Purple Heart, and a Navy
     and Marie Corps Commendation Medal with Bronze "V."
               It indicates that the document replaces the
 9
     previously issued transfer document dated 8/3/57 and
10
     that he is entitled to wear the Marine Corps
11
     Expeditionary Medal.
12
               Now, counsel, you have opened the door on
13
     this. You can either ask him to explain it, or I am
     going to allow the Government to redirect and have him
14
15
     explain the significance of this document.
               MR. NOLAN: The Government knew about this
16
17
     when we approached the bench.
18
               MR. HDYT: Your Honor, can we excuse the jury
19
     and have a more full opportunity to discuss this?
20
               THE COURT: Let's do that.
21
               (Whereupon, the following proceedings were
22
     held in the presence of the juzy:)
23
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going
     to need to have you take an unscheduled recess so that
24
25
     we can take up this issue more fully.
```

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remain available.

19 20 21

22

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom, and the following proceedings were held:)

Please rise for the jury.

MR. NOLAN: Could the witness be excused? THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Swisher, if you wouldn't mind stepping out in the hallway, take a break but

THE WITNESS: I would like to add. Your Honor. if I may, that --

THE COURT: Let me take this up with the lawyers first.

THE WITNESS: Cleary.

(Whereupon, witness Elven Joe Swisher was excused from the courtmon.)

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, why don't you go to the podium?

MR. NOLAN: If I may just make a record, Your Honor, I finished my cross-examination of Mr. Swisher; and then I was handed a document on stationery that was faxed to us on the 14th of January at the hour of 2:34, approximately, and it is a letter to Mr. Hoyt's office

MR. HDVT: Opriral Standard Time.

MR. NCIAN: -- the National Personnel Records Center. We had, apparently, been trying to get

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1123 information and that we intended, depending upon the witness's answer to the question, to call a witness from the archives to establish these facts.

I requested permission to re-open. The court considered that, said I could ask about whether he was wearing a Purple Heart. Counsel for the prosecution vehemently objected to my getting into this.

I was allowed to re-open. I asked the question. The record will reflect what the witness said.

And then, in the presence of the jury, counsel for the prosecution said that he had -- when the document was delivered to the court by the witness out of his pocket, counsel for the Government indicated that he already had a copy of that document.

Now, this case has been going quite well, in terms of the behavior of the parties. I feel that what commed mandates -- I will request a mistrial.

It mandates serious, severe -- in my opinion, serious, severe actions, based upon the fact that the Government was in possession of information which we were not given regarding this witness which, at that point in time, the Government knew that the only thing that could happen is it would be adversely taken and affect the credibility of me, the credibility of the

Mr. Swisher's military records for about ninety days; and we have very little control over when that happens.

It was discussed -- Mr. Hoyt discussed with me the time variations between being a combat veteran and the time of the Korean War. I told him that I would not go into this without some proof and that I would not even come close to opening up the door.

I noticed that the man wore a Purple Heart. There is prior testimony that his hearing was affected by a hand grenade. I didn't want to go into it. He said he killed people. I didn't want to cross-examine him on that at all.

At the conclusion of my cross-examination, Mr. Hoyt handed me this two-page letter highlighted with a paragraph -- it's actually from the personnel records center. It's a one-page letter.

Highlighted, it pays, "Mr. Swisher's Marine Corps record has been carefully examined by the Military Awards Branch of the Office of the Commandant of the Marine Corps, and that office has stated that his record fails to show he was ever recommended for, or awarded, any personal decorations.*

Based upon seeing that, I immediately approached the bench before redirect examination becan: and I told the court I just had come into this

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

defense, and adversely affect the defendant.

And quite frankly, Your Honor, I don't know how this matter can be resolved. That's my request.

THE COURT: Very well. Let me hear from the United States.

MR. SULLIVAN: Coursel should have listened to me when I said, "Don't go there."

THE COURT: Well, let's establish for the record, Mr. Sullivan, that you indicated at sidebar that Mr. Swisher had shown you this DD-214 at 9:00 a.m. this morning.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: He showed it to me at 9:00 a.m. this morning because I had asked -- he had mentioned Korea, serving in Korea.

I said, "Wasn't the Armistice in '52?" He said, "But there was still, you know, combat; and it continues to this day, " which I happen to know to be true. There is combat to this day in Korea.

THE COURT: Technically, those hostilities have never been officially terminated, Mr. Nolan. Mr. Sullivan is correct; the war in Korea, or police action, whatever you want to call it, is not over.

Let me first say this: First of all, I want

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1127

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

Heart.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

25

to mark as a defense exhibit the facsimile. I also want to mark a photocopy of the Form DD-214. So let's give those numbers.

MR. NOLAN: Could we have a copy back of this?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. NOLAN: This is the only one we have.

THE COURT: Let me further say that, in looking at -- well, let's give them numbers so we get this clearly on the record. It would be defense Exhibit r.

MR. HOYT: L and the next one would be M.

THE COURT: I am going to mark -- that's fine. We can mark them both as defense exhibits. I don't think it makes any difference.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I make my record, counsel, since counsel is moving for a mistrial and severe sanctions?

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: I didn't go into anything about his combat or his medals or anything else on my direct. He chose to go down this path, even when I objected to it.

I didn't draw attention to the little pin in Mr. Swisher's lapel. Lots of people wear them. They could be anything. He wanted to make an issue of it.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

6

8 9 10

12 13 14

11

16 17 18

15

24

25

I don't think -- you know, I harely had time to look at this, myself. It refers to other -- that this replaces some document previously issued. I don't know what that document is, and it just led me to conclude that this is not a proper area to go into.

THE COURT: Ms. Longstreet, may I have the two documents?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. NOLAN: Could I respond briefly?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. NCLAN: Your Honor, we have a document given to us by the Government which is false; and the Government knows it's false.

MR. SULLIVAN: I have no evidence or reason to believe that the document is false.

MR. NCLAN: The document -- I'm sorry. With all due respect, the document says there is no record of him receiving any awards and --

MR. SULLIVAN: I --

MR. NOIAN: He has government information that contradicts that.

THE COURT: All right. Here is my ruling: The motion for mistrial is denied. To the extent that there was error here, it I objected that he is setting up a -- what did I say -- a straw man -- I said "scarecrow" before, but I meant "straw man" -- so he can knock it down if he can develop some more information.

It is permissible to impeach -- to cross-examine someone, a witness, for making false statements, under Rule 608.

608(b) permits inquiry into conduct that is deceitful, but it doesn't permit impeachment by other documents. It doesn't permit the use of other evidence if there is a denial of the deceitful conduct.

Counsel whipped out his document that he received minutes ago. I believe he probably didn't have enough time to read it and digest it and tried to use that to impeach the witness. That was improper.

Under Rule 608(b), he should not have been allowed to show that document. It wasn't a matter of refreshing his memory. He hadn't expressed any kind of doubt about his memory.

It was a grandstand play in front of the jury that didn't -- that wasn't so grand, and he got caught on it. That's where we are.

There is nothing the Government did that caused him to go in the area he did. We tried to avoid going into this area.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1128

was counsel who requested the opportunity to re-open. I granted permission to re-open so that you could confront him because I thought that you had a good-faith basis to believe, based upon the receipt of Exhibit L from the National Personnel Records Center of the Department of Defense in St. Louis, Missouri, to ask the question, based upon the witness's answer, to try and impeach him on grounds that he has not, in fact, received the Purple

Until that happened, there was no reason for the Government to believe, with regard to Edhibit M, that the contents of Edhibit M would be impeaching in any way, shape, or form.

The court finds as a matter of fact that if Exhibit M is a copy of a genuine military record -- and at this point, I don't have any way to determine that; but it appears to be genuine, at least in appearance.

It indicates consistently with how the witness has testified; that he did, in fact, receive multiple shrapmel and gumshot wounds in September 1955 in Korea; and that he was awarded commendations and medals, including the Purple Heart.

Now, Mr. Sullivan is correct. Under Rule 608 and the applicable Supreme Court precedent, particularly Justice Jackson's decision in Michaelson vs. the United

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

States, 335 U.S. 469, 1948, you are permitted to attempt to impeach a witness in the marmer that Mr. Nolan attempted.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ordinarily, under the rules, you are stuck with the witness's answer; and the court has the discretion to restrict further collateral proof of that impeachment.

So the basis for my ruling is, essentially, counsel opened the door with regard to this late-received facsimile, Echibit L.

The Government, until the receipt of that exhibit, had no reason to believe that Exhibit M was discloseable under Brady or Giglio because it was not impeaching. Therefore, the motion for mistrial is denied.

We will bring in the jury.

MR. NCLAN: Does the court have a remedy? You know, I also think --

THE COURT: Hold on.

MR. NOLAN: -- that there was a practice that counsel -- I mean, maybe I can't find the right cite; but, quite frankly, I am in an asseard position and I don't think it should be held against Mr. Hinkson. If the court has a remedy --

THE COURT: Let me suggest that one remedy

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

instruction that the area inquired into was not relevant for the jury's determination and they should disregard it.

THE COURT: How about if we do it this way? I will tell them that the error was the court's in permitting the area to be inquired into and, for that reason, I am directing that the portion of the testimony with regard to whether or not he was awarded the Purple Heart is stricken, so that it doesn't make either side look bad. Is that acceptable?

MR. SULLIVAN: It is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan?

MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. Let's bring the jury back in, Ms. Longstreet.

MR. HDYT: Do you want to bring the witness in first, Your Honor?

THE COURT: We will do them together, simultaneously.

Go ahead. Yes.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it's been a long day; and I now realize that I made a mistake in allowing the questioning with regard to the Purple Heart that occurs to the court is that I could simply instruct the jury to strike that portion of the cross-examination of Mr. Swisher that relates to the Aurple Heart. Just tell them to completely disregard all testimony about the Purple Heart.

MR. NCLAN: That would be appreciated. I think that would be the best way. Other than that, we have to bring in people to show our good faith and all of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, now Mr. Swisher looks like he has said something improper and done scrething improper, because the court is striking his testimony. It was responsive testimony to counsel's questions.

THE COURT: Let me put it to you this way, Mr. Sullivan. That is the only suggestion I can think of. If the Government doesn't want me to instruct the jury, I will leave the record as it stands; and you can take your chances.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I would like, Your Honor --

THE COURT: If there is a conviction, Mr. Nolan may or may not have an issue here. Do you want to think about it for a mirute?

MR. SULLIVAN: All I would ask the court is an

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Medal.

So I am going to instruct you to disregard completely all of Mr. Swisher's testimony with regard to that military commendation.

You certainly are entitled to consider all of the rest of his testimony. Just everything from where I asked Mr. Nolan to re-open, please strike that from your minds; and you are not to consider it as evidence in the

With that, are we ready to proceed with redirect examination.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you want to move that easel, Mr. Sullivan?

MR. NOLAN: I can do that.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN:

Mr. Swisher, you may recall you were asked about a particular question and answer you gave in your first Grand Jury appearance in April 2002. The question was -- I will put it on the screen again -- it's Grand Jury testimony at page 42.

A question by a juror was: "Did you ever have a conversation with Mr. Hinkson about things other than

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1132

10 11

> 12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21 22

23

24

the technical testing? Did you ever, you know -- did you ever talk politics or his views on taxes, so on and so forth?" Did you understand that question to require any response about Mr. Hinkson hoping that federal officials would die? A. Did you try to answer the question as you Q. 9 thought it was required? 10 MR. NOLAN: Objection. Leading. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 Could you answer that question? The question 13 was: "Did you try to answer the question as you thought 14 it was required?" THE WITNESS: As it was asked, I did my best 15 16 to answer it. 17 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 18 You were also asked a question, again from the 19 Grand Jury testimony, about whether Mr. Hinkson's offers 20 to you were made in private or with other people around. Do you recall that question? 22 I'm sorry. I got lost there. Would you 23 repeat that question? 24 Do you recall being asked a question about 25 whether or not Mr. Hinkson made the offers to kill the

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1135 other person? Judge Reinhardt? 2 A. Yes. 3 Who is Judge Reinhardt? I think he is a judge in the Fifth District or, at least, he was stationed in Grangeville, Idaho County. ο. And do you know if Judge Reinhardt had 8 anything to do with litigation involving Mr. Hinkson? I believe he was the trier of fact in the case 10 that Mr. Hinkson lost, and the plaintiff was awarded 11 \$100,000 then. 12 Do you recall the name of that particular 13 plaintiff? 14 Ammette Hazelton (sic.), I think. That's 15 probably an improper promunciation. 16 You have been asked a number of questions on 17 cross-examination about your civil litigation involving Mr. Hirkson; correct? 18 19 Yes. 20 Did you ever sue Mr. Hinkson? 21 MR. NOLAN: Objection, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 24 Did Mr. Hinkson ever sue you? 25

federal officials to you in private or with other people 2 around in? 3 A. Do you recall that question? Q. 5 A. And did he do that in private or with other 7 people around? In private. Were there times there were other people 10 around that he said other type things? 11 Ch, yes. 12 ο. Such as what? Well, he wanted to see Albers and his whole 13 14 family, you know, dead. He made those comments with other people around; but with other people around, he 16 never made the solicitations. 17 MR. NCLAN: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the 18 scope. I don't mean beyond the scope. Asked and 19 answerred. THE COURT: Overruled. 20 21 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 22 You were also asked about people on his list. Do you understand that to mean, like, a hit list? 23 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And you answered there was, at least, one CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1136 When did he do that? Q. Well, I learned of it sometime after I had 3 contacted the Idaho County Assistant Prosecutor on --Listen to my question. Give me a date. I don't know the date. When he sued you? I'm just trying to tell you that it was scretime after I had contacted the Idaho County 9 authorities regarding Mr. Hinkson. 10 MR. NOLAN: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 11 12 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 13 Was it in 2003? 14 A. Probably. 15 Were you served process? 0. 16 I'm not sure exactly when I was served on the A. 17 matter. 18 Q. How did you learn that Mr. Hinkson had sued you? 19 20 A. Well, I did eventually get served; but I just don't recall the date I was served. 21 22 Q. All right. 23 But I know that it came after I had been in and talked with the authorities. 24 25 But my question was -- all right. Let me

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE WITNESS: I think it has been settled.

25

1139 Q. What were you being asked? 2 Well, he was getting dangerously close --3 MR. NOLAN: Excuse me. It's vague. 4 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the 5 objection as to the form of the question. 6 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 7 What did Mr. Hoyt ask you regarding your 8 testimony as the Federal Grand Jury? MR. NOLAN: I object to that. 9 10 THE COURT: Counsel, you got into this. 11 MR. NOLAN: That's fine. 12 THE COURT: I am going to overrule the 13 objection and allow the witness to answer. 14 MR. NOLAN: That's fine. 15 MR. HOYT: Your Honor, may we have a sidebar on this? 16 17 THE COURT: No. We have had enough sidebars. 18 THE WITNESS: Well, he was getting into the -of course, the area about the equipment that had been 19 20 transferred to me and the property that had been 21 transferred to me and so on and was getting dangerously 22 close to some of the questioning that --MR. SULLIVAN: My question, Your Honor --23 24 MR. NOLAN: Objection. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, I know it's been a

```
1138
 1
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
 2
               Did you get any of the property that you sued
         Q.
 3
     for?
 4
         A.
               No.
               Did Mr. Hinkson get anything that he sued you
 5
 6
     for?
 7
         A.
               No.
               Now, you were asked questions, also, about
     some questions from a deposition in October. Do you
 9
10
     recall those questions?
11
               Vac
         A.
12
               Was that October of 2004?
13
               I believe so.
               And was that a deposition in conjunction with
14
15
     this particular civil lawsuit?
16
         A.
17
         ο.
               Who was asking you questions in that?
18
         Δ.
               Mr. Hoyt.
19
         ο.
               Was he representing Mr. Hinkson in that?
20
         A.
               Yes, he was.
21
               Was he asking you about what you told the
22
     Grand Jury?
23
         A.
               I took it to be that, yes.
24
               What were you being asked?
         ο.
25
               I beg your pardon?
```

```
1140
     long day; but bear with us.
               Go ahead, Mr. Sullivan.
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
               I am asking you: What did he ask you about
     your Grand Jury testimony?
               MR. NOLAN: Objection to the form of the
     question, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
10
               Did he ask you questions about your Grand Jury
         Q.
11
     testimony?
12
         A.
               Yes.
13
               What did he ask you?
14
               THE COURT: Counsel, I think the concern is
15
     with the broad nature of your questions. I will permit
     you to ask a leading question, if you are trying to
16
17
     establish a point here.
18
               MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
               Did Mr. Hoyt ask you about these offers from
19
     Mr. Hinkson that you have testified to here today?
20
21
               Yes.
         A.
22
         ο.
               Did he ask you the circumstances of those
23
     offers?
24
         A.
               He did more than that.
25
               Like what?
         Ο.
```

He indicated he had talked to -- the other witnesses that he had talked to thought it was all a bunch of bunk and that David hadn't meant anything and that he was laying that on me pretty hot and heavy.

And he said, "You know, you probably feel the same way; right?"

And I said, "Not necessarily."

Another Grand Jury question from your first Grand Jury testimony in April of 2002 -- you were read back the quote. This was regarding your memory.

A.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you remember this quote: "If it weren't for flashbacks, I wouldn't have any"?

A. Yes.

Q. What were you trying to convey when you said that?

Well, I was making an attempt at levity. Most of the Grand Jury members laughed.

Do you have any problems with your memory?

Not really.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's all I have on redirect. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, anything further?

ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, it's a very simple question. Did you meet with Mr. Hoyt before they took your deposition, and did you have a conversation with him?

THE WITNESS: Right in the middle, when the court clerk and one of the other members on Mr. Hoyt's team took what I brought over to have copied, there was about an hour there; and that was when all of this grilling went on.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: But it was -- the deposition was, basically, in recess for that hour; but it was at the deposition.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Thank you, counsel.

BY MR. NOLAN:

When you were asked questions under oath at the deposition of October 2004, I take it, no question was asked of you as to what you were questioned about at the Grand Jury; correct?

Well, I felt -- the reason I refused to answer some of those is I falt they were dangerously close to information --

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, that's not responsive to the question being asked of you. The question is:

RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NOTAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Isn't it true, during the deposition of Ο. October of 2004, that Mr. Hoyt asked no questions about what you testified to in the Grand Jury?

I'm trying to place this because I may have been a bit imaccurate here. The questions were asked prior to either the deposition or another proceeding. I'm not sure at which time, but they were asked.

So all of the questions asked of you by Mr. Sullivan about the deposition could be in error? It could be some other proceeding; is that correct?

No. I think that was the time frame, but I think those questions took place before we went on the 14 15 record.

THE COURT: Counsel, can you establish whether or not he met with Mr. Hoyt before he was sworn for that deposition?

BY MR. NOLAN: 19

> Did you meet with Mr. Hoyt before you were sworn as a witness?

Yes. Ch, wait a mirute. I do know now -- if I may connect that? At some point, I took a bunch of documents to be transcribed, and I didn't mind having those copies -- what am I trying to say -- copied.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1144 Isn't it true that Mr. Hoyt never asked you specifically what you testified to before the Grand Jury?

THE WITNESS: Specifically, on the record, no.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel, do you want me to give the jury a 6E instruction with respect to the right of a witness?

MR. NOLAN: Yes. Yes, especially in the instructions given afterwards. I would like that. Then we wouldn't have to call a witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, any objection? MR. SULLIVAN: I would like to discuss at sidebar. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: What I proposed to Mr. Nolan was to simply instruct the jury that, under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6E, a witness is permitted, at his discretion, to discuss with anyone he wants to, or to refuse to discuss with anyone, what he said in the Grand Jury Room.

If there is an objection to my giving that instruction now, I may entertain, with regard to the

1	Ω.	Anything more specific?	115
2	A.	Not that day.	
3	Q.	Did he say anything about who Hines was?	
4	A.	He said he was IRS.	
5	Q.	I'm sorry?	
6	A.	He said he was IRS.	
7	Ω.	And Judge Lodge did he say anything about	
8	whether h	e had any relationship with Judge Lodge "he"	
9	being Mr.	Hinkson?	
10	A.	No.	
11	Q.	Now, over the course of the next day or so,	
12	did you h	ave further discussion with Mr. Hinkson?	
13	A.	The next day, yes.	
14	Q.	What did you talk about?	
15	A.	Normal stuff, how he hated the feds, wished	
16	they all	were dead. He talked about J . C., another guy,	
17	one of hi	s friends.	
18	Ω.	Okay. What did he say about J. C.?	
19	A.	He said that he offered the same offer to him,	
20	\$10,000 t	o get rid of Cook, Hines, and Lodge.	
21	Q.	Now, at some point, did you have a	
22	conversat	ion at that point, did you have an	
23		ding as to whether you might be able to get out	
24	of prison	?	
25	A.	Would you say that again?	

		ONA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309
25	A.	He said she was a fed.
24	Q.	Did Mr. Hinkson tell you who Nancy Cook was?
23	A.	Not that day.
22	Hines'	first name?
21	Q.	And how about Hines? Did Mr. Hinkson mention
20	A.	Yeah. Nancy.
19	first :	name?
18	Q.	And Cook did Mr. Hinkson mention Cook's
17	A.	Yeah.
16	Q.	This is the Judge?
15	A.	Edwin or Judge Lodge.
14	Q.	Do you have the name of Lodge, the first name?
13	A.	Lodge, Cook, and Hines.
12	Q.	Now, who was he offered money to kill?
11	A.	No.
10	that t	ime?
9	Mr. Hi	nkson about his case or these sorts of facts at
8	Q.	And did you have further discussions with
7	A.	No.
6	was in	terested?
5	Q.	And did he say anything about whether Swisher
4	and Hi	
3	he off	ered Swisher \$10,000 to get rid of Lodge, Cook,
2	A.	Yeah. He is basically, he said Swisher
1	Q.	So he had said something about Swisher?

		QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	
1	Q.	At this point in time, were you thinking that	1156
2	there was	a possibility that you might get out of	
3	prison?		
4	A.	No.	
5	Q.	Did Mr. Hinkson talk with you further about	
6	Judge Lod	ge, Ms. Cook, this man this person Hines?	
7	A.	Yeah. He that's when he we had more	
8	conversat	ion. We talked more about other things; but,	
9	basically	, he said I would be worth \$30,000 if I could	
0	eliminate	Lodge, Cook, or Hines.	
1	Ω.	Were those exact words, or are you	
2	sumarizi	ng?	
3	A.	Those are exact.	
4	Q.	And roughly when was this?	
5	A.	It was on the 22nd, after noon.	
6	Q.	He said you would be worth \$30,000 if you	
7	eliminate	d these people on you are certain about the	
В	22nd, Nove	ember 22nd?	
9	A.	Yes.	
0	Q.	So at that point, did you take any steps?	
1	A.	I called my attorney.	
2	Q.	When?	
3	A.	That aftermoon, after that.	
4	Q.	Now, why did you call your attorney?	
5	A.	I wanted to protect myself.	
ı			

2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Hinks A. Q. A.	You have been here your whole life? Yes. Prior to being incarcerated at the Ada County AMr. Hinkson, had you ever heard of Ion? No. Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook? No.	
4 5 6 7	Q. Jail with Mr. Hinks A. Q.	Prior to being incarcerated at the Ada County Mr. Hinkson, had you ever heard of con? No. Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook?	
5 6 7	Jail with Mr. Hinks A. Q. A.	Mr. Hinkson, had you ever heard of son? No. Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook?	
6	Mr. Hinks A. Q. A.	ion? No. Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook?	
7	A. Q. A.	No. Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook?	
1	Q. A.	Had you ever heard of Nancy Cook?	
в	A.	•	
- 1		No.	
9	_		
10	Q.	Had you ever heard of Steve Hines?	
11	A.	No.	
12	Q.	Had you ever heard of J. C. Harding?	
13	A.	No.	
14	Q.	Had you ever heard of this person Swisher?	
15	A.	No.	
16	Q.	Has the Government promised you that your	
17	sentence	will be reduced because of your testimony	
18	today?		
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	But you hope it will be reduced; right?	
21	A.	Yes.	
22	Q.	Do you have an understanding as to whether the	
23	Governmen	t has the authority to reduce your sentence?	
24	A.	Do I understand that	
25	Q.	Can the Government do that?	
L		QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	

```
marked as Government's Exhibit No. 6. I ask you to turn
     turn to the last page. On the bottom there, there is a
 3
     signature. Do you recognize it?
               Yes.
               Whose signature is that?
         A.
               That's mine.
               And just above the signature, there is a date.
     Do you see that?
 9
        A.
               Yeah.
10
        ο.
               What date is that?
               12/22/04.
11
12
               Is this the agreement that you entered into
     with the Government regarding your cooperation in this
13
     case?
14
15
        A.
               Yes.
               MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, the Government moves
16
17
     for the admission of Government Exhibit No. 6.
               THE COURT: Mr. Nolan?
18
19
               MR. NOLAN: Can we defer admission?
               THE COURT: Yes. Are you going to ask him any
20
21
     questions about the content?
22
               MR. TAXAY: No.
               THE COURT: Very well. We will defer on that
23
24
     then.
25
```

```
1166
               No, they can't.
 2
         Q.
               Who has the authority to do that?
 3
 4
               Do you have an understanding as to whether the
     Government has filled a motion recommending that your
 5
     sentence be reduced for your testimony here today?
 6
 7
               I don't think they have.
 8
         Q.
               I'm sorry. Would you repeat that?
 9
               No, I don't think they have.
10
               Sitting here today, do you have any
     understanding -- do you have any belief that Judge Lodge
11
12
     will be the person who decides your sentence?
               I was told that he won't be the one.
13
         ο.
               Now, did you enter into a cooperation
14
     agreement with the Government?
15
16
              When or --
17
               Recently, with respect to this case?
         Q.
18
         A.
               Yes.
              And do you remember when you entered into this
19
20
     cooperation agreement?
              A couple of weeks ago.
21
              MR. TAXAY: Your Honor, may I approach?
22
23
               THE COURT: You may.
24
    BY MR. TAXAY:
25
               I have just passed you, Mr. Croper, what is
```

```
1168
     BY MR. TAXAY:
 1
               Mr. Croner, one person I didn't ask you about
     is a person by the name of -- well, actually, let me ask
     you this: Did Mr. Hinkson ever mention a woman who was
     living at his house?
 6
         A.
               Yes.
 7
               Who did he mention?
         Ο.
               Armie Bates.
 8
         A.
               What did he say about Ms. Bates?
10
               He said that she was -- she lived in his
     basement for a few weeks.
11
12
         ٥.
              Anything further?
13
               He said that he was trying to get in her
     panta.
14
15
               MR. NOLAN: Objection, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
16
     BY MR. TAXAY:
17
              Anything further?
18
        Q.
              A lot of little stuff.
19
              Okay. Had you ever heard of Ms. Bates before
20
         Q.
21
     meeting Mr. Hinkson?
22
               No.
23
              Do you recognize Mr. Hinkson in the courtroom?
24
        A.
25
               Where is he?
```

THE COURT: Yes. Just what he said.

towards individuals?

Federal Government?

A.

ο.

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. TAXAY:

Q. Did he tell you what he was concerned about?
 A. Yes. He said that he was worried about them.
 He thought he had everybody else -- all of the other

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

recommendation to a federal judge to reduce your 2 sentence; isn't that correct? 3 No Okay. And what is incorrect about that question? A. My performance. So in other words, no matter what you say on the witness stand today, you expect the Government to recommend that your sentence be reduced; correct? 9 10 Δ No 11 Q. So it depends upon what you say today on the witness stand; correct? 12 13 A. I don't think so, no. 14 Before Mr. Hinkson talked to you about paying money to kill these people, did you give him any 15 16 indication that you would be interested in killing people for him? 17 18 A. That you had any prior record with violence 19

1170 witnesses taken care of but he was worried about 2 their -- about their testimony. 3 MR. TAXAY: Just a moment, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Ourtainly. 5 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held between Mr. Toway and Mr. Sullivan.) 6 7 MR. TAXAY: No further questions right now. B Pass the witness. 9 THE COURT: Very well. 10 Cross-examination, Mr. Hoyt? 11 MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Nolan? 13 MR. NOLAN: Yes. Thank you. 14 15 CROSS REAMINATION 16 BY MR. NOLAN: 17 It's your understanding, is it not, based upon 18 how you do today on the witness stand, the Government will decide what recommendation to make to a federal 19 judge in reducing your sentence; correct? 20 21 My understanding? Is that -- that was your 22 question? You'll have to repeat it. 23 All right. It's your understanding that,

they feel about your testimony, will consider making a QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

after you testify, the Government, depending upon how

25

25

A.

1171

1172 A. No. 2 Q. That you had any interest in overthrowing the Federal Government? 4 A. No. That you had any interest in harming these people for any amount of money? 7 A. No. 8 Is there anything you said or did that you believe would cause him to believe that you would 10 actually carry out a hit on these people? 11 I told him I was going to be possibly let cut 12 to self-surrender to California. That's my only 13 thought. 14 You believe that that gave him the suggestion 15 that you would consider killing these people? 16 A. I don't know what he was thinking. Is that what you were trying to suggest to 17 him? 18 19 I didn't suggest that to him, no. A. 20 Q. Were you trying to suggest that to him? 21 A. 22 Were you trying to get any money from him? Q. 23 A. Were you trying to get any favors from him? 24 ο.

That you had any hatred for Judge Lodge?

That you had any hatred for feds or the

	Į.		
1	A.	Yes.	1177
2	Q.	And where were the legal papers kept?	
3	A.	Under his bed.	
4	Q.	Under Mr. Hinkson's bed?	
5	A.	Under Hinkson's bed, yes.	
6	Ω.	I will put "IpH." Did you ever sit on the	
7	bunk and	talk to Mr. Hinkson?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	Did you ever spend time over on the bunk with	
10	Mr. Hinks	san?	
11	A.	Yes.	
12	Q.	Was it a double bunk?	
13	A.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Were there other was there another immate	
15	in the se	cound part of the bunk while you were there?	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Was there an immate in the second part of the	
18	bunk the	entire time you were there?	
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	How menny days, of the days that you were	
21	there, wa	s there a person in the second bunk?	
22	A.	Maybe four or five three or four.	
23	Q.	About how much time per day strike that.	
24	Mr. Hinks	on used the telephone at "A;" isn't that	
25	correct?		
ļ		CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309	
		Bar and transfer trans Jan-201	

1	A.	I don't know. I mean, he said he was talking	1179
2	to his 1:	awyers.	
3	Q.	Did he talk to his lawyer with disrespect	
4	strike ti	hat. Did he talk about his lawyer with	
5	disrespe	ct, sir?	
6	A.	Not to me.	
7	Ω.	Did he say why his lawyer was a mob lawyer?	
8	A.	I don't know which one it is. He just made	
9	that com	ment twice.	
10	Q.	He didn't say anything more about that?	
11	A.	No.	
12	Q.	You didn't ask him about it; correct?	
13	A.	No, I didn't.	
14	Q.	Did you read any of the paperwork from his	
15	case?		
16	A.	I had seen some motions.	
17	Q.	Some motions. Which motions did you see?	
18	A.	I don't remember them now.	
19	Q.	You are aware that your testimony here, as to	
20	the peop	le involved, is exact strike that.	
21		That your testimony as to J . C. Harding is	
22	what is a	alleged in the Indictment; correct?	
23	A.	You have to say that again.	
24	Q.	You know what an Indictment is, do you not?	
25	A.	Yes.	

```
1178
 1
         A.
               Yes.
 2
         Q.
               About how many hours per day did he utilize
 3
     that phone?
 4
               Maybe four. Three or four.
 5
               And most of that time, you were able to
         Q.
 6
     overhear those calls; correct?
 7
         A.
               No.
 8
         Q.
               Did you overhear those calls at any time?
 9
         A.
10
               Were you aware as to who he was calling?
11
               I know he called his wife and his daughter.
               Do you know whether he called anybody else?
12
13
               His lawyers.
         A.
               He told you he was talking to his lawyers;
14
         ٥.
15
     correct?
16
        A.
               One time he told me he was talking to his mob
17
     boss or his mob attorney.
18
               My question was -- he referred to his lawyer
19
     as a mob lawyer? Is that what you are saying?
20
        A.
               So how many times did he refer to him as a mob
21
        0.
22
     lawver?
23
              Maybe twice.
        A.
24
        Q.
              Other than that, did he refer to him just as a
25
     lawyer?
```

```
QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309
                                                             1180
              Did you see the Indictment in this case?
 1
         Q.
 2
         A.
 3
               You didn't see what he was charged with?
 4
              MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Sustained. Lack of foundation.
     BY MR. NOLAN:
 7
              Did you see what Mr. Hinkson was charged with?
              MR. TAXAY: Same objection. Same question.
 8
              THE COURT: Sustained. Asked and answered.
 9
    BY MR. NOLAN:
10
11
              The motions that you read, do you remember
    which ones they were?
12
13
        A.
              No.
14
              MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. That last
15
    question misstates the testimony.
              THE COURT: Well, the question and the answer
16
17
    will stand. The jury will remember it.
    BY MR. NOLAN:
18
              Let me make it clear. Did you read any
19
        Q.
    motions?
20
21
        A.
              I didn't read them in full, no.
              Ch, you read some of the motions?
22
        Q.
23
              I skimmed them. He showed me motions, and I
24
    skimmed through them. They were -- I didn't quite
25
    understand them.
```

it down. And you tried to remember all of the things Q. 3 that you thought would be important; correct? I wrote down most everything I thought would 5 be important. 6 Q. When did you write this information down? 7 Maybe the next day. 8 And what did you do with these notes? 9 The original notes -- the original notes that 10 I made to myself? I threw those away. 11 I am talking about the notes that you have 12 given to the Government. 13 Ch, you'll have to ask that again then. A. When did you make the notes that you gave to 14 ٥. 15 the Government? 16 I transferred the one notes to the notes I 17 gave the Government on the 31st or the 1st, right in 18 there. 31st of December? 19 20 A. 21 So the pieces of paper that have been -- well, 22 you -- just for your benefit, the two pieces of paper, 23 you have seen those; correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Those are the notes you gave to the Government

ο. You wrote some notes, did you not, of what you 2 remember being said inside the jail; isn't that correct? 3 And those notes were made at the exact time things were being said, or did you make those notes up later? A. The original --MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. Use of the 9 words "make those notes up" implies that they are 10 fabrications. 11 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form. 12 MR. NCLAN: I didn't intend to imply --13 THE COURT: I didn't think so. Just rephrase 14 your question. 15 BY MR. NOLAN: 16 ٥. Did you create the notes at the time? In 17 other words, if you put down a date and then wrote 18 scrething beyond that date, was it on that date that you 19 wrote that information down; or did you, at a later 20 time, go back and try to remember what was said on a 21 particular date and write it down at a different date? 22 A. Yes. 23 "Yes" what? ο. 24 Yes, I wrote dates down at another time; and I

1182

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

went back and remembered a date and remembered and wrote

25

1183

1184 1 on the 31st of December; is that right? 2 I didn't give them to them on the 31st, no. 3 When did you give them these notes? 4 I showed them -- I gave them those notes on 5 the 8th of January -- or the 8th of -- let me see. The 8th of January 2005. You are in custody now; correct? Q. 9 What was the nature of the fraud that you were in -- strike that. 10 11 When you were arrested by the federal 12 authorities, you were told -- you understood, unless you 13 agreed to cooperate with the Government, that you would 14 be bound by what are called the sentencing guidelines; 15 correct? 16 A. I don't understand your question. 17 You don't understand? 0. 18 A. Can you say it again? 19 When you were arrested by the Federal Government, you didn't want to go to jail, did you? 20 No, I didn't want to go to jail. 21 A. 22 When you talked to your lawyer and you talked to the Government and -- you didn't want to go to jail, 23 24 did you? 25 I didn't want -- no, I didn't want to go to

1185 jail. 1 2 Q. Somebody told you that, in fact, based upon the amount of money involved in your case -- by the way, how much money was involved in the fraud? It was about \$100,000. Q. You had sequestered \$100,000 in cash at your 7 mother's house? THE COURT: I don't think he --MR. NOLAN: I used a word I shouldn't have 10 used. THE COURT: Rephrase. 11 12 BY MR. NOLAN: 13 My apologies. You took \$100,000 in cash and you hid it at your mother's house; is that right? 14 15 16 You took \$80,000 worth of weapons and hid them 17 somewhere; correct? 18 A. Yes. You then filed for bankruptcy, based upon 19 Q. 20 fraudulent activities with home loans and development 21 and contract work; correct? 22 I filed bankruptcy for what? 23 You filed bankruptcy for creditors; correct? 24 Was it more than \$700,000 in creditors? QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	Q.	Yes.	1187
2	A.	No. I guess I don't understand.	
3	Ω.	I will try you entered into an agreement	
4	that you	would you would assist the Government;	
5	connect?		
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	And that you would actually, possibly, carry a	
8	body wire	to implicate other people?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	That you would infilitrate or tell them	
11	everythin	g you knew about false loans, false borrowing,	
12	and a fra	ud in the construction industry; correct?	
13	A.	Yes.	
14	Q.	And this was in the white collar field;	
15	correct?		
16	A.	I guess.	
17	Q.	And you placed your relationships with other	
18	people at	risk by doing so; isn't that correct?	
19	A.	I don't know if I can answer that. I don't	
20	know.		
21	Q.	You were out of custody while you were	
22	_	ng with the Government; isn't that right?	
23	A.	I was never in custody. They didn't arrest	
24	me.		
25	Q.	You pled guilty to a federal offense; correct?	

1	A.	Yes.	1186
2	Q.	You cwed over \$700,000, is that correct, and	
3	conjqu,t	pay it?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	And you were facing up to three years in	
6	prison fo	or that behavior; correct?	
7	A.	Yes.	
8	Q.	And if you could find somebody to if you	
9	could hel	up the Government find somebody else who might	
10	have done	scrething wrong, then the Government would	
11	reconnect	d to the court that you get a shorter sentence;	
12	correct?		
13	A.	You'll have to say that again.	
14	Q.	You worked for the Government; correct?	
15	A.	No.	
16	Q.	You worked with the Government; correct?	
17	A.	I cooperated with the Government, yes.	
18	Q.	It was your request to cooperate with the	
19	Governmen	it; correct?	
20		THE COURT: Are you talking about on the	
21	original	Cause?	
22		MR. NCLAN: Yes. I'm talking about the	
23	original	case.	
24		THE WITNESS: My request?	
25	BY MR. NO	XAN:	

1	A.	Yes.	1188
2	ο.	And your sentencing was delayed so you could	
3	remain cu	t of custody while you helped the Government;	
4	correct?		
5	A.	I was supposed to self-surrender on a certain	
6	date, yes	•	
7	Q.	Wasm't it a period of at least two years that	
8	you worke	d with the Government and avoided going to	
9	prison?		
LO	A.	No. I don't I don't believe that's true.	
11	Q.	How long did you fight the case before you	
12	pled guil	ty?	
L3	A.	I didn't fight it.	
L4	Q.	How long was it from the time you were	
LS	arrested	until you pled guilty?	
16	A.	I wasn't arrested.	
L7	Q.	How long was it from the time a search warrant	
18	Mars execut	ted until the time you pled guilty?	
19	A.	Maybe a year.	
20	Q.	During that time, you had a lawyer; right?	
21	A.	Yes.	
22	Q.	And during that time, you were negotiating	
23	with your	lawyer and the Government; correct?	
4	A.	Yes.	
25	Q.	And then how much longer after you pled guilty	

How many lawyers did you hire to request

I had two attorneys -- well, one at a time.

The Government opposed, saying that you were

I remember the last motion, but I didn't see

The last motion to the judge says: Look it,

I don't know what he said. I didn't talk to

My attorney said it was denied. That's all I

When you went for your reduction of sentence

extensions of time for you to surrender into court?

I don't remember seeing that but --

You don't have any idea about that?

delaying unnecessarily; isn't that correct?

You don't remember that?

did you finally start cooperating with the Government?

1189

- A few months. A month. I don't remember.
- Your house was searched in the Fall of 2001. isn't that right?
 - Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- ο. And you didn't have to go to jail until November of 2004; correct?
 - I thought it was 2002.
 - ο. When did you go to jail?
 - November 10, 2004. A.
- ٥. So you were out of austody from the time of the search warrant in 2001 until November of 2004: correct?
 - A. I don't know if that first date is correct.
- When was the search warrant?
 - I don't know. Do you have it?
- I take it, it wasn't easy for you to go into jail: correct?
 - A. Was it easy? No.
- 0. You tried to delay it for a long time, didn't you?
- 22 A. I didn't try to delay it, no.
 - Did you make motions to delay your surrender to the authorities?
 - A. I had some medical issues, yes.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

- And were you happy with that reduction? ο.
- I don't know if I was happy or not. I don't A. remember how I felt.
- You don't have any recollection of how you felt about whether or not the judge gave you a good enough break for your behavior?
 - A. I don't remember how I felt, no.
- I take it, you had no problems turning yourself in and starting to do your 21 -- what you thought was 21 months?
 - I had no problem turning -- no, I didn't.
- 12 You wanted out to surrender yourself, though; 13 isn't that correct?
 - A.
 - How much time do you expect to have reduced from your sentence as a result of your testimony here?
 - A. I'm not expecting any.
 - 0. You don't expect any?
 - A. Just whatever the judge -- whatever the judge recommends. I casess.
 - You have no expectations at all? Q.
 - I'm not expecting anything. I don't want A. to --
 - So I take it that --٥.
 - A. I don't want to be disappointed, I guess, is

16 blod new 17 18 for cooperating with the authorities, instead of getting 19 somewhere between 30 and 37 months, you got 24 months; isn't that right? 20 21 A.

him.

1

2

3

5

6

7

a

9 it.

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1191

Q.

٥.

A.

0.

ο.

A.

this is enough. No more.

I got 21 months.

Are you talking about, if you do well in prison, you will get good time; or are you talking about the sentence you received?

A. No. I thought I got 21 months.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

what I'm saying.

MR. NOLAN: I think, at this time, Your Honor, I ask that the document be admitted.

THE COURT: I think that's a good idea.

Edubit 6 is admitted into evidence.

(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 6 was received in

evidence.)

BY MR. NEYAN:

It is a rather lengthy contract you have with the Government, isn't that right?

- I don't know.
- I'm scrry. Did you read the agreement?
- Yes, I did. I don't know what a standard -if standard is long or short. I don't know.
 - You read the agreement; correct? ο.
- Yes.
- ο. And you signed the agreement?
- Yes. A.
- And it says that the court may reduce your sentencing in the amount consistent with the value of your cooperation; correct?
 - Yea.
- And you understand that the value of your cooperation depends upon what these prosecutors recommend; correct?

q

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

٥.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

And they are the ones that are going to decide

1195 reading the document at this point. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 MR. TAXAY: He is asking if this is what the document said. 4 5 THE COURT: I think he is trying to establish 6 whether the witness understood what he signed when he signed it. I think that's a fair question. Overruled. 8 BY MR. NOLAN: 9 And there was a figure mentioned about what they expect to recommend and what they expect or hope 10 that the judge will grant; isn't that correct? 11 12 I think it says no more than six months. So you knew that when you signed this piece of 13 14 paper; isn't that right? 15 Yes. A. 16 And right now, you are an optimistic guy, Q. aren't you? 17 I don't know -- in whose opinion? 18 A. 19 You certainly have been working the system pretty well for the last four years, haven't you? 20 21 MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 22 23 BY MR. NOLAN: You have been working the system, have you Q. 25 not?

that, not me; right? 2 Δ Veg 3 0. You wouldn't want me to decide that and make a recommendation, would you? 5 Do you want me to answer that? Q. A. I wouldn't want you to do it, no. No. And then there are a couple of other 8 9 issues, but they're all related to the nature of your 10 cooperation, et cetera; correct? 11 THE COURT: Counsel, I didn't understand that 12 question. 13 MR. NCLAN: I know. I didn't want to be accused of not reading them all. 14 15 THE COURT: The result was a question that 16 nobody but you understood. BY MR. NOLAN: 17 18 I will go ahead and read all of them. The 19 nature and extent of your assistance; correct? 20 21 Any injury suffered or danger or risk of 22 injury to you or your family resulting from your 23 cooperation; correct? 24 Yes. 25 MR. TAXAY: Objection, Your Honor. He is

1194

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1196 THE COURT: Counsel, I just sustained that. MR. NOLAN: I thought it was the form of the question. THE COURT: No, no. It was the question, itself, that was bad. MR. NCLAN: I thought it was the form. I apologize. THE COURT: That's all right. Just ask another question. BY MR. NOLAN: All right. You are hopeful to get at least six months off your sentence; correct? A. And you would like to get even more; isn't ٥. that right? Δ. And you know that some new judge is going to decide whether or not you should get more time off your sentence; correct? More or less. And it won't be this judge; correct? And it won't be Judge Lodge; correct? A. But some federal judge is going to hear that

1 you came into a courtroom and assisted the Government in 2 the prosecution of someone soliciting to kill a federal 3 judge: correct? Yes. And you know enough about the system to know 6 or to believe that that's valuable information, isn't 7 it? 8 A. I don't know who values that. ٥. What's that? 10 I'm not sure who values that. A. You are sure hopeful it's valuable, aren't 11 12 you? 13 I think anybody would. ο. Anybody would, wouldn't they? Isn't it true 15 your state five-year sentence was reduced to seven days? 16 A. I thought it was all suspended, but I may be mistaken. 17 18 THE COURT: Counsel, let me ask you: How much 19 longer do you anticipate? 20 MR. NCLAN: Can I just have one moment? 21 THE COURT: Yes, of course. 22 MR. NOLAN: Is the court --23 THE COURT: I'm thinking about adjourning a 24 little early tonight, since it's Friday night. 25 MR. NOLAN: I know I'm close but I'm not close CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

not there yet, so be patient -- you have heard the arguments of counsel, the instructions of the court, and the views of your fellow jurors.

With that instruction in mind, have a very nice weekend. We will see you Tuesday morning at 9:00 a.m. We are in recess.

(Whereupon, the court stood in recess.)

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1198 enough to finish. 1 2 THE COURT: We will bring Mr. Croner back. I 3 don't know what the Government's intents are on mediment 5 MR. NOLAN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: That's fine. 7 Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to start 8 our weekend recess. I remind you, again, that Monday is a holiday. Please, don't come Monday morning. Be back 9 10 here Tuesday morning at 9:00 a.m. 11 I do want to remind you of the instructions 12 that I gave you earlier. Until the trial is over, you 13 are not to discuss this case with anyone, including your 14 fellow jurors, members of your family, people involved 15 in the trial, or anyone else, nor are you allowed to 16 permit others to discuss the case with you. 17 If anyone approaches you and tries to talk to you about the case, please let me know about it 18 19 innediately. 20 Do not read or listen to any news reports of 21 the trial. Do not do any outside research, such as 22 Internet or Dun & Bradstreet searches, relating to the 23 people or entities discussed during the trial. 24 Finally, you are reminded to keep an open mind

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

until all of the evidence has been received -- we are

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CBRTIRICATE

1200

I, LORI A. FULSIFER, certify that I made a shorthand record of the matter contained herein, and that the foregoing typewritten pages contain a full, true, and accurate transcript of said shorthand record, done to the best of my skill and ability.

DATED this 27th day of March 2005.

IORI A. POLSIFER, CSR, RMR, CRR Cartified Shorthand Reporter Idaho Cartificate 354

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1124

7

1

2

9 10 11

13 14

12

16 17

15

22 23 24

25

1

3 4 7

8 9

18 19 20

21 22

17

23 24 25

Please rise for the jury. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the

countroom, and the following proceedings were held:)

MR. NOLAN: Could the witness be excused? THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Swisher, if you wouldn't mind stepping out in the hallway, take a break but remain available.

THE WITNESS: I would like to add. Your Honor. if I may, that --

THE COURT: Let me take this up with the lawyers first.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

(Whereupon, witness Elven Joe Swisher was excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, why don't you go to the modium?

MR. NOLAN: If I may just make a record, Your Honor, I finished my cross-examination of Mr. Swisher; and then I was handed a document on stationery that was faxed to us on the 14th of January at the hour of 2:34, approximately, and it is a letter to Mr. Hoyt's office fron --

MR. HDYT: Central Standard Time.

MR. NCLAN: -- the National Personnel Records Center. We had, apparently, been trying to get

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1123 information and that we intended, depending upon the witness's answer to the question, to call a witness from the archives to establish these facts.

I requested permission to re-open. The court considered that, said I could ask about whether he was wearing a Purple Heart. Counsel for the prosecution vehemently objected to my getting into this.

I was allowed to re-open. I asked the question. The record will reflect what the witness said.

And then, in the presence of the jury, counsel for the prosecution said that he had -- when the document was delivered to the court by the witness out of his pocket, counsel for the Government indicated that he already had a copy of that document.

Now, this case has been going quite well, in terms of the behavior of the parties. I feel that what occurred mandates -- I will request a mistrial.

It mandates serious, severe -- in my opinion, serious, severe actions, based upon the fact that the Government was in possession of information which we were not given regarding this witness which, at that point in time, the Government knew that the only thing that could happen is it would be adversely taken and affect the credibility of me, the credibility of the

Mr. Swisher's military records for about ninety days; and we have very little control over when that happens.

It was discussed -- Mr. Hoyt discussed with me the time variations between being a combat veteran and the time of the Korean War. I told him that I would not go into this without some proof and that I would not even come close to opening up the door.

I noticed that the man wore a Purple Heart. There is prior testimony that his hearing was affected by a hand grenade. I didn't want to go into it. He said he killed people. I didn't want to cross-examine him on that at all.

At the conclusion of my cross-examination, Mr. Hoyt handed me this two-page letter highlighted with a paragraph -- it's actually from the personnel records center. It's a one-page letter.

Highlighted, it says, "Mr. Swisher's Marine Corps record has been carefully examined by the Military Awards Branch of the Office of the Commandant of the Marine Corps, and that office has stated that his record fails to show he was ever recommended for, or awarded, any personal decorations.*

Based upon seeing that, I immediately approached the bench before redirect examination began; and I told the court I just had come into this

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

defense, and adversely affect the defendant.

And quite frankly, Your Honor, I don't know how this matter can be resolved. That's my request.

THE COURT: Very well. Let me hear from the United States.

MR. SULLIVAN: Counsel should have listened to me when I said, "Don't go there."

THE COURT: Well, let's establish for the record, Mr. Sullivan, that you indicated at sidebar that Mr. Swisher had shown you this DD-214 at 9:00 a.m. this morning.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SULLIVAN: He showed it to me at 9:00 a.m. this morning because I had asked -- he had mentioned Korea, serving in Korea.

I said, "Wasn't the Armistice in '52?" He said, "But there was still, you know, combat; and it continues to this day," which I happen to know to be true. There is contat to this day in Korea.

THE COURT: Technically, those hostilities have never been officially terminated, Mr. Nolan. Mr. Sullivan is correct; the war in Korea, or police action, whatever you want to call it, is not over.

Let me first say this: First of all, I want

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to mark as a defense exhibit the facsimile. I also want to mark a photocopy of the Form DD-214. So let's give those numbers.

MR. NOLAN: Could we have a copy back of this?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. NOLAN: This is the only one we have.

THE COURT: Let me further say that, in looking at -- well, let's give them numbers so we get this clearly on the record. It would be defense Exhibit

MR. HDYT: L and the next one would be M.

THE COURT: I am going to mark -- that's fine. We can mark them both as defense exhibits. I don't think it makes any difference.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I make my record, counsel, since counsel is moving for a mistrial and severe sanctions?

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: I didn't go into anything about his combat or his medals or anything else on my direct. He chose to go down this path, even when I objected to it.

I didn't draw attention to the little pin in Mr. Swisher's lapel. Lots of people wear them. They could be anything. He wanted to make an issue of it.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

I don't think -- you know, I barely had time

to look at this, myself. It refers to other -- that this replaces some document previously issued. I don't know what that document is, and it just led me to conclude that this is not a proper area to go into.

THE COURT: Ms. Longstreet, may I have the two documents?

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. NCLAN: Could I respond briefly?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. NOLAN: Your Honor, we have a document given to us by the Government which is false; and the Government knows it's false.

MR. SULLIVAN: I have no evidence or reason to believe that the document is false.

MR. NCLAN: The document -- I'm sorry. With all due respect, the document says there is no record of him receiving any awards and --

MR. SULLIVAN: I --

MR. NOLAN: He has government information that contradicto that.

THE COURT: All right. Here is my ruling: The motion for mistrial is denied. To the extent that there was error here, it I objected that he is setting up a -- what did I say -- a straw man -- I said "scarecrow" before, but I meant "straw man" -- so he can knock it down if he can develop some more information.

It is permissible to impeach -- to cross-examine someone, a witness, for making false statements, under Rule 608.

608(b) permits inquiry into conduct that is deceitful, but it doesn't permit impendment by other documents. It doesn't permit the use of other evidence if there is a denial of the deceitful conduct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1127

Counsel whipped out his document that he received minutes ago. I believe he probably didn't have enough time to read it and digest it and tried to use that to impeach the witness. That was improper.

Under Rule 608(b), he should not have been allowed to show that document. It wasn't a matter of refreshing his memory. He hadn't expressed any kind of doubt about his memory.

It was a grandstand play in front of the jury that didn't -- that wasn't so grand, and he got caught on it. That's where we are.

There is nothing the Government did that caused him to go in the area he did. We tried to avoid going into this area.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

was counsel who requested the opportunity to re-open. I granted permission to re-open so that you could confront him because I thought that you had a good-faith basis to believe, based upon the receipt of Edubit L from the National Personnel Records Center of the Department of Defense in St. Louis, Missouri, to ask the question, based upon the witness's answer, to try and impeach him on grounds that he has not, in fact, received the Purple Heart.

Until that happened, there was no reason for the Government to believe, with regard to Edhibit M, that the contents of Edhibit M would be impeaching in any way, shape, or form.

The court finds as a matter of fact that if Edhibit M is a copy of a genuine military record -- and at this point, I don't have any way to determine that; but it appears to be genuine, at least in appearance.

It indicates consistently with how the witness has testified; that he did, in fact, receive multiple shrappel and gunshot wounds in September 1955 in Korea; and that he was awarded commendations and medals, including the Purple Heart.

Now, Mr. Sullivan is correct. Under Rule 608 and the applicable Supreme Court precedent, particularly Justice Jackson's decision in Michaelson vs. the United

States, 335 U.S. 469, 1948, you are permitted to attempt to impeach a witness in the manner that Mr. Nolan attempted.

Ordinarily, under the rules, you are stuck with the witness's answer; and the court has the discretion to restrict further collateral proof of that impeachment.

So the basis for my ruling is, essentially, counsel opened the door with regard to this late-received facsimile, Exhibit L.

The Government, until the receipt of that exhibit, had no reason to believe that Exhibit M was

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

exhibit, had no reason to believe that Exhibit M was discloseable under Brady or Giglio because it was not impeaching. Therefore, the motion for mistrial is demied.

We will bring in the jury.

MR. NCIAN: Does the court have a remedy? You know, I also think --

THE COURT: Hold on.

MR. NCLAN: -- that there was a practice that counsel -- I mean, maybe I can't find the right cite; but, quite frankly, I am in an award position and I don't think it should be held against Mr. Hinkson. If the court has a remedy --

THE COURT: Let me suggest that one remedy

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

instruction that the area inquired into was not relevant for the jury's determination and they should disregard it.

THE COURT: How about if we do it this way? I will tell them that the error was the court's in permitting the area to be inquired into and, for that reason, I am directing that the portion of the testimony with regard to whether or not he was awarded the Purple Heart is stricken, so that it doesn't make either side look had. Is that acceptable?

MR. SULLIVAN: It is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan?

MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. Let's bring the jury back in, Ms. Longstreet.

MR. HOYT: Do you want to bring the witness in first, Your Honor?

THE COURT: We will do them together, simultaneously.

Go ahead. Yes.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in the presence of the juny:) $\label{eq:processor}$

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it's been a long day; and I now realize that I made a mistake in allowing the questioning with regard to the Rurple Heart that occurs to the court is that I could simply instruct the jury to strike that portion of the cross-examination of Mr. Swisher that relates to the Ruple Heart. Just tell them to completely disregard all testimony about the Ruple Heart.

MR. NOLAN: That would be appreciated. I think that would be the best way. Other than that, we have to bring in people to show our good faith and all of that.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, now Mr. Swisher looks like he has said something improper and done something improper, because the court is striking his testimony. It was responsive testimony to counsel's questions.

THE COURT: Let me put it to you this way,
Mr. Sullivan. That is the only suggestion I can think
of. If the Government doesn't want me to instruct the
jury, I will leave the record as it stands; and you can
take your chances.

MR. SULLIVAN: What I would like, Your

THE COURT: If there is a conviction,

Mr. Nolan may or may not have an issue here. Do you want to think about it for a minute?

MR. SULLIVAN: All I would ask the court is an

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Medal.

that military commendation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I am going to instruct you to disregard completely all of Mr. Swinher's testimony with regard to

You certainly are entitled to consider all of the rest of his testimony. Just everything from where I asked Mr. Nolan to re-open, please strike that from your minds; and you are not to consider it as evidence in the case.

With that, are we ready to proceed with redirect examination.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you want to move that easel, Mr. Sullivan?

MR. NOLAN: I can do that.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN:

Q. Mr. Swisher, you may recall you were asked about a particular question and answer you gave in your first Grand Jury appearance in April 2002. The question was -- I will put it on the screen again -- it's Grand Jury testimony at page 42.

A question by a jurcar was: "Did you ever have a conversation with Mr. Hinkson about things other than

1133 the technical testing? Did you ever, you know -- did you ever talk politics or his views on taxes, so on and so forth?" Did you understand that question to require any response about Mr. Hinkson hoping that federal 6 officials would die? 7 В Did you try to answer the question as you thought it was required? 10 MR. NOLAN: Objection. Leading. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 Could you answer that question? The question 13 was: "Did you try to answer the question as you thought 14 it was required?" 15 THE WITNESS: As it was asked, I did my best 16 to answer it. 17 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 18 ٥. You were also asked a question, again from the 19 Grand Jury testimony, about whether Mr. Hinkson's offers to you were made in private or with other people around. 20 21 Do you recall that question? 22 I'm sorry. I got lost there. Would you 23 repeat that question? 24 Do you recall being asked a question about

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

whether or not Mr. Hinkson made the offers to kill the

25

1135 other person? Judge Reinhardt? A. Yes. 3 ٥. Who is Judge Reinhardt? I think he is a judge in the Fifth District 5 or, at least, he was stationed in Grangeville, Idaho County. And do you know if Judge Reinhardt had anything to do with litigation involving Mr. Hinkson? I believe he was the trier of fact in the case that Mr. Hinkson lost, and the plaintiff was awarded 10 11 \$100,000 then. 12 Q. Do you recall the name of that particular plaintiff? 13 14 Armette Hazelton (sic.), I think. That's 15 probably an improper pronunciation. 16 You have been asked a number of questions on 17 cross-examination about your civil litigation involving 18 Mr. Hirkson: correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Did you ever sue Mr. Hinkson? 21 MR. NOLAN: Objection, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. SULLIVAN: 24 Q. Did Mr. Hinkson ever sue you? 25

federal officials to you in private or with other people 2 around in? 3 A. ο. Do you recall that question? 5 A. And did he do that in private or with other 7 pecole around? A. In private. Were there times there were other people 10 around that he said other type things? 11 Ch, yes. 12 Such as what? 0 17 Well, he wanted to see Albers and his whole 14 family, you know, dead. He made those comments with 15 other people around; but with other people around, he never made the solicitations. 16 MR. NCLAN: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the 17 18 scope. I don't mean beyond the scope. Asked and 19 answered. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. SUILIVAN: 21 22 You were also asked about people on his list. 23 Do you understand that to mean, like, a hit list? 24 A. 25 And you answered there was, at least, one ο. QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

```
1136
               When did he do that?
               Well, I learned of it sometime after I had
     contacted the Idaho County Assistant Prosecutor on --
               Listen to my question. Give me a date.
               I don't know the date.
               When he sued you?
               I'm just trying to tell you that it was
     scretime after I had contacted the Idaho County
     authorities regarding Mr. Hinkson.
10
               MR. NOLAN: Objection, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Overruled.
12
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
13
               Was it in 2003?
         O.
14
               Probably.
         A.
15
         0.
               Were you served process?
16
         A.
               I'm not sure exactly when I was served on the
17
     matter.
18
               How did you learn that Mr. Hinkson had sued
         Q.
19
     vou?
20
               Well, I did eventually get served; but I just
     don't recall the date I was served.
21
22
               All right.
         Q.
               But I know that it came after I had been in
24
     and talked with the authorities.
25
              But my question was -- all right. Let me
```

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

1	Q. What were you being asked?	1139
2	A. Well, he was getting dangerously close	
3	MR. NOLAN: Excuse me. It's vague.	
4	THE COURT: I am going to sustain the	
5	objection as to the form of the question.	
6	BY MR. SULLIVAN:	
7	Q. What did Mr. Hoyt ask you regarding your	
6	testimony as the Federal Grand Jury?	
9	MR. NOLAN: I object to that.	
10	THE COURT: Counsel, you got into this.	
11	MR. NCIAN: That's fine.	
12	THE COURT: I am going to overrule the	
13	objection and allow the witness to answer.	
14	MR. NCLAN: That's fine.	
15	MR. HOYT: Your Honor, may we have a sidebar	
16	on this?	
17	THE COURT: No. We have had enough sidebars.	
18	THE WITNESS: Well, he was getting into the	
19	of course, the area about the equipment that had been	
20	transferred to me and the property that had been	
21	transferred to me and so on and was getting dangerously	
22	close to some of the questioning that	
23	MR. SULLIVAN: My question, Your Honor	
24	MR. NCLAN: Objection.	
25	THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, I know it's been a	

```
1138
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
 1
 2
                Did you get any of the property that you sued
 3
     for?
         A.
 5
               Did Mr. Hinkson get anything that he sued you
         Q.
 6
     for?
 7
         A.
               No.
 8
               Now, you were asked questions, also, about
 9
     some questions from a deposition in October. Do you
10
     recall those questions?
11
12
               Was that October of 2004?
13
         A.
               I believe so.
14
         ο.
               And was that a deposition in conjunction with
15
     this particular civil lawsuit?
16
17
         Q.
               Who was asking you questions in that?
18
         A.
               Mr. Hoyt.
               Was he representing Mr. Hinkson in that?
19
20
         A.
               Yes, he was.
21
         Q.
               Was he asking you about what you told the
     Grand Jury?
22
23
               I took it to be that, yes.
24
         Q.
               What were you being asked?
25
               I beg your parden?
```

```
1140
     long day; but bear with us.
               Go ahead, Mr. Sullivan.
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
               I am asking you: What did he ask you about
     your Grand Jury testimony?
               MR. NOLAN: Objection to the form of the
     question, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Suntained.
 9
     BY MR. SULLIVAN:
10
               Did he ask you questions about your Grand Jury
11
     testimony?
12
         A.
13
              What did he ask you?
               THE COURT: Counsel, I think the concern is
14
15
     with the broad nature of your questions. I will permit
     you to ask a leading question, if you are trying to
16
17
     establish a point here.
18
               MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               Did Mr. Hoyt ask you about these offers from
20
     Mr. Hinkson that you have testified to here today?
21
         A.
22
              Did he ask you the circumstances of those
         ο.
23
     offers?
24
         A.
              He did more than that.
25
        ο.
              Like what?
```

He indicated he had talked to -- the other witnesses that he had talked to thought it was all a bunch of bunk and that David hadn't meant anything and that he was laying that on me pretty hot and heavy. And he said, "You know, you probably feel the

same way; right?"

And I said, "Not necessarily."

- Another Grand Jury question from your first Grand Jury testimony in April of 2002 -- you were read back the quote. This was regarding your memory.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

ĸ

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- ٥. Do you remember this quote: "If it weren't for flashbacks, I wouldn't have any"?
- Q. What were you trying to convey when you said that?
- A. Well, I was making an attempt at levity. Most of the Grand Jury members laughed.
 - Do you have any problems with your memory?
 - Not really.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's all I have on redirect. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, anything further?

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, it's a very simple question. Did you meet with Mr. Hoyt before they took your deposition, and did you have a conversation with him?

THE WITNESS: Right in the middle, when the court clerk and one of the other members on Mr. Hoyt's team took what I brought over to have copied, there was about an hour there; and that was when all of this grilling went on.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: But it was -- the deposition was, basically, in recess for that hour; but it was at the deposition.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Thank you, counsel.

BY MR. NOTAN:

- When you were asked questions under oath at the deposition of October 2004, I take it, no question was asked of you as to what you were questioned about at the Grand Jury; correct?
- Well, I felt -- the reason I refused to answer some of those is I felt they were dangerously close to information --

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, that's not responsive to the question being asked of you. The question is:

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NOLAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

S

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

1143

1141

Ism't it true, during the deposition of 0. October of 2004, that Mr. Hoyt asked no questions about what you testified to in the Grand Jury?

I'm trying to place this because I may have been a bit imaccurate here. The questions were asked prior to either the deposition or another proceeding. I'm not sure at which time, but they were asked.

So all of the questions asked of you by Mr. Sullivan about the deposition could be in error? It could be some other proceeding; is that correct?

No. I think that was the time frame, but I think those questions took place before we went on the record.

THE COURT: Counsel, can you establish whether or not he met with Mr. Hoyt before he was sworn for that deposition?

19 BY MR. NOLAN:

> Did you meet with Mr. Hoyt before you were sworn as a witness?

Yes. Ch, wait a minute. I do know now -- if I may correct that? At some point, I took a bunch of documents to be transcribed, and I didn't mind having those copies -- what am I trying to say -- copied.

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

Isn't it true that Mr. Hoyt never asked you specifically what you testified to before the Grand Jury?

THE WITNESS: Specifically, on the record, no.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel, do you want me to give the jury a 6E instruction with respect to the right of a witness?

MR. NCLAN: Yes. Yes, especially in the instructions given afterwards. I would like that. Then we wouldn't have to call a witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, any objection? MR. SULLIVAN: I would like to discuss at sidebar, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.

(Whereupon, the following sidebar discussion was held outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: What I proposed to Mr. Nolan was to simply instruct the jury that, under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 6E, a witness is permitted, at his discretion, to discuss with anyone he wants to, or to refuse to discuss with anyone, what he said in the Grand Jury Room.

If there is an objection to my giving that instruction now, I may entertain, with regard to the

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

21 22 23

closing instructions, a defense instruction that says the same thing. So however you want to handle, I will leave it up to you.

A

MR. SULLIVAN: I just don't think it's an issue in the case the jury needs to be concerned with.

THE CCURT: The problem is that the state of the record is now such that Mr. Hoyt's integrity has been placed in issue and I think it unfair to Mr. Hinkson's counsel to suggest that Mr. Hoyt did anything improper in questioning a witness that had previously testified in the Grand Jury to try and learn what he might know about the facts in the case.

Under Rule 6E, there is absolutely nothing improper with a defense lawyer asking a Grand Jury witness those questions. We will handle it however you want.

MR. NOLAN: The other thing is, Judge, I think that he cannot refuse to answer the question on the basis that he doesn't want to reveal something he revealed in the Grand Jury, if he is under cath and the question is otherwise relevant.

THE COURT: You and I both know you have to move to compel the answer.

MR. NCLAN: I agree. But I am just saying that, you know -- yes, I agree.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

about what they said in the Grand Jury or to refuse to talk to people. It is entirely up to the witness.

Anything further, counsel?

MR. NCLAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: May Mr. Swisher be excused?

MR. NOLAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You want to keep him under

subpocna?

MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Swisher, I am going to allow you to step down. We are finished with you here today, but you are still under subpoena. Please stay in touch with the United States in case the defense wishes to recall you at a later time.

THE WITNESS: I will do that, Your Honor. One question, sir?

THE COURT: No questions of me. I get to ask the questions, all right? Why don't you step down now? I think we are finished. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Someone has my DD-214.

THE COURT: We will get the DD-214 or, at least, a copy of it back to you.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I think we are close enough to the afternoon recess. The court would like to take a recess for fifteen minutes. We

MR. HOTT: It never happened. It never happened. I would appreciate it if you could make an instruction orally to the jury at this time while it is fresh in their minds. I think this could be a lingering issue.

MR. SULLIVAN: This is another one of those straw men that they go into on their cross-examination. They are not happy with the answers, and they want some relief from it.

It's not a making of the Government. Just because this witness has some misunderstanding about the law is not the problem -- or the court or the Government or the jury either, for that matter.

THE COURT: I am going to, now, give a Rule 6E instruction. I am very concerned, if I do not, that the jury will be left with a misimpression as to the integrity of the conduct of Mr. Hinkson's lawyer. I am not going to permit that to stay on the record.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you are instructed that, under the Rules of Criminal Procedure which attend Federal Grand Jury proceedings, it is permissible for a witness, after he or she has testified in the Grand Jury, to either talk to people

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

will see you back here in fifteen minutes.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: The clerk will administer the cath to the witness.

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please stand and raise your right hand.

CHAD CRONER,

having been called, sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. You will need to speak right into the mic.

If you would, state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: It's Chad Croner, C-r-o-n-e-r.
THE COURT: Counsel, you may proceed.
MR. TAXAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

CNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

QNA COURT REPORTING (208) 484-6309

. . .