1612

DEFENDANT'S VERSION IS HE ASSUMED THAT IT WENT INTO THE GARAGE. WHICH TESTIMONY HAS MORE CONVINCING FORCE, THE TESTIMONY OF TWO EYE WITNESSES WHO SAID THAT BB GUN DID NOT GO INTO THE GARAGE AND I SAW THE DEFENDANT PICK IT UP, OR THE DEFENDANT WHO SAYS TO YOU I ASSUMED IT WENT INTO THE GARAGE? WHICH HAS MORE CONVINCING FORCE?

ALLAN WALLIS WENT ON TO SAY THAT WHEN THE PROPERTY

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

8E

H1

LC

SF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ADDED COMMENTARY

THE PROSECUTOR KNEW HE COULD RELY 100% ON ATTORNEY SCHROEDER SUPPORTING HIS ARGUMENTS THAT LAZOR WAS A LIAR AND MURDERER...

OR

IAT

Α

AW

BRIEFCASE BY POLICE, SAME KEY THAT WAS FOUND ON THE DEFENDANT'S TIME THAT HE ARRESTED.

THE DEMEANOR IN THE MANNER IN

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO

MAKE YOUR JUDGMENT. THEIR TESTIMONY ALONE, IN AND OF ITSELF, IS ENOUGH TO MAKE THE DEFENDANT A LIAR AS IT RELATES TO WHAT HAPPENED ON JANUARY 10TH. AND WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE TESTIMONY OF MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS, CONSIDER ANOTHER THING. THEY WERE INTERVIEWED SEPARATE AND APART FROM ONE ANOTHER REGARDING THE DEFENDANT'S POSSESSION OF THE BB GUN ON DIFFERENT TIMES AND DIFFERENT DATES BY DETECTIVE MC CARTY. IF THERE HAD BEEN SOMETHING IN THEIR STATEMENTS THAT WOULD CAUSE CONCERN ABOUT THE TRUTHFULNESS OF THEIR OBSERVATIONS REGARDING THE DEFENDANT'S SECRETING THAT BB GUN, MR. SCHROEDER WOULD MOST

27 28

EXHIBIT

CONTINUED

4 PAGES

A STATE OF THE STA

5 .

T

D

N

T

CERTAINLY HAVE BROUGHT THAT OUT.

MR. ELLIS AND MR. WAS IS NOT ENOUGH, TWO EYE WITNESSES IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH FO LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT SOME OTHER THINGS THAT SHOWS DEFENDANT IS NOT TELLING YOU THE TRUTH. THERE ARE BASE LLY THREE STRONG EMOTIONS IN LIFE: LOVE, FEAR AND HATE, P. HAPS THE THREE BIGGEST EMOTIONS IN HUMAN LIFE: LOVE, FEAR AND HATE. AND I AM GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT ONE OF THOSE FEAR, AND WE ARE GOING TO DISCUSS

ADDED COMMENTARY

...HE KNEW HE COULD RELY 100% ON SCHROEDER REMAINING SILENT TO HELP HIM COVER UP ALL THE CASE FRAUD, WHILE ASSURING THE JURY HE WOULD HAVE EXPOSED ANYTHING IRREGULAR OR EXONERATING

REACTION. THE DEFENDANT SHOWED NO FEAR OR EMOTION. HE WAS CALM, UNEMOTIONAL TO JOAN HARPER ALTHOUGH HE CLAIMED HE WAS SCARED TO DEATH, AND THE REASON THAT THE DEFENDANT SHOWED NO FEAR OR EMOTION IS BECAUSE THERE WAS NO GUN. THE DEFENDANT SHOWED NO EMOTION, THOUGH OUT OF BREATH WHEN HE WENT NEXT DOOR TO THE APARTMENT COMPLEX AND CALLED SHEILA HAYS, THE DISPATCHER. THE REASON WHY? THERE WAS NO BB GUN IN THE HANDS OF MR. ALLRED. LISTEN TO THAT TAPE. YOU WILL HEAR THE DEFENDANT'S OUT OF BREATH. THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT, BUT WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT THE TAPE IS SHEILA HAYS, THE DISPATCHER, WITH YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN TAKING EMERGENCY CALLS, IS MORE EXCITED THAN THE DEFENDANT. THE DEFENDANT HAD

1690

IN

0,

E?

N,

CK

NE

ISSUE.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

BUT KEEPING IN MIND THE SPECULATION, LET'S SPECULATE ALONG. LET'S ASSUME THAT MR. ALLRED DID IN FACT GO TO THE GARAGE WITH A KEY BELONGING TO THE DEFENDANT, DID IN FACT SECURE THE BB GUN. DID HE ALSO TAKE THE BALANCE OF KEN SC

TH

HI

AN

SE-

TO

ADDED COMMENTARY THIS IS A TRUTHFUL DEPICTION OF THE RESULTS OF SCHROEDER'S REFUSAL TO LET ANY EXONERATING EVIDENCE COME INTO THE TRIAL

10 11

> BACK INTO THE PANTRY PLACE AS OPPOSED TO

REPLACED THE KEY AGAIN IN THE WRONG IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN, THE SAME KEY THAT THE POLICE FOUND THE DEFENDANT'S KEYRING AT THE TIME

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

13

14

THEN MR. SCHROEDER PROCEEDS TO SAY THAT AFTER MR. ALLRED SECURED THE BB GUN FROM THE GARAGE, HE THEN TOOK IT INTO THE HOUSE AT SOME KNOWN PERIOD OF TIME OBVIOUSLY PRIOR TO 1:45 ON JANUARY 10TH AND PLACED IT IN TO ONE OF TWO FOOTLOCKERS WITH A RAG AROUND IT AND, THEREFORE, THAT'S WHY THERE IS NO PRINTS, FINGERPRINTS ON THE GUN. WELL, DID YOU NOTICE THE WORDS THAT MR. SCHROEDER USED WHEN HE WAS GIVING YOU THAT SCENARIO? USED WORDS SUCH AS I THINK, POSSIBLY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THOSE ARE WORDS OF SPECULATION, THOSE ARE WORDS OF GUESSWORK, THOSE ARE WORDS THAT ARE EMPTY BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT SUPPORTED BY ANY OF THE EVIDENCE IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE. I SUGGEST TO YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THEY ARE WORDS OF DESPERATION.

28

EY

TH

TO

١E

M

ΞR

OF BOTH ALLAN WALLIS AND BRET ELLIS. THAT IS DIRECT EVIDENCE, EYE WITNESS TESTIMONY, ALLAN WALLIS, WHO PARTICULARLY OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT PICK UP THE BB GUN AND TAKE IT INTO THE KITCHEN.

THE DEFENDANT SAYS THAT MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS HAVE POOR RETROSPECTIVE MEMORY; NOT THE DEFENDANT, NOT HIS WITNESSES, JUST MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS, AND YET WE KNOW FROM THE TESTIMONY OF MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS THEY WERE ACUTELY

AC

PR

MORE "FRAMING": BY FRAUDULENT ARGUMENTS STEMMING FROM THE PROSECUTOR'S DESTRUCTION OF
ALLRED'S FINGERPRINTS -- KNOWING SCHROEDER
WOULDN'T OBJECT

TE

WITNESS RETRO

VE MISRECOLLECTION AS CLAIMED BY

MR. SCHROEDER,

PARTICULARLY, AS IT RELATES TO MR. WALLIS

WHO CONTINUE

OUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, I AM TELLING

YOU EXACTLY WA.

W, I CAN TELL YOU NO MORE, I CAN TELL YOU

NO LESS. THIS IS: AT I SAW. DOES THAT SOUND LIKE A MAN WITH

IT'S ALSO INTERESTING, TOO, THAT COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, MR. SCHROEDER, JUST BASICALLY DROPPED THE ISSUE OF THE PLACEMENT OF THE GUN IN POSITION NO. 6 -- EXCUSE ME -- 4, THE RIGHT-HANDED PLACEMENT, JUST DROPPED THE ISSUE. WHY DID HE DROP THE ISSUE? HE HAD TO. IT WAS PLACED THERE BY A RIGHT-HANDED PERSON, AND THERE IS ONLY ONE PERSON WHO IS RIGHT-HANDED IN THIS SCENARIO. THAT'S THE DEFENDANT.

NO PRINTS WHATSOEVER, NO PARTIAL PRINTS, NO FINGERPRINTS AT