Γ		7
	171	2
1	KILLIN	
2	THAT : ADDED COMMENTARY	
3	THE JURY NEVER WERE ALLOWED TO SEE ANY EVIDENCE OF "CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD	
4	PERSON EXCITE FEARS" SUFFICIENT TO JUSTIFY	
5	NECESS KILLING GREAT	
6	BODILY	
7	IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY KILLING ANOTHER PERSON IN SELF-	1
8	DEFENSE, ACTUAL DANGER OR GREAT BODILY INJURY IS NOT	1
9	NECESSARY. ON THE OTHER HAND	
10	IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY A KILLING,	7)
11	IT MUST BE ESTABLISHED, ONE, THE CIRCUMSTANCES MUST BE	
12	SUFFICIENT TO EXCITE THE FEARS OF A REASONABLE PERSON THAT	
13	THERE WAS IMMINENT DANGER OF DEATH OR GREAT BODILY HARM AND,	
14	TWO, THE PARTY MUST HAVE ACTED UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SUCH	
5	FEARS ALONE AND UNDER THE BELIEF THAT SUCH KILLING WAS	
6	NECESSARY TO SAVE HIMSELF FROM DEATH OR GREAT BODILY INJURY.	
.7	TO STATE THOSE SEATT ON GREAT BOOTET THOOKT.	
. 8	DEFEND HIMSELF FROM ATTACK IF, AS A REASONABLE PERSON, HE HAS	T
9	GROUNDS FOR BELIEVING AND DOES BELIEVE THAT EVELLY INJURY IS	
20	ABOUT	
1	FORCE ADDED COMMENTARY ARY	
2	ADDED COMMENTARY	
3	JIIRY RY ROTH ATTORNEYS. THE UNITED THE	
4	DOORJAMB, DOOR KNOB, MEATCLEAVER, AND WHICH ALL ELSE	
5	Man Gibbs	
6	THE E:	
7	IN THE EXERCISE OF HIS RIGHT OF SELF-DEFENSE, HE MAY STAND HIS	
8	6201W2 4W2 4W	VI UP:=
		XHIBIT
L	- Apples	11
	5	PAGES

Secret proceedings unknown to Lazor, with prosecutor, Schroeder and judge deciding which instructions jury will get to know of:

1539

THE COURT: HE HAD AN HONEST AND UNREASONABLE BELIEF, HONEST BUT UNREASONABLE BELIEF THAT HE WAS ABOUT TO PERHAPS BE ASSAULTED OR NOT, RIGHT?

MR. SCHROEDER: IN FACT, I DON'T THINK -- I THINK THERE IS A VERY GOOD ARGUMENT IN THE CASE THAT IT WASN'T AN UNREASONABLE BELIEF AT ALL BECAUSE THE GUN LOOKS VERY REAL AND THE POLICEMEN EVEN THOUGHT IT WAS A REAL GUN WHEN THEY FIRST SAW IT, AND THAT WAS THE REASON FOR THE REQUESTED INSTRUCTION.

THE COURT: THEN IF HE HAS AN HONEST AND REASONABLE BELIEF, THEN HE'S ENTITLED TO A WALK ON SELF-DEFENSE, ISN'T HE?

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

ADDED COMMENTARY

JUST BEFORE JURY DELIBERATIONS:

APPR

COURT/JUDGE ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO EVIDENCE OF THE ATTACKER'S VIOLENT FORCE WAS EVER SUBMITTED IN TRIAL -- THE JURY HAD NO CLUE. (WHAT THEN WAS THE PURPOSE FOR A TRIAL?)

7 | 17.

INIT

ING

IVE

UNDERSTAND WHAT THE COURT IS SAYING. I HEARD WHAT YOU SAID BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IT.

MR. HAMES: IF YOU HAVE BOTH ELEMENTS OF HONESTY AND REASONABLENESS, --

THE COURT: THEN YOU ARE ENTITLED TO A COMPLETE

24 25

23

MR. SCHROEDER: I KNOW THAT, BUT WHAT I AM SAYING IS
THAT LOOKING AT THIS INSTRUCTION, IF ALLRED INTENDED TO MAKE
IT APPEAR THAT HE COULD KILL LAZOR, ---

27 28

26

THE COURT: WE HAVE TO FIND -- YOU WOULD HAVE TO

Secret proceedings unknown to Lazor, with prosecutor, Schroeder and judge deciding which instructions jury will get to know of:

1540

FIN	T	HAT	HE	M/	ADE	AN	ATT	EMPT	TO	CC	MM	IT A	FO	RCIBLE	ANI
ATRO	0010	US	CRII	ME.	WH/	AT D	O WE	KNOW	7 /	4LL	WE	KNOW	IS.	THAT HE	MA'
HAVE	НА	D A	ВВ	GUN	IN	HIS	HAN	D AND	HE	KI	KE	THE	D001	R. NEI	THEF
ONE	OF	THO	DSE		417	ISN	T A	FORC	IBLE	E Al	ND .	ATRO	IOUS	CRIME	, 19
IT?															

MR. SCHROEDER: NO, BUT I THINK THAT IT'S ARGUABLE
THAT A FORCIBLE ENTRY INTO SOMEBODY'S ROOM THAT'S LOCKED IS.

THE COURT: IS A FORCIBLE AND ATROCIOUS CRIME?

HARDLY.

MR. SCHROEDER: OKAY. I WILL WITHDRAW IT.

11

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

IN THE PEOPLE'S PACKET, OR IS IT?

13 14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

ADDED COMMENTARY

SCHROEDER JOINS WITH THE JUDGE AND PROSECU-TOR TO SECRETLY WITHDRAW THE 2 MAIN SELF-DEFENSE JURY INSTRUCTIONS OF THE CASE, SO THE JURY NEVER KNEW THEY EXISTED -- NEVER TELLING LAZOR THIS OCCURRED

18 THAT

RE

ES,

20 WE

0 WE?

MR. SCHROEDER: NO, WE ARE NOT, BUT IT'S VERY MUCH A PART OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THIS CASE, AND I WOULD AT LEAST LIKE THE JURY TO KNOW THAT --

THE COURT: ASSAULT WITH THE FISTS, WHICH IS ALLRED, DOES NOT JUSTIFY THE PERSON BEING ASSAULTED AND USING A DEADLY WEAPON IN SELF-DEFENSE. THAT WOULD BE AT THE SAME TIME, NOT AT SOME FUTURE TIME.

MR. SCHROEDER: NO, BUT I AM TALKING ABOUT THE FACT

28

Jury instructions -NOT GIVEN TO JURY, refused and withdrawn in secret proceedings, unknown to Lazor:

DEFENDANT'S REQUEST INSTRUCTION NO. 9

FORCIBLE AND ATROCIOUS CRIME-DEFINED

A forcible and atrocious crime, as the term is used in these instructions, is any felony, the character and manner of the commission of which threatens, or is reasonably believed by the defendant to threaten, life or great bodily injury so as to cause in him a reasonable fear of death or great bodily injury.

Murder is a forcible and atrocious crime.

CALJIC 5.16 as Modified

GIVEN
GIVEN AS MODIFIED
REFUSED
WITHDRAWN
COMMENT

JUDGE

CLERK'S TRANSCRIPTS (CT), PAGE 352

ADDED COMMENTARY:

JURY NEVER KNEW ABOUT THIS INSTRUCTION, as the evidence warranting it was concealed, destroyed, altered, etc.

Jury instructions -NOT GIVEN TO JURY, refused and withdrawn in secret proceedings, unknown to Lazor:

DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED INSTRUCTION NO. 8

JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE-LAWFUL DEFENSE OR SELF OR ANOTHER

Homicide is justifiable and not unlawful when committed by any person in the defense of himself if a reasonable man in a like situation would believe that the person killed intended to commit a forcible and atrocious crime and that there was imminent danger of such crime being accomplished. A person may act upon appearances whether such danger is real or merely apparent.

CALJIC 5.13 (1974 Revision) Exact Copy

GIVEN
GIVEN AS MODIFIED
REFUSED
WITHDRAWN 1
COMMENT

JUDGE WINGTH

CLERK'S TRANSCRIPTS (CT), PAGE 353

ADDED COMMENTARY:

The jury also never knew about this instruction—the main, standard self-defense instruction for murder cases; which was the whole purpose for holding a trial.

Lazor never knew these instructions were withheld from the jury; as he was always assured that they were given to the jury.

0353