## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

Court of Appeal - First Dist RON D. BARROW, Clerk

v.

A024654

P. F. LAZOR,

(Super. Ct. No. 87874 County of Santa Clara)

Defendant and Appellant.

In re

P. F. LAZOR,

On Habeas Corpus.

A028765

Defendant and appellant P. F. Lazor was convicted by a jury of second degree murder (Pen. Code, § 187).1/

Additionally, an allegation that appellant used a firearm in the commission of said offense within the meaning of sections 12022.5 and 1203.06 was found to be true. Appellant appeals from the judgment of conviction. Appellant appeals court for a has been con

THE "APPEAL" DECISION, COVER PAGE

In 1

Owner of property locs

<sup>1.</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

Meanwhile, John Allred (Garnier's nephew), the victim, moved into the Front bedroom which had bee occupied by Scherschel. Shortly thereafter, on October 5, 1982, Allan Wallis moved into the residence and shared he back bedroom with Ellis. Applicant told both Ellis and allis that the pantry room next to the kitchen was off lists to tenants of the residence are was his private office. Cording to Wallis, appellant was not living at the residence.

In early October appellant asked W lis and Ellis to assist him in taking Scherschel's property om the dining room to the garage. Ellis was trying to move therschel's waterbed, he BB gun. Wallis also he gun. According to bother, appellant picked up gun and took it to the kitchen with a small cardboard box. Five to fifteen minutes later, appellant returned.

## ADDED COMMENTARY

THIS REFERENCE TO DONNA FERNANDEZ' TESTIMONY
THAT LAZOR WAS HATEFULLY ANGRY AT ALLRED, WAS
THE FOUNDATION FOR THE ELABORATE PRESENTATION
THAT LAZOR HATED ALLRED AND HIS ANGER TOWARD
HIM ERUPTED INTO MURDER. THE CASSETTE TAPE THE
POLICE "LOST", PROVED THAT THIS TESTIMONY BY
FERNANDEZ WAS FABRICATED PERJURY

time. Garnier met with appellant and instructed him that he should only use the rear door.

Donna Fernandez, who lived behind the Roberts Road residence, testified that she met appellant in October 1982.

6

At this time, he allegedly told her that he was upset that Garnier's nephew, John Allred, was moving in and felt that he was losing control over the house. She said that appellant appeared very angry and upset. Appellant told her that he was living in Fremont and had a "room next door."

Ellis testified that Allred told him that he was upset with appellant coming through the front door and that appellant could use the back door like he was supposed to. Wallis testified that Allred told him that he hated appellant and that if he ever came back into the house he would "littered".

## ADDED COMMENTARY

EFFECT OF DONNA FERNANDEZ' FABRICATED TESTIMONY
OF LAZOR'S ALLEGED ANGER AND HATRED TOWARD ALLRED

QUESTION: WOULD FERNANDEZ HAVE SUBJECTED HERSELF TO PROVABLE PERJURY CHARGES, HAD SHE NOT BEEN ASSURED BY THE PROSECUTION THAT THEY DESTROYED THE TAPE THAT PROVED THE PERJURY AND WOULD NOT CHARGE HER WITH PERJURY?

Delity ----

front door and not do that it had been down as the boards were bowed in toronthe living room.

Allred Tar told Wallis that he d stood behind the front door to see is appellant would come without knocking. When appellant enter d, Allred then alleged confronted him and then threw him at the front door and laked it. Allred allegedly told Wallis that appellant kicked the door in and at