

State witness Ellis examined by prosecutor:

570

1 WAS PLACED?

2 A CORNER, LEFT SIDE AND IN THE CENTER, LEFT-HAND SIDE.

3 Q AND DO YOU KNOW TO WHOM THIS PARTICULAR GARAGE BELONGED?

4 A NO, SIR, I DIDN'T.

5 Q WAS THIS A GARAGE THAT WAS -- HAD SOME SORT OF  
6 RELATIONSHIP TO THE REAR OF 16935 ROBERTS ROAD?

7 A YES, SIR. IT WAS A HOUSE BEHIND US, THAT THE PERSON THAT  
8 OWNED THE HOUSE ALSO HAD THE GARAGE.

9 Q DID YOU KNOW THAT PERSON'S NAME?

10 A NO.

11 Q DID YOU KNOW WHETHER IT WAS A MAN OR WOMAN?

12 A IT WAS A FEMALE.

13 Q DID YOU SEE THAT PARTICULAR WOMAN PRESENT AT THE TIME  
14 THAT

HIN?

15 A

**ADDED COMMENTARY**

**ADMISSION BY STATE WITNESS ELLIS, THAT  
THE GARAGE WAS NOT LOCKED AT THIS TIME,  
AS IT WAS NOT CAPABLE OF BEING LOCKED**

16 Q

FROM

17 THE

GAGE --

18 DRIVE

19 A ABOUT FIVE TIMES.

20 Q AND IS THAT YOUR BEST RECOLECTION?

21  
22 Q AND ONCE THE PROPERTY WAS PLACED INTO THE GARAGE, DID YOU

23 SEE WHAT HAPPENED TO THE GARAGE DOOR, IF ANYTHING?

24 A YES, SIR. P. F. LAZOR CLOSED IT AND WE LEFT.

25 Q DID YOU SEE WHETHER OR NOT THE GARAGE DOOR WAS SECURED IN  
26 ANY MANNER APART FROM THE CLOSING OF THE DOOR?

27 A NO, SIR. AFTER IT WAS CLOSED, WE TURNED AROUND AND  
28 HEADED TOWARDS THE CAR.

**EXHIBIT**  
**RR**  
12 PAGES

State witness Ellis examined by Schroeder:

588

1 MR. HAMES: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

2 MR. SCHROEDER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. SORRY FOR  
3 THE DELAY.

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION:

5 Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) WAS MR. SCHERSCHEL ACTUALLY LIVING IN  
6 THE HOUSE WHEN YOU MOVED IN, MR. ELLIS?

7 A YES, SIR.

8 Q AND I APOLOGIZE IF YOU ALREADY SAID THIS, BUT I DIDN'T  
9 CATCH IT. HOW LONG DO YOU THINK HE WAS THERE BEFORE HE LEFT?

10 IN Q HE  
11 LEFT.

**ADDED COMMENTARY**

**ANOTHER UNINTENDED ADMISSION THAT THE DATE IN QUESTION WAS WHEN WALLIS MOVED (HIS BELONGINGS) INTO THE HOUSE (OCTOBER 6); THE DAY AFTER HE OFFICIALLY BECAME A TENANT ON A SIGNED CONTRACT (OCTOBER 5)**

12 A  
13 Q  
14 SAY  
15 MR. I

16 A  
17 Q BUT YOU CAME TO THE HOUSE BECAUSE YOU WERE A FRIEND OF  
18 CORT GARNIER'S? A YES, SIR.

19 Q MR. PAUL GARNIER'S SON A YES.

20 Q WERE YOU GIVEN ANY AHEAD INSTRUCTION REGARDING WHAT  
21 ARRANGEMENTS YOU WERE TO MAKE THERE AT THE HOUSE AS FAR AS  
22 RENT IS CONCERNED, OR DID THAT JUST COME UP ONCE YOU MOVED IN?

23  
24 Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU ARE NOT EXACTLY SURE  
25 WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU MOVED THE STUFF OUT IN THE GARAGE?

26 A YES, SIR.

27 Q YOU ARE PRETTY SURE, THOUGH, IT WAS AFTER -- NOW, IT WAS  
28 AFTER ALLAN WALLAS MOVED IN BECAUSE HE WAS THERE WITH YOU IN

CONTINUED

RR-2

CONTINUATION

State witness Ellis examined by Schroeder:

589

1 THE HOUSE AT THE TIME?

2 A NO. IT WAS BEFORE ALLAN MOVED IN BECAUSE HE WAS COMING  
3 OVER TO SEE ME AT THE HOUSE.

4 Q SO HE WAS JUST THERE VISITING; IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE  
5 SAYING? A YES, SIR.

6  
7 HOW LONG HAD YOU KNOWN HIM AGAIN ABOUT A YEAR?

8 A ABOUT FOUR YEARS, SIR.

9 Q ABOUT FOUR YEARS. NOW, YOU HAD A HIGHLY VARIABLE WORK  
10 SCHEDULE IT SOUNDS LIKE FROM WHAT YOU WERE SAYING?

11 A

12 Q  
13 LONG

14 A

15 Q  
16 YOU

17 MOVE!

18 A YES, SIR.

19 Q YOU SAID THAT THERE WAS SOME KIND OF BOWING TO THE BOARDS  
20 ON THE DOOR?

21 A YES, SIR. THEY HAD BROKEN LOOSE AT THE BOTTOM AND KIND  
22 OF BOWED IN.

23 Q NOW, WERE YOU OUTSIDE THE -- YOU COULDN'T SEE, YOU WERE  
24 OUT OF EYESHOT OF THAT DOOR WHEN THIS STATEMENT WAS MADE BY  
25 MR. ALLRED, CORRECT?

26 A YES, SIR. I WAS IN THE RESTROOM.

27 Q YOU SAID YOU HEARD THE DOOR SLAM AND THEN YOU HEARD  
28 ANOTHER SOUND WHICH YOU SAID SOUNDED LIKE IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A

ADDED COMMENTARY

THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS DATE IS SHOWN IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, PROVING BOTH ELLIS AND WALLIS COMMITTED PERJURY TO FRAME LAZOR FOR MURDER. (BOTH HAD FRIENDSHIP TIES TO JOHN ALLRED, THE ATTACKER, UNKNOWN TO THE JURY)

WORK

HAD

R TO

RR-3

State Witness Wallis examined by Schroeder:

620

1 IN

MOVED

2 IN?

3 Q

MOVING

4 IN

5 Q

FACT

6 LIVING

7 A

8 Q

WITNESS

9 AND P. F. LAZOR AND JOHN ALLEN AND YOU THOUGHT MAYBE BRET

10 ELLIS BESIDES YOURSELF WERE AT THE MEETING AT NEW MAGGO'S?

11 A CORRECT.

12  
13 A IT WAS AFTER OR THE DATE THAT I DID MOVE IN.

14 Q SO RIGHT ON OCTOBER 5TH OR, WHAT, THE DAY AFTER?

15 A THE 5TH OR THE 6TH, WITHIN THOSE TWO DAYS. I CAN'T  
16 REALLY GIVE YOU A CORRECT DATE. I AM PRETTY SURE IT WAS THE  
17 5TH.

18 Q YOU THINK IT WAS THE DAY YOU MOVED IN?

19 A CORRECT.

20 Q OKAY. HOW DO YOU KNOW -- JUST LOOKING BACK ON IT, HOW DO  
21 YOU KNOW IT WAS THE 5TH THAT YOU MOVED IN?

22 A WHEN I SIGNED THE CONTRACT, ON THE CONTRACT IT SAYS THE  
23 5TH AND THE SAME NIGHT, I SPENT THE NIGHT AT THE HOUSE.

24  
25 WAS THERE AT THAT MEETING? A I BELIEVE HE WAS.

26 Q WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION INDICATING THAT HE WAS LIVING AT  
27 THE HOUSE AT THAT TIME?

28 A AT THE TIME, NO.

RR-4

ADDED COMMENTARY  
WALLIS, THE MAIN PERJURER, MAKES AN AD-  
MISSION HERE THAT CORROBORATES ELLIS'  
STATEMENTS (PREVIOUS 2 PAGES), WHICH  
WILL PROVE THE PERJURY. SCHROEDER REFUSED  
TO LET THE TENANT CONTRACTS OR ANY OTHER  
OF LAZOR'S DOCUMENTS THAT PROVED PERJURY  
BE PRESENTED IN TRIAL

State Witness Wallis examined by prosecutor:

602

1 A YES.

2 Q

IF

3 ANYC

ADDED COMMENTARY

THE PROSECUTOR SLYLY MOVES THE DATE FROM OCTOBER 6 TO "MIDDLE OF OCTOBER," REALIZING THAT LAZOR HAS CONCLUSIVE PROOF OF THE PERJURY, UNLESS THIS DATE CAN BE CHANGED BY DECEPTION OR ADDITIONAL LYING

4 Q

5 A

6 Q

7 A

8 Q

NAL

9 KNOWLEDGE?

10 A FROM WHAT I WAS TOLD --

11 Q WELL, YOUR OWN PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.

12

13 Q OKAY. WAS MR. ALLRED EMPLOYED DURING THIS PERIOD OF  
14 TIME, FROM THE TIME THAT YOU MOVED INTO THE HOUSE TO THE TIME  
15 THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT NOW; THAT IS, FROM OCTOBER 5TH TO  
16 ABOUT THE MIDDLE OF OCTOBER?

17 A I COULDN'T TELL YOU.

18 Q NOW, GOING TO THE MIDDLE OF OCTOBER, YOU INDICATED THAT  
19 YOU AND MR. ELLIS WERE IN THE LIVING ROOM AND THE DEFENDANT  
20 WAS PRESENT IN THE EARLY EVENING; IS THAT CORRECT?

21 A UH-HUH.

22 Q YOU HAVE TO SAY YES OR NO.

23 A YES.

24 Q AND DID THE DEFENDANT -- EXCUSE ME -- AT THAT PARTICULAR  
25 TIME MAKE A CERTAIN REQUEST OF YOU?

26 A YES, HE DID.

27 Q WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT SAY TO YOU?

28 A HE ASKED US IF WE WOULD GIVE HIM A HAND IN MOVING SOME

CONTINUED

RR-5

State Witness Wallis examined by prosecutor:

603

1 OBJECTS OF FURNITURE INTO AN ENCLOSED GARAGE BEHIND OUR HOUSE  
2 THAT BELONGED TO DONNA FERNANDEZ.

3  
4 A NO, I DIDN'T.

5 Q HAD YOU MET HER UP UNTIL THAT TIME?

6 A JUST QUICK HELLO BASIS.

7 Q OKAY. DID YOU KNOW HER NAME?

8 A YES.

9 Q NOW, WHERE WAS THIS PROPERTY THAT THE DEFENDANT IS  
10 REFERRING TO?

11  
12 Q EXCUSE ME. WHERE WAS THE PROPERTY THAT YOU WERE TO MOVE?

13 A IN THE HOUSE OR WHERE TO?

14 Q IN THE HOUSE.

15 A OKAY. RIGHT WHERE IT'S MARKED "DINING ROOM", TO THE FAR  
16 RIGHT-HAND WALL.

17 C AND WHAT TYPE OF PROPERTY DID YOU SEE IN THAT AREA?

18 A WATERBED FRAME, STEREO CONSOLE, QUITE A FEW BIG BROWN  
19 BAGS WITH STUFF IN IT, CARDBOARD BOXES. THERE WAS A BUNCH OF  
20 MISCELLANEOUS THINGS, THERE WAS A BB GUN. THAT IS ABOUT ALL I  
21 CAN REMEMBER OFFHAND.

22  
23 PROPE

**ADDED COMMENTARY**

24 Q *COMING UP TO ESSENCE OF THE ISSUE: THE BB*  
25 A *GUN WAS MOVED FROM ITS STORED PLACE ON*  
26 Q *THE DATE IN QUESTION. THE TWO CONFLICTING*  
27 A *STORIES OF PERJURY BOTH CLAIMED LAZOR TOOK*  
28 Q *THE GUN; THIS STORY CALIMED THAT HE THEN*  
*LOCKED IT INSIDE THE GARAGE WHERE ONLY HE*  
*AND NO ONE ELSE HAD ACCESS*

TH

State Witness Wallis examined by prosecutor:

606

1  
2 Q NOW, ONCE THE DEFENDANT RETURNED, DID HE MAKE A REQUEST  
3 OF YOU AND MR. ELLIS AT THAT TIME?

4 A IF WE WOULD HELP HIM TO MOVE THE PROPERTY INTO THE  
5 GARAGE.

6 Q AND DID YOU AND MR. ELLIS AT THAT TIME MOVE THE PROPERTY  
7 IN THE DINING ROOM TO THE GARAGE?

8 A YES, WE DID.

9  
10 ROUTE THAT YOU TOOK?

11 A OUT THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR AND AROUND THE HOUSE, DOWN THE  
12 DRIVEWAY TO THE BACK OF THE HOUSE.

13 Q AND HOW MANY TRIPS DID IT TAKE?

14 A FOUR OR FIVE

ADDED COMMENTARY

15 Q AND AFTER  
16 COMPLETE THE MOVE

**WALLIS' PERJURY:**

TRIPS, DID THAT  
DID.

17 Q AND AFTER TH

TIME THEREAFTER

18 DID YOU EVER OBSERVE THAT BB GUN WITHIN THE GARAGE?

19  
20 Q NOW, AFTER THE MOVE WAS COMPLETED, WHAT HAPPENED TO THE  
21 GARAGE DOOR IN WHICH THIS PROPERTY WAS PLACED?

22 A IT WAS LOCKED UNDER A PADLOCK.

23 Q AND DID YOU SEE THE PADLOCK BEING LOCKED?

24 A YES, I DID.

25 Q BY WHOM DID YOU SEE THE PADLOCK BEING LOCKED?

26 A BY P. F. LAZOR.

27 Q DID YOU SEE THE DEFENDANT WITH ANY SORT OF KEY TO THIS  
28 PARTICULAR PADLOCK ON THAT PARTICULAR EARLY EVENING?

CONTINUED

RR-7

State Witness Wallis examined by prosecutor:

1 A YES, I DID.

2 Q AND WHERE DID HE HAVE THE KEY?

3 A HE HAD IT IN HIS HAND.

4 Q AND WHEN DID YOU SEE THE KEY?

5 A WHEN WE WERE UNLOCKING THE GARAGE TO OPEN IT UP TO PUT  
6 THE STUFF AWAY.

7 Q NOW, AFTER THIS PARTICULAR MOVE IN THE MIDDLE PART OR THE  
8 FIRST HALF OF OCTOBER OF 1982, DID YOU EVER SEE THAT BB GUN  
9 AGAIN? A NO, I DIDN'T.

10 Q AND FROM THAT DATE UNTIL THE DATE YOU MOVED OUT, WHICH  
11 WAS JANUARY 2ND OF 1983, DID YOU EVER SEE THAT BB GUN ANYWHERE  
12 WITHIN THE HOUSE? A NO, I HAVEN'T.

13 Q AND IN PARTICULAR, DID YOU EVER SEE MR. ALLRED WITH THAT  
14 BB GUN? A NO.

15 RESEMB

16 A N

17 Q D  
18 MR. AL  
19 GUN?

20 Q D

21 A YE

22 Q AN

23 A YES, IT WAS.

24 Q WHERE WAS THE PHONE KEPT?

25 A RIGHT WHERE IT SAYS "DINING ROOM AND PANTRY", THE WALL  
26 RIGHT THERE. THERE WAS A LITTLE -- LIKE BOOKSHELF OR DINETTE  
27 AREA RIGHT THERE FOR KEEPING DISHES, WHATEVER. THEY HAD A  
28

ADDED COMMENTARY

ONE OF THE TWO CONFLICTING STORIES BY WALLIS & ELLIS, BOTH PERJURY. THIS STORY CLAIMS LAZOR PADLOCKED THE BB GUN IN THE GARAGE WHERE NO ONE ELSE COULD GET TO IT DUE TO THE PADLOCK ONLY LAZOR HAD THE KEY TO (SUPPOSEDLY)

R OF  
LLET



Lazor testifying under examination of Schroeder:

1278

1 TO HAVE SOMETHING MARKED SO THAT MR. LAZOR COULD LOOK AT IT.

2 THE COURT: WHAT'S YOUR NEXT IN ORDER? IS IT E?

3 MR. SCHROEDER: I BELIEVE THAT'S F, YOUR HONOR.

4 THE COURT: IT WILL BE MARKED F FOR IDENTIFICATION  
5 AND WE WILL HAVE THE CLERK MAKE THE PROPER MARKINGS LATER.

6  
7 Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) MR. LAZOR, SHOWING YOU DEFENSE F FOR  
8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES, I WOULD ASK YOU TO LOOK AT IT, THAT  
9 PAGE, AND SEE IF IT'S GREENISH IN COLOR AND ASK YOU WHETHER  
10 YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?

11 A YES, I RECOGNIZE IT.

12 Q AND WITHOUT REFERRING SPECIFICALLY TO ANYTHING THAT YOU  
13 HAVE WRITTEN ON THERE, CAN YOU TELL US THE NATURE OF THAT  
14 DOCUMENT?

15 A THE DOCUMENT YOU ARE REFERRING TO IS A RECEIPT.

16 Q AND DO YOU RECALL -- STRIKE THAT. LET ME ASK YOU A  
17 COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHER ITEMS IN  
18 EVIDENCE THAT APPEAR TO BE RECEIPTS, BOOKS FILLED WITH  
19 RECEIPTS; IS THAT CORRECT?

20 A THAT'S CORRECT.

21  
22 A

23 Q  
24 HERE,

25 A  
26 SAME.

27 Q  
28 DON'T

**ADDED COMMENTARY**

*AFRAID LAZOR WOULD CREATE A COURTROOM SCENE, SCHROEDER FINALLY ADDRESSED THE STORE RECEIPT THAT PROVED THE PERJURY. BUT HE TRICKED LAZOR, BY NOT LETTING HIM EVER SHOW THE JURY WHAT WAS WRITTEN ON THE RECEIPT -- AND THEN BURIED IT WITHIN HUNDREDS OF OTHER RECEIPTS THAT WEREN'T SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE. SO THE JURY NEVER GOT TO SEE IT, AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE WAS THEREBY REDUCED TO NOTHING*

HE

I

RE

RR-9

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Q AND WHAT IS THE DATE FOR THAT RECEIPT?

A IT SAYS 10-13-82.

Q AND WHEN DO YOU BELIEVE THAT YOU PURCHASED THE LOCK FOR THIS GARAGE? A THE LOCK, ITSELF?

Q YES.

A ACTUALLY, THE LOCK, ITSELF, WAS ON THE SIDE GARAGE DOOR AT MARLENE HEPP'S HOUSE.

Q WHEN DO YOU BELIEVE YOU PURCHASED THE LATCH TO BE SECURED BY THE LOCK?

A THIS IS THE RECEIPT FOR THAT LATCH, 10-13-82.

Q NOW, IS THERE ANY WAY THAT YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC RECALL WHEN IT WAS -- STRIKE THAT. ABOUT HOW LONG AFTER YOU MOVED THE ITEMS INTO THE GARAGE DO YOU THINK IT WAS THAT YOU ACTUALLY GOT THE LATCH AND PUT THE LOCK ON IT?

A IT WAS SLIGHTLY OVER A WEEK.

Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?

A WELL, I REMEMBER ALLAN WALLIS MOVED INTO ROBERTS ROAD ON OCTOBER 5TH, AND IT WAS THE FOLLOWING DAY AFTER HE MOVED IN THAT WE MOVED THOSE THINGS OUT TO THE GARAGE FROM THE DINING ROOM, AND THIS IS DATED OCTOBER 13TH FOR THE LATCH AND I DO RECALL THAT IT TOOK ME ABOUT TWO DAYS AFTER I GOT THE LATCH TO ACTUALLY GET THE TIME TO PUT IT ON.

ADDED COMMENTARY

WITH M  
THIS B  
IT'S 16  
A D1

*SCHROEDER REFUSED TO LET LAZOR PRESENT DOCUMENTS THAT PROVED THESE DATES DOWN TO THE HOUR. THEN HE FORCED LAZOR TO FALSELY SAY "MAYBE" HE TOOK THE BB GUN -- WHICH MOOTED THE PROOF OF PERJURY, BECAUSE IT WAS NOW TACITLY CONCURRED TO BY LAZOR, ALBEIT AGAINST HIS WILL*

UCH  
EVE

Prosecutor arguing to jury against Lazor:

1612

1 DEFENDANT'S VERSION IS HE ASSUMED THAT IT WENT INTO THE  
2 GARAGE. WHICH TESTIMONY HAS MORE CONVINCING FORCE, THE  
3 TESTIMONY OF TWO EYE WITNESSES WHO SAID THAT BB GUN DID NOT GO  
4 INTO THE GARAGE AND I SAW THE DEFENDANT PICK IT UP, OR THE

5  
6 WHICH HAS MORE CONVINCING FORCE?

7 ALLAN WALLIS WENT ON TO SAY THAT WHEN THE PROPERTY  
8 BELONGING TO MR. SCHERSCHEL WAS MOVED INTO THE GARAGE IN THAT  
9 MID-OCTOBER DATE, THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD A KEY TO A LOCK, A  
10 LOCK TO THAT PARTICULAR GARAGE DOOR, AND THAT HE SAW  
11 SPECIFICALLY THE DEFENDANT USE THE KEY ON THAT GARAGE DOOR  
12 LOCK, THE SAME LOCK THAT WAS FOUND IN THE DEFENDANT'S  
13 BRIEFCASE BY THE POLICE, SAME KEY THAT WAS FOUND ON THE  
14 DEFENDANT'S KEYSRING WHICH WAS ON THE DEFENDANT'S PERSON AT THE  
15 TIME THAT HE WAS ARRESTED.

16 WHAT I AM SAYING TO YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS YOU SAW  
17 THE Demeanor AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THOSE TWO GENTLEMEN  
18 TESTIFIED, MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS. YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO  
19 MAKE YOUR JUDGMENT. THEIR TESTIMONY ALONE, IN AND OF ITSELF,  
20 IS ENOUGH TO MAKE THE DEFENDANT A LIAR AS IT RELATES TO WHAT  
21 HAPPENED ON JANUARY 10TH.

22 S, CONSIDER ANOTHER THING. THEY

23 WERE  
24 THE D  
25 AND D  
26 SOMETI  
27 THE 1  
28 DEFENI

ENDING  
TIMES  
ABOUT  
THE  
MOST

ADDED COMMENTARY

SCHROEDER REFUSED TO CONTEST THIS AND TO PRESENT THE PROOF OF THE PERJURY -- WHICH WOULD HAVE STARTED THE UNRAVELING OF THE STATE'S WHOLE FABRICATED MURDER CASE

RR-11

STILL 18 YEARS AFTER THE TRIAL, THE COURTS AND PROSECUTION DENY LAZOR A COPY OF THIS CASH REGISTER RECEIPT AND THE HUNDREDS OF OTHER RECEIPTS AND PAPERS SEIZED WITHOUT WARRANT AUTHORITY. THIS HAS HINDERED PROVING THE WHOLE CASE WAS FABRICATED, JUST AS ALL COPIES WERE REFUSED BEFORE & DURING TRIAL, IN VIOLATION OF "DISCOVERY LAWS", AIDED BY SCHROEDER TO ABROGATE A FAIR TRIAL

EXHIBIT OMITTED:  
(SEE ABOVE)

Lazor testifying under examination of Schroeder:

1 PICK UP THIS BB GUN AND WALK OUT OF THE DINING ROOM AREA, NOT  
2 SEEING WHERE YOU WENT BUT WALK OUT OF THE DINING ROOM AREA  
3 WITH IT? A I HEARD HIM SAY THAT.

4 Q DID YOU IN FACT PICK UP THAT BB GUN AND WALK OUT OF THE  
5 DINING ROOM AREA WITH IT? A NEVER.

6 Q YOU SAID THAT YOU MAY HAVE PICKED IT UP, THE BB GUN?  
7

8 Q YOU MAY HAVE TOUCHED IT. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU M  
9 BY

ADDED COMMENTARY

AT THE MOMENT LAZOR EMPHATICALLY STATED  
THIS TRUTHFUL ANSWER WHICH CONTRADICTED  
THE PROVABLE PERJURY OF WALLIS & ELLIS,  
SCHROEDER GAVE HIM A THREATENING GESTURE  
TO BACKPEDAL OUT OF ANY TESTIMONY THAT  
CONTRADICTED THE PROSECUTOR AND HIS WIT-  
NESSES; AND TO COMPLY WITH PRE-ARRANGED  
CONCOCTED TESTIMONY THAT CONFORMED TO  
THE PROSECUTOR'S CASE

10 A  
11 ONE  
12 US  
13 FOR  
14 NEA  
15 ALT  
16 STI  
17 ENTI

EN  
OF  
ND  
IN  
OX  
ES  
RY  
UT

18 IT AWAY, AND HE WAS GOING ON, "I WONDER WHAT THIS IS," AND SO  
19 FO

ADDED COMMENTARY

ONE OF THE FEW PLACES WHERE, MOMENTARILY, LAZOR  
WAS ABLE TO TESTIFY TRUTHFULLY IN HIS FAVOR,  
WITHOUT HIS OWN ATTORNEY TALKING OVER THE EXON-  
ERATING TESTIMONY AND MANIPULATING ALL QUESTIONS  
AND ANSWERS TO ALLOW ONLY WHAT THE PROSECUTOR  
APPROVED, FAVORING A MURDER VERDICT. BUT THIS  
WAS ONLY MOMENTARY; TESTIMONY WAS PROMPTLY  
STOPPED FOR THE DAY. THEN... (SEE NEXT PAGE)

20 TH  
21 AC  
22 I  
23 TH  
24 DI  
25 Q  
26 VI

T  
O  
D  
I  
E

27 A YES. HE WAS STANDING RIGHT THERE.

28 THE COURT: CONVENIENT TIME?

Lazor testifying under examination of Schroeder:

1 HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND  
2 TESTIFIED FURTHER AS FOLLOWS:

3 THE CLERK: YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED):

5 Q (BY MR. SCHROEDER) MR. LAZOR, I BELIEVE WHERE WE LEFT  
6 OFF YESTERDAY, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE SITUATION WHERE  
7 MR. SCHERSCHEL'S ITEMS WERE BEING MOVED OUT OF THE DINING ROOM  
8 AREA. LET'S TURN THIS BACK.

9 NOW, DO YOU RECALL -- YOU SAID THAT YOU RECALLED  
10 MR. ELLIS LOOKING AT THE GUN AND EXAMINING IT, THAT TYPE OF  
11 THING. DO YOU RECALL THAT? A YES.

12 Q NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, YOU SAY YOU TOOK IT AWAY FROM  
13 HIM?

14 A WELL, I MAY HAVE. I WAS ASKING HIM TO PUT IT AWAY, AND  
15 IT SEEMS LIKE I MAY HAVE ACTUALLY TAKEN IT OUT OF HIS HANDS

16 Q BECAUSE HE HADN'T GOTTEN TALKING AROUND WITH IT IKE

17 Q TH

18 A

19 Q

20 A

21 Q

22 TH

23 A

24 TI

25 Q

26 TC

27

28

**ADDED COMMENTARY**

*THAT EVENING, SCHROEDER THIRD-DEGREE'D LAZOR FOR TESTIFYING CONTRARY TO THE PROSECUTOR'S WITNESSES AND APPROVAL, WHICH SCHROEDER HAD COERCED LAZOR FOR MONTHS NEVER TO DO. HE THREATENED DEFINITE TRIAL DISASTER BY NOT LETTING LAZOR RE-TAKE THE WITNESS STAND UNLESS HE'D RECAT THE DEFENSE-FAVORABLE TESTIMONY AND REFRAIN FROM ANY FURTHER. BY NEXT MORNING, SCHROEDER HAD COERCED LAZOR INTO CONTRADICTING THE EXONERATING TESTIMONY; WHICH NOW IMPLICATED HIM IN MURDER WITH A PLANTED GUN, BOLSTERED THE PROSECUTION WITNESSES' CREDIBILITY, AND DESTROYED HIS OWN:*

MR. HAMES: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS AN