

1 THINGS THAT ARE CIRCUMSTANTIALLY VERY PROBATIVE ON THE ISSUES
2 BEFORE THE COURT.

3 ALSO, PART OF THE EVIDENCE IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE;

4 NAM ON

5 THE HER;

6 NAM ING

7 THA THE

8 EVI

9 IVE

10 VAL

11 MR. SCHROEDER: YOUR HONOR, VIRTUALLY EVERYTHING
12 THAT MR. HAMES INDICATED -- I HAVE NO PROBLEM AT ALL, FOR
13 EXAMPLE, STIPULATING THAT THE PERSONAL EFFECTS THAT SHE
14 RECOVERED FROM THE POLICE CONTAINED NO BB'S OR INDICIA OF ANY
15 TYPE OF WEAPON. I HAVE NO PROBLEM STIPULATING THAT THEY ARE
16 HIS CAR KEYS.

ADDED COMMENTARY
"NO DESIRE" BY THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY TO PRESENT ALLRED'S STALKING, PROVOKING, AND VIOLENT PROPENSITIES AGAINST LAZOR -- THE VERY ESSENCE OF WHAT WARRANTS ACQUITTAL IN A SELF-DEFENSE CASE OF THIS NATURE

17 I DON'T BELIEVE THAT I AM
18 ATTEMPTING TO PRODUCE ANY KIND OF CHARACTER EVIDENCE THAT
19 SHOWS THAT MR. ALLRED ON ANY OCCASIONS OTHER THAN TWO THAT ARE
20 DOCUMENTED IN THIS CASE WAS IN ANY WAY AGGRESSIVE OR VIOLENT.
21 I DON'T HAVE ANY DESIRE TO PRESENT THAT. I DON'T BELIEVE
22 THERE IS ANY EVIDENCE ALONG THOSE LINES.

23
24 OF JANUARY, THAT THERE WAS AGGRESSIVENESS ON MR. ALLRED'S
25 PART, BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT HE'S COMING IN AND TESTIFYING
26 THAT HE'S MY SON AND HE'S NEVER BEEN A BOMBING BOY IS
27 PARTICULARLY PROBATIVE IN LIGHT OF INDEPENDENT PIECES OF
28 EVIDENCE THAT WE HAVE ON TWO SPECIFIC OCCASIONS, AND WE

Schroeder & judge in chambers with prosecutor present:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE TRYING TO PRESENT A DEFENSE, HERE, THAT MR. ALLRED HAD A PROPENSITY FOR VIOLENCE AS A GENERAL PROPOSITION. WE ARE ONLY REFERRING TO TWO INCIDENTS THAT DID IN FACT OCCUR AND ARE DOCUMENTED BY INDEPENDENT PIECES OF EVIDENCE AND WITNESSES.

THE COURT:

EXISTS IN THE STATE OF CALIFOR

THA MR
TO IM.
PRO OS.
CAL INC
TES IMO
NO

ADDED COMMENTARY

SINCE THE PROSECUTOR ALREADY TOLD SCHROEDER THAT HE WAS GOING TO PRESENT THESE TWO INSTANCES (WITH HIS DECEPTIVE SPIN THAT WOULD TWIST THE FACTS) . . .

WOULDN'T THINK THAT HIS PROPENSITY FOR VIOLENCE OR LACK OF IT WOULD HAVE ANY RELEVANCE IN THE CASE IN CHIEF. IF IT'S A SELF-DEFENSE CASE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT MIGHT BE, IT MIGHT BECOME VERY RELEVANT IN REBUTTAL BASED UPON WHAT IS ADDUCED FROM THE DEFENSE CASE,

GOING TO TELL HIM HE CAN'T CALL HER

JUST

EVEN THE JUDGE RECOGNIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EVIDENCE OF ALLRED'S VIOLENCE WHICH SCHROEDER HAD "NO DESIRE" TO LET THE JURY KNOW -- AND THEREFORE THEY NEVER DID KNOW

ADDED COMMENTARY

I DON'
ASKIN
THOSE
WAY TO

AS A RESULT, THE JURY, JUDGE, APPEALS JUDGES, PAROLE BOARD, FEDERAL JUSTICES, AND EVERYONE, HAS ONLY EVER HEARD THAT SOMEHOW LAZOR WAS THE PROVOKER OF THIS INCIDENT AGAINST A RATHER ANGELIC MR. ALLRED. (SEE NEXT PAGES)

ELY
OF
ANY

1 PF Lazor
2 44750 60th Street West, C73842
3 Lancaster, CA 93536

4 Layman at law without counsel

5
6 In re, PF Lazor,

No. _____

7 On Habeas Corpus

8 **DECLARATION OF PF LAZOR**
RE: VIOLENCE OF JOHN ALLRED,
CONCEALED IN TRIAL OF PF LAZOR

9 First, being duly deposed, I declare the following:


10 1. John Allred, who I later shot in self-defense, on December 20, 1982, phy-
11 sically attacked me with violent force by diving over a table at me and grabbing
12 me by the throat, at Billie G's Restaurant in Los Gatos. His uncle, Paul Garnier
13 had to physically pull him off me and back over the table. This occurred in front
14 of numerous witnesses.

15 2. My trial attorney in case #87874 (for killing Mr. Allred), Wesley Schroeder
16 Esq., knew about the attack and refused to let the trial judge or jury know
17 about it. He coerced me to downplay and conceal the degree of threats and vio-
18 lence and stalking Allred had made and done against me; including other acts.

19 3. Mr. Schroeder's coercion and concealment of this matter was commensurate
20 with his insistence that the defense was not to make Mr. Allred look violent nor
21 of bad character, as he expressed feeling bad enough for Allred and his family
22 already due to me killing him, and that we could not "rock the boat" by present-
23 ing anything against the prosecution's case that they had not approved of to Mr.
24 Schroeder. He insisted such facts wouldn't make a difference in the verdict.

25 I declare and affirm, subject to penalties for perjury, that the foregoing is
26 true and correct, based on my personal knowledge.

27 Dated: NOVEMBER 28, 2000 A.D.

28 

PF Lazor, Declarant

XX-3