

Francis Schuchter Cax #16/179-006 US pertentiony Marion PU Box 1000 Marion, IL 62959

Dear Judge Emmet G. Sullivan:

Assistant U.S. Attorney Joseph Bottini and a host of other criminal bureaucrats cooked up a bunch of phony charges based on liar-for-hire testimony they knew was false, then railroaded Alaska Senator Ted Stevens through a show trial full of cheating and deceit so they could get rid of him and tip the balance of power in the Senate. This corrupt hit job on an elected official cost Ted Stevens his Senate seat, it cost every Alaskan their right to free and fair elections, and it cost the judicial process the respect it used to have once upon a time.

When all this corruption and serious criminality started to bubble to the surface, you came out against it in a dramatic way. You publicly scolded Bottini and his cohorts for lying to your face, hiding evidence, and abusing their power. Then you appointed Henry F. Schuelke III to investigate and prosecute these political thugs. They must have thought you were serious because AUSA Nick Marsh killed himself (at least that's the official story) and Joseph Bottini went into overdrive to conceal the true extent of his crimes.

Mr. Schuelke's investigative report was 514 pages long and documented a full spectrum of evil ranging from concealment of evidence and manipulation of records, to the child sex abuse ring in Anchorage and the U.S. Attorney's sofar-successful methods of blocking local police detectives from shutting down their perv parties for Alaska's ghoulish power brokers. As a side note, it should come as no surprise that the same self-loathing underhanded weasels who gravitate towards bureaucratic posts where they can hide behind officialdom while stepping on people's necks are the same people who get off on sexually dominating a sobbing helpless child. Fortunately, some of these kids, like Bambi Tyree, grow up to have enough integrity to confront their abusers. This is the only reason Bambi's story is even in the report. And what about that report?

One can't help but doubt the integrity of a so-called investigation that only covers the small portion of the criminal enterprise that had already been made public. Especially when, after chewing and rechewing the already exposed details to the total neglect of the real story, the report ultimately recommended against prosecution, concluding that there wasn't quite enough evidence IN THE REPORT to establish willfulness beyond a reasonable doubt.

After reading The Schuelke Report, it was obvious that my case -- also a liar-for-hire political hit job courtesy of Bottini and the gang -- contained evidence of willfulness, pattern of practice, motive, and greater crimes which, if considered in the context of all related political cases, would have answered the questions Mr. Schuelke opined as unanswerable, and upon which his decision to NOT prosecute supposedly hinged.

Page 1 of 3

I know this letter might come off disrespectful. But what am I supposed to respect? Am I just supposed to be scared of you and your power, like you're some sort of defined personality whos. an icon of force? Or am I supposed to have a deep and sincere respect for Law, for fair process, for the Rights of Man, and for the stewards entrusted with the defense of those rights? If I didn't have respect for the Law, for the American system of government, and for the office that you hold, I wouldn't be calling you out for your apparent disrespect of those things.

Some may say that pointing out corruption and implicating the judge isn't the best way to get a court to grant a motion. Perhaps. But concealing corruption that persecutes the innocent so that the guilty can keep on committing crimes isn't the best way to get a society to grant you social sanction. The "Laws of Nature and of Nature's God" transcend us both. In the words of the wise Bob Dylan, "You gotta serve somebody." And, "it ain't me, babe." If you are burying the truth, I expect you'll try to bury me too. In which case I'll be content to occupy the position of moral superiority to the paranoid despots who try to silence their critics with chains, even if that means occupying a 6 x 9 prison cell.

I'm resubmitting my 60(b) motion to you. If you're going to deny it, do it on the record so I can appeal it to the D.C. Court of Appeals as well as to the court of public opinion. And remember, Bottini and his friends heretofore unimpeded crime sprees are hurting not only Senator Stevens, myself, a half dozen other innocent political personalities, and the Alaskan electorate, it's hurting your credibility and the system as a whole.

If I've arrived at the wrong conclusions then straighten me out. I'd love to find out I'm wrong about you. But it's not enough to just say I'm wrong, you need to prove I'm wrong. If you can make me eat my words, it'll be the best meal I've had in a while.

Respect where it's due, honesty where it's needed,

-- Schaeffer Cox

manifest to I that induced to be installed for end in

Mr. Schuelke's disingenuous showmanship is plainly evident to anyone who knows the inside political story. He avoided all inquiry into the conveniently dead Nick Marsh. He carefully tiptced through the child sex abuse ring without ruffling any feathers whatsoever. And he skillfully neglected all related political cases. The efforts he went to to avoid answering the questions he himself posed is the most telling aspect of the whole charade. But just because Schuelke was an inside-man didn't mean that your rebukes to the prosecution and promise to get to the bottom of this was a fake show.

If the prosecution was not only blowing off your orders, but was in fact double punking you by expanding the very sort of criminality and corruption you were scolding them for, you would want to know this. Nay, you would need to know this to do your job. So I wrote a Rule 60(b) motion which was replete with documentary evidence of Bottini and crew's fraud on the Court, and on Mr. Schuelke, and which answered your call for evidence upon which a determination could be made.

You got the motion on June 2nd 2014 and your clerk, Angela D. Caesar, filed it as is proper. But then 2 weeks later when you got around to reading it and saw that it was a giant hot-potato, you did a really unusual thing: you went in and falsified the record by expunging the motion. Then you stamped VOID over the "received" stamp and mailed it back to me with a chicken scratch note on the front telling me that that case was closed. Of course it's closed. That's what a 60(b) motion is for. If you had some reason to deny the motion, then you should have denied it on the record so that I could appeal it, and so that the motion and your reasoning would be open and accessible to the people. But that's not what you did.

Now I'm not a Federal Judge, so I don't have magical powers to look into a man's heart, know what's in there, and condemn him. I have to go off of evidence. But right now the evidence makes it look like you were more than happy to let my Senator get railroaded by cheaters on your watch. Only after the prosecution's crimes started to get attention in the media did you get up on your high horse and start wagging your finger. Was all that fake? Were you giving them a sideways wink and a nod? It sure looks like it. After all, the best way to block a real investigation is with a fake one. We all know how that works.

If you're a politician pretending to be a judge we need to figure it out sconer rather than later. Especially with you sitting on the Judicial Watch vs. Internal Revenue Service FOIA lawsuit over Lois Lerner's "lost" emails. There's a pattern here: Bureaucrat gets caught doing political hit job, you oversee the "investigation," said bureaucrat stonewalls, eventually nothing happens, you close the case.

Politics is a complex economy of eccentric personalities. I of all people know that the way things look isn't always the way they are, and that that's by necessity. I know we all have to find ways to get by and I'm not faulting you for that. But if presiding over phony investigations of government thugs who got sloppy and got caught has become your niche, it's starting to look bad. You're colluding with reckless criminals and it is very shortsighted.

Page 2 of 3

Francis Schaeffer Cox Reg. No. 16179-006 USP Marion P.O. Box 1000 Marion, IL 62959 May 22, 2014

Hon. Clerk of Court United States District Court For the District of Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Prettyman Bldg., Room 1225 Washington, DC 20001

Re: In Re Special Proceedings, Misc No. 9-0198 (EGS)

Dear Clerk of Court:

Please find enclosed an original of my Motion For Access To "Report To the Hon. Emmet G. Sullivan Of Investigation Pursuant To the Court's April 7, 2009 Order" and/or Interested-Affected and Injured Non-Party Petitioner's Motion To Reopen Special Proceedings Under FRCP Rule 60B(3) & (d) "For Fraud Upon the Court By Certain Parties Of Interest." Please file said Motion on my behalf using the ECF system to serve a true copy on all interested parties, those parties including, but not limited to, the following:

Brian Christopher Baldrate Francis Joseph Warin Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, L.L.P. Washington, DC

David Penn Burns Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, L.L.P. Washington, DC

Brendan V. Sullivan, Jr. Craig D. Singer Alex Giscard Romain Beth A. Stewart Williams & Connolly LLP Washington, DC

Joseph Marshall Terry, Jr. Robert Madison Cary Williams & Connolly LLP Washington, DC

